The purpose of this study was to evaluate the cross-sectional differences in self-reported psychological symptoms, life-time exposure to traumatic events, coping styles, personality traits and overall quality of life between the PTSD and non-PTSD Croatian War veterans. A total of 113 subjects within the three groups participated in the study (veterans with PTSD, non-PTSD veterans and controls). Veterans with PTSD assessed both combat experiences and exposure to other life-time traumatic events as extremely stressful, much more so than veterans without PTSD or control subjects. Scores among the non-PTSD veterans were similar to the control subjects, except on the M-PTSD scale, scale of psychosomatic symptoms and coping by avoidance. Intensity of PTSD, extraversion and active coping were individually significant in explaining the total QoL (p<0.01). This study showed that veterans with PTSD became extremely vulnerable to lifetime stress events.
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INTRODUCTION

The war in Croatia lasted from 1991 to 1996 and included frontal conflicts, exposure to shelling, and dangers of minefields. The majority of veterans were exposed to death and wounding of other war participants, as well as harsh weather conditions on the frontline.

Research shows that posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) is a common problem following violence, and that other emotional problems may be secondary to PTSD (Kilpatrick and Acierno, 2003; Johansen et al., 2007). War situation is certainly among the most difficult life situations, with the highest probability of going through multiple traumatic experiences. However, only 15-20% of traumatized people develop PTSD (Ajduković et al., 2007). Most of the individuals who experience trauma may not meet full diagnostic criteria for PTSD, but may still have clinically significant symptoms that affect their psychological functioning, physical health, and social relations, which is often called partial PTSD. Although the majority of individuals exposed to trauma will not develop or maintain PTSD reactions, subthreshold reactions should not be overlooked (Yehuda et al., 1995; Dimić et al., 2004; Žigrović, 2007; Ajduković et al., 2007; Richardson et al., 2008). The consequences of traumatization are also evident in other aspects of mental health, primarily in the increase of depression, anxiety and addiction (Pizarro et al., 2006; Basoglu et al., 2005; De Jong et al., 2003; Johansen et al., 2007). It is therefore reasonable to expect that a higher level of traumatization would be associated with a lower quality of life (QoL). The World Health Organization defines QoL as "the individual's perception of his/her position in life in the context of the culture and value system in which he/she lives and in relation to his/her goals, expectations, standards and concerns". From the psychological view, quality of life is the result of an individual's psychological structure in interaction with the physical and social environment, based on his/her subjective assessment (Krizmanić and Kolesarić, 1989).

There are consistent findings about the lower quality of life among traumatized people (d’Ardenne et al., 2005.; Priebe et al., 2004.; Schnurr et al., 2006; Zatzick et al., 1997; Magruder et al., 2004.; Clancy et al., 2006). If we understand the concept of quality of life as a complex subjective experience of people which depends on objective circumstances of life, personality of the individual, ways of interpretation of events, value system, and expectations (Ajduković et al., 2007), then the question is what psychological variables moderate a perception of such low quality of life in traumatized people?

Research has shown that besides the intensity and duration of exposure to traumatic experiences and consequent PTSD symptoms, variables such as personality traits, some of
the behavioral variables and social environment variables have major effects on the formation of physical and mental illness (Hudek-Knežević et al., 2005). Apart from examining the effects of the perception of the intensity of stress, stressful life events, and negative effects on the beginning, duration or intensity of the disease, several studies have established the relationship between coping styles and the development of mental disease in general (Folkman et al., 1986; Lazarus and Folkman, 1984; Hudek-Knežević et al., 2005; Stein et al., 2005; Howell, 2006).

Personality dimensions could be risk or protective factors in PTSD development. Personality variables and trauma intensity were found to be significant predictors of PTSD severity, accounting for 43% of the variance (Lauterbach and Vrana, 2001; Talbert et al., 1993).

The purpose of this study was to evaluate the cross-sectional differences in self-reported severity of PTSD and psychosomatic symptoms, intensity of subjective disturbance caused by lifetime exposure to traumatic events, coping styles, personality traits, and overall quality of life between the PTSD and non-PTSD Croatian War veterans. We also investigated whether it is possible to predict quality of life on the basis of PTSD and psychosomatic symptoms, coping styles and personality traits.

On the basis of previous PTSD studies we expected that war veterans with PTSD would score worse on QoL, personality and coping style questionnaires than both non-PTSD veterans and control subjects. We also expected that veterans with PTSD would score higher in psychosomatic and PTSD symptoms as well as lifetime traumatic events than subjects in the other two groups. For the non-PTSD group we expected to be similar to the control group of subjects.

**METHOD**

**Study Design and Participants**

The study was conducted in the Center for psychotrauma, Department of Psychiatry, Medical School, University of Split, Croatia, during the academic years 2008 and 2009. A total of 113 subjects within the three groups participated in the study. The first group consisted of war veterans diagnosed with PTSD (n=40). The second group consisted of war veterans without a diagnosis of PTSD (n=37). The third group consisted of volunteers as control subjects (n=36).

Inclusion criteria for the first group were age range 35-60 years, exposure to traumatic combat experiences, and having a PTSD diagnosis. Patients with a central nervous system (CNS)
In the second group respondents were also war participants, but without a PTSD diagnosis. Patients with a CNS disease, addiction, psychotic disorder or low intellectual abilities were excluded from this group too. The same exclusion criteria were applied during the selection of subjects for the control group, who were included only if they did not participate in the war or had a PTSD diagnosis. Participants in the first group were treated in the Center for psychotrauma and those in the other two groups were regular patients in general medicine outpatient clinics and a dental clinic.

The majority of participants in all groups had a secondary level of education. In this regard, the groups did not differ significantly ($\chi^2 = 0.118$, $p = 0.74$).

The study included 40 PTSD veterans of an average age of 40.5 (range 33 to 51), 37 veterans of an average age of 45 (range 35 to 56), and 36 subjects in the control group of an average age of 36 (range 29 to 50). Participants with PTSD were significantly younger than veterans without PTSD ($p = 0.002$) and control subjects ($p = 0.009$), while between the latter two groups there was no significant difference ($p = 0.944$). All participants were informed about the purpose of research and gave verbal consent to participate in the study.

**Instruments**

*Life Events Checklist – LEC* (Turner and Wheaton, 1995) is a 17-item measure of exposure to potentially traumatic events (PTE). The checklist assesses lifetime exposure to traumatic life events – experienced, witnessed or learned about. It inquires about multiple types of exposure to each PTE. The respondents rate their experience of that event on a 5-point scale (1 - "happened to me", 2 - "witnessed it", 3 - "learned about it", 4 - "not sure", 5 - "doesn’t apply"). Kappa coefficient for all items is 0.61 and the retest correlation is 0.82. In a clinical sample of combat veterans, the LEC was significantly correlated, in the predicted directions, with measures of psychological distress and was strongly associated with PTSD symptoms (Gray et al., 2004).

For the purpose of this study, only the first point – "happened to me" – was used. Additionally, the subjects had to answer on a 3-point scale how much the event disturbed them (1 - "not at all", 2 - "moderately", 3 - "extremely").

The *Mississippi scale for combat-related posttraumatic stress disorder M-PTSD* (Keane et al., 1988) is a 35-item self-report measure that assesses combat-related PTSD in veteran populations.
It is designed to assess reexperiencing, avoidance and numbing, and hyperarousal symptoms associated with PTSD. Respondents are asked to rate how they feel about each item using 5-point, Likert-style response categories. Ten positively framed items are reversely scored and then responses are summed to provide an index of PTSD symptom severity which can range from 35-175. Cut off scores for a probable PTSD diagnosis have been validated for some populations, but may not generalize to other populations. For a sample of Croatian veterans, it is 106. This measure has demonstrated good test-retest reliability \((r=0.97, 1-week interval)\), internal consistency \((\alpha=0.94)\), (Keane et al., 1988) and diagnostic accuracy (90% diagnostic efficiency) in a sample of Vietnam combat veterans (Keane et al., 1988; Kulka et al., 1991).

The WHOQOL-BREF (WHOQoL, 1998) is a self-report scale that consists of 26 items. It is a multilingual, multicultural generic quality of life scale developed across 15 field centers. The WHOQOL-Bref includes four domains related to QoL: physical health, psychological health, social relationships and environment. The WHOQOL-Bref has been demonstrated to have satisfactory discriminant validity, internal consistency and test-retest reliability. The items are rated on a 5-point Likert scale, reflecting intensity, capacity, frequency, or evaluation. The items use questions "how much", "how completely", "how often", "how good" or "how satisfied", with possible answers ranging from "not at all satisfied" to "very satisfied". The range of scores in each domain is from 4 to 20, whereby a higher score indicates a better QoL. The total scores on items within each domain were finally transformed on the standardized scale 0-100. High internal consistency (0.61-0.81 for all four domains) had already been established for the Croatian version of the WHOQOL-BREF in an earlier study (Skevington et al., 2004)).

The Cornell index CI (Weider et al., 1945) is a questionnaire used for quick assessment of psychosomatic problems, tendencies to anxiety, phobia, hypersensitivity, depression, obsessive-compulsive tendencies (Factor I – asthenic syndrome); cardiovascular, inhibitory and gastro-intestinal conversion, hypochondria (Factor II – conversive syndrome); impulsivity, aggression and paranoia (Factor III – sthenic syndrome). The total score on Factor I is 43, on Factor II is 38 and on Factor III 19. Only the results on Factors I and II were analyzed for the purpose of this study. CI was used for the purpose of objectification of psychological symptoms, because it has a set of control statements for assessing the subjects' understanding and tendency to simulate answers. The procedure is entirely
self-administered. CI consisted of 110 questions. The questions were answered by drawing a circle around either the "correct" or "incorrect" after each.

The COPE (Carver et al., 1989) is a multidimensional coping inventory to assess the different ways people respond to stress. The Croatian version of the inventory was developed and compared to the original inventory which was used as the basis for construing the new inventory, along with two scales specific for Croatian respondents. The questionnaire consists of 17 empirically derived scales that describe the three higher order factors: problem-oriented coping, emotion-oriented coping, and general disengagement (Hudek-Knežević et al., 1999). Cronbach alpha reliability coefficients for each factor are: 0.87 for problem-oriented coping, 0.92 for emotion-oriented coping; and 0.80 for disengagement (Hudek-Knežević and Kardum, 1993). Responses to individual items were scored on the 5-point Likert-type scale, whereby 1 is "never", and 5 is "always".

The Big Five Inventory (BFQ) (Caprara et al., 1993) is used for measuring the 5-factor personality dimensions. It is a 132-item questionnaire measuring five domains (Extraversion, Agreeableness, Conscientiousness, Emotional Stability and Openness to Experience). The respondent has a 5-choice answer format that ranges from complete disagreement (1 = very false for me) to complete agreement (5 = very true for me). Additionally, previous studies have shown that this instrument was useful for cross-language and cross-cultural research (Bennet-Martínez and John, 1998), and also it has been proven to be appropriate for measuring the 5-factor model of personality in the Croatian language (Schmitt et al., 2007). In the Croatian sample, the coefficients of internal reliability (Cronbach α) ranged from 0.69 for agreeableness to 0.80 for neuroticism. Correlations between 5 personality dimensions ranged from -0.40 (p<0.001; between neuroticism and conscientiousness) to 0.46 (p<0.001; between extraversion and openness) (Hudek-Knežević and Kardum, 2009).

**Statistical Analyses**

Statistica 8.0 software package (StatSoft, Inc., Tulsa, USA) was used to perform the statistical analysis of the data. Differences between categorical variables (socio-demographic, personal and behavioral characteristics) were estimated by chi-square test whereas differences among the three samples on psychological instruments and scales on continuous variables were estimated by ANOVA with Scheffe post-hoc test. Interpretation of multivariate effects of quality of life predictors was performed by forward regression analysis. Statistical values were considered significant at p<0.05.
RESULTS

Different Traumatic Experiences and their Subjective Disturbance in PTSD-, Non-PTSD Veterans, and Controls

War veterans in both groups did not differ significantly in length of stay on the battlefield (t=0.738, p=0.463).

When different kinds of lifetime traumatic experiences were defined in three categories (Table 1) according to the degree of subjective disturbance (not at all, moderately disturbing, extremely disturbing), there were significant differences for five traumatic experiences separately (natural disasters, p=0.038; traffic accidents, p=0.042; physical or weapon attacks, p<0.001; sudden deaths of close people, p=0.005; severe diseases, p=0.008).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Traumatic experiences across the three different subsamples (war veterans with PTSD, war veterans without PTSD, and healthy control subjects)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PTSD veterans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural disaster</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not at all</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Too much</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic accidents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not at all</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Too much</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical or armed threat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not at all</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Too much</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>War</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not at all</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Too much</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sudden death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not at all</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Too much</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diseases (longitudinal)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not at all</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Too much</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Chi square test

PTSD veterans were more often too much disturbed with lifetime stressful experiences (e.g. natural disasters (35%), traffic accidents (55%), physical or weapon attacks (72.5%), sudden deaths (77.5%) and diseases (30%)) than participants in the other two groups who experienced those events more of-
ten as moderately stressful. So, the results indicated higher vulnerability of PTSD veterans toward any kind of traumatic events.

As can be expected, the majority of the participants in both veterans groups considered combat exposures as the most stressful life experiences among all others (p=0.587).

Differences in Clinical Characteristics, Coping Styles and Personality Traits

PTSD veterans had the highest average score (136.6 ± 17.66) on the M-PTSD scale and the result was above the cut-off score (106). Close to the value of the cut-off score was the result of non-PTSD veterans (98.2 ± 23.55), and the result of the control group on this scale was much lower (80.2 ± 18.46). There was a statistically significant difference (p<0.001) between all groups (Table 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Psychological instrument</th>
<th>PTSD veterans (mean±SD)</th>
<th>Non-PTSD veterans (mean±SD)</th>
<th>Control subjects (mean±SD)</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M-PTSD</td>
<td>136.6±17.66</td>
<td>98.2±23.55</td>
<td>80.2±18.46</td>
<td>79.26</td>
<td>&lt;0.001 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COPE (Problem oriented)</td>
<td>57.4±10.63</td>
<td>62.2±10.33</td>
<td>64.2± 8.14</td>
<td>4.93</td>
<td>0.009 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COPE (Emotion oriented)</td>
<td>23.6± 6.45</td>
<td>28.4± 7.10</td>
<td>29.0± 8.65</td>
<td>6.17</td>
<td>0.003 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COPE (Avoiding)</td>
<td>105.9±14.58</td>
<td>92.5±18.41</td>
<td>85.1±12.58</td>
<td>18.00</td>
<td>&lt;0.001 d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cornell index (Conversion)</td>
<td>19.0± 8.93</td>
<td>8.9± 7.66</td>
<td>4.6± 6.36</td>
<td>35.09</td>
<td>&lt;0.001 e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cornell index (Anxious/Depression)</td>
<td>26.1± 7.95</td>
<td>12.5± 9.96</td>
<td>7.5± 6.80</td>
<td>51.38</td>
<td>&lt;0.001 f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BF – Extraversion*</td>
<td>44.5±13.61</td>
<td>55.7±11.24</td>
<td>55.8± 9.65</td>
<td>11.95</td>
<td>&lt;0.001 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BF – Agreeableness</td>
<td>49.7±16.82</td>
<td>61.0±15.03</td>
<td>65.6±11.35</td>
<td>11.93</td>
<td>&lt;0.001 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BF – Conscientiousness</td>
<td>56.6±15.58</td>
<td>60.8±12.57</td>
<td>63.2±10.35</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>0.089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BF – Neuroticism</td>
<td>47.1±12.93</td>
<td>63.6±11.81</td>
<td>61.2±11.71</td>
<td>20.86</td>
<td>&lt;0.001 i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BF – Openness</td>
<td>43.7±13.41</td>
<td>54.9±14.59</td>
<td>54.7±13.26</td>
<td>8.42</td>
<td>&lt;0.001 j</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Scales from Big Five questionnaire

a Scheffe test for post hoc analysis showed that PTSD veterans had higher score than Non-PTSD veterans (p<0.01) and control subjects (p<0.01)
b PTSD veterans had significant lower score than control subjects (p<0.01). There was no significant difference between PTSD veterans and Non-PTSD veterans (p=0.106) and between Non-PTSD veterans and control subjects (p=0.669)
c PTSD veterans had significant lower score than Non-PTSD veterans (p=0.021) and control subjects (p<0.01). There was no significant difference between Non-PTSD veterans and control subjects (p=0.933)
d PTSD veterans had significant higher score than Non-PTSD veterans (p<0.01) and control subjects (p<0.01). There was no significant difference between Non-PTSD veterans and control subjects (p=0.126)
e PTSD veterans had significant higher score than Non-PTSD veterans (p<0.01) and control subjects (p<0.01). There was no significant difference between Non-PTSD veterans and control subjects (p=0.062)
f Post hoc analysis showed that PTSD veterans had higher score than Non-PTSD veterans (p<0.01) and control subjects (p<0.01). There was significant difference between Non-PTSD veterans and control subjects (p=0.042)
PTSD veterans had significant lower score than Non-PTSD veterans (p<0.01) and control subjects (p<0.01). There was no significant difference between Non-PTSD veterans and control subjects (p=0.999).

PTSD veterans had significant lower score than Non-PTSD veterans (p<0.01) and control subjects (p<0.01). There was no significant difference between Non-PTSD veterans and control subjects (p=0.413).

PTSD veterans had significant lower score than Non-PTSD veterans (p<0.01) and control subjects (p<0.01). There was no significant difference between Non-PTSD veterans and control subjects (p=0.697).

PTSD veterans had significant lower score than Non-PTSD veterans (p<0.01) and control subjects (p<0.01). There was no significant difference between Non-PTSD veterans and control subjects (p=0.999).

The significant differences between groups were obtained on three COPE Questionnaires scales (active coping, p=0.009; emotional coping p=0.003; and avoidance, p<0.001). The PTSD veterans were less capable of dealing actively and emotionally with stress, and more often tended to avoid all stressful situations perceived potentially threatening to themselves than the non-PTSD veterans and controls.

The differences were also obtained on both anxious-depressive (p<0.001) and conversion symptoms (p<0.001) CI scales. Compared to the controls, all veterans had more anxious-depressive and convervive (gastrointestinal, cardiology and neurologic) symptoms. The symptoms were more pronounced in PTSD veterans than in the non-PTSD subjects.

The personality questionnaire results indicated higher Introversion and Emotional Instability of the PTSD veterans, compared to the other two groups. Statistically significant differences were found on those scales between all groups (p<0.001, separately). Similarly, on the Agreeableness and Openness scales the results across the three groups differed significantly (both p<0.001, separately). PTSD veterans also scored higher than the subjects in other two groups. Only on the variable of Conscientiousness there was no significant difference between the veterans and control group (p=0.089).

There was statistical difference among the PTSD veterans, veterans and control in their scores on physical QoL (F=37.42, p<0.001), psychological QoL (F=32.35, p<0.001), social relationships QoL (F=22.41, p<0.001), and level of social security QoL (F=21.99, p<0.001).

As can be seen from Figure 1, the control subjects had a better quality of physical (p<0.001) and mental health (p<0.001), better quality of social relations (p<0.001), and felt safer in the broad environment (p<0.001) than both PTSD veterans. The control subjects had a better quality of physical health (p<0.05) and felt safer in the broad environment (p<0.05) than non PTSD veterans but there was no statistical difference on mental health...
PTSD veterans scored even lower than non-PTSD veterans in all QoL domains (p<0.01).

A regression analysis for quality of life as criterion obtained the multiple correlation coefficient of 0.856, thus explaining 72.5% of the total variance in the criterion, which proved statistically significant (p<0.01) (Table 3).

Intensity of PTSD, extraversion and active coping were individually significant in explaining the total QoL (p<0.01). The highest relative contribution was that of the intensity of PTSD variable (β = -0.83, p<0.001), whereas the variables of extraversion (β = 0.19, p=0.001) and active coping (β = 0.13, p=0.017) contributed much lesser. Although the variables of agreeableness (β = 0.11, p=0.096) and emotional stability (β = -0.11, p=0.066) were left out of the further prediction in the third-step for-
ward regression, their results were marginally significant and should therefore be considered in the interpretation of findings.

**DISCUSSION**

This study showed that veterans with PTSD assessed not only combat experiences, but also exposure to natural disasters, traffic accidents, physical and armed threats, sudden deaths and severe illnesses as extremely stressful, much more so than veterans without PTSD or control subjects. As could be expected, PTSD veterans were more likely to have clinically significant symptoms of the disorder. Veterans with PTSD less frequently used active and emotional coping with stressful lifetime events, and more often avoid facing stressful events.

In a retrospective study, Clancy et al. (2006) found that age, greater combat exposure, and premilitary and postmilitary traumas are associated with increased PTSD symptomatology. Stein et al. (2005), found that lifetime trauma, combat exposure, and avoidant coping were strongly related to PTSD symptoms. On a sample of 250 firefighters from Kentucky, significant effects were found for ineffective coping skills ("Avoidance Coping") and general self-efficacy on the levels of PTSD.

Also, ineffective coping skills predicted higher levels of PTSD (Howell, 2006). Avoidant coping was characterized by behavioral disengagement, substance use, self-distraction, denial, and self-blame (Howell, 2006). Our findings on the scale of psychosomatic symptoms point into the same direction: PTSD veterans more often suffered from anxiety-depressive and converive disorders in different somatic systems.

All domains of the quality of life (physical and mental health, quality of social relationships, and environmental safety) were rated lower by the PTSD veterans than the control subjects. Exploring the quality of life of people suffering from PTSD, d’Ardenne et al. (2005) have also found that QoL in people suffering from PTSD was worse than in the comparison group. Worse quality of life contributed to the pronounced depression and anxiety and avoidance symptoms. In the same study it was found that older age and ethnic minority background were risk factors for worse quality of life. Priebe et al. (2004) showed that people who have sought psychiatric help and had more posttraumatic, depressive, and anxiety disturbances had also a poorer quality of life. Several studies of Vietnam veterans examined the impact of PTSD on QoL using a wide range of measures and showed that PTSD negatively affected QoL in both females and males (Schnurr et al., 2006; Zatzick et al., 1997; Magruder et al., 2004).

Regarding the personality traits, PTSD veterans were less extravert and agreeable in contacts, and more emotionally un-
stable and closed towards new experiences than the other two groups of participants in our study. Findings of previous studies indicate that neuroticism interacts with trauma intensity in predicting PTSD severity. Among persons low in neuroticism, a modest trauma intensity-PTSD relationship has been found, whereas this relationship was strong among persons high in neuroticism (Leuterbach and Vrana, 2001). A normative NEO-PI profile for persons diagnosed with combat-related PTSD (Vietnam veterans) is characterized by an extremely high neuroticism score and an extremely low agreeableness score (Talbert et al., 1993).

The level of intensity of PTSD symptoms was the most important predictor of the quality of life among veterans: a subjective assessment of QoL in all domains decreases with the increase of intensity of PTSD. Active coping with stress predicts better quality of life: people who successfully identify and resolve problems in life seem to be more satisfied with their health and social relationships, and they generally feel more confident in life and their environment. Among personality traits, only extraversion was found to be a significant predictor of QoL, whereas agreeableness and emotional stability were marginally significant.

Results of non-PTSD veterans showed a more efficient coping, lower intensity of PTSD, less frequent psychosomatic disorders, and more stable personality traits than the PTSD veterans. QoL in all domains was rated better by non-PTSD than PTSD veterans.

Richardson et al. (2008) found that veterans with PTSD have significant impairments in mental and physical health-related quality of life (HRQoL). Mental HRQoL was significantly lower for peacemakers with, than without, PTSD. Yehuda et al. (1995) found that survivors with PTSD reported significantly greater cumulative trauma and recent stress than survivors without PTSD and comparison subjects.

Dimić et al. (2004) investigated 274 patients who have experienced multiple severe war-related traumas as civilians or soldiers. A significant difference between the PTSD and non-PTSD groups was identified on NEO-PI-R personality scales defined as neuroticism, as well as on the following MCMI dimensions: avoidant, dependant, borderline, and paranoid.

Croatian quality of life research in war participants found the lowest quality of life in psychiatrically treated war veterans with PTSD compared to civilians who have experienced war trauma but have not sought professional help (Zigrovic, 2007).

Similarly, on a representative sample of 454 traumatized war participants Ajduković et al. (2007) have found significantly higher quality of life in persons without mental disorders compared to those with PTSD and depression.
Regarding sensitivity to stress, non-PTSD veterans were not different from control subjects, except in cases of physical or armed threat, which veterans judged highly disturbing. They were also similar to control subjects with regard to personality traits. However, non-PTSD veterans had much more pronounced symptoms of PTSD, more frequent psychosomatic symptoms and a poorer quality of life in all domains. This finding supports the hypothesis of partial PTSD that does not meet the criteria for diagnosis of the disorder, but still proves that exposure to war traumas negatively affects social adaptation. It is possible that even before war exposure non-PTSD veterans had a more stable personality structure than PTSD veterans, so the war trauma was less disruptive to their functioning, but still negatively affected their mental and physical health and the quality of life in general. Another possible explanation relates to the chronological age of the two groups of veterans. PTSD veterans were younger than non-PTSD veterans at the time of war, and it is possible that younger age was a risk factor for the development of PTSD.

The contribution of this study relates to the investigation of war participants who did not develop PTSD, but were exposed equally long to armed conflict as PTSD veterans. Comparisons between the groups showed that although non-PTSD veterans did not have clinically significant psychiatric symptoms, they still experienced a deterioration of psychosomatic functioning and quality of life in general.

The limitations of the study relate to a relatively small number of participants and the age differences between the PTSD and non-PTSD group. The study was further limited by the fact that it did not collect data about different kinds of war traumatic events because it had been showed in earlier work that characteristics of the individual as well as of the event contribute to understanding short- and long-term outcomes (King et al., 1998). Also, the data from this study were cross-sectional. Thus, we cannot ascertain any cause and effect relationships between lifetime exposure to traumatic events and actual psychological characteristics of the subjects based on the present research.
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Utjecaj traumatskih životnih iskustava, psihičkoga i fizičkoga zdravlja na kvalitetu života hrvatskih branitelja
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U ovom istraživanju ispitivane su razlike u psihičkim simptomima, izloženosti traumatskim događajima tijekom života, stilovima suočavanja, osobinama ličnosti i ukupnoj kvaliteti života između hrvatskih branitelja koji su oboljeli od PTSP-a i branitelja bez PTSP-a. U istraživanju su sudjelovale tri skupine ispitanika (branitelji sa PTSP-om, branitelji bez PTSP-a i kontrola). Branitelji sa PTSP-om procjenjuju borbenu iskustva i izloženost drugim životnim traumatskim događajima kao vrlo stresne, u mnogo većoj mjeri nego veterani bez PTSP-a ili kontrolni ispitanici. Rezultati branitelja bez PTSP-a slični su rezultatima ispitanika iz kontrolne skupine, osim na M-PTSD upitniku, skali psihosomat- skih simptoma i skali suočavanja izbjegavanjem. Intenzitet PTSP-a, ekstraverzija i aktivno suočavanje pojedinačno su značajniji u objašnjavanju ukupne kvalitete života (p<0,01). Ovo istraživanje upućuje na pojačanu osjetljivost branitelja sa PTSP-om na životne traumatske događaje nakon izloženosti traumatskim ratnim iskustvima u odnosu na branitelje bez PTSP-a.
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Die Verfasser hinterfragen in ihrer Untersuchung, wie sich ehemalige kroatische Kriegsteilnehmer, die an einer PTBS (Posttraumatischen Belastungsstörung) erkrankt sind, unterscheiden von solchen, denen eine solche Erkrankung
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