Atypical presentation of thrombosis of a permanent pacemaker lead
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ABSTRACT

Pacemaker related infective endocarditis (PMIE) and pacemaker lead thrombosis (PMLT) are infrequent but potentially lethal complications of pacemaker (PM) therapy. Differences in clinical presentation, echocardiographic appearance and laboratory findings are usually helpful in making a confident diagnosis. On the other hand, atypical clinical and echocardiographic findings may complicate their differentiation and result in a therapeutic dilemma. We present a 70-year-old man with a permanent PM hospitalized because of a 7-day history of fever and weakness. Elevated inflammatory parameters and atypical echocardiographic findings resulted in a diagnostic dilemma between PMIE and PMLT. In this paper, we discuss the pathogenesis of these entities, their clinical presentation and therapy.
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Introduction

Pacemaker related infective endocarditis (PMIE) and pacemaker lead thrombosis (PMLT) are infrequent but potentially lethal complications of pacemaker (PM) therapy. (1,2) PMIE often presents with fever, weakness, and elevated inflammation markers, (1,3,4) while PMLT is usually an accidental echocardiographic finding, only occasionally presenting with right sided heart failure, rhythm disorder or pulmonary artery embolism. (2,5,6) Differences in clinical presentation, in addition to characteristic echocardiographic appearances and laboratory findings, are usually helpful in distinguishing the aforementioned entities with confidence. However, atypical clinical and echocardiographic findings may sometimes complicate their differentiation and result in a therapeutic dilemma. Namely, in the majority of patients, PMIE must be treated with prolonged antibiotic therapy (4-6 weeks in most cases) and removal of the pacemaker. (1,2,4) On the other hand, the treatment of PMLT only requires anticoagulant therapy. (2,5)

Case report

A 70-year-old man, who had a one chamber PM implanted 20 years ago, was hospitalized because of a 7-day history of fever and weakness. Eight years earlier, when the PM battery was exhausted, a new device was inserted. Because of incompatibility between the new device and the existing electrode, a new electrode was installed alongside the old one. Six weeks before this hospitalization the patient underwent transurethral prostatectomy with no antibiotic prophylaxis. Physical examination and chest X-ray revealed no abnormalities. Electrocardiography (ECG) revealed normal function of the PM in the VVIR mode. Inflammatory markers were elevated, including erythrocyte sedimentation rate (50 mm/h), white blood cells (15.2 x 10⁹/L) and C-reactive protein (65 mg/L). Other laboratory parameters were in the normal range.

On transthoracic (TTE) and transoesophageal echocardiography (TEE) two PM leads were demonstrated in the right cavities. A highly mobile, echodense, irregularly shaped mass sized 28x7 mm was attached to one of them with a narrow radix, while the more voluminous part was freely fluttering in the right atrium (figures 1A and B). There were no other echocardiographic abnormalities. Differential diagnoses of PMIE and PMLT were considered. Blood cultures were negative. A urinary
transvenous pacing leads. (2) 0.6% to 3.5% of patients with permanent complications are reported to occur in time, serious thrombotic and embolic permanent endocardial leads is estimated rate of infection after implantation of per-
lethal complications of PM therapy. The implanted cardiac devices explains the common pathophysiological mechanisms. Distinction between these two entities is based on typical clinical, laboratory and echocardiographic findings. (5,8) PMIE must be suspected in the presence of unexplained fever in patients with a permanent PM, especially in patients who underwent a procedure accompanied by bacteremia (for example, transurethral prostatectomy, as was the case in our patient). (4,9,10) As in other forms of infective endocarditis (IE), echocardiography and blood cultures are the cornerstone of PMIE diagnosis. (4,9,10) On echocardiography, vegetations are often presented as circumscribed masses or clumps of echoes that arose from leaflet tips or electrode leads, either as an irregular area of highly reflective leaflet thickening or as more discrete, pedunculated mobile masses in the setting of electrode lead infection confirmed by imaging in more than one echocardiographic plane. (8) These characteristics may be helpful in the case of uncommon culture-negative IE in which the diagnosis of IE may be suggested on the basis of echocardiography even in the absence of, or certainly prior to, positive blood culture. (8,10) Although TTE may identify lead vegetations, it has not been established as major diagnostic criteria for PMIE due to its low sensitivity. (8,10) TEE is more helpful, it allows the exploration of the entire pacing system from the superior vena cava to the right ventricle. (8,10) Also, TEE might be helpful in detecting other intracavitary masses (e.g. cardiac myxoma, mural thrombus). (11,12) Blood cultures are positive in 77% of cases of PMIE. (3,4,7) Staphylococcus are the most frequent pathogens. (7,9) It is important to note that Duke criteria are difficult to apply in these patients because of lower sensitivity. (10) Because septic pulmonary embolism is a very frequent complication of PMIE, modifications of Duke criteria have been propo-
se. (10) Namely, according to modified Duke criteria, local signs of infection and pulmonary embolism are major diagno-
stic criteria for diagnosing PMIE. (10) The main mechanism of PMIE is conta-
mination by local bacteriological flora at the time of device implantation. (10,13) Then, the infection can spread along the electrode to the endocardium and the electrode tip. (10,13) Hematogena-
us colonization of the PM conducting system during the course of a bacte-
remia from a distant focus has rarely been demonstrated since the pacing system is rapidly covered by neoendothelium and fibrous tissue, which prevents the foreign surface from being coated by microorganisms. (7,9,10) However, in bacteremic patients with fever and positive blood culture results, hematogenous seeding of the device was presumed to be the mode of colonization of the system. (7,9,10) The potential sources include infections at other sites, including the urinary, hepatobiliary, gastrointestinal, and respiratory tracts. (7,10) However, it is important that new guidelines for the prevention and treatment of infec-
tive endocarditis do not recommend antibiotic prophylaxis in patients with a permanent pacemaker. (10,14) Uslan et al. reported bacteremia in 75 of the 1524 patients with permanent PM in the full 30-year cohort. (7) The most common organisms causing bacteremia were Staphyloccocus aureus (28% of cases) and Escherichia coli (22% of cases). (7) However, that study sup-
ports the consideration of device infec-
tion among patients with gram-positive bacteremia, especially bacteremia with S. aureus. (7) On the contrary, device infection, or the necessity for device removal, does not seem to be com-
monly found in patients with bactere-
mia caused by gram-negative bacilli. (7,15,16) It is possible that differenti-

**Figure 1. Echocardiographic findin-
gs, transthoracic (A) and transoes-
thophageal (B). An echodense, irre-
gularly shaped mass (thick arrow), sized 28x7 mm was attached to one of the pacing leads (thin arrow) with a narrow radius, while the more voluminous part was freely flapping in the right atrium.**

LA, left atrium; LV, left ventricle; RA, right atrium; RV, right ventricle.
Intracardiac clot depends on its size, ranging from one to several centimeters in diameter, and location. (2,5,6) Atrial arrhythmias can occur if the sinoatrial area is involved. Similar to atrial myxomas, an intracardiac thrombus may cause functional tricuspid stenosis or insufficiency, and should be considered when refractory right ventricular failure is present. (2,5,6)

Conclusion

In the case of a PM lead mass in a febrile patient, clinical and echocardiographic suspicion should be directed towards thrombosis, as well as IE. After PMIE exclusion, for which negative blood cultures are the most important criteria, administration of anticoagulant therapy and gradual thrombus disappearance should solve the dilemma. Also, our case suggests that if, during the replacement of a PM, the lead cannot be substituted, permanent anticoagulant therapy is recommended in order to prevent PMLT, even in patients without associated thrombogenic risks.
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