Focal Porokeratosis of Nuchae: Case Report
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Summary
Porokeratosis is the common name of several diseases of unknown pathogenesis, which are similar in clinical appearance. It was first described in 1893 and was thought to be a disorder of sweat glands, hence the name porokeratosis. The lesion that can be found in all cases is annular, with atrophic center, and hyperkeratotic outer ring. Cases of porokeratosis have been linked with genetic heritage, excessive ultraviolet exposure, kidney failure, and state of immunosuppression, but no definitive link has been established. As a rare condition, its main feature is that the correct diagnosis can be delayed for a significant period of time. Porokeratotic lesions have a high incidence of malignant transformation and are considered premalignant. We present a case where a porokeratotic lesion was unsuccessfully treated as a psoriatic lesion for more than a year in a patient with previously diagnosed psoriasis. A skin biopsy was performed at our department, which revealed classic cornoid lamella and thus led to the correct diagnosis. The lesion was excised. Additional diagnostic tests revealed normal kidney function and intact immune system. A follow-up protocol was established for the patient, ensuring timely diagnosis of any future porokeratotic lesions. Porokeratosis, especially when there are only few lesions, is not difficult to manage – once it is diagnosed. A diagnosis of porokeratosis may also aid in identifying a serious systemic disease such as kidney failure. Importantly, a misdiagnosis of porokeratosis may lead to development of skin cancer.

Key Words: porokeratosis, psoriasis, misdiagnosis
undergo malignant alteration in around 10% of cases, usually in Bowen's disease, planocellular or basal cell carcinoma (8). Accordingly, porokeratoses are considered a premalignant condition (9). This uncommon disease is characterized by annular, gyrate plaques with central atrophy and sharply elevated hyperkeratotic borders. Mibelli named this condition porokeratosis, believing that it involved the pores of the sweat ducts (3). Porokeratoses can only be diagnosed by skin biopsy and histopathology. The latter would reveal a formation called cornoid lamella (10). Although cornoid lamella is not specific for porokeratosis, the diagnosis of porokeratosis cannot be established without it. There are several forms of porokeratosis: classic porokeratosis (porokeratosis of Mibelli), disseminated superficial actinic porokeratosis, disseminated superficial porokeratosis, linear porokeratosis, punctate porokeratosis, and porokeratosis palmaris et plantaris disseminata. The most common form is disseminated superficial actinic porokeratosis, which typically appears in elderly females. It is characterized by multiple small brown hyperkeratotic lesions, usually located on the extensor surfaces of extremities. Classic porokeratosis of Mibelli is a rare disease, as are all other forms of porokeratosis, which appears primarily in young males. In classic porokeratosis, there is usually one or several lesions that can be located anywhere on the skin. Still, the most common sites of classic porokeratosis are the extremities. Despite the wide variety of clinical presentation of porokeratoses, the histopathologic, immunohistochemical, and ultrastructural features are the same. Treatment of porokeratosis does not show encouraging results (10). Isolated or smaller lesions can be treated by excision, cryotherapy, or laser therapy (11,12). Topical treatment by 5-fluorouracil, tretinoin, diclofenac, imiquimod, and photodynamic therapy is sometimes successful (13-18). Systemic treatment by retinoids can lead to remission, but therapy discontinuation may lead to recurrence (19). Due to its rarity, porokeratoses are often misdiagnosed and treated unsuccessfully. Here we present a case where a single porokeratotic lesion in an elderly male patient was treated as psoriasis for a year.

CASE REPORT

A 68-year-old male patient was referred to our department because of a lesion in the nuchal region, first noticed a year before. During the last 8 years, the patient had been treated for erythema-squamous lesions located on the elbows and dorsal sides of hands. These lesions were treated as psoriasis, with intermittent topical corticoids and keratolytic agents that led to regression. When the nuchal lesion was noticed, the same therapy was applied, without success (Fig. 1). The patient's family history was negative for dermatologic diseases. He took therapy for hypertension and uric arthritis. Overall, he felt ‘healthy’. When younger, he had been frequently exposed to sunlight without adequate protection. On dermatologic examination, an erythematous solitary papule with atrophic central area was detected in the nuchal region, measuring 10x8 mm. Skin biopsy was performed, with the excised part of the skin containing both peripheral and central area. Histopathology revealed multiple parakeratotic columns (cornoid lamella) within the epidermis. Underneath, in the upper malpighian layer, some of the keratinocytes appeared vacuolated and dyskeratotic. A moderate focal lymphohistiocyte infiltrate and elastosis were observed in the upper dermis. Such a histopathologic finding is the hallmark of classic porokeratosis of Mibelli (Fig. 2). As it was isolated lesion, complete excision was performed. Additional diagnostic tests were carried out to exclude the possible immune disorders or chronic renal failure, since porokeratosis may be linked with these conditions. The tests included complete and differential blood count, serum concentrations of urea and creatinine, and kidney ultrasound examination. All the tests came out negative. The patient was informed about the nature of porokeratosis. Strict sunlight protection was emphasized and a follow-up protocol was scheduled.

Figure 1. Porokeratotic lesion in the nuchal region, after biopsy.
DISCUSSION

Porokeratosis is a rare disease, which is commonly misdiagnosed, most frequently as psoriasis (20). The frequent misdiagnosis reminds us of the potential danger of porokeratosis. On the one hand, the development or sudden flare-up of porokeratosis can be a sign of a serious condition, such as immunodeficiency or kidney failure (21-24). On the other hand, porokeratosis represents a form of clonal keratinocyte expansion with chromosomal instability, which in many cases undergoes malignant transformation to basal cell or spinocellular carcinoma. This emphasizes the necessity of long-term follow-up of patients with porokeratosis (9,25). However, the crucial element of porokeratosis treatment is its recognition. In misdiagnosed cases, a life-threatening disease can develop unnoticed and porokeratosis can turn into carcinoma. Recognition of porokeratosis makes all the difference. The possible systemic disorders can easily be diagnosed using standard diagnostic procedures, and meticulous follow-up of porokeratotic lesions with biopsies and excisions of suspect lesions can prevent uncontrolled malignant transformation. Patients must avoid UV exposure (without protection), use of tanning beds and even phototherapy.

CONCLUSION

In the case report presented, a systemic cause of the development of porokeratosis was not found. Immune disorders as well as kidney failure were ruled out. This case of porokeratosis can therefore be classified as a sporadic case (26). The patient was advised to consistently use sun protection (UVA and UVB sunscreens), and periodic dermatologic examinations were scheduled. The patient was followed up for two years without recurrence.
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**Erratum corrige**

In Acta Dermatovenereologica Croatica Volume 18, Number 3 in the article ‘Expression of E-selectin in the skin of patients with atopic dermatitis: morphometric study’ by the error of authors instead of Gordana Gregorović name of Gordana Gregurek was printed.