The construction and approximation of neural networks operators with Gaussian activation function
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**Abstract.** This paper studies the construction and approximation of neural network operators with a centered bell-shaped Gaussian activation function. Using a univariate Gaussian function a class of Cardaliaguet-Euvrard type network operators is constructed to approximate the continuous function, and the Jackson type theorems of the approximation and some discussions about the convergence are given. Furthermore, to approximate the multivariate function, a class of bivariate Cardaliaguet-Euvrard type network operators is introduced, and the corresponding estimates of the approximation rate are deduced.
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1. Introduction

Mathematically, feed-forward neural networks (FNNs) with one hidden layer are expressed as

\[ N_n(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j \sigma(A_j x + b_j), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^s, \ s \in \mathbb{N}, \]

(1)

where \( \sigma \) is the activation function defined on \( \mathbb{R}^d \), and for \( 1 \leq j \leq n, c_j \in \mathbb{R} \) are the coefficients, \( A_j \) are real matrices of \( d \times s, b_j \in \mathbb{R}^d \) are the thresholds. If \( \sigma \) is defined on \( \mathbb{R} \), then (1) becomes

\[ N_n(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j \sigma(\langle A_j \cdot x \rangle + b_j), \]

(2)

where \( \langle A_j \cdot x \rangle \) is the inner product of \( a_j \) and \( x, b_j \in \mathbb{R} \). If \( A_j \) is restricted within diagonal matrices, then \( N_n(x) \) is a linear combination of translates and dilates of one or several functions.
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It is well known that FNNs are universal approximators. Theoretically, any continuous function defined on a compact set can be approximated by a FNN to any desired degree of accuracy by increasing the number of hidden neurons. It was proved by Cybenko [17] and Funahashi [19], that any continuous function can be approximated on a compact set with uniform topology by a network of the form given in Equation (1), using any continuous, sigmoidal activation function. Furthermore, various density results on FNN approximations of multivariate functions were later established by many authors using various methods, for more or less general situations: [14] by Chen and Chen, [16] by Chui and Li, [20] by Hartman et al., [21] by Hornik et al., [23] by Leshno et al., [27] by Mhaskar and Micchelli, etc.

Yet a related and important problem is that of complexity: determining the number of neurons required to guarantee that all functions (belonging to a certain class) can be approximated to the prescribed degree of accuracy $\epsilon$. For example, a classical result of Barrom [5] shows that if the function is assumed to satisfy certain conditions expressed in terms of its Fourier transform, and if each of the neurons evaluates a sigmoidal activation function, then at most $O(\epsilon^{-2})$ neurons are needed to achieve the order of approximation $\epsilon$. Up till now, many authors have published similar results on the complexity of FNN approximations: Cao et al. [11], Chen [13], Ferrari and Stengel [18], Maiorov and Meir [30], Makovoz [31], Mhaskar and Micchelli [28], Suzuki [36], Xu and Cao [38] etc.

A function $b : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be centered bell-shaped if $b$ belongs to $L^1$ and its integral is nonzero, if it is nondecreasing on $(-\infty, 0)$ and nonincreasing on $[0, +\infty)$. Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous and bounded function and $b$ be a centered bell-shaped function, Cardaliaguet and Euvrard [12] introduced the neural network operators defined by

$$F_n^1(f, x) = \sum_{k=-n^2}^{n^2} \frac{f(\frac{k}{n})}{I^{n^2}} b\left(\frac{nx - k}{n^2}\right), \quad (3)$$

where $I = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} b(t) dt$, which are called Cardaliaguet-Euvrard neural network operators. If $f : \mathbb{R}^s \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous and bounded function, then it is natural to define the multivariate Cardaliaguet-Euvrard neural network operators as (see [12])

$$F_n^s(f; x_1, \ldots, x_s) = \sum_{k_1=-n^2}^{n^2} \cdots \sum_{k_s=-n^2}^{n^2} \frac{f(\frac{k_1}{n}, \ldots, \frac{k_s}{n})}{I^{n^2}} b\left(\frac{n x_1 - k_1}{n^2}\right) \times \cdots \times b\left(\frac{n x_s - k_s}{n^2}\right).$$

Anastassiou [1] considered the centered bell-shaped function with compact support and a nonnegative number, and gave the pointwise estimates for continuous function $f$ defined on $\mathbb{R}$:

$$|F_n^1(f, x) - f(x)| \leq |f(x)| \left| \sum_{k=\lfloor nx - n^2 \rfloor}^{\lfloor nx + n^2 \rfloor} \frac{1}{n^2} b\left(\frac{nx - k}{n^2}\right) - 1 \right| + b(0) \left(2 + \frac{1}{n^2}\right) \omega\left(f; \frac{1}{n^{1-\alpha}}\right), \quad (4)$$
where \( b \) has support \([-1, 1]\) with \( \int_{-1}^{1} b(x)dx = 1 \), and \( \omega(f; t) \) is the first modulus of continuity of \( f \) (see [24]). Anastassiou [3] further proved that \( F_n^1(f, x) \rightarrow f(x) \) as \( n \rightarrow \infty \). For \( s \)-dimensional centered bell-shaped function with support \([-1, 1]^s\), Anastassiou [2, 3] obtained the similar pointwise estimate to (4).

For the important sigmoidal activation function defined by
\[
s(x) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-x}},
\]
it is not difficult to see that the function
\[
\phi(x) = \frac{1}{2}(s(x + 1) - s(x - 1))
\]
is a centered bell-shaped function with support \( \mathbb{R} \) (see [4]).

Let \( f(x_1, x_2) \) be a continuous function defined on \([-1, 1]^2\). Recently, Anastassiou [4] constructed an interesting operator defined by
\[
G_n(f; x_1, x_2) = \sum_{k_1=-\lfloor n \rfloor}^{\lfloor n \rfloor} \sum_{k_2=-\lfloor n \rfloor}^{\lfloor n \rfloor} f\left(\frac{k_1}{n}, \frac{k_2}{n}\right) \phi(nx_1 - k_1) \phi(nx_2 - k_2)
\]
and gave the estimate
\[
|G_n(f; x_1, x_2) - f(x_1, x_2)| \leq (5.250312578)^2 \left( \frac{1}{n^\alpha}, \frac{1}{n^\alpha} \right) + 6.3984\|f\|_\infty e^{-n(1-\alpha)}
\]
where \([\cdot]\) denotes the integral part of a number, \([\cdot]\) the ceiling of a number, \( \|f\|_\infty \) the uniform norm of \( f \), and \( 0 < \alpha < 1 \), and \( \omega(f; t_1, t_2) \) is the modulus of continuity of two-variate \( f \) defined by (see [34])
\[
\omega(f; t_1, t_2) = \sup_{|h_1| \leq t_1, |h_2| \leq t_2} |f(x_1 + h_1, x_2 + h_2) - f(x_1, x_2)|.
\]
Yet, (6) is an operator of rational type.

It is well known that \( s \)-dimensional Gaussian function defined by
\[
G(x) = \frac{1}{\pi^s} e^{-\|x\|^2}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^s
\]
is a class of important radial basis function (RBF), which is centered bell-shaped function, but has not compact support. Nevertheless, there have been many applications in numerical approximation and neural networks (for example, see [7, 8, 9, 10], [15], [22], [25, 26], [29], [32], [35, 37]).

In this paper, we will construct Cardaliaguet-Euverard type neural network operators with a Gaussian activation function, and give more refined error estimates of Jackson type. In addition, it is not difficult to see that Cardaliaguet-Euverard network operators are also a kind of Quasi-interpolation operators without polynomial reproduction (see [6]). Using the method of approximate partition of unity, the
quasi-interpolation operators with Gaussian are constructed, and the approximation rates are estimated in [15] and [32]. Therefore, for bell-shaped generating functions, it is natural to study the construction and approximation of quasi-interpolation operators by using the way of the constructive methods of Cardaliaguet-Euvrard operators.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 constructs two kinds of Cardaliaguet-Euvrard type neural network operators with univariate Gaussian radial basis activation function, and gives the discussions of rate of approximation on $\mathbb{R}$ and its compact subset, respectively. Section 3 introduces the neural network operators with bivariate Gaussian radial basis activation function and estimates the corresponding rate of approximation. In Section 4 we give numerical results to show the approximation does converge and agree with the rate claimed in the paper.

2. Approximation on $\mathbb{R}$

For a Gaussian function

$$G(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-x^2}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

and $d > 0$, we set

$$g_d(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi d}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{d^2}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$  

We denote the class of continuous and bounded functions defined on $\mathbb{R}$ by $C(\mathbb{R})$, and construct neural network operators with activation function $g_d$ for $f \in C(\mathbb{R})$ as

$$G_{n,d}^1(f, x) = \sum_{k=\lfloor -n^2 - n^\alpha \rfloor}^{\lfloor n^2 + n^\alpha \rfloor} f(\frac{k}{n^\alpha}) g_d\left(\frac{n x - k}{n^\alpha}\right).$$

To prove our first result, we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.** If $-n \leq x \leq n$, we have

1) When $\lfloor n x \rfloor + 1 \leq k \leq \lfloor n^2 + n^\alpha \rfloor$, then

$$\int_{\lfloor n x \rfloor + 1}^{\lfloor n^2 + n^\alpha \rfloor + 1} g_d\left(\frac{n x - t}{n^\alpha}\right) dt \leq \sum_{k=\lfloor n x \rfloor + 1}^{\lfloor n^2 + n^\alpha \rfloor} g_d\left(\frac{n x - k}{n^\alpha}\right) \leq \int_{\lfloor n x \rfloor}^{\lfloor n^2 + n^\alpha \rfloor} g_d\left(\frac{n x - t}{n^\alpha}\right) dt.$$  

2) When $\lfloor -n^2 - n^\alpha \rfloor \leq k \leq \lfloor n x \rfloor - 1$, then

$$\int_{\lfloor -n^2 - n^\alpha \rfloor}^{\lfloor n x \rfloor - 1} g_d\left(\frac{n x - t}{n^\alpha}\right) dt \leq \sum_{k=\lfloor -n^2 - n^\alpha \rfloor}^{\lfloor n x \rfloor - 1} g_d\left(\frac{n x - k}{n^\alpha}\right) \leq \int_{\lfloor -n^2 - n^\alpha \rfloor}^{\lfloor n x \rfloor} g_d\left(\frac{n x - t}{n^\alpha}\right) dt.$$  

**Proof.** 1) Since $-n \leq x \leq n$, we have

$$\lfloor n x \rfloor + 1 \leq k \leq \lfloor n^2 + n^\alpha \rfloor$$
and

\[ x - \frac{k}{n} \leq x - \frac{t}{n} \leq x - \frac{k - 1}{n} \leq 0, \quad nx \leq k - 1 \leq t \leq k. \]

Hence

\[ g_d \left( \frac{n^{1-\alpha}}{x - \frac{k}{n}} \right) \leq g_d \left( \frac{n^{1-\alpha}}{x - \frac{t}{n}} \right) \leq g_d \left( \frac{n^{1-\alpha}}{x - \frac{(k - 1)}{n}} \right), \]

that is

\[ g_d \left( \frac{nx - k}{n^\alpha} \right) \leq g_d \left( \frac{nx - t}{n^\alpha} \right) \leq g_d \left( \frac{nx - (k - 1)}{n^\alpha} \right). \]

Thus

\[ g_d \left( \frac{nx - k}{n^\alpha} \right) \leq \int_{k-1}^{k} g_d \left( \frac{nx - t}{n^\alpha} \right) dt. \]

Let \( nx \leq k \leq t \leq k + 1 \), then

\[ x - \frac{k + 1}{n} \leq x - \frac{t}{n} \leq x - \frac{k}{n} \leq 0. \]

Therefore,

\[ g_d \left( \frac{nx - (k + 1)}{n^\alpha} \right) \leq g_d \left( \frac{nx - t}{n^\alpha} \right) \leq g_d \left( \frac{nx - k}{n^\alpha} \right). \]

Hence

\[ \int_{k}^{k+1} g_d \left( \frac{nx - t}{n^\alpha} \right) dt \leq g_d \left( \frac{nx - k}{n^\alpha} \right). \]

By summation we have

\[ \int_{\lfloor nx \rfloor + 1}^{\lfloor n^2 + n \rfloor} g_d \left( \frac{nx - t}{n^\alpha} \right) dt \leq \sum_{k=\lfloor nx \rfloor + 1}^{\lfloor n^2 + n \rfloor} g_d \left( \frac{nx - k}{n^\alpha} \right) \leq \int_{\lfloor nx \rfloor}^{\lfloor n^2 + n \rfloor} g_d \left( \frac{nx - t}{n^\alpha} \right) dt, \]

for \( \lfloor nx \rfloor + 1 \leq k \leq \lfloor n^2 + n \rfloor \).

The proof of 2) is similar to 1). We omit the details. \( \square \)

We now give the first main result.

**Theorem 1.** Let \( f \in C(\mathbb{R}), \; 0 < \alpha < 1, \) and \( n^\alpha > 2 \). Then for \( x \in [-n, n] \), we have

\[ |G_{n,d}^1(f, x) - f(x)| \leq \omega \left( f; \frac{1}{n^{1-\alpha}} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi} d n^\alpha} \right) + 6\|f\|_\infty \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi} d n^\alpha} + de^{-\frac{1}{4d^2}} \right), \]

where \( \|f\|_\infty = \sup_{|x| \leq n + 1} |f(x)|. \)
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**Proof.** Since

\[
\left| \sum_{k = [-n^2 - n^\alpha]}^{[n^2 + n^\alpha]} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \frac{g_d}{n^\alpha} \left(\frac{nx - k}{n^\alpha}\right) - f(x) \right| \leq \sum_{k = [-n^2 - n^\alpha]}^{[n^2 + n^\alpha]} \left| f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) - f(x) \right| \frac{g_d}{n^\alpha} \left(\frac{nx - k}{n^\alpha}\right) + \|f\|_{\infty} \left| \sum_{k = [-n^2 - n^\alpha]}^{[n^2 + n^\alpha]} \frac{1}{n^\alpha} g_d \left(\frac{nx - k}{n^\alpha}\right) - 1 \right|
\]

\[\leq \omega \left( f; \frac{1}{n^{1 - \alpha}} \right) \frac{1}{n^\alpha} g_d \left(\frac{nx - k}{n^\alpha}\right) + 2\|f\|_{\infty} \sum_{|nx - k| > n^\alpha} \frac{1}{n^\alpha} g_d \left(\frac{nx - k}{n^\alpha}\right)\]

\[
= I_1 + \|f\|_{\infty} I_2,
\]

it is easy to see that

\[
|I_1| = \sum_{|nx - k| \leq n^\alpha} \frac{|f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) - f(x)|}{n^\alpha} g_d \left(\frac{nx - k}{n^\alpha}\right) + \sum_{|nx - k| > n^\alpha} \frac{|f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) - f(x)|}{n^\alpha} g_d \left(\frac{nx - k}{n^\alpha}\right)
\]

\[
\leq \omega \left( f; \frac{1}{n^{1 - \alpha}} \right) \sum_{k = [-n^2 - n^\alpha]}^{[n^2 + n^\alpha]} \frac{1}{n^\alpha} g_d \left(\frac{nx - k}{n^\alpha}\right) + 2\|f\|_{\infty} \sum_{|nx - k| > n^\alpha} \frac{1}{n^\alpha} g_d \left(\frac{nx - k}{n^\alpha}\right).
\]

By computation, we can obtain

\[
\sum_{k = [-n^2 - n^\alpha]}^{[n^2 + n^\alpha]} \frac{1}{n^\alpha} g_d \left(\frac{nx - k}{n^\alpha}\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi} d n^\alpha} \sum_{k = [-n^2 - n^\alpha]}^{[n^2 + n^\alpha]} e^{-\frac{(nx - k)^2}{d^2 n^{2\alpha}}}
\]

\[
\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi} d n^\alpha} \left(1 + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{x^2}{d^2 n^{2\alpha}}} \, dx\right)
\]

\[
= 1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi} d n^\alpha},
\]

and when \(n^\alpha > 2\), it follows that

\[
\sum_{|nx - k| > n^\alpha} \frac{1}{n^\alpha} g_d \left(\frac{nx - k}{n^\alpha}\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi} d n^\alpha} \sum_{|nx - k| > n^\alpha} e^{-\frac{(nx - k)^2}{d^2 n^{2\alpha}}}
\]

\[
\leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi} d n^\alpha} \int_{n^\alpha - 1}^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{x^2}{d^2 n^{2\alpha}}} \, dx
\]

\[
\leq de^{-\frac{1}{4\alpha^2}},
\]

here, the inequality (see Section 3.7.3 of [33])

\[
\int_{a}^{\infty} e^{-x^2} \, dx < \min \left( \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} e^{-a^2}, \frac{1}{2a} e^{-a^2} \right), \quad a > 0,
\]

is applied. Hence

\[
|I_1| \leq \omega \left( f; \frac{1}{n^{1 - \alpha}} \right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi} d n^\alpha}\right) + 2\|f\|_{\infty} de^{-\frac{1}{4\alpha^2}}.
\]
On the other hand,

\[ I_2 \leq \left| \sum_{k=\lfloor nx \rfloor + 1}^{\lfloor nx \rfloor + n^\alpha} \frac{1}{n^\alpha} g_d \left( \frac{n^\alpha x - k}{n^\alpha} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \right| + \frac{1}{n^\alpha} g_d \left( \frac{n^\alpha x - \lfloor nx \rfloor}{n^\alpha} \right) \]

\[ + \left| \sum_{k=-n^2-n^\alpha}^{\lfloor nx \rfloor - 1} \frac{1}{n^\alpha} g_d \left( \frac{n^\alpha x - k}{n^\alpha} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \right| + \frac{1}{n^\alpha} g_d \left( \frac{n^\alpha x - \lfloor nx \rfloor}{n^\alpha} \right) \]

\[ =: \Delta_1 + \Delta_2 + \Delta_3 + \Delta_4. \]

Obviously,

\[ \Delta_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi} d n^\alpha} e^{-\frac{(nx - \lfloor nx \rfloor)^2}{\alpha^2 d n^\alpha}} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi} d n^\alpha}, \]

\[ \Delta_4 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi} d n^\alpha} e^{-\frac{(nx - \lfloor nx \rfloor)^2}{\alpha^2 d n^\alpha}} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi} d n^\alpha}. \]

Also, the case 1) of Lemma 1 yields that

\[ \Lambda_1 := \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi} d n^\alpha} \int_{\lfloor nx \rfloor + 1}^{\lfloor nx \rfloor + n^\alpha} e^{-\frac{(nx - k)^2}{\alpha^2 d n^\alpha}} dt - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{-t^2} dt \]

\[ \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi} d n^\alpha} \int_{\lfloor nx \rfloor}^{\lfloor nx \rfloor + n^\alpha} e^{-\frac{(nx - k)^2}{\alpha^2 d n^\alpha}} dt - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{-t^2} dt \]

\[ \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi} d n^\alpha} \int_{\lfloor nx \rfloor - \lfloor nx \rfloor - 1}^{\lfloor nx \rfloor - \lfloor nx \rfloor + n^\alpha - 1} e^{-\frac{(nx - k)^2}{\alpha^2 d n^\alpha}} dt \]

\[ \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi} d n^\alpha} \left( \int_{-2}^{0} e^{-\frac{t^2}{\alpha^2 d n^\alpha}} dt + \int_{-\infty}^{-n^\alpha} e^{-\frac{t^2}{\alpha^2 d n^\alpha}} dt \right) \]

\[ \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi} d n^\alpha} + de^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \]
|\Lambda_2| = \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi} dn^\alpha} \int_{[n_x]}^{[n_x+n^\alpha]} e^{-\frac{(nx-k)^2}{\pi n^\alpha}} dt - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi} dn^\alpha} \int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{-\frac{t^2}{\pi n^\alpha}} dt \right|

= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi} dn^\alpha} \left( \int_{[nx-\lceil nx \rceil]}^{nx-\lceil nx \rceil} e^{-\frac{t^2}{\pi n^\alpha}} dt - \int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{-\frac{t^2}{\pi n^\alpha}} dt \right)

\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi} dn^\alpha} \left( \int_{-1}^{0} e^{-\frac{t^2}{\pi n^\alpha}} dt + \int_{-\infty}^{-n^\alpha+1} e^{-\frac{t^2}{\pi n^\alpha}} dt \right)

\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi} dn^\alpha} + de^{-\frac{1}{4\pi^2}}.

Combining the estimates of |\Lambda_1| with |\Lambda_2|, we have

\Delta_1 \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi} dn^\alpha} + de^{-\frac{1}{4\pi^2}}.

Considering

0 \leq nx - [nx] < 1, \quad nx - [-n^2 - n^\alpha] \geq n^\alpha - 1,

in the similar way we obtain the estimate of \Delta_1 that

|\Lambda_3| := \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi} dn^\alpha} \int_{nx-[nx]+1}^{nx-[n^2-n^\alpha]+1} e^{-\frac{t^2}{\pi n^\alpha}} dt - \int_{0}^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{t^2}{\pi n^\alpha}} dt \right|

\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi} dn^\alpha} \left( \int_{0}^{2} e^{-\frac{t^2}{\pi n^\alpha}} dt + \int_{n^\alpha}^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{t^2}{\pi n^\alpha}} dt \right)

\leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi} dn^\alpha} + de^{-\frac{1}{4\pi^2}},

and

|\Lambda_4| := \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi} dn^\alpha} \int_{nx-[nx]}^{nx-\lceil -n^2-n^\alpha \rceil} e^{-\frac{t^2}{\pi n^\alpha}} dt - \int_{0}^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{t^2}{\pi n^\alpha}} dt \right|

\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi} dn^\alpha} \left( \int_{0}^{1} e^{-\frac{t^2}{\pi n^\alpha}} dt + \int_{n^\alpha-1}^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{t^2}{\pi n^\alpha}} dt \right)

\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi} dn^\alpha} + de^{-\frac{1}{4\pi^2}}.

Thus, from the case 2) of Lemma 1 it follows that

\Delta_3 = \left| \sum_{k=[-n^2-n^\alpha]}^{[nx]-1} \frac{1}{n^\alpha} g_d \left( \frac{nx-k}{n^\alpha} \right) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{+\infty} e^{-t^2} dt \right|

\leq \max\{|\Lambda_3|, |\Lambda_4|\}

\leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi} dn^\alpha} + de^{-\frac{1}{4\pi^2}}.
Hence,
\[ I_2 \leq \frac{6}{\sqrt{\pi d n}} + 2d e^{-\frac{d}{4\pi}}. \]

Therefore,
\[ |G_{n,d}^1(f, x) - f(x)| \leq \omega \left( f; \frac{1}{n^{1-\alpha}} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi d n}} \right) \\
+ 6\|f\|_{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi d n}} + de^{-\frac{1}{4\pi}} \right). \]

If we choose \( d \) and \( n \) such that \( n^{\frac{2}{\alpha}} d = 1 \), then
\[ |G_{n,d}^1(f, x) - f(x)| \leq 2\omega \left( f; \frac{1}{n^{1-\alpha}} \right) + 6\|f\|_{\infty} (n^{-\frac{2}{\alpha}} + d) \\
= 2\omega \left( f; \frac{1}{n^{1-\alpha}} \right) + 12d\|f\|_{\infty}. \]

Remark 1. For given \( n \), we choose \( f(x) = 1 \). Then, when \(|x| > n + \frac{n^{\frac{2}{\alpha}} + 1}{n}\), we have
\[
\sum_{k=\left[-n^{2-\alpha}\right]}^{[n^{2}+n^{\alpha}]} \frac{f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)}{n^{\alpha}} g_d \left( \frac{nx - k}{n^{\alpha}} \right) - f(x) = \sum_{k=\left[-n^{2-\alpha}\right]}^{[n^{2}+n^{\alpha}]} \frac{1}{n^{\alpha}} g_d \left( \frac{nx - k}{n^{\alpha}} \right) - 1,
\]
and
\[
\sum_{k=\left[-n^{2-\alpha}\right]}^{[n^{2}+n^{\alpha}]} \frac{1}{n^{\alpha}} g_d \left( \frac{nx - k}{n^{\alpha}} \right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi d n}} \sum_{k=\left[-n^{2-\alpha}\right]}^{[n^{2}+n^{\alpha}]} e^{-\frac{(nx - k)^2}{d^2 n^{2\alpha}}} \\
\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi d n}} \int_{0}^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{t^2}{2\pi n^{2\alpha}}} dt = \frac{1}{2}.
\]
Thus
\[
\left| \sum_{k=\left[-n^{2-\alpha}\right]}^{[n^{2}+n^{\alpha}]} \frac{1}{n^{\alpha}} g_d \left( \frac{nx - k}{n^{\alpha}} \right) - 1 \right| \geq \frac{1}{2}.
\]
This shows that when \(|x| > n + \frac{n^{\frac{2}{\alpha}} + 1}{n}\), \( G_{n,d}^1(f, x) - f(x) \) has no the convergent degree in general.

Remark 2. If \( f \in C(\mathbb{R}) \), then for \( x \in \mathbb{R} \)
\[ G_{n,d}^1(f, x) \to f(x), \quad \text{as } n \to \infty \text{ and } d \to 0 \text{ (but } n^2 d \to \infty), \]
and the above convergence is pointwise.
Remark 3. From Theorem 1 and Remark 1, we know that an essential change occurs to the error of \( G_{n,d}(f,x) - f(x) \) on \( n < |x| < n + \frac{a+1}{n} \). However, if we construct following operators \( G_{n,d}(f,x) \) defined by

\[
G_{n,d}(f,x) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{f\left( \frac{k}{n^{\alpha}} \right)}{n^{\alpha}} g_d \left( \frac{n \alpha - k}{n^{\alpha}} \right),
\]

then the error of \( G_{n,d}(f,x) - f(x) \) can be estimated. In fact, since

\[
|G_{n,d}(f,x) - f(x)| = \left| \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{f\left( \frac{k}{n^{\alpha}} \right)}{n^{\alpha}} g_d \left( \frac{n \alpha - k}{n^{\alpha}} \right) \right|
\]

\[
\leq \omega\left( f; \frac{1}{n^{1-\alpha}} \right) \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\alpha}} g_d \left( \frac{n \alpha - k}{n^{\alpha}} \right)
\]

\[
+ 2\|f\|_{\infty} \sum_{|n \alpha - k| > n^{\alpha}} \frac{1}{n^{\alpha}} g_d \left( \frac{n \alpha - k}{n^{\alpha}} \right)
\]

\[
+ \|f\|_{\infty} \left| \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\alpha}} g_d \left( \frac{n \alpha - k}{n^{\alpha}} \right) - 1 \right|
\]

\[
\leq \omega\left( f; \frac{1}{n^{1-\alpha}} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi d n^{\alpha}}} \right) + 2d\|f\|_{\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{4d^2}} + \|f\|_{\infty} I_3,
\]

and

\[
I_3 \leq \frac{6}{\sqrt{\pi d n^{\alpha}}}.
\]

we have

\[
|G_{n,d}(f,x) - f(x)| \leq 2\omega\left( f; \frac{1}{n^{1-\alpha}} \right) + 8d\|f\|_{\infty},
\]

provided \( n^2 d = 1 \).

3. Approximation on compact sets of \( \mathbb{R}^2 \)

Write

\[
G_d(t_1,t_2) = g_d(t_1) g_d(t_2).
\]

For \( f(x_1,x_2) \in C([-1,1]^2) \), we construct operators

\[
G_{n,d}(f;x_1, x_2) = \sum_{k_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{k_2=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{f(\frac{k_1}{n^{\alpha}}, \frac{k_2}{n^{\alpha}})}{n^{2\alpha}} G_d \left( \frac{n \alpha - k_1}{n^{\alpha}}, \frac{n \alpha - k_2}{n^{\alpha}} \right).
\]

To estimate the error \( G_{n,d}(f;x_1, x_2) - f(x_1, x_2) \), we need the following lemma.
Lemma 2. For $G_d$ given by (8), we have

1) \[
\int_{[-n-n^\alpha]-1}^{[nx_1]-1} \int_{[-n-n^\alpha]-1}^{[nx_2]-1} G_d \left( \frac{nx_1 - t_1}{n^\alpha}, \frac{nx_2 - t_2}{n^\alpha} \right) dt_1 dt_2 \\
\leq \sum_{k_1=[-n-n^\alpha]}^{[nx_1]-1} \sum_{k_2=[-n-n^\alpha]}^{[nx_2]-1} G_d \left( \frac{nx_1 - k_1}{n^\alpha}, \frac{nx_2 - k_2}{n^\alpha} \right) \\
\leq \int_{[-n-n^\alpha]}^{[nx_1]} \int_{[-n-n^\alpha]}^{[nx_2]} G_d \left( \frac{nx_1 - t_1}{n^\alpha}, \frac{nx_2 - t_2}{n^\alpha} \right) dt_1 dt_2;
\]

2) \[
\int_{[-n-n^\alpha]-1}^{[nx_1]-1} \int_{[nx_2]+1}^{[n+n^\alpha]+1} G_d \left( \frac{nx_1 - t_1}{n^\alpha}, \frac{nx_2 - t_2}{n^\alpha} \right) dt_1 dt_2 \\
\leq \sum_{k_1=[-n-n^\alpha]}^{[nx_1]-1} \sum_{k_4=[nx_2]+1}^{[n+n^\alpha]} G_d \left( \frac{nx_1 - k_1}{n^\alpha}, \frac{nx_2 - k_2}{n^\alpha} \right) \\
\leq \int_{[-n-n^\alpha]}^{[nx_1]} \int_{[nx_2]}^{[n+n^\alpha]} G_d \left( \frac{nx_1 - t_1}{n^\alpha}, \frac{nx_2 - t_2}{n^\alpha} \right) dt_1 dt_2;
\]

3) \[
\int_{[nx_1]+1}^{[n+n^\alpha]+1} \int_{[-n-n^\alpha]-1}^{[nx_2]-1} G_d \left( \frac{nx_1 - t_1}{n^\alpha}, \frac{nx_2 - t_2}{n^\alpha} \right) dt_1 dt_2 \\
\leq \sum_{k_1=[nx_1]+1}^{[n+n^\alpha]} \sum_{k_2=[-n-n^\alpha]}^{[nx_2]-1} G_d \left( \frac{nx_1 - k_1}{n^\alpha}, \frac{nx_2 - k_2}{n^\alpha} \right) \\
\leq \int_{[nx_1]}^{[n+n^\alpha]} \int_{[nx_2]}^{[-n-n^\alpha]} G_d \left( \frac{nx_1 - t_1}{n^\alpha}, \frac{nx_2 - t_2}{n^\alpha} \right) dt_1 dt_2;
\]

4) \[
\int_{[nx_1]+1}^{[n+n^\alpha]+1} \int_{[nx_2]+1}^{[n+n^\alpha]+1} G_d \left( \frac{nx_1 - t_1}{n^\alpha}, \frac{nx_2 - t_2}{n^\alpha} \right) dt_1 dt_2 \\
\leq \sum_{k_1=[nx_1]+1}^{[n+n^\alpha]} \sum_{k_2=[nx_2]+1}^{[n+n^\alpha]} G_d \left( \frac{nx_1 - k_1}{n^\alpha}, \frac{nx_2 - k_2}{n^\alpha} \right) \\
\leq \int_{[nx_1]}^{[n+n^\alpha]} \int_{[nx_2]}^{[n+n^\alpha]} G_d \left( \frac{nx_1 - t_1}{n^\alpha}, \frac{nx_2 - t_2}{n^\alpha} \right) dt_1 dt_2.
\]

Proof. Noting that when $0 \leq t_i \leq t_i' (i = 1, 2)$, $G_d \left( t_1, t_2 \right) \leq G_d \left( t_1', t_2' \right)$, and using the way of proving the case 2) of Lemma 1, we can finish the proof of case 1).
Let it be not difficult to see that

$$\parallel \text{Theorem 2.} \parallel$$

Now we give the main result of this section. The proof of case 4) is easy if we note that when $t_i \leq t'_i \leq 0 \ (i = 1, 2), \ G_d (t_1, t_2) \leq G_d (t'_1, t'_2)$. We omit the details.

Now we give the main result of this section.

**Theorem 2.** Let $f \in C([-1, 1]^2)$, and $0 < \alpha < 1$. Then for $n^\alpha > 2$, we have

$$|G_{n, d}^4 (f; x_1, x_2) - f (x_1, x_2)| \leq \omega (f; \frac{2}{n^{1-\alpha}}, \frac{2}{n^{1-\alpha}}) \left(1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi} n \alpha}\right)^2 + 4 \|f\|_\infty \left(\frac{3}{2} \text{d} e^{-\frac{1}{4\sqrt{\pi}} d} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi} n \alpha}\right)^2 + \frac{d}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{4\sqrt{\pi}} d},$$

where $\|f\|_\infty = \sup_{(x_1, x_2) \in [-1, 1]^2} |f(x_1, x_2)|$.

**Proof.** It is not difficult to see that

$$G_{n, d} (f; x_1, x_2) - f (x_1, x_2)$$

$$= \sum_{k_1 = [-n-n^\alpha]}^{[n+n^\alpha]} \sum_{k_2 = [-n-n^\alpha]}^{[n+n^\alpha]} f (k_1, k_2) \frac{k_1}{n^{2\alpha}} - f (x_1, x_2) G_d \left(\frac{n x_1 - k_1}{n^\alpha}, \frac{n x_2 - k_2}{n^\alpha}\right)$$

$$+ f (x_1, x_2) \left(\sum_{k_1 = [-n-n^\alpha]}^{[n+n^\alpha]} \sum_{k_2 = [-n-n^\alpha]}^{[n+n^\alpha]} G_d \left(\frac{n x_1 - k_2}{n^\alpha}, \frac{n x_2 - k_2}{n^\alpha}\right) - 1\right)$$

$$=: I_4 + I_5.$$
Write

\[ I_4 = \left[ \sum_{|nx_1 - k_1| \leq n^\alpha} \sum_{|nx_2 - k_2| \leq n^\alpha} + \sum_{|nx_1 - k_1| > n^\alpha} \sum_{|nx_2 - k_2| \leq n^\alpha} \right] \]

\[ + \left[ \sum_{|nx_1 - k_1| \leq n^\alpha} \sum_{|nx_2 - k_2| > n^\alpha} + \sum_{|nx_1 - k_1| > n^\alpha} \sum_{|nx_2 - k_2| > n^\alpha} \right] \]

\[ \frac{f\left( \frac{k_1}{n^\alpha}, \frac{k_2}{n^\alpha} \right) - f(x_1, x_2)}{n^{2\alpha}} G_d \left( \frac{nx_1 - k_1}{n^\alpha}, \frac{nx_2 - k_2}{n^\alpha} \right) \]

\[ =: I_{41} + I_{42} + I_{43} + I_{44}. \]

From \(-1 \leq x_i \leq 1\) and \(|nx_i - k_i| \leq n^\alpha (i = 1, 2)\), we get

\[ \left| \frac{k_i}{n + n^\alpha} - x_i \right| \leq \frac{2n^\alpha}{n + n^\alpha} \leq \frac{2}{n^{1-\alpha}}, \]

which implies

\[ |I_{41}| \leq \omega \left( f; \frac{2}{n^{1-\alpha}}, \frac{2}{n^{1-\alpha}} \right) \sum_{|nx_1 - k_1| \leq n^\alpha} \sum_{|nx_2 - k_2| \leq n^\alpha} \frac{1}{n^{2\alpha}} G_d \left( \frac{nx_1 - k_1}{n^\alpha}, \frac{nx_2 - k_2}{n^\alpha} \right) \]

\[ = \omega \left( f; \frac{2}{n^{1-\alpha}}, \frac{2}{n^{1-\alpha}} \right) \left( \sum_{|nx - k| \leq n^\alpha} \frac{1}{n^\alpha} g_d \left( \frac{nx - k}{n^\alpha} \right) \right)^2 \]

\[ \leq \omega \left( f; \frac{2}{n^{1-\alpha}}, \frac{2}{n^{1-\alpha}} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi d n^\alpha}} \right)^2, \]

\[ |I_{42}| \leq 2\|f\|_\infty \sum_{|nx_1 - k_1| > n^\alpha} \frac{1}{n^\alpha} g_d \left( \frac{nx_1 - k_1}{n^\alpha} \right) \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^\alpha} g_d \left( \frac{nx - k}{n^\alpha} \right) \]

\[ \leq 2d\|f\|_\infty e^{-\frac{d}{2\pi}} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi d n^\alpha}} \right), \]

\[ |I_{43}| \leq 2d\|f\|_\infty e^{-\frac{d}{2\pi}} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi d n^\alpha}} \right), \]

and

\[ |I_{44}| \leq 2d\|f\|_\infty e^{-\frac{d}{2\pi}} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi d n^\alpha}} \right), \]

where we have used the condition \(n^\alpha > 2\) to estimate \(\sum_{|nx - k| > n^\alpha} \frac{1}{n^\alpha} g_d \left( \frac{nx - k}{n^\alpha} \right)\).

Therefore,

\[ |I_4| \leq \left( \omega(f; \frac{2}{n^{1-\alpha}}, \frac{2}{n^{1-\alpha}}) + 6d\|f\|_\infty e^{-\frac{d}{2\pi}} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi d n^\alpha}} \right)^2. \]
On the other hand,

$$|I_5| \leq \|f\|_\infty \left| \sum_{k_1=-n^{-\alpha}}^{n^{-\alpha}} \sum_{k_2=-n^{-\alpha}}^{n^{-\alpha}} G_d \left( \frac{n^{-\alpha}_1-k_1}{n^\alpha}, \frac{n^{-\alpha}_2-k_2}{n^\alpha} \right) - 1 \right| =: \|f\|_\infty \Delta_5.$$ 

Set

$$D := \sum_{k_1=-n^{-\alpha}}^{n^{-\alpha}} \sum_{k_2=-n^{-\alpha}}^{n^{-\alpha}} + \sum_{n+n^\alpha}^{n+n^\alpha} \left( \sum_{n+n^\alpha}^{n+n^\alpha} \sum_{n+n^\alpha}^{n+n^\alpha} \sum_{k_1=-n^{-\alpha}}^{n^{-\alpha}} \sum_{k_2=-n^{-\alpha}}^{n^{-\alpha}} + \sum_{n+n^\alpha}^{n+n^\alpha} \sum_{n+n^\alpha}^{n+n^\alpha} \sum_{n+n^\alpha}^{n+n^\alpha} \sum_{k_1=-n^{-\alpha}}^{n^{-\alpha}} \sum_{k_2=-n^{-\alpha}}^{n^{-\alpha}} \right).$$

Then

$$\Delta_5 \leq \left( D G_d \left( \frac{n^{-\alpha}_1-k_1}{n^\alpha}, \frac{n^{-\alpha}_2-k_2}{n^\alpha} \right) - 1 \right) + \frac{g_d \left( \frac{n^{-\alpha}_1-n^{-\alpha}_1}{n^\alpha} \right) \sum_{k_2=-n^{-\alpha}}^{n^{-\alpha}} g_d \left( \frac{n^{-\alpha}_2-k_2}{n^\alpha} \right)}{n^\alpha} + \frac{g_d \left( \frac{n^{-\alpha}_2-n^{-\alpha}_2}{n^\alpha} \right) \sum_{n+n^\alpha}^{n+n^\alpha} g_d \left( \frac{n^{-\alpha}_2-k_2}{n^\alpha} \right)}{n^\alpha} + \frac{g_d \left( \frac{n^{-\alpha}_2-n^{-\alpha}_2}{n^\alpha} \right) \sum_{n+n^\alpha}^{n+n^\alpha} g_d \left( \frac{n^{-\alpha}_2-k_2}{n^\alpha} \right)}{n^\alpha} \leq \left( D G_d \left( \frac{n^{-\alpha}_1-k_1}{n^\alpha}, \frac{n^{-\alpha}_2-k_2}{n^\alpha} \right) - 1 \right) + \frac{4}{\sqrt{\pi} n^\alpha} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi} n^\alpha} \right).$$

From the case 1) of Lemma 2 it follows that

$$\Omega_L := \int_{-n^{-\alpha}}^{n^{-\alpha}} \int_{-n^{-\alpha}}^{n^{-\alpha}} \frac{1}{2\alpha \gamma^\alpha} G_d \left( \frac{n^{-\alpha}_1-t_1}{n^\alpha}, \frac{n^{-\alpha}_2-t_2}{n^\alpha} \right) dt_1 dt_2 \leq \sum_{k_1=-n^{-\alpha}}^{n^{-\alpha}} \sum_{k_2=-n^{-\alpha}}^{n^{-\alpha}} \frac{1}{2\alpha \gamma^\alpha} G_d \left( \frac{n^{-\alpha}_1-k_1}{n^\alpha}, \frac{n^{-\alpha}_2-k_2}{n^\alpha} \right) \leq \int_{-n^{-\alpha}}^{n^{-\alpha}} \int_{-n^{-\alpha}}^{n^{-\alpha}} \frac{1}{2\alpha \gamma^\alpha} G_d \left( \frac{n^{-\alpha}_1-t_1}{n^\alpha}, \frac{n^{-\alpha}_2-t_2}{n^\alpha} \right) dt_1 dt_2 =: \Omega_R.$$

Since

$$0 \leq \frac{n^{-\alpha}_i - [n^{-\alpha}_i]}{n^\alpha} \leq \frac{1}{n^\alpha}, \quad \frac{n^{-\alpha}_i - [-n^{-\alpha}]}{n^\alpha} > 1 - \frac{1}{n^\alpha}, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

$$\frac{1}{n^\alpha} \leq \frac{n^{-\alpha}_i - [n^{-\alpha}_i] + 1}{n^\alpha} \leq \frac{2}{n^\alpha}, \quad \frac{n^{-\alpha}_i - [-n^{-\alpha}]}{n^\alpha} + 1 > 1, \quad i = 1, 2,$$
Thus and we obtain

\[ \xi_k \leq L := \max \{ \int_0^\infty g_d(t_1) dt_1 \int_0^\infty g_d(t_2) dt_2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \int_0^\infty e^{-x^2} dx, \int_0^\infty e^{-x^2} dx < \min \left( \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} e^{-a^2}, \frac{1}{2a} e^{-a^2} \right), \ a > 0, \]

we obtain

\[ \Xi_L := \left| \Omega_L - \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty g_d(t_1) g_d(t_2) dt_1 dt_2 \right| = \left| \left( \int_{n x_1 - [n x_1]}^{n x_1 - [n x_1] + 1} \int_{n x_2 - [n x_2]}^{n x_2 - [n x_2] + 1} - \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \right) g_d(t_1) g_d(t_2) dt_1 dt_2 \right| \leq \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty g_d(t_1) dt_1 \int_0^\infty g_d(t_2) dt_2 + \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty g_d(t_1) dt_1 \int_0^\infty g_d(t_2) dt_2 + \int_1^\infty \int_1^\infty g_d(t_1) dt_1 \int_1^\infty g_d(t_2) dt_2 \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi} d t_n} + \frac{d}{2\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{4\pi}}, \]

and

\[ \Xi_R := \left| \Omega_R - \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty g_d(t_1) g_d(t_2) dt_1 dt_2 \right| = \left| \left( \int_{n x_1 - [n x_1]}^{n x_1 - [n x_1] + 1} \int_{n x_2 - [n x_2]}^{n x_2 - [n x_2] + 1} - \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \right) g_d(t_1) g_d(t_2) dt_1 dt_2 \right| \leq \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty g_d(t_1) dt_1 \int_0^\infty g_d(t_2) dt_2 + \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty g_d(t_1) dt_1 \int_0^\infty g_d(t_2) dt_2 + \int_{1-\frac{1}{2\pi}}^\infty \int_{1-\frac{1}{2\pi}}^\infty g_d(t_1) dt_1 \int_{1-\frac{1}{2\pi}}^\infty g_d(t_2) dt_2 \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi} d t_n} + \frac{d}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{4\pi}}. \]

Thus

\[ \left| \sum_{k_1 = \lfloor -n - n \rfloor}^{\lfloor n x_1 \rfloor - 1} \sum_{k_2 = \lfloor -n - n \rfloor}^{\lfloor n x_2 \rfloor - 1} G_d \left( \frac{n x_1 - k_1}{n^2 a}, \frac{n x_2 - k_2}{n^2 a} \right) - \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty g_d(t_1) g_d(t_2) dt_1 dt_2 \right| \leq \max \{ \Xi_L, \Xi_R \} \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi} d t_n} + \frac{d}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{4\pi}}. \]
We use the cases 2), 3) and 4) of Lemma 2 and obtain in a similar way that

\[
\left| \sum_{k_1 = [-n-n^n]}^{[n+n^n]} \sum_{k_2 = [nx_2]+1}^{[n+n^n]} G_d \left( \frac{n x_1 - k_1}{n^{2\alpha}}, \frac{n x_2 - k_2}{n^{2\alpha}} \right) \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^0 g_d (t_1) g_d (t_2) dt_1 dt_2 \right| \\
\leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi} d n^{\alpha}} + \frac{d}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{4d^2}}.
\]

\[
\left| \sum_{k_1 = [nx_1]+1}^{[n+n^n]} \sum_{k_2 = [-n-n^n]}^{[n+n^n]} G_d \left( \frac{n x_1 - k_1}{n^{2\alpha}}, \frac{n x_2 - k_2}{n^{2\alpha}} \right) \int_{-\infty}^0 \int_0^{+\infty} g_d (t_1) g_d (t_2) dt_1 dt_2 \right| \\
\leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi} d n^{\alpha}} + \frac{d}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{4d^2}}.
\]

and

\[
\left| \sum_{k_1 = [nx_1]+1}^{[n+n^n]} \sum_{k_2 = [nx_2]+1}^{[n+n^n]} G_d \left( \frac{n x_1 - k_1}{n^{2\alpha}}, \frac{n x_2 - k_2}{n^{2\alpha}} \right) \int_{-\infty}^{-\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} g_d (t_1) g_d (t_2) dt_1 dt_2 \right| \\
\leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi} d n^{\alpha}} + \frac{d}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{4d^2}}.
\]

These imply that

\[
\left| D G_d \left( \frac{n x_1 - k_1}{n^{2\alpha}}, \frac{n x_2 - k_2}{n^{2\alpha}} \right) \right| \\
\leq \frac{8}{\sqrt{\pi} d n^{\alpha}} + \frac{4d}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{4d^2}}.
\]

Therefore, we have

\[
| G_{n,d}^3 (f; x_1, x_2) - f (x_1, x_2) | \\
\leq \omega \left( f; \frac{2}{n^{1-\alpha}}, \frac{2}{n^{1-\alpha}} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi} d n^{\alpha}} \right)^2 \\
+ 6d \| f \|_{\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{4d^2}} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi} d n^{\alpha}} \right)^2 \\
+ \| f \|_{\infty} \left( \frac{4}{\sqrt{\pi} d n^{\alpha}} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi} d n^{\alpha}} \right) + \frac{8}{\sqrt{\pi} d n^{\alpha}} + \frac{4d}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{4d^2}} \right) \\
\leq \omega \left( f; \frac{2}{n^{1-\alpha}}, \frac{2}{n^{1-\alpha}} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi} d n^{\alpha}} \right)^2 \\
+ 4d \| f \|_{\infty} \left( \frac{3}{2} d e^{-\frac{1}{4d^2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi} d n^{\alpha}} \left( 3 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi} d n^{\alpha}} \right)^2 + \frac{d}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{4d^2}} \right).
\]

If we choose \( d \) and \( n \) such that \( n^2 d = 1 \), then

\[
| G_{n,d}^3 (f; x_1, x_2) - f (x_1, x_2) | \leq 4 \omega \left( f; \frac{2}{n^{1-\alpha}}, \frac{2}{n^{1-\alpha}} \right) + 164d \| f \|_{\infty}.
\]
4. Numerical experiments and discussions

In this section, we will give some numerical experiments and discussions.

Example 1. We take the target function $f_1(x) = |1 - 2x|$, and consider the approximation network $G_{n,d}^1(f_1, x)$ defined in Section 2. Taking $n = 5$ and $\alpha = 0.5$, Figure 1 shows the approximation effect with different values of $d$ ($d = 1.5$ and $d = 0.5$, respectively).

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure1.png}
\caption{Approximation effect of $G_{n,d}^1(f_1, x)$ with different values of $d$}
\end{figure}

We denote the approximation error by $\text{error}_1 = \max_{x \in [-n, n]} |f_1(x) - G_{n,d}^1(f_1, x)|$. In Table 1, with $d = 0.35$ and $\alpha = 0.5$, we give the approximation error for $f_1$ with different values of $n$. If we fix $n = 5$ and $\alpha = 0.5$, the approximation error with different values of $d$ is shown in Table 2. It can be verified that $f_1 \in \text{Lip}_2$ and $\|f_1\|_\infty = 11$, so we have $\omega(f_1; \frac{1}{n^{1-\alpha}}) \leq \frac{2}{n^{1-\alpha}}$. We then denote the control error (see the right side of the result in Theorem 1) by

$$
\text{con(error}_1) = \frac{2}{n^{1-\alpha}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}dn^{\alpha}}\right) + 66 \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}dn^{\alpha}} + de^{-\frac{1}{4d^2}}\right).
$$

For $d = 0.35$ and $\alpha = 0.5$, Figure 2 (a) shows that the approximation error decreases as $n$ increases. If we fix $n = 5$ and $\alpha = 0.5$, we can see the changes of the approximation error with different values of $d$ in Figure 2 (b), where the error is smallest when $d$ is close to $0.35$ (with $n$ and $\alpha$ fixed). In Figure 3, we show the change of control error about different values of $n$ and $d$, where the trend is the same as in Figure 2, so that the error estimate in Theorem 1 is reasonable and effective.

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
$n$ & 5 & 10 & 25 & 50 & 100 & 200 \\
\hline
\text{error}_1 & 0.2137 & 0.1061 & 0.0813 & 0.0543 & 0.0390 & 0.0277 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Approximation error for $f_1$ with different values of $n$}
\end{table}
Table 2: Approximation error for $f_1$ with different values of $d$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$d$</th>
<th>0.25</th>
<th>0.35</th>
<th>0.4</th>
<th>0.45</th>
<th>0.5</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>error1</td>
<td>1.0069</td>
<td>0.2137</td>
<td>0.2306</td>
<td>0.2505</td>
<td>0.2722</td>
<td>0.6632</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2: Approximation error of $G_{n,d}^1(f_1, x)$ about different values of $n$ and $d$

Figure 3: Control error of $G_{n,d}^1(f_1, x)$ about different values of $n$ and $d$

Example 2. For the case of approximation on compact sets of $\mathbb{R}^2$. We take the target function $f_2(x_1, x_2) = |\sin(\pi x_1) \cos(\pi x_2)|$, $x_1, x_2 \in [-1, 1]$, and consider the approximation network $G_{n,d}^3(f; x_1, x_2)$ defined in Section 3. With $d = 0.35$ and $\alpha = 0.5$, Figure 4 shows the approximation effect with different values of $n$. We denote the approximation error of $f_2$ by

$$\text{error}_2 = \max_{x_1, x_2 \in [-1, 1]} |f_2(x_1, x_2) - G_{n,d}^3(f_2; x_1, x_2)|.$$  

In Table 3, with $d = 0.35$ and $\alpha = 0.5$, we give the approximation error for $f_2$ with different values of $n$. If we fix $n = 5$ and $\alpha = 0.5$, the approximation error with different values of $d$ is shown in Table 4.
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Figure 4: Approximation effect of $G^3_{n,d}(f; x_1, x_2)$ with different values of $n$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>25</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>100</th>
<th>200</th>
<th>500</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>error</td>
<td>0.7892</td>
<td>0.4917</td>
<td>0.3760</td>
<td>0.2803</td>
<td>0.2054</td>
<td>0.1339</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Approximation error for $f_2$ with different values of $n$, $d = 0.35$, $\alpha = 0.5$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$d$</th>
<th>0.25</th>
<th>0.35</th>
<th>0.4</th>
<th>0.6</th>
<th>0.8</th>
<th>1.5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>error</td>
<td>0.9696</td>
<td>0.7892</td>
<td>0.7673</td>
<td>0.6975</td>
<td>0.6184</td>
<td>0.6664</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Approximation error for $f_2$ with different values of $d$, $n = 5$, $\alpha = 0.5$

It can be verified that $f_2 \in \text{Lip}_2 \mathbb{P}$ and $\|f_2\|_\infty = 1$, so we have

$$\omega(f_2; \frac{2}{n^{1-\alpha}}, \frac{2}{n^{1-\alpha}}) \leq \frac{8\pi}{n^{1-\alpha}}.$$  

We then denote the corresponding control error for $f_2$ (see the right-hand side of the
result in Theorem 2) by
\[
\text{con}(\text{error}2) = \frac{8\pi}{n^{1-\alpha}} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi dn^\alpha}} \right)^2 + 4 \left( \frac{3}{2} d e^{-\frac{1}{4d^2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi dn^\alpha}} \right) \left( 3 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi dn^\alpha}} \right)^2 + \frac{d}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{4d^2}}.
\]

For \( d = 0.35 \) and \( \alpha = 0.5 \), Figure 5 (a) shows that the approximation error decreases as \( n \) increases. If we fix \( n = 5 \) and \( \alpha = 0.5 \), we can see the changes of the approximation error with different values of \( d \) in Figure 5 (b). In Figure 6, we show the change of control error with different values of \( n \) and \( d \), where the trend is the same as in Figure 5, so that the error estimate in Theorem 2 is reasonable and effective.
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(a) : \( d = 0.35, \alpha = 0.5 \)
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(b) : \( n = 5, \alpha = 0.5 \)

Figure 5: Approximation error for \( f_2 \) with different values of \( n \) and \( d \)
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(a) : \( d = 0.35, \alpha = 0.5 \)
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(b) : \( n = 5, \alpha = 0.5 \)

Figure 6: Control error for \( f_2 \) with different values of \( n \) and \( d \)

**Remark 4.** It is easy to see that we can obtain corresponding results by replacing \( G \) given by (7) with \( \phi \) defined by (5).
Remark 5. For $s > 2$, we can construct network operators similarly to approximate functions $f \in C([-1,1]^s)$ with activation function
\[
G(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\|x\|^2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-x_1^2} \cdots \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-x_s^2}, \quad x = (x_1, \ldots, x_s) \in \mathbb{R}^s.
\]

Remark 6. For a general centered bell-shaped activation function we can construct network operators and obtain the error estimates, provided that the decay rate of activation function $\sigma(x)$ is given when $|x| \to \infty$.

Remark 7. For general centered bell-shaped activation functions we can extend to more than 2 dimensions.

Remark 8. Network operators constructed in the paper are the form of feed-forward neural networks with a single hidden layer. Also, they are quasi-interpolation operators. However, they are not quasi-interpolation with polynomial reproduction. Because the coefficients of network operators are related with the target function, the operators include the information of the target function. Therefore, compared to the existing algorithms, such as interpolation operators, we do not need to solve the linear system to obtain the coefficients, which results in lower computational complexity and good convergence. The given numerical results also agree with the rate claimed in theorems.
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