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#### Abstract

Some conversions of the Jensen-Steffensen inequality for convex functions are considered. Applying exp-convex method improvements and reverses of the Slater-Pečarić inequality are obtained. Related Cauchy's type means are defined and some basic properties are given.


## 1. Introduction

A function $\varphi:(a, b) \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex if

$$
\varphi(\lambda x+(1-\lambda) y) \leq \lambda \varphi(x)+(1-\lambda) \varphi(y)
$$

holds for all $x, y \in(a, b)$ and $\lambda \in[0,1]$.
It is well known that for a convex function $\varphi:(a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, any monotonic $n$-tuple $\boldsymbol{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in(a, b)^{n}$ and a real $n$-tuple $\boldsymbol{a}=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ that satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq A_{j}=\sum_{i=1}^{j} a_{i} \leq A_{n}, \quad j=1, \ldots, n, \quad A_{n}>0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

the Jensen-Steffensen inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi\left(\frac{1}{A_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} x_{i}\right) \leq \frac{1}{A_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \varphi\left(x_{i}\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds (see [9]).
The next integral variant of the Jensen-Steffensen inequality is proved by R. P. Boas [5].

Theorem 1. Let $\varphi:(a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function. Let $f:[\alpha, \beta] \rightarrow$ $(a, b)$ be continuous and monotonic, where $-\infty \leq a<b \leq+\infty$ and

[^0]$-\infty<\alpha<\beta<+\infty$, and $\lambda:[\alpha, \beta] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be either continuous or of bounded variation satisfying
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda(\alpha) \leq \lambda(x) \leq \lambda(\beta) \quad \text { for all } \quad x \in[\alpha, \beta], \quad \lambda(\beta)-\lambda(\alpha)>0 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi\left(\frac{\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} f(t) \mathrm{d} \lambda(t)}{\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \mathrm{d} \lambda(t)}\right) \leq \frac{\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \varphi(f(t)) \mathrm{d} \lambda(t)}{\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \mathrm{d} \lambda(t)} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

One important property of a convex function $\varphi:(a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is existence of the left and the right derivatives on $(a, b)$, i.e for each $x \in(a, b)$ there exists $\varphi_{-}^{\prime}(x)$ and $\varphi_{+}^{\prime}(x)$ and it holds $\varphi_{-}^{\prime}(x) \leq \varphi_{+}^{\prime}(x)$. In following we denote with $\varphi^{\prime}(x)$ any value in the interval $\left[\varphi_{-}^{\prime}(x), \varphi_{+}^{\prime}(x)\right]$. If a function $\varphi$ is differentiable then $\varphi^{\prime}(x)=\varphi_{-}^{\prime}(x)=\varphi_{+}^{\prime}(x)$.
J. Pečarić [8] proved the following companion inequality to the JensenSteffensen inequality which we refer to as the Slater-Pečarić inequality. Some refinements of the Slater-Pečarić inequality (1.5) are proved in [1].
Theorem 2. Let $\varphi:(a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function. Let $\boldsymbol{x}=$ $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ be a monotonic $n$-tuple in $(a, b)^{n}$ and $\boldsymbol{a}=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ be a real $n$-tuple satisfying (1.1). If

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \varphi_{+}^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right) \neq 0 \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} x_{i} \varphi_{+}^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \varphi_{+}^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)} \in(a, b)
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{A_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \varphi\left(x_{i}\right) \leq \varphi\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} x_{i} \varphi_{+}^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \varphi_{+}^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)}\right) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The next companion inequality to the Jensen-Steffensen inequality is proved by N. Elezović and J. Pečarić [6].
Theorem 3. If $\varphi:(a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a convex function, $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ monotonic sequence in $(a, b)$ and $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ real numbers satisfying (1.1), then

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & \leq \frac{1}{A_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \varphi\left(x_{i}\right)-\varphi\left(\frac{1}{A_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} x_{i}\right)  \tag{1.6}\\
& \leq \frac{1}{A_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} x_{i} \varphi^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)-\left(\frac{1}{A_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} x_{i}\right)\left(\frac{1}{A_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \varphi^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

In following we denote $\bar{x}=\frac{1}{A_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} x_{i}$.
The inequalities (1.2), (1.5) and (1.6) can be obtained from the next more general result as special cases.

Theorem 4. [7, Theorem 1] Let $\varphi:(a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function and $a_{i} \in \mathbb{R}, i=1, \ldots, n$ be such that (1.1) holds. Then for any $x_{i} \in(a, b)$, $i=1, \ldots, n$, such that $x_{1} \leq x_{2} \leq \ldots \leq x_{n}$ or $x_{1} \geq x_{2} \geq \ldots \geq x_{n}$, the inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(c)+\varphi^{\prime}(c)(\bar{x}-c) \leq \frac{1}{A_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \varphi\left(x_{i}\right) \leq \varphi(d)+\frac{1}{A_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \varphi^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)\left(x_{i}-d\right) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

hold for all $c, d \in(a, b)$.
Remark 1. Choosing $c=\bar{x}$ the first inequality in (1.7) becomes (1.2). Choosing $d=\bar{x}$ the second inequality in (1.7) becomes (1.6). If we choose $d \in(a, b)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \varphi^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)\left(x_{i}-d\right)=0 \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

the second inequality in (1.7) becomes

$$
\frac{1}{A_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \varphi\left(x_{i}\right) \leq \varphi(d)
$$

Under the condition $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \varphi^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right) \neq 0$, the equality (1.8) is equivalent to

$$
d=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} x_{i} \varphi^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \varphi^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)} .
$$

Therefore, we get (1.5).
Here we also quote integral version of Theorem 4.
Theorem 5. [7, Theorem 5] Let $\varphi:(a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function. Let $f:[\alpha, \beta] \rightarrow(a, b)$ be a continuous and monotonic function, where $-\infty \leq a<b \leq+\infty$ and $-\infty<\alpha<\beta<+\infty$, and $\lambda:[\alpha, \beta] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be either continuous or of bounded variation satisfying (1.3). Then $\tilde{x}$ and $\tilde{y}$ given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{x}=\frac{1}{\lambda(\beta)-\lambda(\alpha)} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} f(t) \mathrm{d} \lambda(t), \\
& \tilde{y}=\frac{1}{\lambda(\beta)-\lambda(\alpha)} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \varphi(f(t)) \mathrm{d} \lambda(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

are well defined and $\tilde{x} \in(a, b)$. Furthermore, if $\varphi^{\prime}(f)$ and $\lambda$ have no common discontinuity points, then the inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(c)+\varphi^{\prime}(c)(\tilde{x}-c) \leq \tilde{y} \leq \varphi(d)+\frac{1}{\lambda(\beta)-\lambda(\alpha)} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \varphi^{\prime}(f(t))(f(t)-d) \mathrm{d} \lambda(t) \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

hold for each $c, d \in(a, b)$.
In following we denote $\bar{f}=\frac{1}{\lambda(\beta)-\lambda(\alpha)} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} f(t) \mathrm{d} \lambda(t)$.
In Section 2 we use so called exp-convex method established in [3], [4], which enables us to interpret our results in form of exponential or logarithmic convexity. Because of that we quote definitions of exponential and logarithmic convexity and some related propositions (see also [9]).

Definition 1. A function $\varphi:(a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be exponentially convex if it is continuous and

$$
\sum_{i, j=1}^{m} u_{i} u_{j} \varphi\left(x_{i}+x_{j}\right) \geq 0
$$

holds for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and all choices $u_{i} \in \mathbb{R}, i=1,2, \ldots, m$ and $x_{i} \in(a, b)$ such that $x_{i}+x_{j} \in(a, b), 1 \leq i, j \leq m$.

Definition 2. A function $\varphi:(a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$is said to be logarithmically convex or log-convex if the function $\log \varphi$ is convex, or equivalently, if

$$
\varphi((1-\lambda) x+\lambda y) \leq \varphi(x)^{1-\lambda} \varphi(y)^{\lambda}
$$

holds for all $x, y \in(a, b), \lambda \in[0,1]$.
Proposition 1. Let $\varphi:(a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function. The following propositions are equivalent:
(i) $\varphi$ is exponentially convex.
(ii) $\varphi$ is continuous and

$$
\sum_{i, j=1}^{m} u_{i} u_{j} \varphi\left(\frac{x_{i}+x_{j}}{2}\right) \geq 0
$$

holds for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and all choices $u_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$ and every $x_{i}, x_{j} \in$ $(a, b), 1 \leq i, j \leq m$.

Corollary 1. If $\varphi:(a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$is an exponentially convex function then $\varphi$ is also log-convex.

## 2. Applications of Exp-convex method

We define a new class of functionals which we use in sequel. In following we always suppose that $0<a<b$ and $-\infty<\alpha<\beta<+\infty$.

Let $\boldsymbol{x} \in[a, b]^{n}$ be a monotonic $n$-tuple, $\boldsymbol{a}$ be a real n-tuple satisfying (1.1) and $c, d \in[a, b]$. We define the functionals $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ on $C^{1}([a, b])$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{1}(\varphi)=\varphi(d)+\frac{1}{A_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}\left[\varphi^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)\left(x_{i}-d\right)-\varphi\left(x_{i}\right)\right]  \tag{2.1}\\
& A_{2}(\varphi)=\frac{1}{A_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \varphi\left(x_{i}\right)-\varphi(c)-\varphi^{\prime}(c)(\bar{x}-c)
\end{align*}
$$

We also define integral case of the previous functionals as follows.
Let $f:[\alpha, \beta] \rightarrow[a, b]$ be a continuous and monotonic function, $\lambda$ : $[\alpha, \beta] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be either continuous or of bounded variation satisfying (1.3) and $c, d \in[a, b]$. We define the functionals $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ on $C^{1}([a, b])$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
& B_{1}(\varphi)=\varphi(d)+\frac{1}{\lambda(\beta)-\lambda(\alpha)} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta}\left[\varphi^{\prime}(f(t))(f(t)-d)-\varphi(f(t))\right] \mathrm{d} \lambda(t)  \tag{2.2}\\
& B_{2}(\varphi)=\frac{1}{\lambda(\beta)-\lambda(\alpha)} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \varphi(f(t)) \mathrm{d} \lambda(t)-\varphi(c)-\varphi^{\prime}(c)(\bar{f}-c)
\end{align*}
$$

with assumption that $\varphi^{\prime}(f)$ and $\lambda$ have no common discontinuity points.
Remark 2. Notice that in case when $\varphi$ is convex, then by Theorem 4 it follows $A_{k}(\varphi) \geq 0$ and by Theorem 5 it follows $B_{k}(\varphi) \geq 0$ for each $k \in\{1,2\}$.

In sequel we frequently use the family of convex functions $\left\{\phi_{s} ; s \in \mathbb{R}\right\}$ defined by

$$
\phi_{s}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{x^{s}}{s(s-1)}, & s \neq 0,1  \tag{2.3}\\
-\log x, & s=0 \\
x \log x, & s=1
\end{array} .\right.
$$

Now we state and prove the next results.
Theorem 6. Let $\Gamma_{k}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the function defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{k}(s)=A_{k}\left(\phi_{s}\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{k}$ is defined as in (2.1) and $\phi_{s}$ as in (2.3). Then
(i) for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and all choices $p_{i} \in \mathbb{R}, 1 \leq i \leq m$, the matrix

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[\Gamma_{k}\left(\frac{p_{i}+p_{j}}{2}\right)\right]_{i, j=1}^{m} \text { is positive semi-definite, that is }} \\
\operatorname{det}\left[\Gamma_{k}\left(\frac{p_{i}+p_{j}}{2}\right)\right]_{i, j=1}^{m} \geq 0 \tag{2.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

(ii) the function $\Gamma_{k}$ is exponentially convex.
(iii) if in addition $\Gamma_{k}$ is positive, then $\Gamma_{k}$ is also log-convex. Therefore, for any $r, s, u \in \mathbb{R}$, such that $r<s<u$, the following is valid

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{k}(s)^{u-r} \leq \Gamma_{k}(r)^{u-s} \Gamma_{k}(u)^{s-r} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. (i) Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{s \rightarrow 0} \Gamma_{k}(s)=\lim _{s \rightarrow 0} A_{k}\left(\phi_{s}\right)=A_{k}\left(\phi_{0}\right)=\Gamma_{k}(0) \\
& \lim _{s \rightarrow 1} \Gamma_{k}(s)=\lim _{s \rightarrow 1} A_{k}\left(\phi_{s}\right)=A_{k}\left(\phi_{1}\right)=\Gamma_{k}(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

it follows that $\Gamma_{k}$ is continuous function.
Let $u_{i}, p_{i} \in \mathbb{R}, i=1, \ldots, m$, and $p_{i j}=\frac{p_{i}+p_{j}}{2}, 1 \leq i, j \leq m$.
We consider the function $f: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
f(x)=\sum_{i, j=1}^{m} u_{i} u_{j} \phi_{p_{i j}}(x)
$$

where $\phi_{p_{i j}}$ is defined as in (2.3).
Since $f$ is convex (see proof of [3, Theorem 3]), then applying Theorem 4 to $f$ we have that

$$
\sum_{i, j=1}^{m} u_{i} u_{j} \Gamma_{k}\left(\frac{p_{i}+p_{j}}{2}\right) \geq 0
$$

holds for all choices of $m \in \mathbb{N}, u_{i}, p_{i} \in \mathbb{R}, 1 \leq i \leq m$. Then the matrix $\left[\Gamma_{k}\left(p_{i j}\right)\right]_{i, j=1}^{m}$ is positive semi-definite, so the inequality (2.5) holds.
(ii) Since $\Gamma_{k}$ is also continuous, then by Proposition 1 it follows that $\Gamma_{k}$ is exponentially convex.
(iii) If $\Gamma_{k}$ is positive, then by Corollary 1 it follows that $\Gamma_{k}$ is log-convex. Therefore, for $r, s, u \in \mathbb{R}$, such that $r<s<u$, we have

$$
(u-s) \log \Gamma_{k}(r)+(r-u) \log \Gamma_{k}(s)+(s-r) \log \Gamma_{k}(u) \geq 0
$$

which is equivalent to (2.6).
On a similar way we can prove the next theorem.
Theorem 7. Let $\Lambda_{k}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the function defined by

$$
\Lambda_{k}(s)=B_{k}\left(\phi_{s}\right)
$$

where $B_{k}$ is defined as in (2.2) and $\phi_{s}$ as in (2.3). Then
(i) for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and all choices $p_{i} \in \mathbb{R}, 1 \leq i \leq m$, the matrix $\left[\Lambda_{k}\left(\frac{p_{i}+p_{j}}{2}\right)\right]_{i, j=1}^{m}$ is positive semi-definite, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}\left[\Lambda_{k}\left(\frac{p_{i}+p_{j}}{2}\right)\right]_{i, j=1}^{m} \geq 0 \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) the function $\Lambda_{k}$ is exponentially convex.
(iii) if in addition $\Lambda_{k}$ is positive, then $\Lambda_{k}$ is also log-convex. Therefore, for any $r, s, u \in \mathbb{R}$, such that $r<s<u$, the following is valid

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{k}(s)^{u-r} \leq \Lambda_{k}(r)^{u-s} \Lambda_{k}(u)^{s-r} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Mean value Theorems and Cauchy's mean

In this section we prove Lagrange's and Cauchy's type of Mean value theorem, in discrete and integral form, and introduce new means of Cauchy's type.

In following we use notation $e_{2}$ for quadratic function, i.e. $e_{2}(t)=t^{2}$, $t \in[a, b]$.

Theorem 8. Let $A_{k}$ be the functional defined by (2.1) and suppose $A_{k}\left(e_{2}\right) \neq 0$. If $\varphi \in C^{2}([a, b])$, then there exists $\xi_{k} \in[a, b]$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{k}(\varphi)=\frac{\varphi^{\prime \prime}\left(\xi_{k}\right)}{2} A_{k}\left(e_{2}\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since $\varphi \in C^{2}([a, b])$, then there exist $m=\min _{x \in[a, b]} \varphi^{\prime \prime}(x)$ and $M=$ $\max _{x \in[a, b]} \varphi^{\prime \prime}(x)$ such that $m \leq \varphi^{\prime \prime}(x) \leq M$ for each $x \in[a, b]$.
We define the functions $g_{1}=\frac{M}{2} e_{2}-\varphi$ and $g_{2}=\varphi-\frac{m}{2} e_{2}$.
Since $g_{1}^{\prime \prime}(x), g_{2}^{\prime \prime}(x) \geq 0$, the functions $g_{1}, g_{2}$ are convex and applying (1.7) we obtain

$$
\frac{M}{2} A_{k}\left(e_{2}\right)-A_{k}(\varphi) \geq 0 \quad \text { and } \quad 0 \leq A_{k}(\varphi)-\frac{m}{2} A_{k}\left(e_{2}\right)
$$

Combining the last two inequalities we have

$$
m \leq \frac{2 A_{k}(\varphi)}{A_{k}\left(e_{2}\right)} \leq M
$$

Now we conclude that there exists $\xi_{k} \in[a, b]$ such that (3.1) holds.
Theorem 9. Let $A_{k}$ be the functional defined by (2.1) and suppose $A_{k}\left(e_{2}\right) \neq 0$. If $\varphi, \psi \in C^{2}([a, b])$, then there exists $\xi_{k} \in[a, b]$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{k}(\psi) \varphi^{\prime \prime}\left(\xi_{k}\right)=A_{k}(\varphi) \psi^{\prime \prime}\left(\xi_{k}\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Applying (3.1) on the function $h_{k}=A_{k}(\psi) \varphi-A_{k}(\varphi) \psi$ we get required result.

Theorem 9 enables us to define new means. If we set $a=\min _{1 \leq k \leq n}\left\{x_{k}\right\}$ and $b=\max _{1 \leq k \leq n}\left\{x_{k}\right\}$ and if we choose $\varphi=\phi_{u}$ and $\psi=\phi_{v}$, where $u, v \in \mathbb{R}, u \neq v, u, v \neq 0,1$, providing that $A_{k}\left(\phi_{u}\right), A_{k}\left(\phi_{v}\right) \neq 0$, then from (3.2) we obtain

$$
A_{k}\left(\phi_{v}\right) \xi_{k}^{u-2}=A_{k}\left(\phi_{u}\right) \xi_{k}^{v-2},
$$

i.e.

$$
\xi_{k}=\left(\frac{A_{k}\left(\phi_{v}\right)}{A_{k}\left(\phi_{u}\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{v-u}} .
$$

Since $a \leq \xi_{k} \leq b$, this presents a new mean on segment $[a, b]$. We use notation

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{u, v}^{k}(\boldsymbol{x} ; \boldsymbol{a})=\left(\frac{A_{k}\left(\phi_{v}\right)}{A_{k}\left(\phi_{u}\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{v-u}} . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can extend these means to the excluded cases. For $k \in\{1,2\}$ and $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$ we define:

$$
M_{u, v}^{1}(\boldsymbol{x} ; \boldsymbol{a})=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\frac{\frac{1}{v(v-1)}\left(d^{v}+\frac{1}{A_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}\left(v x_{i}^{v-1}\left(x_{i}-d\right)-x_{i}^{v}\right)\right)}{\frac{1}{u(u-1)}\left(d^{u}+\frac{1}{A_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}\left(u x_{i}^{u-1}\left(x_{i}-d\right)-x_{i}^{u}\right)\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{v-u}}, u \neq v ; u, v \neq 0,1 \\
\exp \left(\frac{d^{u} \log d+\frac{1}{A_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}\left(x_{i}^{u-1}\left(x_{i}-d\right)\left(1+u \log x_{i}\right)-x_{i}^{u} \log x_{i}\right)}{d^{u}+\frac{1}{A_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}\left(u x_{i}^{u-1}\left(x_{i}-d\right)-x_{i}^{u}\right)}-\frac{2 u-1}{u(u-1)}\right), \\
u=v \neq 0,1 \\
\exp \left(\frac{\log ^{2} d+\frac{1}{A_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}\left(2 x_{i}^{-1} \log x_{i}\left(x_{i}-d\right)-\log ^{2} x_{i}\right)}{2\left(\log d+\frac{1}{A_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}\left(x_{i}^{-1}\left(x_{i}-d\right)-\log x_{i}\right)\right)}+1\right), u=v=0 \\
\exp \left(\frac{d \log { }^{2} d+\frac{1}{A_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}\left(\log x_{i}\left(x_{i}-d\right)\left(2+\log x_{i}\right)-x_{i} \log x_{i}\right)}{2\left(d \log d+\frac{1}{A_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}\left(\left(x_{i}-d\right)\left(1+\log x_{i}\right)-x_{i} \log x_{i}\right)\right)}-1\right), \\
u=v=1 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

$M_{u, v}^{2}(\boldsymbol{x} ; \boldsymbol{a})=\left\{\begin{array}{l}\binom{\left(\frac{1}{v(v-1)}\left(\frac{1}{A_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} x_{i}{ }^{v}-c^{v}-v c^{v-1}(\bar{x}-c)\right)\right.}{\frac{1}{u(u-1)}\left(\frac{1}{A_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} x_{i}^{u}-c^{u}-u c^{u-1}(\bar{x}-c)\right)}^{\frac{1}{v-u}}, u \neq v ; u, v \neq 0,1 \\ \exp \left(\frac{\frac{1}{A_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} x_{i}{ }^{u} \log x_{i}-c^{u} \log c-c^{u-1}(\bar{x}-c)(1+u \log c)}{\frac{1}{A_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} x_{i}{ }^{u}-c^{u}-u c^{u-1}(\bar{x}-c)}-\frac{2 u-1}{u(u-1)}\right), \\ u=v \neq 0,1 \\ \exp \left(\frac{\frac{1}{A_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \log ^{2} x_{i}-\log ^{2} c-2 c^{-1} \log c(\bar{x}-c)}{2\left(\frac{1}{A_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \log x_{i}-\log c-c^{-1}(\bar{x}-c)\right)}+1\right), u=v=0 \\ \exp \left(\frac{\frac{1}{A_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} x_{i} \log ^{2} x_{i}-c \log { }^{2} c-\log c(\bar{x}-c)(2+\log c)}{2\left(\frac{1}{A_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} x_{i} \log x_{i}-c \log c-(\bar{x}-c)(1+\log c)\right)}-1\right.\end{array}\right), u=v=1$.
We can easily check that these means are symmetric and the special cases are limits of the general case. Note that (3.3) can be written as

$$
M_{u, v}^{k}(\boldsymbol{x} ; \boldsymbol{a})=\left(\frac{\Gamma_{k}(v)}{\Gamma_{k}(u)}\right)^{\frac{1}{v-u}}
$$

where $\Gamma_{k}$ is the function defined as in (2.4).
Now we prove the monotonicity of these means.
Theorem 10. Let $r, s, u, v \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $r \leq u, s \leq v$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{s, r}^{k}(\boldsymbol{x} ; \boldsymbol{a}) \leq M_{v, u}^{k}(\boldsymbol{x} ; \boldsymbol{a}) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By Theorem 6 it follows that the function $\Gamma_{k}$ is log-convex. Therefore, for any $r, s, u, v \in \mathbb{R}$, such that $r \leq u, s \leq v, r \neq s, u \neq v$, we have

$$
\left(\frac{\Gamma_{k}(s)}{\Gamma_{k}(r)}\right)^{\frac{1}{s-r}} \leq\left(\frac{\Gamma_{k}(v)}{\Gamma_{k}(u)}\right)^{\frac{1}{v-u}}
$$

which is equivalent to (3.4). The statement of theorem follows using continuous extensions.

In following we present integral variants of the previous results without proofs.
Theorem 11. Let $B_{k}$ be the functional defined by (2.2) and suppose that $B_{k}\left(e_{2}\right) \neq 0$. If $\varphi \in C^{2}([a, b])$, then there exists $\xi_{k} \in[a, b]$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{k}(\varphi)=\frac{\varphi^{\prime \prime}\left(\xi_{k}\right)}{2} B_{k}\left(e_{2}\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 12. Let $B_{k}$ be the functional defined by (2.2) and suppose that $B_{k}\left(e_{2}\right) \neq 0$. If $\varphi, \psi \in C^{2}([a, b])$, then there exists $\xi_{k} \in[a, b]$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{k}(\psi) \varphi^{\prime \prime}\left(\xi_{k}\right)=B_{k}(\varphi) \psi^{\prime \prime}\left(\xi_{k}\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we set $\operatorname{Im} f=[a, b]$, then $a=\min _{\alpha \leq t \leq \beta} f(t)$ and $b=\max _{\alpha \leq t \leq \beta} f(t)$ and if we choose $\varphi=\phi_{u}$ and $\psi=\phi_{v}$, where $u, v \in \mathbb{R}, u \neq v, u, v \neq 0,1$, providing that $B_{k}\left(\phi_{u}\right), B_{k}\left(\phi_{v}\right) \neq 0$, then from (3.6) it follows

$$
B_{k}\left(\phi_{v}\right) \xi_{k}^{u-2}=B_{k}\left(\phi_{u}\right) \xi_{k}^{v-2}
$$

i.e.

$$
\xi_{k}=\left(\frac{B_{k}\left(\phi_{v}\right)}{B_{k}\left(\phi_{u}\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{v-u}}
$$

what presents a new mean on segment $[a, b]$. We use notation

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{u, v}^{k}(f ; \lambda)=\left(\frac{B_{k}\left(\phi_{v}\right)}{B_{k}\left(\phi_{u}\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{v-u}} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can extend these means to the excluded cases. For $k \in\{1,2\}$ and $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$ we define:

These means are also symmetric and the special cases are limits of the general case. On a similar way we can prove the monotonicity of these means.

Theorem 13. Let $r, s, u, v \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $r \leq u, s \leq v$. Then

$$
M_{s, r}^{k}(f ; \lambda) \leq M_{v, u}^{k}(f ; \lambda)
$$

## 4. Improvement and reverse of the Slater-Pečarić INEQUALITY

Let $\boldsymbol{x} \in[a, b]^{n}$ be a monotonic $n$-tuple and $\boldsymbol{a}$ be a real $n$-tuple with $a_{i} \neq 0$ that satisfies (1.1). With $M_{u}(\boldsymbol{x} ; \boldsymbol{a})$ we denote $u$-mean with quasiweights $\boldsymbol{a}$ defined by

$$
M_{u}(\boldsymbol{x} ; \boldsymbol{a})= \begin{cases}\left(\frac{1}{A_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} x_{i}^{u}\right)^{\frac{1}{u}}, & u \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\} \\ \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{a_{i}}\right)^{\frac{1}{A_{n}}}, & u=0\end{cases}
$$

For any $u \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$
\min \left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\} \leq M_{u}(\boldsymbol{x} ; \boldsymbol{a}) \leq \max \left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}
$$

Also, for any $r, s \in \mathbb{R}$, such that $r<s$, we have

$$
M_{r}(\boldsymbol{x} ; \boldsymbol{a}) \leq M_{s}(\boldsymbol{x} ; \boldsymbol{a})
$$

For more details see [2].
With $d_{u}$ we denote expression defined by

$$
d_{u}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} x_{i} \phi_{u}^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \phi_{u}^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)}= \begin{cases}\frac{M_{u}^{u}(\boldsymbol{x} ; \boldsymbol{a})}{M_{u-1}^{u-1}(\boldsymbol{x} ; \boldsymbol{a})}, & u \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0,1\}  \tag{4.1}\\ M_{-1}(\boldsymbol{x} ; \boldsymbol{a}), & u=0 \\ \frac{A_{n} \bar{x}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} x_{i} \log x_{i}}{A_{n}\left(1+\log M_{0}(\boldsymbol{x} ; \boldsymbol{a})\right)}, & u=1\end{cases}
$$

We define the functionals $F_{u}$ and $G_{u}(u \in \mathbb{R})$ on $C^{1}([a, b])$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{u}(\varphi) & =\varphi\left(d_{u}\right)-\frac{1}{A_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \varphi\left(x_{i}\right) \\
G_{u}(\varphi) & =\varphi\left(d_{u}\right)+\frac{1}{A_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}\left[\varphi^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)\left(x_{i}-d_{u}\right)-\varphi\left(x_{i}\right)\right] \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $d_{u}$ is defined as in (4.1).
Now we state and prove improvement and reverse of the Slater-Pečarić inequality.

Theorem 14. Let $F_{u}$ and $G_{u}(u \in \mathbb{R})$ be the functionals defined as in (4.2) and $\phi_{s}(s \in \mathbb{R})$ the function defined as in (2.3). Then
(i) for $r, s, u \in \mathbb{R}$, such that $r<s<u$ or $u<r<s$, the following is valid

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{u}\left(\phi_{u}\right) \geq G_{u}\left(\phi_{s}\right)^{(u-r) /(s-r)} G_{u}\left(\phi_{r}\right)^{(s-u) /(s-r)} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) for $r, s, u \in \mathbb{R}$, such that $r<u<s$, the following is valid

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{u}\left(\phi_{u}\right) \leq G_{u}\left(\phi_{s}\right)^{(u-r) /(s-r)} G_{u}\left(\phi_{r}\right)^{(s-u) /(s-r)} . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. (i) Let $r, s, u \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $r<s<u$ (when $u<r<s$ the proof is analogous).

From (2.6) for $k=1$ and choosing $d=d_{u}$ it follows

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\phi_{s}\left(d_{u}\right)+\frac{1}{A_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}\left[\phi_{s}^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)\left(x_{i}-d_{u}\right)-\phi_{s}\left(x_{i}\right)\right]\right)^{u-r} \\
& \leq\left(\phi_{r}\left(d_{u}\right)+\frac{1}{A_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}\left[\phi_{r}^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)\left(x_{i}-d_{u}\right)-\phi_{r}\left(x_{i}\right)\right]\right)^{u-s} \\
& \times\left(\phi_{u}\left(d_{u}\right)+\frac{1}{A_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}\left[\phi_{u}^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)\left(x_{i}-d_{u}\right)-\phi_{u}\left(x_{i}\right)\right]\right)^{s-r} . \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi_{u}\left(d_{u}\right)+\frac{1}{A_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}\left[\phi_{u}^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)\left(x_{i}-d_{u}\right)-\phi_{u}\left(x_{i}\right)\right] \\
& =\phi_{u}\left(d_{u}\right)-\frac{1}{A_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \phi_{u}\left(x_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

then from (4.5) it follows

$$
G_{u}\left(\phi_{s}\right)^{u-r} \leq G_{u}\left(\phi_{r}\right)^{u-s} F_{u}\left(\phi_{u}\right)^{s-r}
$$

which is equivalent to (4.3).
(ii) Let $r, s, u \in \mathbb{R}$, such that $r<u<s$. From (2.6) for $k=1$ and choosing $d=d_{u}$ it follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\phi_{u}\left(d_{u}\right)+\frac{1}{A_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}\left[\phi_{u}^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)\left(x_{i}-d_{u}\right)-\phi_{u}\left(x_{i}\right)\right]\right)^{s-r} \\
& \leq\left(\phi_{r}\left(d_{u}\right)+\frac{1}{A_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}\left[\phi_{r}^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)\left(x_{i}-d_{u}\right)-\phi_{r}\left(x_{i}\right)\right]\right)^{s-u} \\
& \times\left(\phi_{s}\left(d_{u}\right)+\frac{1}{A_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}\left[\phi_{s}^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)\left(x_{i}-d_{u}\right)-\phi_{s}\left(x_{i}\right)\right]\right)^{u-r}
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e.

$$
F_{u}\left(\phi_{u}\right)^{s-r} \leq G_{u}\left(\phi_{r}\right)^{s-u} G_{u}\left(\phi_{s}\right)^{u-r}
$$

which is equivalent to (4.4).
Theorem 15. Let $G_{u}(u \in \mathbb{R})$ be the functional defined as in (4.2) and $\phi_{s}(s \in \mathbb{R})$ the function defined as in (2.3). Then for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and all choices $p_{i} \in \mathbb{R}, 1 \leq i \leq m$, the following is valid

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\operatorname{det}\left[G_{p_{1}}\left(\phi_{p_{i j}}\right)\right]_{i, j=1}^{m} \geq 0 \\
\operatorname{det}\left[G_{p_{12}}\left(\phi_{p_{i j}}\right)\right]_{i, j=1}^{m} \geq 0 \tag{4.7}
\end{array}
$$

where $p_{i j}=\frac{p_{i}+p_{j}}{2}, 1 \leq i, j \leq m$.

Proof. From (2.5) for $k=1$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}\left[\Gamma_{1}\left(p_{i j}\right)\right]_{i, j=1}^{m}=\operatorname{det}\left[A_{1}\left(\phi_{p_{i j}}\right)\right]_{i, j=1}^{m} \geq 0 \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choosing $d=d_{p_{1}}$ from (4.8) it follows (4.6).
Similar, if we choose $d=d_{p_{12}}$, then from (4.8) it follows (4.7).
Now we present integral versions of the previous results.
Let $f:[\alpha, \beta] \rightarrow[a, b]$ be a continuous and monotonic function and $\lambda:[\alpha, \beta] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be either continuous or of bounded variation satisfying (1.3). With $M_{u}(f ; \lambda)$ we denote integral $u$-mean defined by

$$
M_{u}(f ; \lambda)= \begin{cases}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda(\beta)-\lambda(\alpha)} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} f^{u}(t) \mathrm{d} \lambda(t)\right)^{\frac{1}{u}}, & u \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\} \\ \exp \left(\frac{1}{\lambda(\beta)-\lambda(\alpha)} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \log f(t) \mathrm{d} \lambda(t)\right), & u=0\end{cases}
$$

With $\tilde{d}_{u}$ we denote expression defined by

$$
\tilde{d}_{u}=\frac{\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \phi_{u}^{\prime}(f(t)) f(t) \mathrm{d} \lambda(t)}{\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \phi_{u}^{\prime}(f(t)) \mathrm{d} \lambda(t)}= \begin{cases}\frac{M_{u}^{u}(f ; \lambda)}{M_{u-1}^{u-1}(f ; \lambda)}, & u \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0,1\},  \tag{4.9}\\ M_{-1}(f ; \lambda), & u=0, \\ \frac{(\lambda(\beta)-\lambda(\alpha)) \bar{f}+\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} f(t) \log f(t) \mathrm{d} \lambda(t)}{(\lambda(\beta)-\lambda(\alpha))\left(1+\log M_{0}(f ; \lambda)\right)}, & u=1\end{cases}
$$

Now we define the functionals $H_{u}$ and $K_{u}(u \in \mathbb{R})$ on $C^{1}([a, b])$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
& H_{u}(\varphi)=\varphi\left(\tilde{d}_{u}\right)-\frac{1}{\lambda(\beta)-\lambda(\alpha)} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \varphi(f(t)) \mathrm{d} \lambda(t)  \tag{4.10}\\
& K_{u}(\varphi)=\varphi\left(\tilde{d}_{u}\right)+\frac{1}{\lambda(\beta)-\lambda(\alpha)} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta}\left[\varphi^{\prime}(f(t))\left(f(t)-\tilde{d}_{u}\right)-\varphi(f(t))\right] \mathrm{d} \lambda(t)
\end{align*}
$$

where $\tilde{d}_{u}$ is defined as in (4.9).
We state improvement and reverse of the integral Slater-Pečarić inequality without proofs.

Theorem 16. Let $H_{u}$ and $K_{u}(u \in \mathbb{R})$ be the functionals defined as in (4.10) and $\phi_{s}(s \in \mathbb{R})$ the function defined as in (2.3). Then
(i) for $r, s, u \in \mathbb{R}$, such that $r<s<u$ or $u<r<s$, the following is valid

$$
H_{u}\left(\phi_{u}\right) \geq K_{u}\left(\phi_{s}\right)^{(u-r) /(s-r)} K_{u}\left(\phi_{r}\right)^{(s-u) /(s-r)}
$$

(ii) for $r, s, u \in \mathbb{R}$, such that $r<u<s$, the following is valid

$$
H_{u}\left(\phi_{u}\right) \leq K_{u}\left(\phi_{s}\right)^{(u-r) /(s-r)} K_{u}\left(\phi_{r}\right)^{(s-u) /(s-r)}
$$

Theorem 17. Let $K_{u}(u \in \mathbb{R})$ be the functional defined as in (4.10) and $\phi_{s}(s \in \mathbb{R})$ the function defined as in (2.3). Then for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and all choices $p_{i} \in \mathbb{R}, 1 \leq i \leq m$, the following is valid

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{det}\left[K_{p_{1}}\left(\phi_{p_{i j}}\right)\right]_{i, j=1}^{m} \geq 0, \\
& \operatorname{det}\left[K_{p_{12}}\left(\phi_{p_{i j}}\right)\right]_{i, j=1}^{m} \geq 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $p_{i j}=\frac{p_{i}+p_{j}}{2}, 1 \leq i, j \leq m$.
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