## On the absolute Nörlund summability factors

HÜSEYIN BOR\*

**Abstract**. In this paper a theorem on the absolute Nörlund summability factors has been proved under more weaker conditions by using an almost increasing sequence.

**Key words:** Nörlund summability, summability factors, almost increasing sequence

AMS subject classifications: 40D15, 40F05, 40G05

Received July 6, 2000 Accepted October 12, 2000

## 1. Introduction

Let  $\sum a_n$  be a given infinite series with the sequence of partial sums  $(s_n)$  and  $w_n = na_n$ . By  $u_n^{\alpha}$  and  $t_n^{\alpha}$  we denote the *n*-th Cesàro means of order  $\alpha$ , with  $\alpha > -1$ , of the sequences  $(s_n)$  and  $(w_n)$ , respectively. The series  $\sum a_n$  is said to be summable  $| C, \alpha |$ , if (see [4], [6])

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |u_n^{\alpha} - u_{n-1}^{\alpha}| = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} |t_n^{\alpha}| < \infty.$$
 (1)

Let  $(p_n)$  be a sequence of constants, real or complex, and let us write

$$P_n = p_0 + p_1 + p_2 + \dots + p_n \neq 0, \quad (n \ge 0)$$
(2)

The sequence-to-sequence transformation

$$\sigma_n = \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{\nu=0}^n p_{n-\nu} s_\nu \tag{3}$$

defines the sequence  $(\sigma_n)$  of the Nörlund mean of the sequence  $(s_n)$ , generated by the sequence of coefficients  $(p_n)$ . The series  $\sum a_n$  is said to be summable  $|N, p_n|$ , if (see [7])

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\sigma_n - \sigma_{n-1}| < \infty.$$
(4)

\*Department of Mathematics, Erciyes University, 38039 Kayseri, Turkey, e-mail: bor@erciyes.edu.tr

In the special case where

$$p_n = \frac{\Gamma(n+\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(n+1)} , \ \alpha \ge 0$$
(5)

the Nörlund mean reduces to the  $(C, \alpha)$  mean and  $|N, p_n|$  summability becomes  $|C, \alpha|$  summability. For  $p_n = 1$  and  $P_n = n$ , we get the (C, 1) mean and then  $|N, p_n|$  summability becomes |C, 1| summability. For any sequence  $(\lambda_n)$  we write  $\Delta \lambda_n = \lambda_n - \lambda_{n+1}$  and  $\Delta^2 \lambda_n = \Delta(\Delta \lambda_n) = \Delta \lambda_n - \Delta \lambda_{n+1}$ .

In [5] Kishore has proved the following theorem concerning |C, 1| and  $|N, p_n|$  summability methods.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $p_0 > 0$ ,  $p_n \ge 0$  and  $(p_n)$  be a non-increasing sequence. If  $\sum a_n$  is summable |C, 1|, then the series  $\sum a_n P_n (n+1)^{-1}$  is summable  $|N, p_n|$ .

Ahmad [1] proved the following theorem for absolute Nörlund summability factors.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $(p_n)$  be as in Theorem 1. If

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\nu} \mid t_{\nu} \mid = O(X_n) \quad as \quad n \to \infty,$$
(6)

where  $(X_n)$  is a positive non-decreasing sequence and  $(\lambda_n)$  is a sequence such that

$$X_n \lambda_n = O(1),\tag{7}$$

$$n\Delta X_n = O(X_n),\tag{8}$$

$$\sum nX_n \mid \Delta^2 \lambda_n \mid < \infty, \tag{9}$$

then the series  $\sum a_n P_n \lambda_n (n+1)^{-1}$  is summable  $|N, p_n|$ .

Later on Bor [3] has proved *Theorem 2.* under weaker conditions in the following form.

**Theorem 3.** Let  $(p_n)$  be as in Theorem 1. Let  $(X_n)$  be a positive non-decreasing sequence. If the conditions (6) and (7) of Theorem 2. are satisfied and the sequences  $(\lambda_n)$  and  $(\beta_n)$  are such that

$$|\Delta\lambda_n| \le \beta_n \tag{10}$$

$$\beta_n \to 0$$
 (11)

$$\sum nX_n \mid \Delta\beta_n \mid <\infty,\tag{12}$$

then the series  $\sum a_n P_n \lambda_n (n+1)^{-1}$  is summable  $|N, p_n|$ .

## 2. Main results

The aim of this paper is to prove *Theorem* 3 under more weaker conditions. For this we need the concept of an almost increasing sequence. A positive sequence  $(b_n)$  is said to be almost increasing if there exist a positive increasing sequence  $(c_n)$  and two positive constants A and B such that  $Ac_n \leq b_n \leq Bc_n$  (see [2]). Obviously, every increasing sequence is almost increasing but the converse need not be true, as can be seen from the example  $b_n = ne^{(-1)^n}$ . So we are weakening the hypotheses of the theorem replacing the increasing sequence by any almost increasing sequence. Now we shall prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.** Let  $(p_n)$  be as in Theorem 1 and let  $(X_n)$  be an almost increasing sequence. If the conditions (6), (7), (10) and (12) of Theorem 2. and Theorem 3. are satisfied, then the series  $\sum a_n P_n \lambda_n (n+1)^{-1}$  is summable  $|N, p_n|$ .

We need the following Lemma for the proof of our theorem.

**Lemma 1.** Under the conditions on  $(X_n)$ ,  $(\lambda_n)$  and  $(\beta_n)$ , as taken in the statement of the theorem, the following conditions hold, when (12) is satisfied:

$$n\beta_n X_n = O(1) \quad as \quad n \to \infty,$$
 (13)

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n X_n < \infty \tag{14}$$

**Proof.** Let  $Ac_n \leq b_n \leq Bc_n$ , where  $(c_n)$  is an increasing sequence. In this case

$$n\beta_n X_n \leq nBc_n \mid \sum_{\nu=n}^{\infty} \Delta\beta_\nu \mid \leq nBc_n \sum_{\nu=n}^{\infty} \mid \Delta\beta_\nu \mid \leq B \sum_{\nu=n}^{\infty} \nu c_\nu \mid \Delta\beta_\nu \mid$$
$$\leq (A/B) \sum_{\nu=n}^{\infty} \nu X_\nu \mid \Delta\beta_\nu \mid < \infty.$$

Hence,  $n\beta_n X_n = O(1)$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Again

$$\begin{split} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n X_n &\leq B \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n \beta_n = B \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n \mid \sum_{\nu=n}^{\infty} \Delta \beta_\nu \mid \\ &\leq B \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n \sum_{\nu=n}^{\infty} \mid \Delta \beta_\nu \mid = B \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \mid \Delta \beta_\nu \mid \sum_{n=1}^{\nu} c_n \\ &\leq B \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \nu c_\nu \mid \Delta \beta_\nu \mid \leq (B/A) \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \nu X_\nu \mid \Delta \beta_\nu \mid < \infty. \end{split}$$

Thus  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n X_n < \infty$ .

**Proof of Theorem 4.** In order to prove the theorem, we need consider only the special case in which  $(N, p_n)$  is (C, 1), that is, we shall prove that  $\sum a_n \lambda_n$  is

H. Bor

summable |C, 1|. Our theorem will then follow by means of *Theorem 1*. Let  $T_n$  be the *n*-th (C, 1) mean of the sequence  $(na_n\lambda_n)$ , that is,

$$T_n = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{\nu=1}^n \nu a_\nu \lambda_\nu \tag{15}$$

Using Abel's transformation, we have

$$\begin{split} T_n &= \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{\nu=1}^n \nu a_\nu \lambda_\nu = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{\nu=1}^n \Delta \lambda_\nu (\nu+1) t_\nu + \lambda_\nu t_\nu \\ &= T_{n,1} + T_{n,2} \ , \ say. \end{split}$$

By (1), to completes the proof of the theorem, it is sufficient to show that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1/n) \mid T_{n,r} \mid < \infty \quad \text{for } r = 1, 2.$$
 (16)

Now, we have

$$\begin{split} \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} (1/n) \mid T_{n,1} \mid &\leq \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} (1/n(n+1)) \left\{ \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} ((\nu+1)/\nu)\nu \mid \Delta \lambda_{\nu} \mid \mid t_{\nu} \mid \right\} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} (1/n^2) \left\{ \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} \nu \beta_{\nu} \mid t_{\nu} \mid \right\} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{m} \nu \beta_{\nu} \mid t_{\nu} \mid \sum_{n=\nu+1}^{m+1} 1/n^2 = O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{m} \nu \beta_{\nu} \mid t_{\nu} \mid /\nu \\ &= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{m-1} \Delta (\nu \beta_{\nu}) \sum_{r=1}^{\nu} \mid t_{r} \mid /r + O(1)m\beta_m \sum_{\nu=1}^{m} \mid t_{\nu} \mid /\nu \\ &= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{m-1} \mid \Delta (\nu \beta_{\nu}) \mid X_{\nu} + O(1)m\beta_m X_m \\ &= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{m-1} \mid \Delta \beta_{\nu} \mid \nu X_{\nu} + O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{m-1} \mid \beta_{\nu+1} \mid X_{\nu+1} + O(1)m\beta_m X_m \\ &= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{m-1} |\Delta \beta_{\nu} \mid \nu X_{\nu} + O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{m-1} |\beta_{\nu+1} \mid X_{\nu+1} + O(1)m\beta_m X_m \\ &= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{m-1} |\Delta \beta_{\nu} \mid \nu X_{\nu} + O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{m-1} |\beta_{\nu+1} \mid X_{\nu+1} + O(1)m\beta_m X_m \\ &= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{m-1} |\Delta \beta_{\nu} \mid \nu X_{\nu} + O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{m-1} |\beta_{\nu+1} \mid X_{\nu+1} + O(1)m\beta_m X_m \\ &= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{m-1} |\Delta \beta_{\nu} \mid \nu X_{\nu} + O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{m-1} |\beta_{\nu+1} \mid X_{\nu+1} + O(1)m\beta_m X_m \\ &= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{m-1} |\Delta \beta_{\nu} \mid \nu X_{\nu} + O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{m-1} |\beta_{\nu+1} \mid X_{\nu+1} + O(1)m\beta_m X_m \\ &= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{m-1} |\Delta \beta_{\nu} \mid \nu X_{\nu} + O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{m-1} |\beta_{\nu+1} \mid X_{\nu+1} + O(1)m\beta_m X_m \\ &= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{m-1} |\Delta \beta_{\nu} \mid \nu X_{\nu} + O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{m-1} |\beta_{\nu+1} \mid X_{\nu+1} + O(1)m\beta_m X_m \\ &= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{m-1} |\Delta \beta_{\nu} \mid \nu X_{\nu} + O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{m-1} |\beta_{\nu+1} \mid X_{\nu+1} + O(1)m\beta_m X_m \\ &= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{m-1} |\beta_{\nu} \mid \nu X_{\nu} + O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{m-1} |\beta_{\nu} \mid X_{\nu} \mid X_{\nu} + O(1)m\beta_m X_m \\ &= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{m-1} |\beta_{\nu} \mid X_{\nu} \mid X_{\nu}$$

by (6), (10), (12), (13) and (14). Again

$$\begin{split} \sum_{n=1}^{m} (1/n) \mid T_{n,2} \mid &= \sum_{n=1}^{m} \mid \lambda_n \mid (\mid t_n \mid n) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \Delta \mid \lambda_n \mid \sum_{\nu=1}^{n} \mid t_\nu \mid \nu + \mid \lambda_m \mid \sum_{n=1}^{m} \mid t_n \mid n \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \mid \Delta \lambda_n \mid X_n + O(1) \mid \lambda_m \mid X_m \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \beta_n X_n + O(1) \mid \lambda_m \mid X_m = O(1) \text{ as } m \to \infty , \end{split}$$

by (6), (7), (10) and (14). This completes the proof of the theorem.

## References

- Z. U. AHMAD, Absolute Nörlund summability factors of power series and Fourier series, Annales Polonici Math. 27(1972), 9–20.
- [2] S. ALJANČIĆ, D. ARANDELOVIĆ, O-regularly varying functions, Publ. Inst. Math. 22(1977), 5–22.
- [3] H. BOR, Absolute Nörlund summability factors of power series and Fourier series, Annales Polonici Mathematici 56(1991), 11–17.
- [4] M. FETEKE, Zur Theorie der divergenten Reihen, Math. es Termes Ertesitö (Budapest) 29(1911), 719–726.
- [5] N. KISHORE, On the absolute Nörlund summability factors, Riv. Math. Univ. Parma 6(1965), 129–134.
- [6] E. KOGBENTLIANTZ, Sur lés series absolument sommables par la méthode des moyennes arithmétiques, Bull. Sci. Math. 49(1925), 234–256.
- [7] F. M. MEARS, Some multiplication theorems for the Nörlund mean, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 41(1935), 875–880.