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#### Abstract

In this paper, we study the Ostrovsky, Stepanyams and Tsimring equation. We show that the associated initial value problem is locally well-posed in Sobolev spaces $H^{s}(\mathbb{R})$ for $s>-3 / 2$. We also prove that our result is sharp in the sense that the flow map of this equation fails to be $C^{2}$ in $H^{s}(\mathbb{R})$ for $s<-3 / 2$. AMS subject classifications: 35A07, 35Q53, 35Q35
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## 1. Introduction

This paper is concerned with the well-posedness of the following initial value problem (IVP) for the Ostrovsky, Stepanyams and Tsimring (OST) equation:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{t}+u_{x x x}-\eta\left(\mathscr{H} u_{x}+\mathscr{H} u_{x x x}\right)+u u_{x}=0, x \in \mathbb{R}, t \geq 0  \tag{1}\\
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $u=u(x, t)$ is a real-valued function, $\eta>0$ and $\mathscr{H}$ denotes the usual Hilbert transformation given by

$$
\mathscr{H} \varphi(x)=\frac{1}{\pi} \text { p.v. } \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\varphi(x-y)}{y} \mathrm{~d} y
$$

for $\varphi \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R})$. Equation (1) was derived by Ostrovsky et al. in [18] to describe the radiational instability of long non-linear waves in a stratified flow caused by internal wave radiation from a shear layer.

We recall that the IVP for (1) is locally well-posed in Banach space $X$ if the solution uniquely exists in a certain time interval $[-T, T]$ (unique existence), the solution describes a continuous curve in $X$ in the interval $[-T, T]$ whenever initial data belong to $X$ (persistence), and the solution varies continuously depending upon the initial data (continuous dependence), i.e. continuity of application $u_{0} \mapsto u(t)$ from $X$ to $C([-T, T] ; X)$.

Note that the OST equation is a modification of the well-known KdV equation

$$
u_{t}+u_{x x x}+u u_{x}=0 .
$$
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It is known that the KdV equation arises in modeling of one-dimensional long wavelength surface waves propagating in weakly nonlinear dispersive media $[1,4,12,22]$, as well as the evolution of weakly nonlinear ion acoustic waves in plasmas [21]. Different from the KdV equation which is of purely dispersive type, the OST equation is of the dispersive-dissipative type.

A model similar to (1) is the Korteweg-de Vries-Kuramoto-Sivashinsky (KdVKS) equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{t}+u_{x x x}+\eta\left(u_{x x}+u_{x x x x}\right)+u_{x}^{2}=0, x \in \mathbb{R}, t \geq 0  \tag{2}\\
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x)
\end{array}\right.
$$

This equation arises as a model for long waves on a viscous fluid flowing down an inclined plane and describing drift waves in plasma [8, 20]. The IVP for (2) was studied by Biagioni et al. [3]. They proved that (2) is well-posed in $H^{s}(\mathbb{R})$ for $s \geq 1$, by using the properties of the semi-group associated with the linear problem. They also obtained a global solution in $H^{s}(\mathbb{R})$ for $s \geq 1$, making use of the conserved quantities for the Korteweg-de Vries equation. Recently, Carvajal and Panthee in [7], considered the derivative equation of (2) and obtained the local well-posedness of $(2)$ in $H^{s}(\mathbb{R})$ for $s>-3 / 4$ (see also [6]).

The first work on the well-posedness of the IVP for (1) was carried out by Alvarez in [2]. He proved that (1) is locally well-posed in $H^{s}(\mathbb{R})$ for $s>1 / 2$ and globally well-posed in $H^{s}(\mathbb{R})$ for $s \geq 1$. In [5], Carvajal improved these results. He proved that (1) is locally well-posed in $H^{s}(\mathbb{R})$, for $s \geq 0$, and globally well-posed in $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$. Zhao and Cui in [23] used the ideas of Molinet and Ribaud in [15, 16, 17], employed the method of bilinear estimate in the Bourgain-type spaces and proved that (1) is locally well-posed in $H^{s}(\mathbb{R})$ for $s>-3 / 4$; which coincides with the sharp local well-posedness result for the KdV equation established by Kenig et al. in [14]. The authors in [24] improved their previous results by showing that the IVP for (1) is locally well-posed in $H^{s}(\mathbb{R})$ for $s>-1$.

In this paper we shall prove that (1) is locally well-posed in $H^{s}(\mathbb{R})$ for $s>-3 / 2$. Indeed, we use purely dissipative methods as applied by Dix in [9] to study the IVP for the KdV-Burgers equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{t}+u_{x x x}+u u_{x}=u_{x x}, x \in \mathbb{R}, t \geq 0  \tag{3}\\
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x)
\end{array}\right.
$$

The main ingredient consists of applying a fixed-point theorem to the integral equation associated to (1) in time-weighted spaces.

Regarding the sharpness of our result, we establish that the flow map of the OST equation fails to be $C^{2}$ in $H^{s}(\mathbb{R})$ for $s<-3 / 2$. This means that a Picard iteration cannot be used to obtain a solution of (1).

Before presenting the precise statement of our main result, let us first introduce some definitions and notations.

Without loss of generality, later on we assume that $\eta=1$. We shall denote by $\widehat{\varphi}$ the Fourier transform of $\varphi$, defined as

$$
\widehat{\varphi}(\xi)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(x) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} x \xi} \mathrm{~d} x
$$

For $s \in \mathbb{R}$, by $H^{s}(\mathbb{R})$ we denote the nonhomogeneous Sobolev space defined by

$$
H^{s}(\mathbb{R})=\left\{\varphi \in \mathscr{S}^{\prime}(\mathbb{R}):\|\varphi\|_{H^{s}(\mathbb{R})}<\infty\right\}
$$

where

$$
\|\varphi\|_{H^{s}(\mathbb{R})}=\left\|\left(1+\xi^{2}\right)^{s / 2} \widehat{\varphi}(\xi)\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})},
$$

and $\mathscr{S}^{\prime}(\mathbb{R})$ is the space of tempered distributions.
For any positive numbers $a$ and $b$, the notation $a \lesssim b$ means that there exists a positive constant $c$ such that $a \leq c b$; and we denote $a \sim b$ when, $a \lesssim b$ and $b \lesssim a$.

For $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $u_{0} \in H^{s}(\mathbb{R})$, consider the following linear problem associated to (1):

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{t}+u_{x x x}-\mathscr{H} u_{x}-\mathscr{H} u_{x x x}=0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},  \tag{4}\\
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

The unique solution of (4) is given by the semigroup $\{U(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ defined as follows:

$$
u(t)=U(t) u_{0}=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{e}^{t\left(\mathrm{i} \xi^{3}-|\xi|^{3}+|\xi|\right)} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} x \xi} \hat{u}_{0}(\xi) \mathrm{d} \xi
$$

The main results of this paper read as follows:
Theorem 1. Let $s>-3 / 2$. Then for all $u_{0} \in H^{s}(\mathbb{R})$, there exist

$$
T=T\left(\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{s}(\mathbb{R})}\right)>0
$$

a space

$$
\mathscr{X}_{T}^{s} \hookrightarrow C\left([0, T] ; H^{s}(\mathbb{R})\right)
$$

and a unique solution $u(t)$ of (1) such that $u(0)=u_{0}$. Moreover, $u \in C((0, T)$; $\left.H^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ and the map solution

$$
\mathscr{F}: H^{s}(\mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow \mathscr{X}_{T}^{s} \cap C\left([0, T] ; H^{s}(\mathbb{R})\right), \quad u_{0} \mapsto u
$$

is smooth.
Theorem 2. Let $s<-3 / 2$, if there exists some $T>0$ such that the Cauchy problem (1) is locally well-posed in $H^{s}(\mathbb{R})$, then the flow-map data solution

$$
\mathscr{F}: H^{s}(\mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow C\left([0, T] ; H^{s}(\mathbb{R})\right), \quad u_{0} \longmapsto u(t)
$$

is not $C^{2}$ at zero.
The rest of this paper is as follows. In Section 2 we present the time-weighted space $\mathscr{X}_{T}^{s}$ and obtain some basic linear and bilinear estimates in this space. Section 3 is devoted to proving the local well-posedness in this space. We also establish that the flow map of the OST equation fails to be $C^{2}$ in $H^{s}(\mathbb{R})$ for $s<-3 / 2$.

## 2. Linear and bilinear estimates

In this section, we introduce a suitable Banach space in order to derive appropriates linear and bilinear estimates.

To prove Theorem 1, we will make the assumption $-3 / 2<s<0$, since the case $0 \leq s$ follows by similar arguments. Our strategy is to use a contraction argument on the integral equation associated to (1):

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t)=\Phi(u(t)):=U(t) u_{0}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} U\left(t-t^{\prime}\right) \partial_{x}\left(u^{2}\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} t^{\prime} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $0<T \leq T^{*}=\min \{1,9|s| / 2\}$, we define the Banach space

$$
\mathscr{X}_{T}^{s}=\left\{u \in C\left([0, T] ; H^{s}(\mathbb{R})\right):\|u\|_{\mathscr{X}_{T}^{s}}<\infty\right\}
$$

where

$$
\|u\|_{\mathscr{X}_{T}^{s}}=\sup _{t \in[0, T]}\left(\|u(t)\|_{H^{s}(\mathbb{R})}+t^{|s| / 3}\|u(t)\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}\right)
$$

We note that $T^{*}=1$, if $s \leq-2 / 9$.
First we state the following lemma which is useful in establishing smoothness properties for the semigroup of (1). The proof is straightforward.

Lemma 1. For any $a>0$ and $0<t \leq 9 a$, we have for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi^{2 a} \mathrm{e}^{-t\left(|\xi|^{3}-|\xi|\right)} \leq \rho^{2 a} \mathrm{e}^{-t\left(\rho^{3}-\rho\right)}=: \psi(a, t) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\rho=\frac{\left(9 a+\sqrt{81 a^{2}-t^{2}}\right)^{1 / 3}}{3} t^{-1 / 3}+\frac{t^{1 / 3}}{3\left(9 a+\sqrt{81 a^{2}-t^{2}}\right)^{1 / 3}}
$$

Moreover, if $a=0$, then (6) holds for $\psi(0, t)=\exp \left(\frac{2 t}{3 \sqrt{3}}\right)$.
Now, we will turn our attention to estimate the linear part in $\mathscr{X}_{T}^{s}$.
Proposition 1. Let $0<T \leq T^{*}, s<0$ and $u_{0} \in H^{s}(\mathbb{R})$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t \in[0, T]}\left\|U(t) u_{0}\right\|_{H^{s}(\mathbb{R})} \leq \mathrm{e}^{\frac{2 T}{3 \sqrt{3}}}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{s}(\mathbb{R})} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t \in[0, T]} t^{|s| / 3}\left\|U(t) u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim \Upsilon_{s}(T)\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{s}(\mathbb{R})} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\Upsilon_{s}(t)=\mathrm{e}^{\frac{2 t}{3 \sqrt{3}}}+t^{|s| / 3} \psi(|s| / 2, t)
$$

is a continuous nondecreasing function on $\left[0, T^{*}\right]$ and $\psi$ is defined as in Lemma 1.

Proof. Inequality (7) follows immediately from Lemma 1. To prove inequality (8), we first observe from $0<T \leq 1$ that

$$
t^{|s| / 3} \leq \frac{\left(1+t^{2 / 3} \xi^{2}\right)^{|s| / 2}}{\left(1+\xi^{2}\right)^{|s| / 2}}
$$

for all $t \in[0, T]$. Hence, by using the Plancherel theorem and the definition of $U(t)$, we deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t^{|s| / 3}\left\|U(t) u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} \\
& \quad \leq\left\|\left(1+t^{2 / 3} \xi^{2}\right)^{|s| / 2} \mathrm{e}^{-t\left(\left|\xi^{3}\right|-|\xi|\right)}\left(1+\xi^{2}\right)^{s / 2} \widehat{u_{0}}(\xi)\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} \\
& \quad \lesssim\left(\left\|\mathrm{e}^{-t\left(\left|\xi^{3}\right|-|\xi|\right)}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})}+\left\|\left(t^{2 / 3} \xi^{2}\right)^{|s| / 2} \mathrm{e}^{-t\left(\left|\xi^{3}\right|-|\xi|\right)}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})}\right)\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{s}(\mathbb{R})}
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 1 implies the desired inequality in (8).
The next step is to derive the bilinear estimate.
Proposition 2. Let $0 \leq t \leq T \leq T^{*}$ and $s \in(-3 / 2,0)$; then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\int_{0}^{t} U\left(t-t^{\prime}\right) \partial_{x}(u v)\left(t^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{d} t^{\prime}\right\|_{\mathscr{X}_{T}^{s}} \lesssim \mathrm{e}^{2 \sqrt{2} T / \sqrt{27}} T^{(2 s+3) / 6}\|u\|_{\mathscr{X}_{T}^{s}}\|v\|_{\mathscr{X}_{T}^{s}}, \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $u, v \in \mathscr{X}_{T}^{s}$, where the constant of the above inequality depends only on $s$.
Proof. Let $0 \leq t \leq T$. We have $\left(1+\xi^{2}\right)^{s / 2} \leq|\xi|^{s}$, since $s<0$. So by using the Minkowski inequality and the definition of $U(t)$, we obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\int_{0}^{t} U\left(t-t^{\prime}\right) \partial_{x}(u v)\left(t^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{d} t^{\prime}\right\|_{H^{s}(\mathbb{R})} \\
& \quad \leq \int_{0}^{t}\left\|\xi\left(1+\xi^{2}\right)^{s / 2} \mathrm{e}^{\left(t-t^{\prime}\right)\left(|\xi|-|\xi|^{3}\right)}\left(u\left(t^{\prime}\right) v\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\wedge}(\xi)\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} \mathrm{d} t^{\prime}  \tag{10}\\
& \quad \leq \int_{0}^{t}\left\||\xi|^{1+s} \mathrm{e}^{\left(t-t^{\prime}\right)\left(|\xi|-|\xi|^{3}\right)}\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}\left\|\widehat{u\left(t^{\prime}\right)} * \widehat{v\left(t^{\prime}\right)}(\xi)\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} \mathrm{d} t^{\prime} .
\end{align*}
$$

The Young inequality implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\widehat{u\left(t^{\prime}\right)} * \widehat{v\left(t^{\prime}\right)}(\xi)\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} \leq \frac{\|u\|_{\mathscr{X}_{T}^{s}}\|v\|_{\mathscr{X}_{T}^{s}}}{\left|t^{\prime}\right|^{2|s| / 3}} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, by changing the variable, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\int_{0}^{t} U\left(t-t^{\prime}\right) \partial_{x}(u v)\left(t^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{d} t^{\prime}\right\|_{H^{s}(\mathbb{R})} . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

To estimate the integral on the right-hand side of (12), we use a change of the variable to deduce that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\||\xi|^{1+s} \mathrm{e}^{t^{\prime}\left(|\xi|-|\xi|^{3}\right)}\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} \\
& \quad \leq\left|t^{\prime}\right|^{-(2 s+3) / 6}\left\|\mathrm{e}^{\left(|\xi| t^{\prime 2 / 3}-|\xi|^{3} / 2\right)}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})}\left\||\xi|^{1+s} \mathrm{e}^{-|\xi|^{3} / 2}\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}  \tag{13}\\
& \quad \lesssim \mathrm{e}^{2 \sqrt{2} T / \sqrt{27}}\left|t^{\prime}\right|^{-(2 s+3) / 6}
\end{align*}
$$

where in the last inequality we used the following inequality

$$
\mathrm{e}^{\left(|\xi| t^{\prime 2 / 3}-|\xi|^{3} / 2\right)} \leq \mathrm{e}^{\frac{2 \sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{27}} t^{\prime}}, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R} .
$$

Therefore, we get from (12), (13) and a change of the variable that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\int_{0}^{t} U\left(t-t^{\prime}\right) \partial_{x}(u v)\left(t^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{d} t^{\prime}\right\|_{H^{s}(\mathbb{R})} \\
& \quad \lesssim \mathrm{e}^{2 \sqrt{2} T / \sqrt{27}}|T|^{(2 s+3) / 6}\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left|t^{\prime}\right|^{-(2 s+3) / 6}\left|1-t^{\prime}\right|^{2 s / 3} \mathrm{~d} t^{\prime}\right)\|u\|_{\mathscr{X}_{T}^{s}}\|v\|_{\mathscr{X}_{T}^{s}}  \tag{14}\\
& \quad \lesssim \mathrm{e}^{2 \sqrt{2} T / \sqrt{27}}|T|^{(2 s+3) / 6}\|u\|_{\mathscr{X}_{T}^{s}}\|v\|_{\mathscr{X}_{T}^{s}},
\end{align*}
$$

for all $0 \leq t \leq T$. On the other hand, a similar argument allows us to deduce for all $0 \leq t \leq T$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
|t|^{|s| / 3} & \left\|\int_{0}^{t} U\left(t-t^{\prime}\right) \partial_{x}(u v)\left(t^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{d} t^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} \\
& \leq t^{|s| / 3} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|\xi \mathrm{e}^{\left(t-t^{\prime}\right)\left(|\xi|-\left|\xi^{3}\right|\right)}\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}\left\|\widehat{u\left(t^{\prime}\right)} * \widehat{v\left(t^{\prime}\right)}(\xi)\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} \mathrm{d} t^{\prime} \\
& \leq t^{|s| / 3}\left(\int_{0}^{t}\left\||\xi| \mathrm{e}^{t^{\prime}\left(|\xi|-\left|\xi^{3}\right|\right)}\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} \frac{1}{\left|t-t^{\prime}\right|^{2|s| / 3}} \mathrm{~d} t^{\prime}\right)\|u\|_{\mathscr{X}_{T}^{s}}\|v\|_{\mathscr{X}_{T}^{s}} \\
& \lesssim \mathrm{e}^{2 \sqrt{2} T / \sqrt{27}} T^{(2 s+3) / 6}\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left|t^{\prime}\right|^{-1 / 2}\left|1-t^{\prime}\right|^{-2|s| / 3} \mathrm{~d} t^{\prime}\right)\|u\|_{\mathscr{X}_{T}^{s}}\|v\|_{\mathscr{X}_{T}^{s}} \\
& \lesssim \mathrm{e}^{2 \sqrt{2} T / \sqrt{27}} T^{(2 s+3) / 6}\|u\|_{\mathscr{X}_{T}^{s}}\|v\|_{\mathscr{X}_{T}^{s}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof.
Remark 1. If we consider $s^{\prime}>s>-3 / 2$, then after modifying the space $\mathscr{X}_{T}^{s^{\prime}}$ by

$$
\tilde{\mathscr{X}}_{T}^{s^{\prime}}=\left\{u \in \mathscr{X}_{T}^{s^{\prime}} ;\|u\|_{\tilde{\mathscr{X}}_{T}^{s^{\prime}}}<\infty\right\}
$$

with

$$
\|u\|_{\mathscr{X}_{T}^{s^{\prime}}}=\|u\|_{\mathscr{X}_{T}^{s^{\prime}}}+\sup _{t \in[0, T]} t^{|s| / 3}\left\|\left(1-\partial_{x}^{2}\right)^{\left(s^{\prime}-s\right) / 2} u(t)\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}
$$

and using

$$
\left(1+\xi^{2}\right)^{s^{\prime} / 2} \lesssim\left(1+\xi^{2}\right)^{s / 2}\left(1+\xi_{1}^{2}\right)^{\left(s^{\prime}-s\right) / 2}+\left(1+\xi^{2}\right)^{s / 2}\left(1+\left(\xi-\xi_{1}\right)^{2}\right)^{\left(s^{\prime}-s\right) / 2}
$$

and Proposition 2 we can deduce that for $s>s^{\prime}>-3 / 2$, we have (see (10))
$\left\|\int_{0}^{t} U\left(t-t^{\prime}\right) \partial_{x}(u v)\left(t^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{d} t^{\prime}\right\|_{\tilde{\mathscr{X}}_{T}^{s^{\prime}}} \lesssim \mathrm{e}^{2 \sqrt{2} T / \sqrt{27}} T^{\theta(s)}\left(\|u\|_{\tilde{\mathscr{X}}_{T}^{s^{\prime}}}\|v\|_{\mathscr{X}_{T}^{s}}+\|v\|_{\tilde{X}_{T}^{s^{\prime}}}\|u\|_{\mathscr{X}_{T}^{s}}\right)$.
Remark 2. We should note that Proposition 2 holds for $s \geq 0$. Indeed since $H^{s}(\mathbb{R})$ is an algebra for $s>1 / 2$, then bilinear estimate (9) holds easily. When $s \in[0,1 / 2]$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\int_{0}^{t} U\left(t-t^{\prime}\right) \partial_{x}(u v)\left(t^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{d} t^{\prime}\right\|_{H^{s}(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim\left\|\int_{0}^{t} \mathscr{V}\left(t-t^{\prime}\right) * \partial_{x}(u v)\left(t^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{d} t^{\prime}\right\|_{H^{s}(\mathbb{R})} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\mathscr{V}(t)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} x \xi} \mathrm{e}^{t\left(\mathrm{i} \xi^{3}-|\xi|^{3}+|\xi|\right)} \mathrm{d} \xi
$$

Observe that for any $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $\nu \geq 0$, we have for some $K>0$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|D^{\nu} \mathscr{V}(t)\right\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim \mathrm{e}^{K t} t^{-\frac{1}{3}\left(\nu+\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}\right)} \lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{3}\left(\nu+\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}\right)}, \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $0 \leq t \leq T \leq 1$, where $\widehat{D^{s \mathcal{V}}}=|\xi|^{s \hat{V}}$. Then by using the fractional Leibnitz rule, we get from (15), (16) and the Sobolev embedding that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\int_{0}^{t} U\left(t-t^{\prime}\right) \partial_{x}(u v)\left(t^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{d} t^{\prime}\right\|_{H^{s}(\mathbb{R})} \\
& \quad \lesssim \int_{0}^{t}\left\|\partial_{x} \mathscr{V}\left(t-t^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{L^{2 /(2 s+1)}(\mathbb{R})}\left\|\langle D\rangle^{s}(u v)\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{L^{1 /(1-s)}(\mathbb{R})} \mathrm{d} t^{\prime} \\
& \quad \lesssim \int_{0}^{t}\left(t-t^{\prime}\right)^{s / 3-1 / 2}\left\|u\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{L^{2 /(1-2 s)}(\mathbb{R})}\left\|v\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{H^{s}(\mathbb{R})} \\
& \quad \lesssim T^{\theta(s)}\|u\|_{\mathscr{X}_{T}^{s}}\|v\|_{\mathscr{X}_{T}^{s}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\langle\cdot\rangle=1+|\cdot|$ and $\theta(s)>0$ for any $s \geq 0$.
Next, we derive a regularity property which will be helpful in the regularity property in Theorem 1.

Proposition 3. Let $0 \leq t \leq T \leq T^{*}, s \in(-3 / 2,0)$ and $\kappa \in[0, s+3 / 2)$; then

$$
\mathbb{V}: t \longmapsto \int_{0}^{t} U\left(t-t^{\prime}\right) \partial_{x}\left(u^{2}\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} t^{\prime}
$$

is in $C\left([0, T] ; H^{s+\kappa}(\mathbb{R})\right)$, for all $u \in \mathscr{X}_{T}^{s}$.
Proof. Let $t_{0}, t_{1} \in[0, T]$ be fixed such that $t_{0}<t_{1}$. Then by the Minkowski inequality, we have

$$
\left\|\mathbb{V}\left(t_{1}\right)-\mathbb{V}\left(t_{0}\right)\right\|_{H^{s+\kappa}(\mathbb{R})} \leq \mathbb{V}_{1}\left(t_{0}, t_{1}\right)+\mathbb{V}_{2}\left(t_{0}, t_{1}\right)
$$

where

$$
\mathbb{V}_{1}\left(t_{0}, t_{1}\right)=\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}}\left\|U\left(t_{1}-t^{\prime}\right) \partial_{x}\left(u^{2}\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right)\right\|_{H^{s+\kappa(\mathbb{R})}} \mathrm{d} t^{\prime}
$$

and

$$
\mathbb{V}_{2}\left(t_{0}, t_{1}\right)=\int_{0}^{t_{0}}\left\|\left(U\left(t_{1}-t^{\prime}\right)-U\left(t_{0}-t^{\prime}\right)\right) \partial_{x}\left(u^{2}\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right)\right\|_{H^{s+\kappa}(\mathbb{R})} \mathrm{d} t^{\prime}
$$

By performing some straightforward computations, analogously to the proof of Proposition 2, we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{V}_{1}\left(t_{0}, t_{1}\right) & \leq\left(\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}}\left\|\left(1+\xi^{2}\right)^{(1+s+\kappa) / 2} \mathrm{e}^{\left(t_{1}-t^{\prime}\right)\left(|\xi|-\left|\xi^{3}\right|\right)}\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}\left|t^{\prime}\right|^{-2|s| / 3} \mathrm{~d} t^{\prime}\right)\|u\|_{\mathscr{X}_{T}^{s}}^{2} \\
& \lesssim\left(\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}}\left|t_{1}-t^{\prime}\right|^{-(2 s+2 \kappa+3) / 6} \mathrm{e}^{2 \sqrt{2}\left(t_{1}-t^{\prime}\right) / \sqrt{27}}\left|t^{\prime}-t_{0}\right|^{-2|s| / 3} \mathrm{~d} t^{\prime}\right)\|u\|_{\mathscr{X}_{T}^{s}}^{2} \\
& \lesssim \mathrm{e}^{2 \sqrt{2} T / \sqrt{27}}\left(t_{1}-t_{0}\right)^{(2 s-2 \kappa+3) / 6}\left[\int_{0}^{1}\left|1-t^{\prime}\right|^{-(2 s+2 \kappa+3) / 6}\left|t^{\prime}\right|^{-2|s| / 3} \mathrm{~d} t^{\prime}\right]_{\|u\|_{\mathscr{X}}^{T}}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, by using the hypotheses, we get that

$$
\lim _{t_{1} \rightarrow t_{0}} \mathbb{V}_{1}\left(t_{0}, t_{1}\right)=0
$$

On the other hand, we have

$$
\mathbb{V}_{2}\left(t_{0}, t_{1}\right) \leq\left(\int_{0}^{t_{0}}\left\|g\left(t_{0}, t_{1}, t^{\prime}, \xi\right)\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}\left|t^{\prime}\right|^{-2|s| / 3} \mathrm{~d} t^{\prime}\right)\|u\|_{\mathscr{X}_{T}^{s}}^{2}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
g\left(t_{0}, t_{1}, t^{\prime}, \xi\right)= & |\xi|^{s+\kappa+1}\left[e^{\left(t_{1}-t^{\prime}\right)\left(|\xi|-\left|\xi^{3}\right|\right)} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\left(t_{1}-t^{\prime}\right) \xi^{3}}\right] \\
& -|\xi|^{s+\kappa+1}\left[\mathrm{e}^{\left(t_{0}-t^{\prime}\right)\left(|\xi|-\left|\xi^{3}\right|\right)} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\left(t_{0}-t^{\prime}\right) \xi^{3}}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is clear that $g\left(t_{0}, t_{1}, t^{\prime}, \xi\right)$ tends to zero pointwise for almost every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t^{\prime} \in\left[0, t_{0}\right]$ when $\left|t_{1}-t_{0}\right| \rightarrow 0$. Hence

$$
\left|g\left(t_{0}, t_{1}, t^{\prime}, \xi\right)\right| \lesssim \chi_{\{|\xi| \leq 1\}}(\xi) \mathrm{e}^{2 \sqrt{2} T / \sqrt{27}}+|\xi|^{s+\kappa+1} \mathrm{e}^{\left(t_{0}-t^{\prime}\right)\left(|\xi|-\left|\xi^{3}\right|\right)}
$$

Thus, we deduce from the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem that

$$
\left\|g\left(t_{0}, t_{1}, t^{\prime}, \xi\right)\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} \longrightarrow 0
$$

as $t_{1} \rightarrow t_{0}$. Using again the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we conclude that

$$
\lim _{t_{1} \rightarrow t_{0}} \mathbb{V}_{2}\left(t_{0}, t_{1}\right)=0
$$

This completes the proof.

## 3. Local existence and ill-posedness

All the elements are now in place to mount a proof of the local well-posedness result in Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $s>-3 / 2$ and $u_{0} \in H^{s}(\mathbb{R})$. We are going to show that the operator $\Phi$ defined in (5) is a contraction in some closed ball of $\mathscr{X}_{T}^{s}$. By Propositions 1 and 2, there exist two positive constant $C=C(s)$ and $\theta=\theta(s)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\Phi(u)\|_{\mathscr{X}_{T}^{s}} \leq C\left(\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{s}(\mathbb{R})}+T^{\theta}\|u\|_{\mathscr{X}_{T}^{s}}^{2}\right) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\Phi(u)-\Phi(v)\|_{\mathscr{X}_{T}^{s}} \leq C T^{\theta}\|u-v\|_{\mathscr{X}_{T}^{s}}\|u+v\|_{\mathscr{X}_{T}^{s}} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $u, v \in \mathscr{X}_{T}^{s}$ and $0<T \leq T^{*}$. Now we define

$$
\mathscr{X}_{T}^{s}(b)=\left\{u \in \mathscr{X}_{T}^{s}:\|u\|_{\mathscr{X}_{T}^{s}} \leq b\right\} \quad \text { with } \quad b=2 C\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{s}(\mathbb{R})}
$$

and we choose

$$
0<T<\min \left\{1,(2 C b)^{-1 / \theta}\right\}
$$

Estimates (17) and (18) imply that $\Phi$ is a contraction on the Banach space $\mathscr{X}_{T}^{s}(b)$; so that we deduce by the fixed point theorem, the existence of a unique solution $u$ of the integral equation (5) in $\mathscr{X}_{T}^{s}(b)$ with the initial data $u(0)=u_{0}$. Note that Proposition 3 assures that $\Phi(u) \in C\left([0, T] ; H^{s}(\mathbb{R})\right)$.

The uniqueness of the solution of (5) on the whole space $\mathscr{X}_{T}^{s}$ and the smoothness of the flow map solution follow by standard arguments (see for example [13]).

Note that a similar contraction argument shows that the existence result holds for any $s^{\prime}>s>-3 / 2$, in the time interval $[0, T]$ with $T=T\left(\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{s}(\mathbb{R})}\right)$ (see Remark 1). Finally, we know that the map $t \longmapsto U(t) u_{0}$ is continuous in the time interval $(0, T]$ with respect to the topology of $H^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$. Since our solution $u$ belongs to $\mathscr{X}_{T}^{s}$, we deduce from Proposition 3 that there exists $\kappa>0$ such that the map $\mathbb{V}$ belongs to $C\left([0, T] ; H^{s+\kappa}(\mathbb{R})\right)$, so that

$$
u \in C\left((0, T] ; H^{s+\kappa}(\mathbb{R})\right)
$$

Therefore, by a standard bootstrapping argument, using the uniqueness result and the fact that the time interval of the existence of the solutions depends only on the $H^{s}(\mathbb{R})$-norm of the initial data, we deduce that

$$
u \in C\left((0, T] ; H^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})\right)
$$

Remark 3. A standard argument similar to [3], one can observe that if $u_{0} \in H^{s}(\mathbb{R})$, for $s \geq 0$, the corresponding local solution of (1) extends globally in time. More precisely, since the solution $u$ of $(1)$ is in $C\left((0, T] ; H^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})\right)$, one only needs to prove an a priori estimate for $u$. So u solves the Cauchy problem (1) in the classical sense. Recall that $T=T\left(\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{s}(\mathbb{R})}\right)$. This allows us to take the $L^{2}$-scalar product of (1) with $u$, integrate by parts and use the properties of the Hilbert transform (see for
example [10, 11]), the Gagliardo-Nierenberg inequality and the Young inequality to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\|u(t)\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} & =\left\|D^{1 / 2} u\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2}-\left\|D^{3 / 2} u\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} \\
& \leq C\left\|D^{3 / 2} u\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2 / 3}\|u\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{4 / 3}-\left\|D^{3 / 2} u\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} \leq C\|u\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C>0$ is independent of $t$. Then by the Gronwall inequality, it yields

$$
\|u(t)\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} \leq\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})} \mathrm{e}^{C T}, \quad \text { for all } t \in[0, T]
$$

Next, we are going to show that our well-posedness result is sharp. We will first prove that we cannot solve the Cauchy problem (1) in $H^{s}(\mathbb{R})$ using the fixed point theorem when $s<-3 / 2$. Then we show that this fact implies Theorem 2.
Remark 4. With a slight modification, the proofs of Theorems 2 and 3 (below) are very similar to Pastrán's results in his thesis [19]. The author should mention that he proved Theorems 2 and 3 independent of Pastrán's thesis in [19], and for the sake of completeness of this paper, the author gives the proofs in details here.
Theorem 3. Let $s<-3 / 2$ and $T>0$. Then, there does not exist any space $\mathscr{X}_{T}^{s}$ such that $\mathscr{X}_{T}^{s}$ is continuously embedded in $C\left([0, T] ; H^{s}(\mathbb{R})\right)$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{L_{T}^{\infty} H^{s}(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim\|u\|_{\mathscr{X}_{T}^{s}}, \quad \forall u \in \mathscr{X}_{T}^{s} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|U(t) u_{0}\right\|_{\mathscr{X}_{T}^{s}} \lesssim\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{s}(\mathbb{R})}, \quad \forall u_{0} \in H^{s}(\mathbb{R}) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\int_{0}^{t} U\left(t-t^{\prime}\right)(u v)_{x}\left(t^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{d} t^{\prime}\right\|_{\mathscr{X}_{T}^{s}} \lesssim\|u\|_{\mathscr{X}_{T}^{s}}\|v\|_{\mathscr{X}_{T}^{s}} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $u, v \in \mathscr{X}_{T}^{s}$.
Proof. Suppose that there exists a space $\mathscr{X}_{T}^{s}$ as in Theorem 3. Take $u_{0} \in H^{s}(\mathbb{R})$, $u(t)=U(t) u_{0}$, and fix $0<t<T$. Then by using relations (19), (20) and (21), we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\int_{0}^{t} U\left(t-t^{\prime}\right) \partial_{x}\left(\left(U\left(t^{\prime}\right) u_{0}\right)^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} t^{\prime}\right\|_{H^{s}(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{s}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will show that (22) fails for an appropriate choice of $u_{0}$, which would lead to a contradiction. Define $u_{0}$ by

$$
\widehat{u_{0}}(\xi)=N^{-s} \gamma^{-1 / 2}\left(\chi_{I_{1}}(\xi)+\chi_{I_{2}}(\xi)\right),
$$

where $N \gg 1, \gamma=N^{1-\epsilon_{0}}\left(0<\epsilon_{0} \ll 1\right.$ fixed $)$ and

$$
I_{1}=[N, N+2 \gamma], \quad I_{2}=[-N-2 \gamma,-N] .
$$

It is easy to see that

$$
\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{s}(\mathbb{R})} \sim 1
$$

Then, we use the definition of $U(t)$ and Fubini's theorem to get

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\widehat{h(\cdot, t)}(\xi)|: & =\left|\left(\int_{0}^{t} U\left(t-t^{\prime}\right) \partial_{x}\left(\left(U\left(t^{\prime}\right) u_{0}\right)^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} t^{\prime}\right)^{\wedge}(\xi)\right| \\
& =\left|\int_{0}^{t} \mathrm{i} \xi \mathrm{e}^{\left(t-t^{\prime}\right)\left(\mathrm{i} \xi^{3}-\left(|\xi|^{3}-|\xi|\right)\right)} \widehat{U\left(t^{\prime}\right) u_{0}} * \widehat{U\left(t^{\prime}\right) u_{0}}(\xi) \mathrm{d} t^{\prime}\right| \\
& =\left|\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} t \xi^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{i} \xi \widehat{u}_{0}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \widehat{u}_{0}\left(\xi_{2}\right) f\left(t, \xi, \xi_{1}\right) \mathrm{d} \xi_{1}\right| \\
& \gtrsim\left|\frac{1}{\gamma N^{2 s}} \int_{\mathscr{M}} \xi f\left(t, \xi, \xi_{1}\right) \mathrm{d} \xi_{1}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
f\left(t, \xi, \xi_{1}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-t\left(\left|\xi_{2}^{3}\right|-\left|\xi_{2}\right|+\left|\xi_{1}^{3}\right|-\left|\xi_{1}\right|\right)} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} t\left(\xi_{1}^{3}+\xi_{2}^{3}-\xi^{3}\right)}-\mathrm{e}^{-t\left(\left|\xi^{3}\right|-|\xi|\right)}}{\omega\left(\xi, \xi_{1}\right)}
$$

$\xi_{2}=\xi-\xi_{1}$,

$$
\omega\left(\xi, \xi_{1}\right)=\left|\xi_{1}\right|-\left|\xi_{1}^{3}\right|-\left|\xi_{2}^{3}\right|+\left|\xi_{2}\right|+\left|\xi^{3}\right|+|\xi|+3 \mathrm{i} \xi \xi_{1} \xi_{2}
$$

and

$$
\mathscr{M}=\left\{\xi_{1}: \xi_{1} \in I_{1}, \xi_{2} \in I_{2}\right\}
$$

When $\xi_{1} \in I_{1}$ and $\xi_{2} \in I_{2}$, we deduce that $\xi \in[2 N, 2 N+4 \gamma]$ and $\omega\left(\xi, \xi_{1}\right) \lesssim N^{3}$. Now we choose a sequence of times $t_{N}=N^{-3-\epsilon_{0}}$, so that $\mathrm{e}^{-\left(\left|\xi^{3}\right|-|\xi|\right) t_{N}} \sim \mathrm{e}^{-N^{3} t_{N}} \sim$ $\mathrm{e}^{-N^{-\epsilon_{0}}}>C>0$. Hence

$$
\left|\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-t\left(\left|\xi_{2}^{3}\right|-\left|\xi_{2}\right|+\left|\xi_{1}^{3}\right|-\left|\xi_{1}\right|-\left|\xi^{3}\right|+|\xi|\right)}}{\omega\left(\xi, \xi_{1}\right)}\right|=\frac{1}{N^{\mathrm{i}\left(\xi_{1}^{3}+\xi_{2}^{3}-\xi^{3}\right)}-1}+O\left(\frac{1}{N^{3+2 \epsilon_{0}}}\right) .
$$

Therefore,

$$
\|h(\cdot, t)\|_{H^{s}(\mathbb{R})} \gtrsim N^{-s-3 / 2-3 \epsilon_{0} / 2}
$$

Hence, we obtain that

$$
N^{-s-3 / 2-3 \epsilon_{0} / 2} \lesssim 1, \quad \forall N \gg 1
$$

which contradicts the assumption $s<-3 / 2$.
A proof of Theorem 2 is now in sight.
Proof of Theorem 2. Let $s<-3 / 2$, suppose that there exists $T>0$ such that the Cauchy problem (1) is locally well-posed in $H^{s}(\mathbb{R})$ in the time interval $[0, T]$ and that the flow map solution $\mathscr{F}: H^{s}(\mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow C\left([0, T] ; H^{s}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ is $C^{2}$ at the origin. When $u_{0} \in H^{s}(\mathbb{R})$, we will denote $u_{u_{0}}(t)=\mathscr{F}\left(u_{0}\right)(t)$ the solution of equation (1) with initial datum $u_{0}$. This means that $u_{u_{0}}$ is a solution of the integral equation

$$
u_{u_{0}}(t)=\mathscr{F}\left(u_{0}\right)(t)=U(t) u_{0}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} U\left(t-t^{\prime}\right) \partial_{x}\left(u_{u_{0}}^{2}\right)\left(t^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{d} t^{\prime}
$$

By computing the Fréchet derivative of $\mathscr{F}$ at $\varphi$ in the direction $u_{0}$, we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{\varphi} \mathscr{F}\left(u_{0}\right)(t)=U(t) u_{0}-\int_{0}^{t} U\left(t-t^{\prime}\right) \mathscr{B}\left[u_{\varphi}\left(t^{\prime}\right), d_{\varphi} \mathscr{F}\left(u_{0}\right)\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right] \mathrm{d} t^{\prime}, \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathscr{B}[\varphi, \psi]=(\varphi \psi)_{x}$. Since the Cauchy problem (1) is supposed to be well-posed, we know by using the uniqueness that $\mathscr{F}(0)(t)=u_{0}(t)=0$ and then we deduce from (23) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{0} \mathscr{F}\left(u_{0}\right)(t)=U(t) u_{0} . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (23), we compute the second Fréchet derivative at the origin in the direction ( $u_{0}, \psi$ ) and using (24), we deduce that

$$
d_{0}^{2} \mathscr{F}\left(u_{0}, \psi\right)(t)=-\int_{0}^{t} U\left(t-t^{\prime}\right) \mathscr{B}\left[U\left(t^{\prime}\right) \psi, U\left(t^{\prime}\right) u_{0}\right] \mathrm{d} t^{\prime}
$$

The assumption of $C^{2}$ regularity of $\mathscr{F}$ at the origin would imply that

$$
d_{0}^{2} \mathscr{F} \in \mathscr{L}\left(H^{s}(\mathbb{R}) \times H^{s}(\mathbb{R}), H^{s}(\mathbb{R})\right)
$$

which would lead to the following inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|d_{0}^{2} \mathscr{F}\left(u_{0}, \psi\right)(t)\right\|_{H^{s}(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{s}(\mathbb{R})}\|\psi\|_{H^{s}(\mathbb{R})} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $u_{0}, \psi \in H^{s}(\mathbb{R})$. But (25) is equivalent to (22) which has been shown to fail in the proof of Theorem 3.
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