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Intercultural reading of Rushdie’s novel written for children, but not only for them, shows that Rushdie simply lives and creates in the milieu of his time, equally rooted in the Indian heritage and in his modern Western upbringing. Salman Rushdie is deeply involved in everything around him and as a true magician of the written word he amalgamates the culture of his adopted homeland producing a blend of exquisite flavour. One might say that Haroun and the Sea of Stories is a dizzy juggling with intercultural, interliterary, intermedial, interlingual and intertemporal intertexts.

Ever since Julia Kristeva, incited by Bahtin’s concept of dialogue, introduced in the late sixties the notion of intertextuality\textsuperscript{2}, this particular theoretical approach has been greatly discussed and developed. It has yielded a rich harvest when applied to “highly intertextual literature of the 20th century”, as noticed by Broich and Pfister\textsuperscript{3}. And truly, apart from the issue of the applicability of intertextual analysis in creative and imaginative writing as such, the texture of postmodern writing often almost requires discussion along these lines. This is the case with Salman Rushdie’s \textit{Haroun and the Sea of Stories}, a fairy-tale like novel the first edition of which was published in 1990.

\textsuperscript{1} \textit{Intertextual} is used in this title in a very loose way. It does not only cover all textual relations of one text with another text, but also the relationship one text establishes through it’s textual procedure with other media.

\textsuperscript{2} The phenomenon was noticed many centuries earlier. An example from earlier times appears as motto to the the book \textit{Intertextualität} (ed. U. Broich, M. Pfister).

\textsuperscript{3} \textit{Intertextualität}, Tübingen, 1985, p. ix.
Growing up with postmodern literature Salman Rushdie developed his own style of widely recognized and, by literary critics, highly appreciated quality. Amongst the thick volumes of his kathās there is a thin one its intertextual nature disclosing in its very title. On the surface it seems to address children as a reading public, but it also appeals to adults, particularly those who need to reassure their faith in fundamental human beliefs; that good triumphs over evil, in the freedom of speech, in justice, in the possibility that happiness can be attained... This kathā of Rushdie’s is entitled Haroun and the Sea of Stories (further HSS). It is partly in line with Antoine de Saint Exupery’s The Little Prince. They share that special charm of an innocent child-like-attitude while providing deeper insights or disclosing universal truths. But while The Little Prince does not transgress the charming simplicity of the narrative and topical texture, HSS is rich with countless layers of meanings of all kinds. These, as a part of HSS’s charm are fully recognizable only when this small, gem-like kathā, in Rushdie’s necklace of huge pearl-like kathās, is read intertextually.

The first critics of HSS noticed and pointed out its directness and vigour, as well as its links with Arabic literary lore. Haroun from the title of the kathā points towards the Thousand and One Nights; even more obviously after we open the first pages and find out that the name of Haroun’s father is Rashid Khalifa. None other than the most celebrated of the Abbāsid Caliphs Hārūn al-Rashid then comes to mind, the magnificent Caliph whose splendour and “fame has been spread throughout East and West by the Arabian Nights”.

But let us put aside for the moment the meaning and the function of this intertext and observe the second word of the title, namely the Sea of Stories. Those well acquainted with Sanskrit literature associate it immediately with the Kathāsārītāgāra, the famous Sanskrit collection of stories. Its title, interpreted as the Ocean of the

---

4 Kathā is a Sanskrit literary term discussed later; in its loose sense it denotes a story in whichever literary form. Here it is used in that neutral meaning.

5 This was Rushdie’s first creative writing published after Homicide cast a fetva on him (14 February 1989). In a way it was also his answer to that fetva; Rushdie wrote and dedicated the book to his son Zafar, but he was also reassuring himself through it, as well as his readers, that the fetva might only obstruct his creative writing for a short while. The thick volumes of books which appeared later amply proved this.


7 Pondering, most probably along the lines of the Arabic non-vocalic script, E. Said thinks that Rashid is a disguise for Rushdie. This might be the case, but nevertheless we cannot dismiss Rashid’s link with the famous Abbāsid Caliph. If both interpretations are correct, we have an instance of double allusion which could be treated as an intertextual ślesha figure. We mean that we have here a poetic figure from classical Sanskrit literature used in a modern way.

Streams of Story\(^9\), later in the HSS text becomes one of the crucial intertexts for the picturesque presentation of the origin and meaning of a story as such. This intertextual play with the Arabic and Sanskrit leading storytelling achievements introduced in the very title of the novel Haroun and the Sea of Stories discloses its intertextuality as intercultural, and its theme as The Story.\(^10\)

1. **HHS in the context of postmodern literature and the Indian storytelling tradition**

What makes Rushdie’s story about the story so appealing to the modern reader is the charm and ease with which it is told. It is a book for children, but it is not a book for children only. It is a story about the story, but it is not only a story about the story, it is also a story about family life, politics, human nature, sadness, happiness...\(^11\)

HSS is a fairy-tale too, but it is not a fairy-tale only. Playing with the storytelling techniques, Rushdie opens the story in the fairy-tale style - There was once, in the country of Alifbay, a sad city, the saddest of cities, a city so ruinously sad that it had forgotten its name.\(^12\) Subsequently, the narrative is structured in a mixed postmodernistic way. Approaching the end we encounter an all-knowing narrator who communicates directly with the reader in the Calvino’s style: - Now I must tell you quickly about everything that happened while Haroun was away in the Old Zone... (179) And as this proceeds rapidly, we reach the very end of the kathā, which is again presented in a sort of fairy-tale style; it is a point-blank happy ending, where the formula and they lived happily ever after is transposed into Outside, in the living room, his mother had begun to sing.\(^211\) The direct statement of happiness from and they lived happily ever after is transposed into the suggestion of happiness, which gives the kathā the individuality of authorship and allows a deeper aesthetic experience of the literary text. After Rushdie’s version of the closing phrase and they lived happily ever after, as in many fairy-tales, come the words: The End. Hence the beginning and the ending of HSS, being told in the form of a fairy-tale, make the fairy-tale structure a sort of frame for HSS’s narrative.

The playfulness and magically unreal real worlds which HSS shares with literature of the end of the 20th century, might make one believe that Rushdie’s literary proce-

\(^9\) As Sanskrit compounds allow different readings the title of the Sanskrit storycollection is in Indological literature read as Ocean of the Rivers of Stories (F. Max Müller, A History of Ancient Sanskrit Literature), Ozean der Erzählungsströme (M. Winternitz, Geschichte der indischen Literatur), Ocean whose Rivers are Stories (A. K. Warder, Indian Kavya Literature Vol. VI), More u koje utječu rižeke priča / Sea into which the rivers of stories flow into (R. Katić, Stara indijska književnost), The Sea of the Stream of Stories (Lienhard, A History of Classical Poetry) and in similar other ways.

\(^10\) Of course, another aproach to HSS may disclose the essence of its topic in a different way.
dures belong exclusively to the western literary tradition, that is to say that this aspect of his writing remains untouched by the intercultural friction so characteristic of his entire literary and personal being. In fact HSS is more than full of fairytaleness, space and time are also treated in the postmodernistic way, meaning that they are ignored whenever possible; the typical postmodernistic literary procedures such as contradiction, permutation, discontinuity, randomness, excess, short circuit are also discerned. On the other hand the briefest glance at the old Sanskrit kathā reveals that Rushdie’s HSS shares some elements with it as well. That comes out clearly when we try to answer the question - Whether HSS is a rather long fairy-tale, adopted to the intended objectives and the current requirements, or is a short (postmodernistic) novel? The answer to this question is: Both of them and then also something else. That both and also something else, HSS shares with Sanskrit kathā.

In Sanskrit literary criticism kathā is used in two meanings; in a general sense it denotes a story, fiction or narrative of any type. In its specific sense it denotes a Sanskrit type of novel. Indian literary criticism does not pay any attention to the first general use of the term kathā, and it does not differentiate between the short narrative forms such as tale, fairytale and fable. All these forms are called kathā or akhyāyikā, sometimes also akhyāna, akhyānaka, upakhyāna, kathānaka etc. In many Sanskrit kathās the three short narrative forms are mixed in one and the same text. We find a similar nondifferentiation in HSS also.

In the specific sense kathā was primarily used to denote a “novel” with a “fictive story” as opposed to the akhyāyikā, the “novel” with a “true story”; in the later development of the two types of Sanskrit novel, the difference between them disappeared. Sanskrit novels in general display fairytaleness; a kathā “should have a delightful, wondrous story, generally a love-story, often taken from the older sources such as Guṇāḍhya’s lost Brhatkathā, which is then treated freely and imaginatively... The action is permeated with a markedly fantastic and miraculous atmosphere which fre-
Quently swings over to the supernatural..." The authors of Sanskrit kathās are masters in display of imagination and linguistic skills. Their kathās "could not finish in tragedy. An unhappy ending was unthinkable as the hero, an ideal type ... could never go wrong. Poetry was also to teach the lesson that, thanks to divine providence, the good will always triumph in the end in spite of all the vagaries of fortune." 

Imagination, delightfulness, fantastic and miraculous, linguistic skills, magic of words, happy ending are all present in HSS. They are not present in exactly the same way as they are present in the Sanskrit kathā, but they are present in a very similar way. HSS shares the happy ending with the classical Sanskrit kathā (not with Sanskrit kathā in its general sense) as well as with the fairy-tale as such.

Happy ending is a point at which HSS differs from postmodernistic literary texts which although full of fairytaleseness can not be looked upon as fairy-tales, because they lack optimism. It may be noted, although it is most probably an accidental correspondence, that HSS displays a delightful sense of humour, which Warder feels to be an exposed feature of the 11th century Sanskrit story telling. There is probably also an accidental feature that HSS and the Sanskrit novel have in common, they are both divided into chapters.

The above mentioned common features may partly result from the fairy-tale nature of HSS and Sanskrit kathā. It is hardly probable that some of them are not part of Rushdie’s Indian heritage, especially when the intertext of Kathāsaritsāgara from the title of HSS, found later in the text many times, is taken into consideration. Rushdie was brought up as a child amidst the Indian storytelling tradition, imbibing its narrative textures along with listening to the stories being told. It is only natural that some of the elements of these narrative textures translate into Rushdie’s storytelling when he decides to write a kahani for his son Zafar.

---

20 S. Lienhard, op. cit., p. 230.
22 M. Solar, op. cit., p. 61.
24 Kathāsaritsāgara is divided into 18 lambakas (books or larger sections) containing 124 tarangas (chapters or waves); lambakas are marked by name and number and tarangas only by number. HSS is divided into 12 chapters which are marked by number and name. Formal organization of the text of this, or a similar type, is found in many postmodernistic narratives.
25 An interesting, surely accidental coincidence may be mentioned here; the compiler of Kathāsaritsāgara, Somadeva, comes from 11th century Kashmir, the same “dreamland” from which Rushdie's family originates and which appears in HSS twice as an intertext, once underlining the breathtaking beauty of the scenery and the second time pointing to the present day conflict torn area of the Subcontinent (cf. infra).
26 Kahāni is the hindi/urdū term for kathā and Rushdie uses it in HSS as it is.
2. Intertextual nature of HSS

In the same way that Rushdie takes delight in life, a good life full of humour, he also takes delight in HSS while playing with the intertextual postmodernistic procedures. However for him it is not a means to its own end. He is consciously juggling intertexts taken from the Indian, Arabic and European literary traditions. Consequently, HSS can be regarded as a text with a high degree of intertextual intensity in the meaning of Pfister's Kommunikativität category. HSS also displays a high degree of intertextual intensity in Pfister's Seliktitivität category. According to Pfister, intertexts which constitute a selection of smaller units of a proto-text, intensify the degree of intertextuality. Such is the case with the HSS intertexts which appear when questions like - what is a story, how does it come up, how is it developed, arise.

In this respect, Rushdie goes along poststructuralistic lines, but in a playful and vivid manner. His concept of story is not a single echo, but is in complete agreement with Barthes's stance that each text is a "chambre d'échos". To express this concept artfully and picturesquely in a fairy-tale like novel written for a child, he calls to his aid intertexts primarily from the Sanskrit and Arabic tradition, as well as from European story lore. With the intertext Kathāsaritsāgara, appearing in HSS in two variants, in the title of the novel as The Sea of Stories, and later in the text as The Ocean of the Streams of Story, Rushdie masterly lays out the foundation for his unpretentiously pretentious revelation of how and where stories originate from.

He says:

... water ... was made up of a thousand thousand thousand and one currents, each one a different colour, weaving in and out of one another like a liquid tapestry of breathtaking complexity ... these were the Streams of Story, that each coloured strand represented and contained a single tale. Different parts of the Ocean contained different sorts of stories, and as all the stories that had ever been told and many that were still in the process of being invented could be found here, the Ocean of the Streams of Story was in fact the biggest library in the universe. And because the stories were held here in fluid form, they retained the ability to change, to become new versions of themselves, to join up with other stories and so become yet other stories; so that unlike a library of books, the Ocean of the Streams of Story was much more than a storeroom of yarns. It was not dead but alive. (72)

This passage tempts one to interpret it in a lighthearted way; but we shall stick to our point - the Kathāsaritsāgara as an intertext. In the interpretation of it's meaning

28 Ibid., p. 28.
30 Compare footnote 9. The compiler of Kathāsaritsāgara also chose this name intentionally for his storycollection, though for a slightly different reason.
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Rushdie makes a slight but significant shift. In accordance with the poststructuralistic concept that stories are just variations of the one and the same story, Rushdie talks about the *Ocean of the Streams of Story* and not stories. Nevertheless, this intertext underlines the richness and complexity of storytelling. Moreover, it includes another intertext, the Arabic one, which signifies the same thing. It states that streams of story are *a thousand thousand thousand and one different currents*. These *thousand thousand and one different currents* can be understood only as an intertextual playing with the Arabic storycollection *Thousand and One Nights*. This intertext appears in HSS in more places, as when the houseboat allotted to Haroun and Rashid is called *Arabian Nights Plus One* (50) and the capital of the Land of Gup was built upon an Archipelago of *one thousand and one small islands* (87). Here, as in the HSS as a whole, elements of the Eastern literary tradition are easily and meaningfully interwoven into the fabric of creative writing, primarily based on the end of the 20th century Western literature’s theoretical and procedural concepts.

As postmodernistic literature plays with tradition and literature, so does Rushdie artfully play with the story concept, with the modern research of story motives, with storytelling procedures, with the reality and truthfulness of story. In a rather unpretentious way story in HSS becomes a symbol of free thinking, symbol of freedom in general. When Haroun meets the demon-like ruler of Chupwalas, the Cultmaster Khattam-Shud who is poisoning the stories, he asks him:

"But why do you hate stories so much?" ... "Stories are fun...."

"The world, however, is not for Fun," Khattam-Shud replied. "The world is for Controlling."

"Which world?" Haroun made himself ask.

"Your world, my world, all worlds," came the reply. "They are all there to be Ruled. And inside every single story, inside every Stream in the Ocean, there lies a world, a storyworld, that I cannot Rule at all. And that is the reason why." (161)

What Khattam-Shud tries to destroy is the Source of Stories which "was a hole or a chasm or crater in the sea-bed, and through that hole ... the glowing flow of pure, unpolluted stories came bubbling up from the very heart of Kahani. There were so many Streams of Story, of so many different colours, all pouring out of the Source at once, that it looked like a huge underwater fountain of shining white light"(167). This shining white light which gives hope to everyone opposing repression, is made of different colours of streams. "And it was in the colours that the best parts of the Stories in those Streams were encoded: their vividness, lightness and vivacity." (122)

Vividness, lightness and vivacity back up three other qualities of the story; namely, purity, innocence and ability to rescue. The same can be said of the story’s guards - the Guppees. They are blabbermouths and chatter-boxes; they endlessly discuss and exag-

---

31 Guppees are the caretakers of the *Ocean of the Streams of Story*.
gerate; when enraged, as when they caught Rashid suspecting him of being a spy, they even propose cruel punishments like ripping out the fingernails, a slow and painful killing, or a million volts in an electric chair (97). But only for a moment they stay mad. When earnestly asked how he would punish a spy, the good Water Genie Iff replies naively: “**Maybe we should scold him. Or make him stand in the corner. Or write I must not spy** one thousand and one times. **Or is that too severe?**“32 (98) The good-natured, gullible Guppies swallow without a wink Rashid’s story about how he arrived into the land of Gup through certain dietary procedures.

Guppees are guppy33 and naive, but when the time comes, their king named **Chattergy** and their general named **Kitab** know how to pull them together and acquire mastery over the situation. The king’s name Chattergy - which sounds like “chattering, blabbering”, stirs conflicting notions for those aquainted with the Indian cultural millieu. At one and the same time it mocks and calls for respect. Chattergy (usually spelled Chatterjee34) is a typical Bengali family name. In India Bengalis are considered a very artistic, highly cultured, and intellectually not pretentious part of society. Using a Bengali family name is an apt intertextual descriptive reference to the king’s personality, whose soldiers are called Pages. Guppees, the story’s guards, represent freedom of speech, they symbolize the endangered written word. Just like King Chattergy, so is the Guppee General for a very good reason given the name Kitab - The Book.

The name **Kitab** could be read as an attractive intertextual game, played with the cultural traditions based on the Book.35 By taking the word Kitab as this kind of intertext, Rushdie underscores the wisdom contained in time proven values. General Kitab’s deportment and actions display this wisdom. It is conspicuous from his very first description: “**a weatherbeaten old gent with a rectangular uniform made of finely-tooled gold-inlay leather, of the sort Haroun had sometimes seen on the covers of old and valuable books.**” (89)

---

32 Here again Rushdie plays in his typical way with the intertext one thousand and one. Normally it underlines the richness and beauty of the storyworld or the abundance of something which is desirable. In this instance it is just the opposite; the intertext ridicules the old fashioned way of punishing children by making them write a sentence many times over.

33 Their name is derived from the Hindi word gap meaning gossip, but also nonsense or fib.

34 Writing of -gy instead of the usual spelling -jee is a typical Rushdie ludic shift. The whole name can be interpreted also as an interlingual joke. Namely, if we read Chattergy as an Anglo-Indian hybrid compound (-gú/jee = the respected one), it means The Respected Mister Chattering. This is not a farfetched supposition; in his novel *The Moor’s Last Sigh*, Rushdie explains the name of one of the characters, Lambajan Chandiwala, as an inter-lingual joke meaning Long John Silverfellow (-jan reads as the English John and the rest is Hindi translation of Long/John/Silverfellow)./Ntintage paperback ed. 1995, p. 126/

35 The connotation seems primarily directed towards the Arabic cultural tradition, since the Arabic word for book is chosen.
Old values are mixed up with new ones in HSS in the same way in which the story-telling is visualised as a kind of juggling: "You keep a lot of different tales in the air, and juggle them up and down, and if you’re good you don’t drop any."(109) Rushdie juggles craftily them, their relations to the modern times phenomena and the scientific research of story lore. When a fairy-tale moves in this world and in cosmic space as well, the Unidentified Flying Objects/UFO are imminent. In HSS UFO’s travelling to Earth is motivated by inter-galactic gastronomy. On planet Kahani "food production is strictly basic."(92) It’s inhabitants must travel to Earth “for tasty and wicked luxury items.”(92)\textsuperscript{36}

In this fairy-tale like story of the end of the 20th century, there is a lot of dialogue between the characters and objects of classical fairy-tale stock and those of modern times; a standard item from the Eastern fairy tale lore is a magic carpet. It does not appear directly in HSS, but in a transposed way and condensed in the sentence describing the beauty of the vista of the Valley of K: “a view spread like a magic carpet, waiting for someone to come and take a ride.(34) The inviting beauty of the wondrous, hard to imagine scenery is expressed by a classical fairy-tale requisite – the magic carpet – being spread out. Later in the text we come across the modern times’ requisites such as UFOs.\textsuperscript{37}

Pollution, the scourge of modern times, reverberates throughout the Ocean of Story:

"The waters of the Ocean were growing thicker by the mile, thicker and colder; many of the Streams of Story were full of a dark, slow-moving substance that looked like molasses."(140)

The situation is so alarming that ‘top speed’(75) action is called for. The gravity of the danger is realized when the ending of a Princess Rescue Story, which comes to Haroun in a dream, is terrifying.

Princess Rescue Story, well known from the world story heritage, appears in HSS as an intertext underscoring the horrors the present day world is exposed to. At the same time this intertext leaves room for hope; it is only toying with the threat that even stories might go wrong. Namely, when Princess Rescue Story is just about to turn into an awful, unsuccessful rescue story, the reader is told it was all a dream. Rushdie artfully plays with the intertext giving it both a ludic and scientific connotation. The ludic part of it lies in the appearance of the scientific precision by which the fairy-tale intertext is surrounded. Princess Rescue Story is presented, labelled by numbers and letters in a formula-like style, reminiscent of the research work carried out in the field of folk literature by Aarne and Thompson. They have organized the data on the types

\textsuperscript{36} When Earthlings visit other galaxies during their scientific shuttle missions, they are served synthetic foods. Jokingly, Rushdie concocts that UFOs visit Planet Earth because they crave for expensive food items, alluding to idiosyncrasies of modern society.

\textsuperscript{37} This could be interpreted as an intertemporal relationship also (cf. Infra).
and motives of folktales in world literature, indexing them under exact and precise capital letters and numbers. By using this coding method as an intertext, Rushdie manages to clothe the tale lore in a scientific garb, pointing out that story versions are manifold and their motives widespread.

Arne-Thompson gives the number 310 to the HSS Princess Rescue Story, calling it *Maiden in the Tower.* In his subsequent research Thompson records the motif *Captivity in Tower* under code R 41. and the motif *Girl's Long Hair as Ladder into Tower* under code F 848.1. Using the same type of coding system Rushdie presents the Maiden in the Tower story as *Princess Rescue Story G/1001/RIM/777/M(w)i.* The version of the story which comes to Haroun in a dream, Rushdie labels as *Princess Rescue Story S/1001/ZHT.420/41(r)xii.* (73) Rushdie tells his reader directly that the *Princess Rescue Story G/1001/RIM/777/M(w)i* is the one they know by the name of *Rapunzel.* The tale is about the rescue of a maiden imprisoned in a tower, visited by a witch and a prince. They climb up to the tower with the help of the maiden’s long hair used as a ladder. When the witch discovers the prince and the maiden’s affair, she cuts the girl’s hair. After falling off the tower the prince turns blind. The maiden cries in sorrow, and her tears restore his sight.

In Rushdie’s version of the Princess Rescue Story, the captive princess’ hair is already cut preventing Haroun from climbing to the top of the tower with its help. Haroun climbs, killing the monsters on the way, and "clinging to the tracks between the stones with his bare hands and feet". (73) Halfway up, his limbs start transforming into hairy spiders’ legs with more limbs pushing out from his sides. The terrified princess hacks and chops them off. Haroun finally falls off, only to wake up terrified.

Narrated at the moment when the battle for the ability of storytelling just starts and its outcome still uncertain, Rushdie’s Princess Rescue Story, or rather pollution story, ends in terror, indicating the current precarious state of things. Both Princess Rescue Stories’ codes include the number 1001, underscoring that there are countless versions of the story. The *Rapunzel* type of story is the only one having the familiar happy ending and has triple 7 in its code number – generally thought of as a lucky number. So here again there is an intertextually interlaid intertext. The relation of intertext 1001

38 This type of intertext is usually discussed as an intermedial one, on account of it not being taken from a belles-lettres text but from one belonging to scientific literature, in this case to the research literature of folktales. This intermedial intertext should not be interpreted as a metaleterary case, as it does not further the dialogue with the folktale motives theory. Nevertheless, it does contain elements of Genette’s metatextuality in the sense that the new variant of a well known story, made up for the needs of HSS narrative, is presented in the same way it would be as if registered in the scientific indexes. This could be taken as a remark on the new variant of the story, which at the same time is commentary on the pretext.


and the triple 7 number represents a kind of a frame for the intertext Rapunzel. So structured intertextual relations led to one more. Namely, when Haroun saw how his new limbs started pushing from his sides, *he understood that he was somehow turning into a monster.* Here we encounter a monster, the intertext taken from the Kafka’s famous story *Ungeziefer.* This type of arrangement of intertextual framings reminds us of Chinese carved ivory balls that tightly fit one into another.

There is yet another aspect of intertextuality in HSS, which we call intratextuality. It could be described as a musical motif, played lightly in the beginning, and ever so slightly more developed, later on. We often come across keyweighty statements which are repeatedly referred to in the text later. *What’s the use of stories that aren’t even true?*, is one such example. One of the main topics of the text is the significance of story as a literary product and its relationship to reality. The topic is introduced in the opening pages of the book and the book closes by offering an answer to it.

Engrossed in storytelling and carried away by his success Rashid doesn’t notice that his neglected wife Soraya was “turning cloudy and even a little thunderous and brewing up quite a storm.” Their neighbour Mr. Sengupta a *sticky-thin and whiny-voiced* and *mingy* (19) clerk uses the opportunity to approach the neglected wife, and tell her how useless her husband’s way of life is. The keysentence conveying Sengupta’s contempt of Rashid’s life engrossed in the world of stories, is *“What’s the use of stories that aren’t even true?”* This articulation of contempt for storytelling, motivates subsequent action in the narrative. Haroun, abandoned by his mother on account of his father’s storytelling – the only work his father knew how to do – loses his temper and yells at Rashid “*What’s the use of stories that aren’t even true?”* Unconsciously, he repeated Sengupta’s words. This is the final blow to Rashid and he is completely shattered: his storytelling powers dry up. The same phrase is repeated in other circumstances. Facing his father’s breakdown, Haroun recalls the words he believes caused his father’s troubles and decides to do something about it. The intratext becomes the start of a new set of events in the plot, whereby Rashid’s storytelling powers are to be restored.

This intratext also opens up the question of what is the meaning of a story in human life. One of the explanations is typically post-modernistic – *“the real world was full of magic, so magical worlds could easily be real.”* The reality of the storyworld is not to be dismissed lightly; it can even have pragmatic justification. Politicians know this and they make use of it: “*Nobody ever believed anything a politico said, even though they pretended as hard as they could that they were telling the truth. (In fact, this was how everyone knew they were lying.) But everyone had complete faith in Rashid, because he always admitted that everything he told them was completely untrue and made out of his own head. So the politicos needed Rashid to help them win the people’s*”

---

42 That this *monster* is an intertext taken from Kafka’s *Ungeziefer* supports a further intertext which directly refers to Kafka himself.
votes.” (20) However, this is only one of the possible answers. Another explanation may lie in the human need for happiness as an essential element for leading a normal life. When there is not sufficient happiness to be found in a daily life full of pollution, then that attained by reading a story is of immense importance and help. By the end of the book an adventurous battle against the devastation of the Ocean of the Streams of Story is fought and won by the Guppees – the caretakers of the Ocean, with the help of Haroun and his father. After the battle the latter two return to their city, where they find people joyfully playing in the rain. Haroun is very suspicious of what he sees because nothing had really changed. Factories still manufactured sadness, and people were still poor. He worries that the happiness surrounding him is just a figment of imagination aroused by his story-book characters. He is depressed until he meets a policeman who tells him that the people were happy because they managed to recall their city’s name. And that name is Kahani, – meaning Story.(209)\(^43\)

Rushdie brings thus to conclusion his dialogue with the intratextual intertext

What’s the use of stories that aren’t even true? The everlasting question what’s the consequence of literature, receives a typical Rushdie reply, delicately tottering on the edge of banality, if it is retold. His answer is as simple as a child’s reaction. It is – happiness.

Rushdie’s playing with the story corresponds to storytelling being looked upon as a type of juggling. In HSS this boisterous playfulness is underscored with an intertext of the above mentioned intratextual type. At the very beginning of the text we read: “Haroun often thought of his father as a Juggler, because his stories were really lots of different tales juggled together, and Rashid kept them going in a sort of dizzy whirl, and never made a mistake.” (16) And when the dizzy whirl of the HSS kathā is in full swing, the juggling – which yet again reminds Haroun of storytelling – is visualised in the passage when the Guppe “Blabbermouth took three soft balls made of golden silk from one of her pockets, tossed them in the air so that they caught the sunlight, and began to juggle. She juggled behind her back, over and under her leg, with her eyes closed, and lying down, until Haroun was speechless with admiration; and every so often she’d throw all balls high into the air, reach into her pockets, and produce more of the soft golden spheres, until she was juggling nine balls, then ten, then eleven. And every time Haroun thought, “She can’t possibly keep them all up”, she’d add even more balls to her whirling galaxy of soft silken suns.”(108-109)

The milky way of intertexts in HSS is as fascinating as the galaxy of soft silken suns. What may be even more impressive than Blabbermouth’s juggling of sunlit silken balls is Rushdie’s juggling with the Shiva dance as an intermedial intercultural

\(^{43}\) The intercultural intertextual play with the word Kahani was introduced in HSS earlier. The name of the planet of the Ocean of the Streams of Story is also Kahani, but Rushdie chooses not to explain the meaning of the word in that first instance. He does so at the end, at the same time revealing the real reason why people are happy. The function of the intext Kahani does not require special elaboration as the position of Oriental story-telling is well known.
intertext.\textsuperscript{44} No less fascinating then this is his juggling with politics, dictatorship, obedient citizens and so forth.

Politics is an unavoidable aspect in Rushdie’s literary activity\textsuperscript{45}. In this fairy-tale-like kathā his attitude towards political events and towards the functioning of the political world is often conveyed, supported by the intertexts from well known stories. Crowned heads, the unquestioned dignitaries in so many countries, have their representatives in HSS in the figures of Princess Batcheat and Prince Bolo from the Land of Gup. Rushdie satirises the way the mighty consider themselves deserving of all credit, and how they make themselves believe that they are the heroes of all important events, by making Princess Batcheat order the most famous stories of all times to be rewritten in such a way that her beloved Prince Bolo becomes their main protagonist (106). In the Land of Gup we meet Pages carrying the stories entitled \textit{Bolo and the Golden Fleece}, \textit{Bolo and the Wonderful Lamp}, \textit{Bolo and the Forty Thieves}, \textit{Bolo the Sailor}, \textit{Bolo and Juliet}, \textit{Bolo in Wonderland} etc.

Rushdie shows the vanity and might of those in power to be timeless and universal as he plays with the most famous of famous stories of the East as well as those of the West, with stories of ancient and those of modern times, with those for grown ups, and those for children. Princess Batcheat’s utter banality and catastrophic singing, witnessed on more than one occasion, as well as Prince Bolo’s pretentious and foolish behaviour in serious situations, highlighted by the above cited intertexts, betray the shabbiness, flimsy knowledge and frivolousness of formal rulers. People fond of traditional institutions forgive them all their follies and foibles. This can be so, since those in real charge, never allow crowned heads to do anything very important. That, “\textit{they are not really let do anything very important round there}” appears in HSS as an intratextual intext (104, 193) underscoring such a state of affairs.

The general characteristic of the intertexts in HSS corresponds to the general narrative nature. Intertexts in HSS very often comprise a small shift which gives them that little something of Rushdie’s ludic style, as in the case of \textit{Arabian Nights Plus One} – (50) In other cases they are intentionally adjusted to the requirements of the context they are used in, also not without a touch of humour, as example – \textit{for Batcheat and the Ocean} – (91), – \textit{water, water everywhere; not any trace of land} – (68). This ludicity, fun and humour, all in the intercultural context, are the dominant traits of the intertexts discussed. They are also interwoven into other interrelations, such as intermediality, interlinguality, intertemporality. Each time in a playful way, HSS truly vibrates due to the juggling of different types of intercultural interrelations.

\textsuperscript{44} Intertexts which are not from belles-lettres but from another branch of art are also intermedial interetexts (cf. Infra).

\textsuperscript{45} Taking into consideration his narrative opus as a whole he could be interpreted as being a politically engaged writer.
3. Intermediality

The intermedial relationships are vehemently manifested in HSS, particularly those which this narrative establishes with other forms of art, with music, dance, film, and with theory of literature.

HSS vibrates with sounds and pictures. Vibration of sound is found often in poetic language and represents a well known poetical feature. In HSS it takes an especially enchanting form, both in verse as well as in prose:

Z  embla, Zenda, Xanadu:
A  ll our dream-worlds may come true.
F  airy lands are fearsome too.
A  s I wander far from view
R  ead, and bring me home to you.

This HSS opening verse, reverberating with sounds, discloses in a nutshell almost all the main characteristics of the text, starting from its theme, right to the way in which it will be unfolded. The playfulness, the storyworlds, the dedication to his son Zafar, the resonant intertext Xanadu, all of them are represented within. 46

But the mere reverberation of sounds in these lines cannot be treated as an intermedial relation. This relation is defined by correlation between systems, not between single phenomena belonging to two types of art forms. 47 Such is the case in HSS with the intratextual intertext:

"a skinny, scrawny, snivelling, drivelling, mingy, stingy, measly, weaselly, clerkish sort of fellow" (190).

These four rhyming pairs of words describe the Cultmaster Khattam-Shud just before his final defeat. It is the climactic moment of victory over the dry, fearsome and dangerous bureaucratic mentality. Characters representing that sort of mentality are either despot like Cultmaster Khattam-Shud, or are simply an unimaginative, spineless crowd. Their looks are deftly described by the pair skinny – scrawny and their inner qualities by snivelling – drivelling, mingy – stingy, measly – weaselly. The description comes at the end of the book when we meet the ultimate personification of these character traits. When that kind of a person or persons appear earlier in the text, they are described as sticky-thin, whiny-voiced, mingy type, 48 or as scrawny, snivelling, weaselly-looking types 49 or alternately weaselly, scrawny, snivelling clerical types. 50 The same character traits progress and develop in a sort of crescendo - skinny,

46 A thorough analysis of this verse, given as a motto to the book, would require a separate article, which could successfully proceed along the lines of Genette’s paratextuality category.
48 Mr. Sengupta, neighbour and clerk with whom Soraya, Haroun’s mother and Rashid’s wife, elopes (p 19).
49 Chupwalas when Haroun meets them for the first time (p. 148).
50 Again the Chupavalas (p. 152).
scrawny, measly, weaselly snivelling\textsuperscript{51} and then again – snivelling, drivelling, mingy, stingy, measly, weaselly\textsuperscript{52} ending in “skinny, scrawny, snivelling, drivelling, mingy, stingy, measly, weaselly”. Themes and motives reverberate as if recurring in a symphony heading towards a dramatic climax, with a slightly humorous shift.

HSS vibrates as much with images as it does with sounds. Once again vibrating images are a universal feature of literature. We often read about memorable scenes described in such and such a book. HSS is specific in that a considerable part of its picturesqueness springs from its intermedial relation with movies, particularly the animated and action films. The motion picture style of structuring of scenes, persons and events is being transposed, or we might even say, translated, into the medium of words. It is a quality Rushdie shares with a number of his contemporary writers. The fairytalesness of postmodernistic writing, structured at the end of the 20th century into a fairy-tale-like sort of story, which is what we find the HSS to be, is represented, occasionally, through the imagery showing his familiarities with Movieland.\textsuperscript{53} We usually come across the fact that literature and film relations are viewed from the point of a literary text being transformed into a screenplay and then retold in film medium. Less often are reverse cases investigated, wherein the elements of a film appear in literary texts. We come across them several times in HSS. Often present is the cinematic fluidity of motion, as well as film-like editing of action. This facilitates the fairy-tale-like events to proceed, and also to maintain the modern fairy-tale-like form of the story’s characters and events.

The speed with which the driver of the mail coach makes his way through the mountain passes in order to reach the magnificent vista of the Valley of K in the daylight, is presented as if on a screen: “The Mail Coach rushed up into the Mountains of M, swinging around terrifying curves with a great squealing of tyres. The luggage (which was all tied down on the roof rack) began to shift about in a worrying way.”\textsuperscript{(36)} Apart from the speed and the shifting of the luggage being clearly visualized, the reader can even hear the squealing of tyres.

The multidimensional bearing of the text – as if one can see and hear the scene – quite often appears as if it were an animated cartoon: concisely, clearly and quickly – helps the reader enjoy HSS in a childlike manner: “The poisoned waters lapped at Butt

\textsuperscript{51} The Cultmaster Khattam-Shud when Haroun encounters him for the first time (p. 153).

\textsuperscript{52} The Cultmaster Khattam-Shud when Haroun identifies him with Mr. Sengupta (p. 155).

\textsuperscript{53} Rushdie was born in Bombay, the center of the mighty Indian film industry, which is familiarly referred to as Bollywood. He grew up in the era of prevalence of film media, fully participating in this branch of art. This resulted also in his book of film criticism The Wizard of Oz. After having completed this article, I came across Meenakshi Mukherjee’s reading of HSS (Politics and Children’s Literature: A Reading of “Haroun and the Sea of Stories”, ARIEL: A Review of International English Literature, 29:1, January 1998), where she discusses also intermedial relationship between HSS and Satyajit Ray’s children’s movie Goopy Gayen and Bagha Bayen (The Adventures of Goopy and Bagha).
the Hoopoe’s sides – and then splashed suddenly higher, as the Web of Night was brought to an abrupt halt. Iff and Haroun, acting by reflex, jerked their feet away from the splashing liquid, and one of the Water Genie’s attractively embroidered and twirly-pointed slippers fell (from, to be precise, his left foot) into the Ocean; where, quick as a blink, with a fizz and a hiss and a burble and a gurgle, it was instantly eaten away, ...”(146).

As the turbulence of the eventful search for Rashid’s storytelling ability subsides, Rushdie again employs an animated cartoon technique. This time it is applied to creating a happy and peaceful atmosphere. A policeman “floating by on an upturned umbrella” (208) announced that people are happy because they remembered the city’s forgotten name. Kahani – he said brightly “as he floated off down the flooded street” (209). The clusters of fl and ff consonants in floated off down the flooded street generate a gliding sensation which only a cartoon can visualize, where the movements can turn into any desired form.

The work is full of cartoon like figures and characters. The army of the Land of Gup, located on the planet Kahani, and consising of Pages, organized into Chapters and Volumes, is as if marching in a sophisticated animated movie. The army called Library is lead by General Kitab. The army recruited from Guppee citizens, named Pages, who are as garrulous as could be, does not act in a cartoon like way. But the name given to it – Pages – evokes characters from an intellectual breed of animated movies. The same happens when we read the comment on how they behaved during the battle: “The Pages of Gup, now that they had talked through everything so fully, fought hard, remained united, supported each other when required to do so, and in general looked like a force with a common purpose.”(184/5) – as if the scene was borrowed from an intellectually oriented animation.

Only a moment later the same Pages and the Chupwalas appear like buffoons out of a cartoon, Chupwalas are described as having spherical black nosewarmers; the Guppee Pages have red ones.(179) The Pages of Gup become even more zany wearing weird helmets: “around the rim of each helmet was a sort of hatband that lit up brightly when the helmet was worn. This made the Pages of Gup look rather like a regiment of angels or saints, because they all had shining haloes around their heads.”(179) Typically, the Pages resemble buffoons and angels at the same time.

Other such examples of this are for instance: “the gnarled old Floating Gardner, Mali, with his lilac lips and hat of roots”(138). Another describes Water Genie Iff as “wearing a huge purple turban on his head and baggy silk pajamas gathered at the ankles”(55), leaving the impression of having “an outsize onion for a head and outsize aubergines for legs”(54). And again Haroun in his favourite long night shirt “bright red with purple patches”(53). Completely cartoonish is the house Haroun and his parents live in. Even Haroun thought it to look more like a cake than a building for it was “a small concrete house with pink walls, lime-green windows and bluepainted balconies with squiggly metal railings”(18).
There is one more type of this intermedial relation, achieved by the finest command of language. In the depiction of speed, for instance, we experience the sensation of wild speed as though watching velocity along with all its dizzy hazards develop in an action movie. In Butt the Hoopoe’s effort to explain why Earthlings have not noticed Planet Earth’s second satellite, we read: “But but but it is because of Speed ... Speed, most Necessary of Qualities! In any Emergency – fire, auto, marine – what is required above all things? Of course, Speed: of fire truck, ambulance, rescue ship. –And what we prize in a brainy fellow? –Is it not his Quickness of Thought? –And in any sport, Speed (of foot, hand, eye) is of the Essence! –And what humans cannot do quickly enough, they build machines to do faster. –Speed, super Speed!” (67) Though speed reverberates in sound, we can almost experience its physical sensation like following a car chase on the wide screen. The effect is produced in motion picture editing style, through masterful use of words and language-broken sentences interlaid with accumulation of words denoting either objects or parts of body which can obtain a dizzy speed. The cinematic type of speed suggested in the quoted passage hurries through not only like the “speed” of contemporary life, but also shows humans in awe of bewildering tempo – And what humans cannot do quickly enough, they build machines to do faster. – And here again, Rushdie plays with the language and with us and our times.

The third intermedial relation in HSS, the one relating to the art of dance and the theoretical presentation of it, exhibits a pure intercultural quality. As the war between Chupwalas and Gupwalas is about to start, the Shadow Warrior, Champion Warrior of Chup comes to the Land of Gup. His name is Mudra and he speaks the language of Abhinaya. Abhinaya is a Sanskrit word for acting and mudrā is a Sanskrit word for the different finger positions used in dance whereby traditonal stories are told, usually those about gods; so mudrā and abhinaya are intermedial intertexts. That intermediality is clearer in the instance when the Shadow Warrior Mudra communicates with the horrified Gupwalas by his facial mien and by his dance.

His outfit is just like that of an impressive Kathākali dancer: “His face was painted green, with scarlet lips, exaggerated black brows and eyes, and white stripes on his cheeks. His battle-dress of leather guards and thick thigh- and shoulder-pads made him even larger than he truly was. And his athleticism and swordsmanship were beyond anything Haroun had ever seen.”(124) And when Mudra moved “His hands were moving furiously in something like a dance of rage or hate. Faster and faster, more emphatic grew his hand movements ...”(126) “Quicker and quicker moved his hands; and his facial muscles rippled and twitched in a most excited way; and his legs danced nimbly and fast.”(133)

Although not directly mentioned, Shiva’s dance is alluded to. Its function, sustains intertextually interwoven abhinaya and mudrā to help develop an explanation of the complexity of the world and its mysteries. Shiva is the god with more than one face. In his tāṇḍava dance he destroys the world and in his lingam aspect he helps to create it. Shiva’s dance of destruction, though awesome, has beauty in it too.
In HSS there are Guppees who look after stories and there are Chupwalas who try to destroy them. However, bad Chupwalas are not the incarnation of unmitigated evil. Beauty and horror can go hand in hand. The Shadow Warrior Mudra, the Champion Warrior of Chup came to help the Guppees, as Shiva does, when worshipped in his lingam aspect. At the same time his frenzied hand and leg movements, reminiscent of Shiva as Nataraja, swing wildly, while the Guppees are still frightened of him or when Mudra is telling them something terrifying. Mudra’s martial dance, reminiscent of the Malabar kathakali dance, traditionally staged in beautiful tropical surroundings, moves Haroun - fascinated by the beauty and grace of the “dance, danced in perfect silence”(124) - to the realization that the other side is not all evil incarnate.

While watching Mudra’s dance, Haroun ponders: “How many opposites are at war in this battle between Gup and Chup! ... Gup is bright and Chup is dark. Gup is warm and Chup is freezing cold. Gup is all chattering and noise, whereas Chup is silent as a shadow. Guppees love the Ocean, Chupwalas try to poison it. Guppees love Stories and Speech; Chupwalas, it seems, hate these things just as strongly.” It was a war between Love (of the Ocean, or the Princess) and Death (which was what Cultmaster Khattam-Shud had in mind for the Ocean, and for the Princess, too). “But it’s not as simple as that,” Haroun “told himself, because the dance of the Shadow Warrior showed him that silence had its own grace and beauty (just as speech could be graceless and ugly); and that Action could be as noble as Words; and that creatures of darkness could be as lovely as the children of the light. “If Guppees and Chupwalas didn’t hate each other so”, he thought, “they might actually find each other pretty interesting. Opposites attract, as they say.”(125)

The Cultmaster Khattam-Shud is the ultimate enemy of the Stories. He cannot rule the storyworlds, therefore he tries to destroy them. He is the negative leader, the dictator, the subjugator. Most of his subjects are clerks, ordinary loyal civil servants who don’t use their own heads because they are scared to do so. And what is so ominous about them is that they look so normal (152) while actually they are cold-blooded killers of something that is essential to human beings - their ability to communicate through speech. But, as in the real world, the followers of Cultmaster Khattam-Shud are not all obsequious empty-headed executors of orders. Their Champion Warrior, the Shadow Warrior Mudra, “disgusted with the growing cruelty and fanaticism of the Cult of the tongueless ice-idol Bezaban”(131), leaves them and joins the Guppees. After the Guppees succeed in defeating Cultmaster Khattam-Shud, his former subjects start a new life under a new government headed by Mudra. It is as if the two sides of Shiva, indirectly incarnated here in the intertext of abhinaya and mudra, loom from their invisible visibility to give sustenance to the complexity of human life and nature.

In a postmodernistic text loaded with all sorts of interrelations, the intermedial relation with the theory of literature seems to be unavoidable. This has been discussed earlier while establishing Kathasaritsagarā as an intertext. There the postmodernistic

54 This is a reference to karmayoga or perhaps also to Krishna in Bhagavadgītā.
concept of stories as variations of always the one and the same story has been commented on.

4. Interlinguality

In the intercultural approach to the text, among the many interrelations in which HSS abounds, special attention should be paid to interlinguality. In an English text we come across many non-English words. They come not only from the Indian language repository, which is normal for an Indo-Anglian text, but also from other, mainly European, languages. HSS is full of names of characters which, as in Sanskrit stories and many other Sanskrit classical texts, are selected so that the semantic field of the chosen word gives clues to the character traits or the character itself. Rushdie uses both Indian and English words such as Kahani, Kitab, Batcheat, Blabbermouth, Bolo, Water Genie Iff, Butt the Hoopoe, Khattam-Shud, Bat-Mat-Karo etc. These features can be found in many other literary works, both Western and Eastern. What makes Rushdie’s choice of words so special is the ludic touch in many of the names. He achieves this by choosing the imperative form of a verb, as in the case of Bolo/Speak. There are compounds such as Blabbermouth and then small shifts as in the case of Iff and Butt which resound with a stuttering sound, implying those always full of objections, expressed either through conditional or through adversative clauses. The syntagma Bat-Mat-Karo/Do-Not-Speak, as the name of the battlelied located just outside the Chup City/Silent City is an appropriate ludic name for the battlefield in the land of those who terrify with their zipped lips and who worship the Idol Bezaban/Without-a-Tongue. The final battle between Chupwalas and the Gupwalas, between the oppressors of the freedom of speech, and those who defend it, took place on the wide plain named Bat-Mat-Karo (180), meaning Do-Not-Speak. Could this be an reenactment of Rushdie’s experience with his novel the Satanic Verses? The book Satanic Verses was first forbidden in India, so the hunt for the author’s head actually started there. Hence it seems apt that he has picked up an Indian name for the battlefield, where the fight for the storyworld that cannot be ruled and controlled, occurs.

The choice of names in HSS is an interlingual, intertextual and intercultural flurry. The names of the characters are Haroun, Rashid, Soraya, Sengupta, Chattergy, Buttoo, Blabbermouth, Iff, Hoopoe Butt, Kitab, Khattam-Shud, Batcheat, Mali, Bolo, Mudra and so on. Some of them, like Blabbermouth, Iff, Batcheat/Chit-Chat, Kitab/Book, Khattam-Shud/Completely Finished (Over and Done with), Mali/Gardener, Bolo/Speak, make up a fanciful interlingual game in which English and Indian words, signifying the character, equally uphold the traditions of East and West. At the same time they have a function similar to that of an intertext. Haroun, Rashid and Hoopoe are intertexts which along with their intertextual function also put different traditions on the same level. Mudra, which is an intermedial case as discussed earlier, is at the same time an interlingual one: it is a Sanskrit word meaning sign. Soraya, Sengupta,
Chattergy, Buttoo are intercultural play of names, with functions similar to those of intertexts. In the case of Butt the Hoopoe there is a combination of intertextual and interlingual connection, the analysis of which would reveal a sort of framing of a linguistic element with an intercultural one. Names like Haroun, Rashid, Chattergy, Sengupta, Hoopoe could be regarded as being interlingual. Nevertheless, it seems more appropriate to interpret them along the lines of an intertextual interplay, as has already been done in the cases of Hoopoe, Haroun and Rashid, and along the lines of an intercultural interplay as in the cases of Chattergy and Sengupta.

To make a scene, or a group of people more emphatic, in a ludic way, in their description we find words picked up or alluding to a language other than English. Those words typify certain characteristics, normally associated with the area of that language. Right after his wife left him, Rashid was supposed to give a speech at a political rally, where, the two men guarding Rashid and Haroun begin shouting menacing threats at him, the moment he falters. They do it in a mafioso’s style, suggested by three short clauses. They, “... shouted at Rashid and accused him of having taken a bribe from their rivals, and suggested that they might cut off his tongue and other items also.” (26/27). The countenance of the two men “wearing gigantic mustachios and loud yellow check pants”(26) suggests mafia presence. That allusion to the Sicilian type of mafia is taken over by Rashid; he responds to the men with mustachios telling them that he will be terrifício and magnifique the next time. The interlinguality of terrifício and magnifique carries the suggestion of typical European and American mafiosi, underlining the power of political pressures, while adding a touch of humour to it.

The pure beauty of nature pulsates when “the traveller saw before him the most spectacular view on earth, a vista of the Valley of K with its golden fields and silver mountains and with the Dull Lake at its heart – a view spread out like a magic carpet ...”(34). That Valley of K is Kashmir, a dreamland for the inhabitants of the plains, famous for its breathtaking scenery as well as for the beauty of its people, especially women. Kashmir is an ancient cultural center where, among other literary items, storybooks hold a prominent place. One of them is the Kathāsaritsāgara – The Ocean of the Streams of Stories. At the time when the storyworld is on the verge of poisonous annihilation, the homeland of the Kathāsaritsāgara is also shaken up by political disputes. When Haroun and Rashid arrive in the Valley of K, they read the original sign WELCOME TO K changed into WELCOME TO KOSH-MAR. This French interlingual insert delivers by a single word the gravity of the political situation in the conflict torn Kashmir of today, adding a Gallic touch to it. The reference to Rushdie’s personal predicament at that time, could be also seen in it.

The few selected interlingual cases cannot but support the opinion of Orphan Pamuk, who, in his review of Rushdie’s novel The Moor’s Last Sigh marked him as “one of the most brilliant magicians of the English language writing now.”56 In the

---

55 Hoopoe (upupa epops) is a well known story figure already in Greek (Aristophanes, The Birds) and Latin (Ovid, Metamorphoses) literature.
same way in which he plays with all the inter-relations, Rushdie plays with the English language. He does it as if structuring an interlingual relation within it. When asked to help Haroun while enjoying a wild party, Chief Water Genie in a tipsy mood refuses to come to his aid saying in a tipsy language “include me out” (197).

5. Intemporality

Similar to intermediality, intertemporality could also be regarded as a general literary feature. It refers to cases when we find literary elements belonging to a set of literary values of one age intermingled with elements belonging to another age. Fairy-tale in its original form belongs to a bygone era. When it is incorporated into new, modern literary procedures, then it can be considered as a kind of intertemporality, which is a universal feature not only of postmodern literature but of literature in general. The specific intertemporality which we find in HSS, in accord with postmodernistic literature in general, refers to the modern phenomena intermingled with the ones established long ago. Elements, typical for the end of 20th century, such as suicide bombers, artificial intelligence and so forth, are built into the narrative texture of a fairy-tale-like story. This is how elements from different times are fused together into the one and the same character or event, participating in its formation on an equal footing and on the same time level.57

Many of the intertemporal relations in HSS are a sheer delight to read owing to Rushdie’s fine sense of humour and his feeling for the hilarious. The mail coach driver Mr Butt who brings Haroun and Rashid to the Valley of K is later substituted by the flying bird named Butt the Hoopee. Butt the Hoopee is a complex being. Together with the mail coach driver Mr Butt, his function is to provide transport services. The mail coach driver Mr Butt makes transport machines operate, while Butt the Hoopee is in himself a machine. He resembles a mechanical toy for children, but not made of plastic. Hoopee is hairy and thick-feathered. He pops out due to Gennie Iff’s magic trick, from a tiny crested bird58. Then he grows larger, as large as a double bed, easily large enough for a Water Genie and a boy to ride upon its back. (65) The classical fairytalesness of Hoopee’s surfacing in the story, as well as the way he works like a flying object used for transport, intermingles with his appearance of a contemporary mechanical toy. Almost whenever Hoopee says something, he does it without moving its beak, which constantly reminds the reader of Hoopee being something like a toy, but a very sophisticated one and a very intelligent one too. As Hoopee explains to Haroun he

---

56 TLS September 8, 1995.
57 The intermedial film relation is an intertemporal one also.
58 Rushdie is a well educated and very well informed person; the bird hoopee, аппа еропс, a sort of mythical bird in European literature (see footnote 55) has golden-brown and black plumage and a large erect crest.
is communicating with him also telepathically and his beak does not move because it must maintain its configuration unchanged, for aerodynamic reasons (66). Later we learn that in his head Hoopoe holds a metal box full of memory cells, connected circuits and a command module (149). When Hoopoe was asked by Haroun, when they met for the first time, how it operates, "back came the inevitable answer, quick as a flash of thought: By a P2C2E. A Process Too Complicated To Explain." (66)

Here, we might conclude our inter-juggling over Hoopoe by saying that in HSS Hoopoe's position as an intertext is explicitly earmarked, when Water Genie Iff explains to Haroun "that in the old stories the Hoopoe is the bird that leads all other birds through many dangerous places to their ultimate goal." (64) This small insert about Hoopoe leads us again to see how intermingled are the inter-relations in HSS are.

Elements of science fiction, adjusted to the needs of a fairy-tale like story, could also be looked upon as an intertemporal relation. The Ocean of the Streams of story is located on the Earth's second Moon named Kahani. On the back of Butt the Hoopoe with Iff the Water Genie as his guide, Haroun sets out towards that heavenly body in search of the spring of stories. As they approach it, Butt the Hoopoe said without moving it's beak: "Moon approaching, ... Relative speed synchronized. Landing procedures initiated. Splashdown in thirty seconds, twenty-nine, twenty-eight. ... Three, ... two, one zero." (68) They landed at the Deep North of Kahani because at the spot there was available "a short cut, avoidance of bureaucratic procedures, a means of cutting the red tape" (69). Bureaucratic procedures and cutting the red tape, typical features of modern societies, are transposed into life on heavenly bodies. This segment of the story soaked, with the phenomena of modern times, does not just disclose the intertemporal nature of the kathā, but also the intercultural and interlinguual one. As already pointed out, the name given to the heavenly body inhabited by the caretakers of the Ocean of the Streams of Story is Kahani, the Indian word for story. So, the old Indian storyloire, suggested by a contemporary Indian word for story, is built into an intertemporal relation with modern science fiction, as well as with other phenomena of modern times.

Modern times appear in HSS in many different ways through the novel. The very old image of water as the source of life is used to present the water as the source of stories too. The storyteller Rashid has his Story Water supply (57), to which he has subscribed (57). Stories come out from a Story Tap (59) which can be turned off by a Disconnecting Tool (57). Rashid's cancellation of the subscription to the Story Water sup-

---

59 This P2C2E formula can be looked upon as an intertemporal relation. Otherwise it is an intratextual formula in HSS, which occurs whenever an invented, situation or phenomenon is not going to be argued over. It is also an intermedial intertext as it is modeled on the lines of a scientific formula. Moreover, it is a play with interlinguality based on the conventions of English spelling, writing and pronunciation: the English words too and to are represented by the number 2 on account of their pronunciation being the same as that of the number two. And here again we jump into a framed inter-relation juggle which reminds us of the Chinese carved ivory balls that tightly fit one into another.
ply is transmitted to the Guppies by the help of an advanced technology (57) which involves the Thought Beams (57) and that is one of the P2C2E cases 60.

The Chupwalas (the Quiet Fellows) and the Gupwalas (the Gossip Fellows) actualize the conflicts of the end of the 20th century reality in a fairy-tale like story structure. The relation to the time frame belonging to the real world is clearly indicated by the ambassodor of the Chupwalas who in an unsuccessfull suicide bomb attack tries to annihilate the Gupwalas leadership (182/83).

The phenomena of modern times, disguised in fairytaleness are occasionaly mixed with the standard fairy-tale creatures and objects. So we read that “A person may even select a flying creature of his own invention, for example winged horse, flying turtle, airborne whale, space serpent, aeromouse.” (63) And when the Water Genie Iff produced out of his fist a bunch of tiny birds “as well as birds there were fabulous winged creatures out of legends: an Assyrian lion with the head of a bearded man and a pair of large hairy wings growing out of its flanks; and winged monkeys, flying saucers, tiny angels, levitating (and apparently air-breathing) fish.”(64)

6. Interculturality

As the above analysis and selected examples show, inter-alities are permeated with interculturality. So, this interrelation need not be elaborated upon separately. The Indian component of HSS was discussed more than the Arabic one, not only because the latter requires an Arabic scholar to work on it, but also because the Indian one seems to be more exposed.

Reflecting on intercultural relations in HSS, we can say that Rushdie simply lives and creates in the milieu of his time, equally rooted in his Indian heritage and in his modern Western upbringing. Salman Rushdie is deeply involved in everything around him and as a true magician of the written word he amalgamates the culture of his native land with the culture of his adopted homeland producing a blend of exquisite flavour.

The taste of his fine brew offered to us in HSS, might be exposed in kāvyā style like this: HSS resembles a precious pearlnecklace consisting of many tightly interwoven strings of single intertexts and different other inter-alities. Of HSS’s style we might say: It is a dizzy juggling with intercultural, interliterary, intermediael, interlingual, inter-, inter-, intertexts, without a single mistake.

In the world we live in now, torn by a myriad ethnic and cultural conflicts, HSS, and indeed Rushdie’s literary opus as whole, exhibit what treasure multiculturalism brings to our lives, aesthetically not least.

60 See the footnote 59.
INTERTEKSTUALNO ČITANJE RUSHDIEVA ROMANA
"HAROUN I MORE PRIČA"

Intertekstualno čitanje Rushdieva romana Haroun i more priča pokazuje veliku slojevitost knjige koja nije raskošna brojem stranica, ali jest u njoj ostvarenim književnim umijećem. U Harounu, tekstu tipično postmodernističkoga sloga, zrcali se očitije no u drugim Rushdievim romanima, autorova potpuna ukorijenjenost u obavije tradicije, onu njegove domovine po rođenju, Indije, kao i u onu njegove domovine po izboru, Engleske. Kao što su u tekstu nerazdvojivo isprepleteni intertekstualnost, intermedijalnost, interlingualnost i intemporalnost, isto tako su u njemu nerazdvojivo isprepleteni sadržaji istočne i zapadne tradicije, čineći jednu neraskidivu cjelinu.