<u>Geologia Croatica</u>

Upper Cretaceous to Lower Eocene calcareous nannofossil biostratigraphy from Malaqet and Mundassah sections western flank of the Northern Oman Mountains



Mahmoud Faris<sup>1</sup> Osman Abdelghany<sup>2</sup> and Mahmoud Abu Saima<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Geology Department, Faculty of Science, Tanta University, Tanta, Egypt; (corresponding author: mhmfaris@yahoo.com)

<sup>2</sup> Geology Department, Faculty of Science, United Arab Emirates University, Al-Ain, P.O. Box 17551, United Arab Emirates

doi: 104154/gc.2012.29

## ABSTRACT

This work is the first attempt to undertake a biostratigraphic study on calcareous nannofossil assemblages of the exposed Upper Cretaceous to Lower Eocene rocks at the Malaqet and Mundassah sections, western flank of the Northern Oman Mountains. The Upper Cretaceous to Lower Eocene rocks belong to the Simsima Formation and the Muthaymimah Formation. Specimens of calcareous nannofossils identified during this study have been ascribed to 67 different species.

The Cretaceous/Palaeocene boundary can be placed in correspondence with the unconformity between the mentioned formations. The presence of a big hiatus in this area is suggested by the absence of the latest Maastrichtian *Micula prinsii* nannofossil Zone, and the Palaeocene NP1 and NP2 nannofossil Zones.

In the two study sections, the Danian/Selandian boundary is placed at the level of the first occurrence (FO) of *Fasciculithus tympaniformis* (base of NP5 Zone).

At Jabal Mundassah, the Selandian/Thanetian boundary is positioned at the FO of *Discoaster mohleri*, which is used to define the base of NP7/8 Zone. Unfortunately, a major hiatus is detected at the Selandian/Thanetian boundary at the Jabal Malaqet section as indicated by the absence of NP6 and NP7/8 Zones.

The Paleocene/Eocene boundary is placed at the base of Subzone NP9b at Jabal Mundassah, whereas at Jabal Malaqet the Paleocene/Eocene boundary interval is missing and a major hiatus is testified by the absence of the NP9b Subzone and NP10 Zone.

**Keywords:** Jabals: Malaqet and Mundassah, Calcareous Nannofossils, Simsima Formation, Muthaymimah Formation, Upper Cretaceous, Paleocene, Lower Eocene, Biostratigraphy, Northern Oman Mountains

# **1. INTRODUCTION**

The Upper Cretaceous – Lower Eocene rocks are widely exposed in the western foothills of the Northern Oman Mountains (Fig. 1). Upper Cretaceous rocks are the oldest units lying unconformably upon the Semail Ophiolite and folded, thrusted Hawasina and Sumeini groups of Permian-Late Cretaceous age (GLENNIE et al., 1974, and WILSON, 2000). The stratigraphy and facies of the Upper Cretaceous-Eocene Neoautochthonous sequence of the Northern Oman Mountains have been discussed in numerous papers, including GLENNIE et al. (1974); HAMDAN (1990); Al SHARHAN & KENDALL (1991); ANAN (1993); NOWEIR & EL OUTEFI (1997); NOWEIR et al. (1998); SAYED & MERSAL (1998); BOUKHARY et al. (1999); ALSHARHAN et al. (2000); NOWEIR & ABDEEN (2000); ABD-ALLAH





Figure 1: Simplified geologic map (modified from ABDEL-GAWAD et al., 2010 showing the location of the studied sections.



Figure 2: Field photographs of the study area: 1, 2 – Jabal Mundassah; 3, 4 – Jabal Malaqet.

AGE	ROCK UNITS	BED NO	SAMPLE NO	гітногоду	DESCRIPTION
EARLY EOCENE		13	62 - 61 -		Limestone, brown, cavernous, ferruginous, siliceous, calcrudites conglomerates with subrounded fragments of Alveolina limestone, reworked rudistid bivalves and large pockets of green shale near the top.
	Z	12			Limestone, pale brown, thin bedded, hard, chalky, ferruginous and fossiliferous.
	0			000	
	-			0000	
ш	н			0.000	
	A	11		00.00	Breccia, dark brown, rock fragments of red chert, ophiolite, and boulder size of dolomitic limestone.
z	Ø		55 - 50 -	0.00	
	æ		40 -	0.000	
ш	0			00000	
	ш			0.0.0	
U		10			Limestone, pale green, thin bedded, ferruginous, argillaceous, chert, ophiolite and marl detrital deposits, and fossiliferous.
	A			adrand and	Limestone, pale grey, laminated with marl.
0	W	8			Mudstone, yellowish grey, laminated, ferruginous, siliceous, glauconitic and fossiliferous.
ш	-	7	30 -	0.000	Breccia, dark brown, reworked rock fragments of marl and ophiolite, dolomitic, highly ferruginous and siliceous.
	M				Limestene pale brown laminated facsiliferous formainous vilicoous algueonitis detritats of older
Ц	~	6	20 –		sediments.
	A				
A	Т				
	F				
۵.		5	15 -		Mudstone, greenish grey, thinly bedded, ferruginous, siliceous, glauconitic and fossiliferous.
	×		10 -		20m
			5 -		1
		4			Covered sequence
		2	1 -		Limestone, pale brown, reworked ophiolitic nodules, Simsima fragments and fossiliferous.
		J		Calling	Marl, greyish green, with intraformational conglomeritic layer at its base.
SUC	SIMSIMA	2		and the second	Limestone, grey, dolomitic, ferruginous, siliceous and rich in larger foraminifera.
LATE CRETACEC	SEMAIL OPHIOLITE	1			Peridotite and serpentinites of Semail Ophiolite.

Figure 3: Lithostratigraphic description of Jabal Malaqet section.

3 E	ick units	ED NO	MPLE NO	ногоду	
A (	RO	BE	SA		DESCRIPTION
ш	N	18	188 –		Limestone, grey, hard, conglomeritic (calcrudites) with subrounded fragments of <i>Alveolina</i> limestone, chert bands and nodules.
E N	TIO	17		maining	Marl, green, friable, clayey, fractured alternating with turbidites.
E O G	۸A	16	180 -		hightharpoonup Limestone, pale brown, hard, ferruginous, dolomitic, laminated, with green chert, showing gradded bedding, and fossiliferous.
ARLY	s Fol	15	170 -	<u>1112111111111111111111111111111111111</u>	Marl, grey, ferruginous, friable alternated with argillaceous limestone, hard, laminated with Fe oxides.
Ш	RU	14	170 -	RANARANI	7
		13	160 –	<u>1777))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))</u>	
			150 -	<u>(21)2111211121</u> 212221121121212 112222222222	Marl, grey, friable and clayey.
	z	12	140 -		
	0		130 -		Limostone brown bard with chart nodulos and bands and may fragments silicous formainous and
-	_	11	120 -		highly fossiliferous.
z	⊢	10	110 -		Marl, reddish grey, ferruginous, rich in iron pigments alternated with soft grey, highly fractured marl and siliceous in some parts.
	A				
ш	×				
	ж				
υ	0		100 -		
	ш		90 -		
0		9	80 - 70 -		Limestone, ferruginous, fossiliferous.
	A		60 -		
ш	2				
	5				
-	- ,				
A	Ā	8	50 -		Marl, green, laminated.
	т	7			→ Limestone, hard, ferruginous, dolomitic, with detrital grains, fossiliferous.
٩	F	0		uummmuu	Mudstone, grey, highly fractured and ferruginous.
	∍			<u>ahhhhhh</u> h	
	M	5	40 –	<u>10022000000000000000000000000000000000</u>	Marl, green, highly friable, rich in clay nodules, argillaceous, soft with gypsum veinlets. 20m
		4	30 -	<u>ta (22)16)111(21)</u> 1012(21)11(21)1 1012(21)11(21)11(21)11(21)11(21)11(21)11(21)11(21)11(21)11(21)11(21)11(21)11(21)11(21)11(21)11(21)11(21)11(21)1	Marl, green, highly friable, rich in clay nodules, argillaceous with gypsum veinlets.
SUC	A A I O N	3	20 -		Marl, grey to reddish yellow, laminated, fractured, highly ferruginous, soft, graded to laminated shaley marl at the top.
ETACEC	SIMSIA	2	10-		Marl, greenish grey, soft, graded to laminated shaley marl .
CR	<u>ц</u>	1	1-		Limestone, brown, hard, dolomitic, ferruginous, unconformably overlies Semail Ophiolite of peridotite
LATE	SEMAIL OPHIOLITE				Peridotite and serpentinites of Semail Ophiolite.

Figure 4: Lithostratigraphic description of Jabal Mundassah section.

## (2001); WILSON & TAYLOR (2001); ABDELGHANY (2003); BAGHDADY & ABU-ZEID (2003); SHEBL (2004) and ABDEL GAWAD et al. (2010).

Discussion of the calcareous nannofossil biostratigraphy of Upper Cretaceous to Lower Eocene rock units (Simsima and Muthaymimah formations) cropping out at Jabals Malaqet and Mundassah is presented here. In addition, an attempt is made to identify the Maastrichtian/Danian, Danian/Selandian, Selandian/Thanetian and the Thanetian/ Ypresian boundaries by means of calcareous nannofossil biostratigraphy.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two sedimentary successions have been measured and sampled at Jabals Malaqet and Mundassah. All together ca. 250 rock samples were collected for this study (Figs. 1–4).

Smear slides for calcareous nannofossil investigation were prepared according to the techniques proposed by BRAMLETTE & SULLIVAN (1961) and HAY (1964, 1970). The slides were examined using a Carl Zeiss microscope at 1250x magnification in both plane polarized light and crossed nicols. Our data is reported in Tables 1 and 2. Photomicrographs of some representative taxa are provided in Plates 1 and 2.

#### Table 1: Stratigraphic ranges of calcareous nannofossils of Jabal Malaqet.

3. STRATIGRAPHIC BACKGRO
--------------------------

The post-obduction neoautochthonous Upper Cretaceous-Eocene sedimentary succession unconformably overlies Upper Cretaceous serpentinite, peridotite and pyroxenite of the Semail Ophiolite. The first marine transgression initiated deposition of shallow marine sediments and fluvial facies inland (Qahlah Formation) over the eroded knappes of the Semail Ophiolite (GLENNIE et al., 1974). The Qahlah Formation is overlain by deposition of shallow-water limestones referred to as the Simsima Formation. They are exposed at Jabals Qarn El Barr, El Faiyah Range Mountains, El Rawdah, Malaget and Mundassah. However, farther to the north at Jabal Qarn El Barr, the Simsima Formation is represented by deep marine deposits of pelagic sediments, based on the evidence of the occurrence of planktonic foraminifera. The Simsima Formation is unconformably overlain by the Muthaymimah Formation.

## **3.1. Simsima Formation**

The Simsima Formation was first described by GLENNIE et al. (1974). Since the type section of the Simsima Formation is no longer accessible, NOLAN et al. (1990) designated the Jabal Buhays section, El Fayiah Range Mountains, on the western side of the Northern Oman Mountains (19 km

									Pa	leoce	ene										Early Eocene	Age
	D	ania	n				Selaı	ndiar	۱						Than	etiar	۱				Ypresian	
										Μ	utha	ymir	nah									Formation
3	4	5	6	15	17	20	24	25	26	29	36	43	47	48	49	54	55	56	57	58	61	Sample no.
С	С	С	С	VR	VR	VR	VR	VR	VR	VR	VR	VR	VR	VR	VR		VR		R	R	F	Abundance
Μ	М	G	G	Р	Р	М	М	М	Р	Р	Р	Ρ	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	М	м	Р	М	Preservation
		NP4					Ν	P5							NF	'9a					NP11	Biozones (NP)
R	R	R	R																			Thoracosphaera operculata
F	R	R	F																			Cruciplacolithus primus
R	R	R	F																			Cruciplacolithus tenuis*
R	F	F	F																			Chiasmolithus danicus*
R	R	R	R																			Placozygus sigmoides
R	R	R	R																			Neochiastozygus medestus
VR	VR	VR																				Ellipsolithus macellus*
F	F	F	F		VR	VR			VR		VR	VR	VR			R	VR	R	VR	R		Ericsonia cava
				VR		VR			VR	VR		VR	VR			R		R				Sphenolithus primus
					VR	VR	VR	VR	VR	VR	VR	VR	VR	VR	VR	R	VR				VR	Fasciculithus tympaniformis*
											VR	VR	VR		VR	R	VR		R	R	R	Discoaster multiradiatus*
													VR				VR	R	VR		R	Coccolithus pelagicus
																	VR	R	VR		R	Discoaster barbadiensis
																		VR	R	VR	R	Discoaster binodosus
																					VR	Zygrhablithus bijugatus
																					VR	Braarudosphaera bigelowii
																					VR	Tribrachiatus orthostylus*

Abundance: A= Abundant, C= Common, F= Frequent, R= Rare, VR= Very rare Preservation: G= Good, M= Moderate, P= Poor, \*= Marker species

	AUG	Formation	Sample no.	Abundance	Preservation	CN Biozone	Watznaueria barnesae	Micula murus *	Micula decussata	Arkhangelskiella cymbiformis	Cribrosphaerella ehrenbergii	Micula concava	Lucianorhabdus cayeuxii	Stradneria crenulata	Eiffellithus turrisieffelii	Cruciplacolithus primus	Ericsonia cava	Thoracosphaera operculata	Chiasmolithus danicus*	Cruciplacolithus tenuis*	Ericsonia subpertusa	Coccolithus pelagicus	Placozygus sigmoides
			39	ΥR	Σ												œ	ΛR	VR				ΥR
			38	VR	Σ												щ	VR	VR		VR	VR	VR
			37	Ж	Σ												Ж	ΛR	ΛR		Ж		VR
			36	щ	ט												щ	VR	VR		щ	Ж	K
			35	۲	Σ												VR	VR	VR		щ		
			34	Ж	Σ	NP3)											щ	VR		VR			
a)		lah	33	υ	ט	icus (l											щ	VR	œ	VR			VR
ocene	nian	ymim	32	υ	U	s dan												Ж		22	ш	Ж	VR
Pale	Da	lutha	31	щ	U	olithu												VR	Ж		ш		VR
		2	30	щ	U	iasmo											ш						
			30	ш	U	Ch											ш	VR	VR	Ж	ш	Ж	
			29	ш	Σ												Ж						
			29	ш	Σ												Ч		Ж		ш	VR	
			28	ш	U												Я	KR	£	Ж	VR		
			27	Ж	U											K	R	K					
			26	2	Z		~	~	~							ΥR	ΥR	ΛR	£	ΥR			
			1 25	ΥR	Σ		VR	VR	VR														
			24	R	Σ		VF	VF	VF														
			23	ΥF	Σ		<pre>&lt; VF</pre>	~ VF	<pre> &lt; VF </pre>														
			22	% R	Σ		ΥF	~ VF	~ VF														
			21	<pre> &lt; VF </pre>	Σ		~	~ VF	<pre> &lt; VF </pre>														
			3 20	~ VF	Σ		~ VF	~ VF	~ VF														
			18	<pre> </pre> <pre>  <pre>  <pre>   <pre>  <pre>   <pre>  <pre>  <pre>   <pre>  <pre>   <pre>  <pre>  <pre>   <pre>  <pre>  <pre>  <pre>  <pre>  <pre>  <pre>  <pre>  <pre>  <pre>  <pre>  <pre>  <pre>  <pre>  <pre>  <pre>  <pre>  <pre>  <pre>  <pre>  <pre>  <pre>  <pre>  <pre>  <pre>  <pre>  <pre>  <pre>  <pre>  <pre>  <pre>  <pre>  <pre>  <pre>  <pre>  <pre>  <pre>  <pre>  <pre>  <pre>  <pre>  <pre>  <pre>  <pre>  <pre>  &lt;</pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre>	Σ		~ VF	ΥF	~ VF						~								
	_		11	Υ	2		~ <f< td=""><td>~</td><td>~ <f< td=""><td>~</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>~</td><td>Υ</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></f<></td></f<>	~	~ <f< td=""><td>~</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>~</td><td>Υ</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></f<>	~				~	Υ								
eous	chtiaı		16	R	2	ırus	~ <f< td=""><td>ł</td><td>~ <f< td=""><td>~ Y</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>ł</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></f<></td></f<>	ł	~ <f< td=""><td>~ Y</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>ł</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></f<>	~ Y				ł									
retac	astri	nsim	1.	R	Σ	la mu	S VF	~	× KF	Υ			~										
ate C	te Ma	Sir	-1-	Y	2	Micu	۶ ۲	۲. ۲	۶. ۲	~	~	~	×										
	Га		2	ж Ж	2		R N	2 Z	۲ ۲	5	5	5	5										
			1	۲ ۲	2		۶ ۲	۲. ۲	۶. ۲														
				5	2		R N	2 Z	۲ ۲	œ													
			6	R	2		>	>	R	>													
			8	2	2		œ	œ	>														
				R	2		R	2	æ														
			0	R	2		R	R	>														
			41	R	V		R V	Å Z															
and the second se	the second se			~	$\leq$		~	~															

Table 2: Stratigraphic ranges of calcareous nannofossils of Jabal Mundassah.

τ	3
č	D
-	5
7	=
+	_
2	_
(	D
(	J
C	N
	ú
-	2
٦	2

Table 2: continued.																											
				<u>م</u>	aleo	cene																					~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Danian															Sela	ndia											Age
				Mu <sup>-</sup>	thay	min	lah																				Formation
40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 52 53 54 55	56 57	58 59	90	0	1 6	0	3 6	5 6	7 69	71	72	73	75	76	78	79	84	91	92	95	66	100	101	103	104 1	105	Sample no.
F C F R C F F C F R C F R R	VR VR	R	8	ш	0	0			22	ΥR	VR	VR	VR	VR	VR	VR	R	8	VR	щ	с	VR	с	Ж	щ	£	Abundance
W W W C W W W W W W W W W W W W W W W W	M	N N	2	2	2	2	~	<	M	Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ	Preservation
NP4														2	P5										NP(	9	CN Biozone
R R F F F	VR		ł>	е. Н	ш.	а.	~		VR	~	VR		VR	VR	K	VR									VR	R E	ricsonia cava
VR VR VR R R R R F F R VR	VR VR	VR VI	۲ ۲	е Е	<u></u>	ш.		>~	R VR	~					KR	VR	VR			VR				VR	VR	VR 7	horacosphaera operculata
VR R VR	VR	VR VI	N N	ц. Ц.	ш.	ш.		>	œ													VR				0	Chiasmolithus danicus*
R R C F F C R	VR			æ	ш.	а.	~	~	~																	E	ricsonia subpertusa
VR VR								>~	R VR	~ VB	VR	VR					VR	VR	VR	VR	VR	VR	ΛR	VR	VR	В	Coccolithus pelagicus
R VR VR VR																										E	:llipsolithus macellus*
VR VR VR VR				⋝	æ	<u>с</u>	>~	R <	æ																	μ	Placozygus sigmoides
VR VR R VR VR					⋝	>	R	>~	Ж																		<b>Cruciplacolithus tenuis</b> *
VR VR VR VR VR				⋝	œ	œ	>~	R <	œ																	<	Veochiastozygus medestus
VR																										<	Aarkalius inversus
F VR					œ																						'horacosphaera saxea
					$\geq$	с Ц			VR	~																0	Chiasmolithus consuetus
					>	~			VR	~			VR					VR		VR	VR			VR	VR	VR F	asciculithus tympaniformis*
					⋝	ш Ш		~	~		VR		VR	K	VR	VR	VR	VR			VR		VR	Ж	VR	R	phenolithus primus
						Ľ.	~	>	Ж				VR													B	somolithus elegans
						>	ъ				VR	VR														<u> </u>	asciculthus billii
						>	ъ																			<	Veochiastozygus imbriei
						Ľ.	~																			<u> </u>	asciculthus bobii
											VR	VR			VR	VR		VR		VR		VR			VR	<u> </u>	asciculithus ulii
													VR			VR				VR						<u> </u>	asciculithus pileatus
																									AN N	AR T	Heliolithus kleinpellii* Secioniithus stonehennei

continued.	
ä	
٩	
9	
Ta	

Age	Formation	Sample no.	Abundance	Preservation	CN Biozone	Thoracosphaera operculata	Ericsonia cava	Coccolithus pelagicus	Fasciculithus tympaniformis*	Sphenolithus primus	Discoaster mohleri*	Bombolithus conicus	Bomolithus elegans	Chiasmolithus danicus*	Heliolithus kleinpellii*	Discoasteroides bramlettei	Fasciculithus alanii	Chiasmolithus californicus	Heliolithus cantabriae	Fasciculithus clinatus	Chiasmolithus consuetus	Fasciculithus ulii	Ericsonia subpertusa	Fasciculithus lillianae	Fasciculthus bobii	Fasciculthus billii	Ellipsolithus macellus*	Discoaster multiradiatus*	Discoaster binodosus	Fasciculithus involutus	Fasciculithus bitectus	Fasciculithus schaubi	Discoaster falcatus	Fasciculithus thomasii	Fasciculithus tonii	Fasciculithus aubertae	
		9 140 141 142 143 144 145 146 147 148 149 150 151 152 153 154	F F C C C R R R C C C F F R C	W W W W C C C W W W W W W W W W W W W W	NP9a	VR VR VR		R R R F F VR VR VR R R VR VR VR R	VR VR VR F F VR VR VR VR R F R VR VR	R VRFFR VRVRFFFRFVRF														VR VR VR VR	VR VR VR VR VR VR	VR VR R VR VR VR VR	VR	VR R F VR F VR F F F F R F R F	VR VR VR R R VR VR VR R R	VR VR R VR R	VR R VR VR VR	VR R VR	R	VR	VR VR	VR VR VR	-
Late Paleocene Thanetian	Muthaymimah	7 109 110 112 113 114 115 117 118 120 121 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 129 130 131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 1	C C C VR R R R R F F F F F F VR VR VR VR R VR F F R VR F F R VR F F R R	A M M M M M M M G M M G G M M M M M M M	NP7/8	R VR VR	R F VR R	3 VRF VRVRRVRRRRRRRRRVRVRVRVRVRFRVRVRRRVRVR	EFRURURUR RRURURURURURURURURURURURURURURU	EFC VR VR VR VR VR VR VR FR VR	VR	R VR	VR	R VR	R R	VR	24	R VR	VR	VR	VR VR VR VR VR	VR VR	R VRVRVRFR VRRRR	VR	VR VR VR	VR	VR										

400 Geologia Croatica

#### Table 2: continued.

													Early	/ Eo	cene														A
													Υp	oresi	an														Age
														Rus															Formation
155	156	157	158	159	160	161	162	163	164	165	166	167	168	169	170	172	173	175	176	177	178	181	182	183	184	185	186	187	Sample no.
F	F	С	F	С	F	R	R	С	С	С	С	F	R	R	F	F	F	VR	F	R	F	F	С	С	R	С	F	С	Abundance
М	М	G	М	М	М	М	М	G	G	М	М	М	М	М	М	М	М	М	М	М	М	М	М	М	М	G	М	G	Preservation
									2											NP	10					ND	11		CN Riozona
							I	NF 21	J										ć	a			b	)		INF			CN DIOZOTIE
VR	R	VR	VR	VR	VR				VR	R		VR	VR					VR	R			Coccolithus pelagicus							
VR	VR	F	VR	VR	R	VR	R	F	F	F	R	VR	VR																Fasciculithus tympaniformis*
R	R	R	R	R	F	VR	R	F	F	F	F	VR	VR	R	R	VR	R			VR	VR	R	R	VR	F	F	VR	F	Sphenolithus primus
F	R	F	F	F	F	VR	R	R	F	F	F	VR	VR	VR	VR	F	R	VR	F	R	F	R	R	R	VR	R	VR		Discoaster multiradiatus*
VR	VR	F	R	R	R					VR	VR			VR		R	VR	VR	VR			VR	VR	VR		VR	VR	R	Discoaster binodosus
VR	VR	VR			VR			VR	VR	VR	VR		VR				VR												Fasciculthus bobii
VR			VR	VR	VR			VR	VR																				Fasciculithus schaubi
VR	VR	VR	VR											VR	VR		VR		VR										Discoaster araneus*
VR				VR			VR		VR	VR	VR		VR																Fasciculithus aubertae
		VR			VR									VR		VR	VR		VR										Discoaster falcatus
			VR			VR											VR	VR											Fasciculithus alanii
			VR	VR				VR	VR	VR																			Fasciculithus richardii
			VR	VR	R		VR	R		R	R			VR	VR	VR	VR	VR		VR		VR	R			F			Sphenolithus moriformis
				VR	VR	R	VR	VR	R	VR	VR		VR	VR	VR	VR	F	VR	R	VR		R	R	R	VR	VR	VR	R	Ericsonia cava
					VR				VR	VR			VR																Fasciculithus bitectus
							VR		VR	VR	VR		VR	VR	VR	VR	VR		VR			VR				VR			Fasciculithus involutus
								VR		VR	VR		VR			VR	F	VR	VR	VR	VR	R	VR	F		F		F	Zygrhablithus bijugatus
								VR									VR					VR	VR	VR		VR		VR	Braarudosphaera bigelowii
									VR																				Fasciculithus lillianae
									VR	VR	VR	VR	VR	VR	VR	VR	VR		VR			VR	VR		VR		VR		Thoracosphaera operculata
										VR																			Chiasmolithus consuetus
													VR								VR								Fasciculithus clinatus
																VR	VR	VR	VR	VR									Discoaster mahmoudii*
																VR													Fasciculithus richardii
																	VR					R	VR			VR		VR	Neochiastozygus junctus
																	VR								VR				Tribrachiatus bramlettei*
																						VR	VR	F	R	VR		F	Discoaster barbadiensis
																							R	R					Tribrachiatus digitalis*
																							VR	R		VR		R	Discoaster diastypus*
																									VR	VR	VR	F	Tribrachiatus orthostylus*
																												VR	Pontosphaera multipora
																												VR	Camplylosphaera dela
																												R	Ericsonia formosa
																												VR	Sphenolithus radiatus
																												VR	Chiasmolithus solitus



#### PLATE 1

1 Micula murus (MARTINI, 1961) BUKRY (1973), sample #12, Mundassah section

- 2 Thoracosphaera saxea STRADNER (1961), sample #35, Mundassah section
- 3-4 Eiffellithus turriseiffelii (DFLANDRE in DEFLANDRE & FERT, 1954), sample #17, Mundassah section
- 5 Braarudosphaera bigelowii (GRAN & BRAARUD, 1935), sample #61, Malaget section
- 6 Watznaueria barnesae (BLACK in BLACK & BARNES, 1959), sample #25, Mundassah section
- 7–8 Arkhangelskiella cymbiformis VEKSHINA (1959), sample #16, Mundassah section
- 9 Micula concava (STRADNER in MARTINI & STRADNER, 1960), sample #13, Mundassah section
- 10 Cribrosphaerella ehrenbergii (ARKHANGELSKY, 1912), sample #13, Mundassah section
- 11 Lucianorhabdus cayeuxii DELLANDRE (1959), sample #13, Mundassah section
- 12 Ericsonia subpertusa (HAY & MOHLER, 1967), sample #30, Mundassah section
- 13-14 Chiasmolithus solitus (BRAMLETTE & SULLIVAN, 1961), sample #187, Mundassah section
- 15–16 Pontosphaera multipora (KAMPTNER, 1948) ROTH (1970), sample #187, Mundassah section
- 17 Fasciculithus pileatus BUKRY (1973), sample #75, Mundassah section
- 18 Fasciculithus involutus BRAMLETTE & SULLIVAN (1961), sample #164, Mundassah section
- 19 Sphenolithus radians DEFLANDRE in GRASSE (1952), sample #187, Mundassah section
- 20 Zygrhablithus bijugatus (DEFLANDRE in DEFLANDRE & FERT, 1954), sample #168, Mundassah section
- 21–22: Sphenolithus primus PERCH-NIELSEN (1971), sample #15, Malaget section
- 23 Neochiastozygus junctus (Bramlette & Sullivan, 1961), sample #181, Mundassah section
- 24 Discoaster barbadiensis Tan (1927), Sample #183, Mundassah section

\*all figures X 1250



#### PLATE 2

- 1 Chiasmolithus danicus (BROTZEN, 1959), sample # 6, Jabal Malaqet.
- 2-3 Ellipsolithus macellus (BRAMLETTE & SULLIVAN, 1961), sample #52, Jabal Mundassah
- 4-5 Heliolithus kleinpellii SULLIVAN (1964), sample #109, Jabal Mundassah
- 6 Placozygus sigmoides (BRAMLETTE & SULLIVAN, 1961), sample # 6, Jabal Malaget
- 7-8 Fasciculithus tympaniformis HAY & MOHLER in HAY et al. (1967), sample #24, Jabal Malaqet
- 9-10 Tribrachiatus orthostylus SHAMRAI (1963), sample #184, Jabal Mundassah
- 11-12 Discoaster mahmoudii PERCH-NIELSEN (198 l), sample #175, Jabal Mundassah
- 13-14 Tribrachiatus bramlettei (BRONNIMANN & STRADNER, 1960), sample #173, Jabal Mundassah
- 15-16 Tribrachiatus digitalis AUBRY (1996), sample #182, Jabal Mundassah
- 17-18 Discoaster multiradiatus BRAMLETTE & REIDEL (1954), sample #56. Jabal Malaqet
- 19–20 Discoaster araneus BUKRY (1971), sample #158, Jabal Mundassah

\*all figures X 1250



Figure 5: Correlation between the identified calcareous nannofossil zones and the studied foraminiferal zones (ABDELGHANY, 2003).

northwest of Jabal El Rawdah) as an alternative type-section.

The Simsima Formation is unconformably overlain by the Muthaymimah Formation and overlies the Semail Ophiolite. At Jabal Malaqet, it consists of an 8 m-thick dolomitic limestone, with the presence of iron oxide and a rich assemblage of large foraminifera. At Jabal Mundassah, the Simsima Formation also consists of a basal dolomitic limestone with iron oxide and rich in large foraminifera, followed by approximately 30 m of greenish-grey to reddish-yellow laminated marls, rich in planktonic foraminifera, and calcareous nannofossils (Fig. 5).

## **3.2. Muthaymimah Formation**

This formation was firstly described by NOLAN et al. (1990) on the northwestern side of Sayh Muthaymimah, southeast of Buraymi, Sultanate of Oman. The formation unconformably overlies the Simsima Formation and consists of shale, marl, clay nodules and argillaceous limestone, mudstone, and breccia with conglomerate interbeds including clasts of Simsima Limestone, reworked rudists, coral fragments, reworked ophiolite and Hawasina chert. It is both ferruginous and highly fossiliferous. At Jabal Malaqet, the lower parts of the Muthaymimah Formation are composed of greenish grey marl, mudstone and limestone with intraformational conglomerate layers at the base. The middle part of the formation is represented by a 160 m thick sequence of breccia beds with limestone and chert fragments derived from the older rocks (Figs. 2, 3). It is topped by marl interlayered with nummulitic, alveolinid limestone of Early Eocene age. The formation measures about 490 m in thickness.

Otherwise, at Jabal Mundassah (Figs. 3, 4), the Muthaymimah Formation unconformably overlies the Upper Cretaceous Simsima Formation and consists of interlayered clayrich marl with gypsum veinlets, followed by fossiliferous and argillaceous limestone. Its measured thickness is about 340 m. It unconformably overlies the Upper Cretaceous Simsima Formation, and is topped by marl interlayered with nummulitic, alveolinid limestone of Early Eocene age.

# 4. CALCAREOUS NANNOFOSSIL BIOSTRATIGRAPHY

Calcareous nannofossils are a valuable tool in biostratigraphy, and it was decided to test the use of Paleogene calcareous nannofossil zonations (MARTINI, 1971) and successive emendation by ROMEIN (1979), and AUBRY et al. (2000) in the studied area. The present paper describes the distribution of calcareous nannofossil taxa throughout the Simsima and Muthymimah formations. The identified calcareous nannofossils are generally diverse and abundant in the studied sections, and have enabled subdivision of the studied stratigraphic intervals into nine biozones (Tables 1 to 2). The list of the Upper Cretaceous, Paleocene and Early Eocene calcareous nannofossil taxa is documented in Appendix (1), follows that outlined in PERCH-NIELSEN (1985a,b).

## 4.1. Upper Cretaceous biostratigraphy

The Upper Cretaceous Zonal Scheme of ROMEIN (1979) is adapted here. Abbrevations used in the present work are: FO = First Occurrence, LO= Last Occurrence.

## 4.1.1.- The Micula murus Zone (ROMEIN, 1979)

The *Micula murus* Zone of ROMEIN (1979) is defined by the FO of *M. murus* for the base and the Last Occurrence of *Micula murus* and other Cretaceous taxa and the AB of *Thoracosphaera* and *Braarudosphaera* for the top.

# Age: Late Maastrichtian

Occurrence: Jabal Mundassah section

**Common species:** *M. murus* Zone of ROMEIN (1979) is equivalent to the lower part of *Nephrolithus frequens* Zone (CC 26) of SISSINGH (1977). At Jabal Mundassah, this interval is characterized by a well-diversified nannofossil assemblage which include *Watznaueria barnesiae*, *Micula decussata*, *Arkhangelskiella cymbiformis*, *Cribrosphaerella ehrenbergii*, *Eiffellithus turriseiffelii*, *Lucianorhabdus* spp., *Prediscosphera* spp., *Lithraphidites quadratus* and Zygodisus spiralis.

#### 4.2. The Paleocene and Eocene biostratigraphy

For the Paleocene and Eocene, we adopt the biozonation proposed by MARTINI (1971) and emended by AUBRY et al. (2000).

### 4.2.1. The Chiasmolithus danicus Zone (NP3)

This is defined as the interval from the first occurrence FO of *Chiasmolithus danicus* to the FO of *Ellipsolithus macellus*.

Age: Early Palaeocene (latest Danian).

Occurrence: Jabal Mundassah section.

**Common species**: *Thoracosphaera operculata*, and *Placozygus* spp. The following Palaeocene nannofossil species first appeared in this zone: *Cruciplacolithus tenuis*,

C. primus, Coccolithus pelagicus, Ericsonia subpertusa and C. danicus.

#### 4.2.2. The Ellipsolithus macellus Zone (NP4)

Author: MARTINI (1970)

The *Ellipsolithus macellus* Zone is defined as the interval from the FO of *Ellipsolithus macellus* to the FO of *Fasciculithus tympaniformis*.

Age: Early Paleocene (late Danian).

Occurrence: Jabals Malaqet and Mundassah sections

**Common species:** The nannofossil taxa present in the NP4 Zone are those recorded in the NP3 Zone, plus *Ellipsolithus macellus*. The first taxon ascribable to genus *Sphenolithus, S. primus*, is observed in the upper part of this zone. The first radiation of the *Fasciculithus* genus occurs within NP4 Zone.

# 4.2.3. The Fasciculithus tympaniformis Zone (NP5)

Authors: MOHLER & HAY in HAY et al. (1967)

The *Fasciculithus tympaniformis* Zone is defined as the interval from the FO of *Fasciculithus tympaniformis* to the FO of *Heliolithus kleinpellii*.

Age: Middle Palaeocene (Selandian)

Occurrence: Jabals: Malaqet and Mundassah sections

**Common taxa**: In the studied sections (Mundassah, Malaqet), this zone contains a similar assemblage to Zone NP4 but it is distinguished by the presence of *Fasciculithus tympaniformis*, and *Bomolithus elegans*.

A big hiatus is detected in the Jabal Malaqet section, as shown by the absence of the complete NP6 and NP7/8 Zones.

### 4.2.4. The Heliolithus kleinpellii Zone (NP6)

#### Authors: MOHLER & HAY in HAY et al. (1967)

The *Heliolithus kleinpellii* Zone is defined as the interval from the FO of *Heliolithus kleinpellii*) to the FO of *Discoaster mohleri*.

Age: Middle Paleocene (Selandian)

Occurrence: Jabal Mundassah section

**Common species:** This zone includes the same nannofossil species observed in the

*F. tympaniformis* Zone plus *H. kleinpelli*, *H. cantabriae* and *Bomolithus conicus*.

The NP6 Zone occupies a thin interval at Jabal Mundassah and is completely absent at Jabal Malaqet.

#### 4.2.5. The Discoaster mohleri Zone (NP7/8)

Authors: HAY (1964) and MOHLER in HAY et al. (1967) emend ROMEIN (1979)

Since *Heliolithus riedeli* has not been observed in many localities worldwide, ROMEIN (1979) emended the definitions of the NP7 and NP8 Zone as originally proposed by MARTINI (1971), defining the *Discoaster mohleri* Zone (Zone NP7/8) as the interval from the FO of *D. mohleri* to the FO of *D. multiradiatus*, thus merging together the NP7 and NP8 Zones. We have also combined NP7 Zone and NP8 Zone into a NP7/8 because *H. riedeli* is missing in these sections.

Age: Late Palaeocene (Thanetian)

Occurrence: Jabal Mundassah section

**Common species:** The NP7/8 Zone is well represented at Jabal Mundassah.

The NP7/8 Zone includes the same nannofossil assemblage recorded in the NP6 Zone, with *D. mohleri*, *D. bramlettei*, *Fasciculithus alanii*, *F. clinatus*, *F. lilianae* and *Heliolithus cantabriae*.

#### 4.2.6. The Discoaster multiradiatus Zone (NP9)

Authors: BRAMLETTE & SULLIVAN (1961) emend. MARTINI (1971) and BUKRY & BRAMLETTE (1970).

The *Discoaster multiradiatus* Zone is defined as the interval from the FO of *D. multiradiatus* to the FO of *Tribrachiatus bramlettei*.

Age: Late Paleocene - Early Eocene

Occurrence: Jabals: Malaget and Mundassah sections

**Common species**: In the studied sections, the diversity of nannofossil assemblages reaches its maximum within the NP9 Zone.

The Discoaster multiradiatus Zone is recorded in the Jabal Malaqet and Mundassah sections with variable thicknesses. The second radiation of new Fasciculithus species is initiated in Zone NP9 and includes the FOs of F. involutus, F. schaubii, F. thomasii, F. tonii, F. aubertae and F. richardii, which is consistent with previous data (e.g. PERCH-NIELSEN, 1985; AGNINI et al., 2007). Other taxa first appearing in this zone include: Discoaster mahmoudii, D. binodosus, D. falcatus, D. lenticularis, D. araneus D. barbadiensis, D. diastypus and Zygrhablithus bijugatus.

AUBRY et al. (2000) and FARIS & ABU SHAMA (2007) subdivided the *D. multiradiatus* Zone (NP9) into two Subzones NP9a and NP9b using the FOs of *Rhamboaster* spp. and/ or

*D. araneus.* These biohorizons were proposed by the International Subcommission on Palaeogene Stratigraphy (ISPS) to approximate the Paleocene/Eocene (P/E) boundary.

At Jabal Mundassah, The FO of *D. areneus* has been observed in sample 155 and is used to mark the P/E boundary in this section. At the Malaqet section, the top of the NP9a Subzone cannot be pinpointed due to the absence of *Rhamboaster* taxa and *Discoaster araneus* (markers of the base of the NP9b Subzone), and the absence of *Tribrachiatus contortus* (the marker of the base of the NP10 Zone). This suggests the presence of a major hiatus at the P/E transition in this section.

4.2.7. The Tribrachiatus contortus Zone (NP10)

Authors: HAY (1964) and BUKRY (1973)

The *Tribrachiatus contortus* Zone is defined as the interval from the FO of *Tribrachiatus bramlettei* to the LO of *T. contortus*.

Age: Early Eocene (Ypresian)

Occurrence: Jabal Mundassah section

**Common species**: The NP10 Zone has been subdivided into four Subzones (NP 10a–d) based on the successive biohorizons, the FO of *Tribrachiatus bramlettei*, the FO and LO of *T. digitalis*, the FO and LO of *T. contortus* (AUBRY, 1996).

The *T. digitalis* morphotype shows intermediate morphological features between *T. contortus* and *T. orthostylus* and disappears within the lower part of the range of the latter species (RAFFI et al., 2005).

On the other hand, the However, the FO of *T. digitalis* at ODP Site 1262 is recorded within the lowermost part of the range of *T. contortus* (AGNINI et al., 2007), in agreement with the previous findings of RAFFI et al. (2005), in palaeoequatorial Pacific and North Atlantic sections.

This implies that the previously proposed subdivision of MARTINI'S (1971) Zone NP10 (NP10a-NP10d of AU-BRY, 1999) collapses. Consequently the exact duration of Subzone NP10b (= range of *digitalis*) remains uncertain.

The *Tribrachiatus digitalis* morphotype is often reported from only a few samples. It was recorded in single samples at DSDP Site 577 and ODP Site 1051 (CRAMER et al., 2003), in two samples from DSDP Site 550 (AUBRY, 1995, AUBRY et al., 1996), in six samples in the poorly recovered cores 5 and 6 at DSDP Hole 117A (AUBRY, 1995), and in probably only two samples from the proposed P/E GSSP section of Dababiya in Egypt (DUPUIS et al., 2003).

The *T. contortus* Zone (NP10) is tentatively recognized at Jabal Mundassah (*T. bramlettei*, is observed in samples

173 and 184), and *T. digitalis* is identified from samples 182 and 183 (Table 2). It is remarkable that Zone NP10 is missing at Jabal Malaqet, suggesting either a small hiatus within the Early Eocene or a potential sampling gap.

## 4.2.8. The Discoaster binodosus Zone (NP11)

#### Authors: MOHLER & HAY in HAY et al. (1967)

The *Discoaster binodosus* Zone is defined as the interval from the LO of *T. contortus* and the FO of *Discoaster lodoensis*.

Age: Early Eocene (Ypresian)

Occurrence: Jabals: Malaget and Mundassah sections

**Common species:** The LO of *T. orthostylus* is usually found in the uppermost part of Zone NP10 in many localities in the world (PERCH-NIELSEN, 1985b). PERCH-NIELSEN, (1985b) mentioned that in case of the absence of *T. contortus* (its highest occurrence defines the base of the NP11 Zone) the FO of *T. orthostylus* can be used to approximate the base of NP11 Zone. Following the reasoning of PERCH-NIELSEN (1985b), the FO of *T. orthostylus* has been utilized here in order to place the base of NP11 Zone in the studied two sections. Besides the nannofossil taxa identified in the NP10 Zone, other species that first appeared in the NP11 Zone include *T.orthostylus, Sphenolithus radians, Ericsonia formosa* and *Chiasmolithus solitus*.

#### 4.3. Stage boundaries

The studied Upper Cretaceous – Lower Eocene sequence contains a set of biozones covering several stages. The calcareous nannofossils provide a useful global marker for the precise placement of stages and boundaries. In terms of the identified calcareous nannofossils, the Cretaceous/Palaeogene (K/Pg), the Danian/Selandian, the Selandian/Thanetian and the Paleocene/Eocene (P/E) boundaries are briefly discussed below.

#### 4.3.1. The K/Pg boundary

The Global Stratotype Section and Point (GSSP) for the K/ Pg boundary which has been voted by the International Committee on Stratigraphy (ICS) and ratified by the International Union of Geological Science (IUGS) are defined in the El Kef section in Tunisia. The boundary lies at the base of the boundary clay which consists of a 2.0 mm thick, rust colored ferruginous layer overlain by a 1.0m thick black clayey bed within a monotonous succession of marl. This level coincides with the largest extinction event in planktonic foraminifera, together with an Iridium anomaly and an abrupt change in the stable isotope values as well as the carbonate content (ARENILLAS et al., 2002).

At the Jabal Mundassah section, there is a stratigraphic gap around the K/Pg boundary interval which includes the uppermost Maastrichtian (*Micula prinsii* Zone) and the Early Danian (*Markalius inversus* and *Cruciplacolithus tenuis* Zones).

Cretaceous nannofossils rarely occurred above the K/P boundary in the NP3 Zone at the Jabal Mundassah section.

In the present study, the authors believe that not all of the Cretaceous forms present in the Lower Danian sediments are due to reworking, but some of them may represent surviving taxa.

#### 4.3.2. The Danian/Selandian boundary

The subdivision of the Palaeocene into the Danian, Selandian and Thanetian was proposed by the ISPS (JENKINS & LUTERBACHER, 1992).

The Global Stratotype Section and Point (GSSP) for the base of the Selandian Stage coincides with; the second evolutionary radiation of the calcareous nannofossil genus *Fasciculithus*, a sharp decrease in the abundance of *Braarudosphaera* and is close to the NP4/NP5 zonal boundary (BERNAOLA et al., 2009). In the Thamad area, east central Sinai, Egypt, the Danian/Selandian boundary is tentatively placed at the base of Zone NP5 (FARIS & ABU SHAMA, 2007).

The base of Danian Stage can be approximated by the base of the NP1 Zone, while the base of the Selandian Stage can be approximated at the base of NP 5 Zone (CLEM-MENSEN & THOMSEN, 2005). In the studied two sections, the FO of *F. tympaniformis* was used to delineate the Danian/Selandian boundary which coincides with the NP4/NP5 zonal boundary.

## 4.3.3. The Selandian/Thanetian boundary

The base of the Thanet Formation in Kent, England was used to define the Selandian/ Thanetian boundary. It corresponds to the lower part of the planktonic foraminiferal P4 Zone (BERGGREN et al., 1995). The calcareous nannofossil studies showed that the base of the Thanetian Stage could be referred to the uppermost part of NP6 or NP6/ NP7 (undifferentiated) (BERGGREN et al., 1995).

At Jabal Mundassah, the Selandian Stage comprises the NP5 and NP6 Zones and the Selandian/Thanetian boundary is marked at the base of Zone NP7/8 (*D. mohleri* Zone). At the Malaqet section, a major hiatus is suggested at the Selandian/Thanetian transition because the NP6 and NP7/8 p.p. Zones cannot be recognized.

## 4.3.4. The P/E boundary

The Palaeocene/Eocene working group placed the GSSP for the base of the Eocene series in the abandoned Quarry of Dababiya at the base of the Dababiya Quarry Beds (DQB), Luxor, Nile Valley, Egypt and it is defined at the onset of the Carbon Isotope Excursion (CIE) (AUBRY et al., 2007). According to DUPUIS et al. (2003) the base of the Eocene Series (base NP 9b Subzone) can be approximated by the FO of warm-water species (e.g. *Rhamboaster spineus*, *R.cuspis*, *R. intermedia*, *R. calcitrapa*, *R. bitrifida* and *Discoaster araneus*).

At the Jabal Mundassah section, the FO of *Discoaster araneus* (which defines the base of the NP9b Subzone,) is used to approximate the base of the Eocene. At the Malaqet section, the P/E boundary interval is missing and a major hiatus is present as indicated by the absence of the NP9b Subzone, in addition to the complete absence of the NP10 Zone (see Table 1).

# **5. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS**

This work presents a calcareous nannofossil biostratigraphic study spanning the Maastrichtian – Ypresian interval at Malaqet and Mundassah (southeast of Al-Ain City, UAE). Two rock stratigraphic units were recognized in the study area; the Simsima Formation (Late Maastrichtian), and the Muthayminah Formation (Palaeocene-Early Eocene). A stratigraphic gap is located around the K/Pg boundary at the Mundassah section, where the *M. prinsii* Zone (latest Maastrichtian) and NP1 to NP3 Zones (Early Danian) are absent. The Danian/ Selandian boundary is positioned where *Fasciculithus tympaniformis* first occurred (at the base of Zone NP5).

The Selandian/Thanetian boundary is placed at the level of the FO of *Discoaster mohleri* (base of the NP7/8 Zone), at the Jabal Mundassah section. A major hiatus is present around the Selandian/Thanetian boundary at the Jabal Malaqet section as indicated by the absence of the NP6 and NP7/8 Zones.

The Palaeocene/Eocene boundary is placed at the base of Zone NP9b (which is defined by the FO of *Discoaster araneus*) at the Jabal Mundassah section. At the Jabal Malaqet section the Palaeocene/Eocene boundary interval is missing and a major hiatus is testified by the absence of the NP9 b Subzone and NP10 Zone.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors wish to thank UAE University for providing the necessary facilities to complete this work. We thank Mr. Hamdi KANDIL, Geology Department, UAE University for his help in enhancement of the figures and plates of this paper.

# **APPENDIX**

Taxonomy in general follows that outlined in PERCH-NIELSEN (1985a and b).

# UPPER CRETACEOUS CALCAREOUS NANNOFOSSIL TAXA

Arkhangelskiella cymbiformis VEKSHINA (1959)
Cribrosphaerella ehrenbergii (ARKHANGELSKY, 1912) DEFLANDRE in PIVETEAU (1952)
Eiffellithus turriseiffelii (DEFLANDRE in DEFLANDRE and FERT, 1954) REINHARDT, 1965.
Lucianorhabdus cayeuxii DEFLANDRE (1959)
Micula concava (STRADNER in MARTINI & STRAD-NER, 1960) VERBEEK (1976b)
Micula decussata VEKSHINA (1959)
Micula murus (MARTINI, 1961) BUKRY (1973)

Stradneria crenulata (BRAMLETTE & MARTINI, 1964)

NOEL (1970)

- *Thoracosphaera operculata* BRAMLETTE & MARTINI (1964)
- Watznaueria barnesiae (BLACK in BLACK & BARNES, 1959) PERCH-NIELSEN (1968)

# PALEOCENE AND EOCENE CALCAREOUS NANNOFOSSILS TAXA

- Bomolithus conicus (PERCH-NIELSEN, 1971) PERCH-NIELSEN (1984a)
- Bomolithus elegans ROTH (1973)
- Braarudosphaera bigelowii (GRAN & BRAARUD, 1935) DEFLANDRE (1947)
- Campylosphaera dela (BRAMLETTE & SULLIVAN, 1961) HAY & MOHLER (1967)
- Chiasmolithus californicus (SULLIVAN, 1964) HAY & MOHLER (1967)
- Chiasmolithus consuetus (BRAMLETTE & SULLIVAN, 1961) HAY & MOHLER (1967)
- Chiasmolithus danicus (BROTZEN, 1959) HAY & MOHLER (1967)
- Chiasmolithus solitus (BRAMLETTE & SULLIVAN, 1961) LOCKER, 1968
- Coccolithus pelagicus (WALLICH, 1877) SCHILLER (1930)
- Cruciplacolithus primus PERCH-NIELSEN (1977)
- Cruciplacolithus tennuis (STRADNER, 1961) HAY & MOHLER in HAY et al. (1967)
- Discoaster araneus BUKRY (1971)
- Discoaster barbadiensis TAN (1927)
- Discoaster binodosus MARTINI (1958)
- Discoaster diastypus BRAMLETTE & SULLIVAN (1961)
- Discoaster falcatus BRAMLETTE & SULLIVAN (1961)
- Discoaster lenticularis BRAMLETTE & SULLIVAN (1961)
- Discoaster mahmoudii PERCH-NIELSEN (1981)
- Discoaster mohleri BUKRY & PERCIVAL (1971)
- Discoaster multiradiatus BRAMLETTE & REIDEL (1954)
- Discoasteroides bramlettei (BUKRY & SULLIVAN, 1971)
- *Ellipsolithus macellus* (PERCH-NIELSEN, 1961) SULLI-VAN (1964)
- Ericsonia cava (HAY & MOHLER, 1967) PERCH-NI ELSEN (1969)
- Ericsonia formosa (KAMPTNER, 1963) HAQ (1971)
- Ericsonia subpertusa HAY & MOHLER (1967)
- Fasciculithus alanii PERCH-NIELSEN (1971)
- Fasciculithus aubertae HAQ & AUBRY (1981)
- Fasciculithus billii PERCH-NIELSEN (1971)
- Fasciculithus bitectus ROMEIN (1979)
- Fasciculithus bobii PERCH-NIELSEN (1971)

- Fasciculithus clinatus BUKRY (1971)
- Fasciculithus involutus BRAMLETTE & SULLIVAN (1961)
- Fasciculithus lilianae PERCH-NIELSEN (1971)
- Fasciculithus pileatus BUKRY (1973)
- Fasciculithus richardii PERCH-NIELSEN (1971)
- Fasciculithus schaubii HAY& MOHLER (1967)
- Fasciculithus stonehengei HAQ & AUBRY, 1981
- Fasciculithus thomasii PERCH-NIELSEN (1971)
- Fasciculithus tonii PERCH-NIELSEN (1971)
- *Fasciculithus tympaniformis* HAY & MOHLER in HAY et al. (1967)
- Fasciculithus ulii PERCH-NIELSEN (1971)
- Heliolithus cantabriae PERCH-NIELSEN (1971)
- Heliolithus kleinpellii SULLIVAN (1964)
- Markalius inversus (DEFLANDRE in DEFLANDRE & FERT, 1954) BRAMLETTE & MARTINI (1964)
- Neochiastozygus imbriei HAQ & LOHMANN (1976)
- Neochiastozygus junctus (BRAMLETTE & SULLIVAN, 1961) PERCH-NIELSEN (1971)
- Neochiastozygus modestus PERCH-NIELSEN (1971)
- *Placozygus sigmoides* (BRAMLETTE & SULLIVAN, 1961) ROMEIN (1979)
- Pontosphaera multipora (KAMPTNER, 1948) ROTH (1970)
- Sphenolithus moriformis ((BRONNIMANN & STRAD-NER, 1960) BRAMLETTE & WILCOXON (1967)
- Sphenolithus primus PERCH-NIELSEN (19710)
- Sphenolithus radians DEFLANDRE in GRASSE (1952)
- *Thoracosphaera operculata* BRAMLETTE & MARTINI (1964)
- Thoracosphaera saxea STRADNER (1961)
- *Tribrachiatus bramlettei* (BRONNIMANN & STRAD-NER, 1960) PROTO DECIMA et al. (1975)
- Tribrachiatus digitalis AUBRY(1996)
- Tribrachiatus orthostylus SHAMRAI (1963)
- Zygrhablithus bijugatus (DEFLANDRE in DEFLANDRE & FERT, 1954) DEFLANDRE (1959).

## REFERENCES

- ABD-ALLAH, A.M.A. (2001): Folding and faulting of Neoautochthonous sequence in the Al Faiyah fold belt: Northern Oman Mountains, United Arab Emirates.– Annals of the Geological Survey of Egypt, 24, 413–433.
- ABD EL-GAWAD, E.A., ABDELGHANY, O., LOTFY, M.A., HASHEM, W.A. & ABU SAIMA, M. (2010): Basin analysis of the Late Cretaceous Sediments in United Arab Emirates.– Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences, 4/8, 3526–3545.
- ABDELGHANY, O. (2003): Late Campanian to Maastrichtian foraminifera from the Simsima Formation, western side of the Northern Oman Mountains.– Cretaceous Research, 24, 391–405.

- AGNINI, C., FORNACIARI, I.E., RAFFI, I., RIO, D.R.U. & WEST-ERHOLD, T. (2007): High resolution nannofossil biochronology of middle Paleocene to Early Eocene at ODP Site 1262: Implications for calcareous nannoplankton evolution.– Marine Micropaleontology, 64, 215–248.
- AL SHARHAN, A.S. & KENDALL, C.G. (1991): Cretaceous chronostratigraphy, unconformities and eustatic sea-level changes in the sediments of the Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates.– Cretaceous Research, 12, 379–401.
- AL SHARHAN, A.S., ZICO, O.A., SHEBL, H.T. & WITTLE, E.G.L. (20000): Microfacies and microfabrics of Maastrichtian carbonates, northwestern Oman Mountains, U.A.E.–SEPM (Society for Sedimentary Geology), Special Publication, 69, 129–142.
- ARENILLAS, I., ALEGRET, L., ARZ, J.A.L. & ROSALES-DOMINGU-EZ, C. (2002): Cretaceous-Tertiary boundary planktonic foraminiferal mass extinction and biochronology at La Ceiba and Bochil, Mexico, and El-Kef, Tunisia.– In: KOEBERAL, C. & MACLEOD, K.G. (eds.): Catastrophic events and mass extinctions: Impacts and Beyond: Boulder, Colorado. Geological Society of America Special Paper, 356, 253–264.
- AUBRY, M.-P. (1995): Towards an upper Paleocene–lower Eocene high resolution stratigraphy based on calcareous nannofossil stratigraphy.– Israel Journal Earth-Science,44, 239–253.
- AUBRY, M.-P. (1999): Late Paleocene–Early Eocene sedimentary history in western Cuba: implications for the LPTM and for regional tectonic history.– Micropaleontology 45, 5–18.
- AUBRY, M.-P., BERGGREN, W.A., STOTT, L. & SINHA, A. (1996): The upper Paleocene–lower Eocene stratigraphic record and the Paleocene–Eocene boundary carbon isotope excursion: implications for geochronology.– In: KNOX, R.O. et al. (eds.): Correlation of the Early Paleogene in Northwest Europe. Geol. Soc. London, Special Publication, 101, 353–380.
- AUBRY, M.-P., OUDA, K., DUPUIS, C., BERGGREN, W.A., VAN COUVERING, J.A. & MEMBERS OF THE WORKING GROUP ON THE PALEOCENE/EOCENE BOUNDARY (2007): The Global Standard Stratotype-section and Point (GSSP) for the base of the Eocene Series in the Dababiya section (Egypt).- Episodes, 30, 271–286.
- AUBRY, M.-P., REQUIRAND, C. & COOK, J. (2000): The Rhomboaster– Tribrachiatus lineage: a remarkable succession of events from 55.5 to 53.2 Ma.– GFF, 122, 15–18.
- AUBRY, M.P. & OUDA, K. (2003): Introduction to the upper Paleocene– Lower Eocene of the Upper Nile Valley: Part I Stratigraphy.– Micropaleontology, 49 (supplement 1), ii–iv.
- BAGHDADY, A.R. & ABU-ZEID, M.M. (2002): Petrography, facies and framework of sedimentation of the Upper Cretaceous-Lower Tertiary sediments in Jabal Malaqet, West of the Northern Oman Mountains, United Arab Emirates, Middle East Research Center, Ain Shams University.– Earth Sciences Series, 16, 1–15.
- BERGGREN, W.A., KENT, D.V., SWISHER, C.C.I. & AUBRY, M.-P. (1995): A revised Cenozoic geochronology and chronostratigraphy.– In: HARDENBOL, J. (ed.): Geochronology, time scales and global stratigraphic correlation, Society of Economic Paleontologists and Mineralogists, Special Publication, 54, 129–212.
- BERNAOLA, G., MARTÍN-RUBIO, M. & BACETA, J.I. (2009): New high resolution calcareous nannofossil analysis across the Danian-Selandian transition at the Zumaia section: comparisons with South Tethys and Danish sections.– Geologica Acta, 7/1–2, 79–92.
- BOUKHARY, M.A., CARTER, B.D., AL SHARHAN, A.S. & ALY, M.F. (1999): Maastrichtian echinoids from the Simsima Formation, northwestern Oman mountains, UAE: A systematic view and ecological implications.– Revue de Paléobiologie, Genéve, 18, 577–595.

- BRAMLETTE, M.N. & SULLVAN, F.R. (1961): Coccolithophorids and related nannoplankton of the Early Tertiary in California.– Micropaleontology, 7, 129–188.
- BUKRY, D. (1971): Cenozoic calcareous nannofossils from the Pacific Ocean. Transactions of the San Diego Society Natural History, 16, 303–327.
- BUKRY, D. (1973a): Coccoliths stratigraphy, Leg 10-Deep Sea Drilling Project. Initial Reports of the Deep Sea Drilling Project, 10, 385–604. Washington, DC: US Government Printing Office.
- BUKRY, D. (1973b): Low latitude coccoliths biostratigraphic zonation. Initial Reports of the Deep Sea Drilling Project, 15, 685–703. Washington, DC: US Government Printing Office.
- DUPUIS, CH., AUBRY, M-P., SEURBAUT T.E., BERGGREN, W., OUDA, KH., MAGIONCALDA, R., CRAMER, B.S., KENT, D.V., SPERJER, R.P. & HEILMANN-CLAUSEN, C. (2003): The Dababiya Quarry section: Lithostratigraphy, geochemistry and paleontology.– Micropaleontology, 49 (supplement 1), 41–59.
- GLENNIE, K.W., BOEUF, M.G.A., HUGHES–CLARKE, M.W., MOODY-STUART, M., PILLAAR, W.F.H. & REINHARDT, B.M. (1974): Geology of the Oman Mountains-Verhandelingen van Ket Koninklijk, Nederlands Geologisch-Minjbouwkundig Genootschap. (Transactions of the Royal Dutch Geological and Mineralogical Society), 31, 423 p.
- FARIS, M. & ABU SHAMA. A.M. (2007): Nannofossil biostratigraphy of the Paleocene–Eocene succession in the Thamad area, East Central Sinai, Egypt.– Micropaleontology, 1–2, 127–144.
- HAMDAN, A.A. (1990): Maastrichtian Globotruncanids from the western front of the Northern Oman Mountains: Implications for the age of post-orogenic strata.– Journal of Faculty of Science, United Arab Emirates University, 2, 53–66.
- HAY, W.W. (1964): The use of the electron microscope in study of fossils.– Annual Reports Smithsonian Institute, 1963, 409–415.
- HAY, W.W. (1970): Calcareous nannofossils from cores recovered on Leg 4.– Initial. Reports Deep Sea Drilling Project, Washington, 4, 455–502.
- HAY, W.W., MOHLER, H.P., ROTH, P.H., SCHMIDT, R.R & BOUDREAUX, J.E. (1967): Calcareous nannoplankton zonation of the Cenozoic of the Coast and Caribbean-Antillean area and transoceanic correlation.– Trans. Gulf Coast. Association Geology Society, 17, 28–80.
- JENKINS, D.G. & LUTERBACHER, H.P. (1992): Paleogene Stages and their boundaries.– Newsletter Journal Geology Paleontology, Abhandlungen, 186, 1–5.
- MARTINI, E. (1970): Standard Paleogene calcareous nannoplankton zonation.– Nature, 226, 560–561.

- MARTINI, E. (1971): Standard Tertiary and Quaternary calcareous nannoplankton zonation.– Proceeding of Second Planktonic Conference. Rome, 1970, 2, 739–77.
- NOLAN, S.C., SKELTON, P.W., CLISSOLD, B.P & SMEWING, J.D. (1990): Maastrichtian to Early Tertiary stratigraphy and palaeogeography of the Central and Northern Oman Mountains.— In: ROB-ERTSON, A.H.F., SEARLE, M.P. & RIES, A.C. (eds.): The Geology and Tectonics of the Oman Region. Geological Society of London, Special Publication, 49, 495–519.
- NOWEIR, M.A. & ELOUTEFI, N.S. (1997): The structure and stratigraphy of Jabal Malaqet-Jabal Mundassa area, southeast Al-Ain, Northern Oman Mountains, United Arab Emirates.– Neues Jahrbuch für Geologie und Paläontologie, Abhandlungen, 204, 263–284.
- NOWEIR, M.A., ALSHARHAN, A.S. & BOUKHARY, M.A. (1998): Structural setting and stratigraphic evolution of the northwestern Oman Mountain Front, United Arab Emirates.– GeoArabia, 3, 387–398.
- NOWEIR, M.A., & ABDEEN, M.M. (2000): Structural evolution of Jabal Rawdah area, Hatta Zone, Northwestern Oman Mountains front.– Egyptian Journal of Geology, 44, 219–235.
- PERCH-NIELSEN, K. (1985a): Mesozoic calcareous nannofossils.– In: BOLLII, H.M., SAUNDERS, J.B & PERCH-NIELSEN, K. (eds.): Plankton Stratigraphy. Cambridge University Press, 329–426.
- PERCH-NIELSEN, K. (1985b): Cenozoic calcareous nannofossils.– In: BOLLI, H.M., SAUNDERS, J.B. & PERCH-NIELSEN, K. (eds.): Plankton Stratigraphy. Cambridge University Press, 427–554.
- RAFFI, I., BACKMAN, J. & PALIKE, H. (2005): Changes in calcareous nannofossil assemblages across the Paleocene/Eocene transition from the paleo-equatorial Pacific Ocean.– Palaeogeogr., Palaeoclimat., Palaeoecol., 226, 93–126.
- ROMEIN, A.J.T. (1979): Lineages in Early Paleogene calcareous nannoplankton.– Utrecht. Micropaleontology Bulletin, 22, 1–231.
- SAYED, M.G. & MERSAL, M.A. (1998): Surface geology of Jebel Rawdah, Oman Mountains.– GeoArabia, 3, 401–414.
- SISSINGH, W. (1977): Biostratigraphy of Cretaceous calcareous nannoplankton.– Geologie Mijnbouw, 56, 37–65.
- WILSON, H.H. (2000): The age of the Hawasina and other problems of Oman Mountain geology.– Journal of Petroleum Geology, 23, 345–362.
- WORSLEY, T. & MARTINI, E. (1970): Late Maastrichtian nannoplankton Provinces.– Nature, 225, 1242–1243.
- WORSLEY, T. (1974): The Cretaceous/Tertiary boundary event in the Ocean.– In: HAY, W. W. (ed.): Studies in paleoceanography. SEPM, Special. Publication, 20, 94–125.

Manuscript received August 30, 2011 Revised manuscript accepted June 18, 2012 Available online October 30, 2012