Intraabdominal hypertension and abdominal compartment syndrome in the intensive care unit
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ABSTRACT

Intraabdominal hypertension can induce a significant dysfunction of cardiovascular, respiratory, renal, and gastrointestinal and central nervous systems. Recently, a prospective multicenter epidemiological study concluded that the intraabdominal hypertension observed in intensive care units was associated with an increased risk of mortality in critically ill patients. In this review, we summarize current literature data concerning definitions and measurement of intraabdominal pressure and discuss the importance of intraabdominal hypertension in critically ill patients. We conclude that intraabdominal pressure should be taken into consideration along with other standard pressure measurements in critically ill patients.
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Compliance of the abdominal wall together with the abdominal content determines the intraabdominal pressure (IAP). Normally, the values of IAP in patients breathing spontaneously is atmospheric, or just sub atmospheric (1). Although terms intraabdominal hypertension and abdominal compartment syndrome (ACS) are known for more than a century, there has been confusion in their distinction. Generally speaking, intraabdominal hypertension (IAH) is a value of IAP causing a pathophysiological effect on organ systems, and ACS is a consequence of IAH effect over some time. Initially, both terms were associated only with trauma patients or surgical patients, but later these terms were also used in relation to other medical patients. The fact that IAH is present in 18 to 80% of ICU patients explain the increased interest in this topic (2).

Intraabdominal hypertension and measurement of the IAP

IAP is measured directly by an intraperitoneal catheter or indirectly by a percutaneous inferior vena cava catheter, nasogastric catheter or urinary bladder catheter. Intravesicular pressure (IVP) measurement is now accepted as the gold standard because this method is simple, considerably accurate and widely available. In 1984 Kron et al. first described the method of IVP measurement in ACS after ruptured abdominal aortic aneurysm (3). IAP should be measured at end-expiration, in the supine position, after the bladder is firstly fully emptied, and then filled with 50 ml of saline. The degree of muscle relaxation as well as body weight has an affect on the measurement. Continuous IAP measurement using a nasogastric tube as well as continuous bladder irrigation methods are now automated and they represent the most accurate methods in clinical practice.

Different authors use different cut-off points for defining IAH (4,5,6,7). Sugrue et al. reported that even IAP of 10 mmHg (1mmHg = 1.36 cm H2O) might induce harm to several organ systems (6). Recently, at the World Congress on Abdominal Compartment Syndrome, the threshold for IAH was established as a value of 12 mmHg or greater in a minimum of three standardized measurements taken four to six hours apart (8). Four severity groups have been established on the basis of intraabdominal pressure values: grade 1, 12-15 mmHg; grade 2, 16-20 mmHg; grade 3, 21-25 mmHg; grade 4, greater than 25 mmHg (8).

Intraabdominal hypertension induces organ system failure

Current data concerning the IAH and ACS is rather large, but definitions are not clear. Malbrain et al. defined ACS as IAH greater than 20 mmHg in combination with at least one end-organ failure (2). McNellis et al. defined ACS in surgical ICUs as IAP greater than 25
mmHg in combination with oliguria and peak airway pressure greater than 50 mmHg (9). The latest definition of ACS includes two main characteristics: an IAP of 20 mmHg or greater in a minimum of three standardized measurements taken four to six hour apart and at least one new end-organ failure (8). Besides, the abdominal perfusion pressure, defined as the difference between arterial pressure and IAP, less than 50 mmHg is an additional factor but not mandatory (8). According to experimental and clinical reports, the presence of IAH affecting several abdominal and extra abdominal organs leads to multiple organ failure and eventually to death. It is observed that gastrointestinal and renal systems are usually affected first.

Elevated IAP induces splanchnic hypoperfusion hence decreased intestinal perfusion. Polat et al. reported bacterial translocation at the IAP>14 mmHg (10) while another group of authors described bacterial translocation at the IAP of 20 mmHg (11). It is assumed that intestinal ischemia might cause multiple organ dysfunction syndrome mediated by the inflammatory response. Secondary acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) has been observed in several studies (12, 13, 14, 15). Oda et al. evaluated the activation of proinflammatory cytokines in Yorkshire swine after causing hemorrhagic shock and resuscitation (HS-R) and after ACS (14). They observed greater cytokine activation and lung injury than in HS-R alone.

Acute renal failure develops as a secondary complication in IAH. Decreased renal plasma flow, lower glomerular filtration and smaller urine output with normal urinary sodium are the main characteristics. One of the first visible signs of IAH is oliguria which occur at an IAP of 15-20 mmHg. Diuresis and renal function recovery are observed following abdominal decompression. Mechanisms of renal dysfunction are unclear, although increased renal vein pressure could be the main cause (16).

On the other hand, ureteric re-canalization with ureteric stents following the obstruction does not improve renal function. IAH also leads to respiratory dysfunction having a direct mechanical effect by displacing the diaphragm cranially. ACS affecting pulmonary function leads to increased peak airway pressure, compressive atelectasis, decreased lung compliance and reduced lung volumes. Besides, ventilation-perfusion mismatch with increased dead space occurs and leads to intrapulmonary shunts. The overall effect is an impairment of gas exchange, with hypoxemia, hypercapnia and acidosis (15, 16, and 17).

Neurological effects of raised IAP are characterized by intracranial hypertension and decreased cerebral perfusion pressure (18). The mechanism is unknown. Neurological problems, in patients with ACS but without any head trauma are observed, and therefore require close monitoring of both IAP and neurological status (18).

Ventricular filling is also affected in patients with IAH as a result of decreased venous return caused by the compression of the inferior vena cava or portal vein. While the intravascular volume is decreased, preload measurements, central venous pressure (CVP) and pulmonary artery occlusion pressure (PAOP), are falsely elevated (19). Some author noted that right ventricular end-diastolic volume (RVEDV) and global end-diastolic volume index (GVEDI) reflect intravascular volume status more accurately (16, 19, 20). Therefore, these volumetric parameters should be used for preload estimation and fluid resuscitation in patients with ACS.

**Risk factors**

Identification of patients with IAH is critical. It is important to remember that ACS can develop in all critically ill patients. ACS mostly occurs following trauma and damage control surgery associated with the compressive effect of intra-abdominal packing, coagulopathy disorders, bowel edema and fascial or skin closure (15). Several trials compared patients who developed ACS with control groups. Using a multivariate analysis model, high PAP and volume of fluid resuscitation were identified as risk factors for ACS (21,22).

A recently conducted, multicenter, epidemiological study in patients with IAH was published in 2005. This trial prospectively enrolled 265 mixed ICU patients (medical and surgical) in 14 ICU in six countries. Thirty percent of patients had IAH with IAP greater than 12 mmHg and 4.2% had ACS (IAP greater than 20 mmHg, with at least one organ failure) on admission. During the stay in ICU, IAH was an independent risk factor for mortality, whereas IAH on admission was not a predictor of mortality. They observed that abdominal surgery, fluid resuscitation, ileus and liver dysfunction were independent predictors for IAH (2).

**Prevention and treatment**

In addition to the standard patient monitoring of urine output and cardio respiratory function, an intermittent IVP is mandatory in most critically ill patients. Raised IVP necessitate continuous IAP measurement to ensure optimal patient management. The first step in the management of the ACS is fluid recovery and general support to optimize the condition of the patient. state. On the other hand, fluid overload is a risk factor leading to deterioration of ACS. RVEDV and GVEDV are the main indicators of the fluid state.

According to Meldrum and Burch, an IAP of 25 mmHg, is a pressure value at which anuria, decreased cardiac output and increased PAP often complicate IAH (7,23). Surgical decompression should be the treatment of choice in ACS (24). Some authors advocate surgical decompression as a preventive method, but due to its morbidity, it is not widely accepted (8). Several different methods of surgical release are in use but temporary abdominal closure is today the most accepted method.
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