Gabapentin for acute and chronic post-surgical pain

ABSTRACT

Pain after surgery remains a significant clinical problem as it impairs recovery adversely and may lead to the transition to chronic pain. Opioid medications are far from ideal agents in suppressing postoperative pain. Gabapentin—an anticonvulsant with antihyperalgesic properties—originally efficacious against neuropathic pain seems to be very promising for the management of pain after surgery as well. Gabapentin, by decreasing noxious stimulus-induced excitatory neurotransmitter release at the spinal cord, may attenuate central sensitization, and eventually decrease postoperative late pain. Furthermore, different sites of action may be pertinent to a synergistic effect with opioids. Both actions (antihyperalgesic effect and synergy with opioid analgesia) may manifest as analgesia and/or opioid-sparing effect after surgery. This has been confirmed by a variety of clinical studies, in a variety of settings. Most of these studies have shown that either single preoperative or repeated doses of gabapentin, continued for up to a few days after surgery, decrease acute postoperative pain and/or need for postoperative opioids. This has been shown for procedures such as abdominal and vaginal hysterectomy, breast surgery for cancer (mastectomy or lumpectomy), lumbar discectomy and spinal fusion, laparoscopic cholecystectomy and other, such as ENT surgery. Finally, a few studies indicate that perioperative gabapentin may as well decrease chronic pain several weeks after surgery.
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Pain is a common postoperative symptom impairing the quality of postoperative recovery, delaying discharge from Post-anaesthesia care unit (PACU) or surgical centre, leading to post-discharge readmissions, and increasing overall morbidity and costs (1-4). Ongoing, acute postoperative pain may lead to chronic pain after surgery. Acute postoperative pain may be followed by persistent pain in a significant percentage of individuals after common surgical procedures (5,6). This persistent pain may last for more than 3-6 months after surgery (5). Specifically, stump and phantom pain may complicate limb amputations in 5-60% and 30-80% of patients respectively, while the incidence of chronic postsurgical pain may range from 50-90% after breast surgery for cancer (7-9). Chronic pain is also common after thoracotomy (20-70%), inguinal hernia repair (up to 35%), and cholecystectomy (5-50%) (5,6). Underlying mechanisms may be pertinent to prolonged afferent input of nociceptive signals, originating from surgical trauma (particularly injury affecting nerves) and perioperative inflammatory mechanisms, subsequently leading to alterations mediated by neural plasticity, and eventually central sensitil-
zation producing chronic pain. Kehlet et al have recognized that iatrogenic neuropathic injury is the most important cause of chronic pain after surgery (5). Furthermore, in the majority of patients, chronic post-surgical pain closely resembles with neuropathic pain (5). These include spontaneous painful sensations within or beyond an operated area (described as paroxysmal lancination, shooting, burning and throbbing pain), hyperalgesia, allodynia, different types of dysesthesia, as well as sensory loss within the area innervated by the damaged nerves. Risk factors to transition to chronic pain in surgical patients are genetic susceptibility, various psychological and social factors, age and gender, and the intensity of preceding acute postoperative pain. The intensity of acute pain immediately before or after surgery is strongly associated with the incidence and intensity of subsequent chronic post-surgical pain after amputation, after breast surgery, thoracotomy and herniorrhaphy (10). Thus, suppression of this afferent nociceptive traffic by appropriate analgesic strategies, in addition to reducing postoperative pain, may suppress the development of chronic pain after surgery. The association between intensity of acute postoperative pain and development of chronic pain after surgery implies that inadequate peri- and postoperative analgesia may constitute a significant factor. Thus, in this context, effective pain management should be considered as an essential component of anaesthesia and perioperative medicine. Various surveys have estimated the percentage of patients who experience pain after surgery to range between 30 and 80%, and, of these patients, the majority (more than 80%) report the intensity as moderate, severe, or extreme (11).

Traditionally, opioid analgesics have been considered as the mainstay of management of moderate to severe postoperative pain. Advantages of these drugs include their established efficacy, wide availability, experience with their use, and relative ease of administration. However, side effects such as respiratory depression and hypoxemia, nausea and vomiting, sedation, and delayed recovery of bowel function are limitations of their use. The emerging recognition of opioid-induced hyperalgesia is an additional drawback: it has been shown that repeated administration of opioids intraoperatively induce an acute state of tolerance, hyperalgesia, or both (12-15). The pathophysiology of opioid-induced hyperalgesia is complex, but phenomena of peripheral and central sensitization may be highly pertinent. Mechanisms related to N-Methyl-D-Aspartate (NMDA) receptor activation and translocation of the protein kinase C (PKC) in dorsal horn neurons have been implicated in the development of persistent pain, hyperalgesia, and tolerance to opioid analgesia (16-18). Opioid receptor activation results in stimulation of PKC, which phosphorylates several target proteins, including the NMDA receptor, with subsequent downstream activation of a series of cascades that mediate central sensitization and hyperalgesia. PKC stimulation has been also implicated in the process of acute tolerance to opioid analgesia (16-18). The problems with perioperative opioids have been well recognized (1,2,4,19,20), and these agents today are considered as being very far from ideal analgesics for pain after surgery. It is evident that the traditionally recognized opioid-related side effects (prolonged drowsiness, sedation, nausea, vomiting and gastrointestinal tract dysfunction) may significantly delay recovery, provide additional sources of postoperative distress and morbidity, and demand additional costs and resources for effective management. In contrast, attempts aiming to improve postoperative analgesia with non-opioid analgesics and techniques, result in opioid sparing effect, reduce side-effects and morbidity, improve patient satisfaction and decrease length of hospitalization or stay in the recovery.

**Gabapentin**

Gabapentin (1-(amino-methyl)-cyclohexane acetic acid) is a novel antiepileptic agent that has proved to be especially effective at relieving allodynia and hyperalgesia in animal models. Furthermore, it has been shown to be efficacious in numerous small clinical studies and case reports in a wide variety of neuropathic pain syndromes, as well as other types of pain (21). Specifically, gabapentin has been clearly demonstrated to be effective for the treatment of neuropathic pain in diabetic neuropathy (22,23), postherpetic neuralgia (24), trigeminal neuralgia (25), painful neuropathy from HIV infection (26), cancer (27-29), and complex regional pain syndromes (30,31). This supportive evidence, combined with the drug’s favourable side-effect profile in various patient groups (including the elderly) and lack of drug interactions, has rendered it an attractive agent for clinical practice. Gabapentin’s exact mechanism of action is not quite clear, but most likely involves inhibition of neuronal voltage-gated calcium currents (VGCC) and suppression of excitatory neurotransmitter release.

Gabapentin was originally developed as a gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA)-mimetic compound. The molecular weight of the drug is 171.34, and at physiological pH it is highly charged, existing as a zwitterion with two pKa values of 3.68 and 10.70 (21). Although it is stable at room temperature, a small amount of lactam formation occurs in aqueous solutions and this is minimized at a pH of 6.0.

Gabapentin, available only as oral preparations, is absorbed in the small intestine by a combination of diffusion and facilitated transport. Its transport from the gut is facilitated by its binding to a receptor linked to a saturable l-amino acid transport mechanism (32-34). Brain tissue concentrations are 80% the plasma level. In humans it exists in a highly ionized state at physiological pH (21). Gabapentin is not metabolized in humans and is eliminated unchanged in the urine. It undergoes first-order kinetic elimination and renal impairment decreases gabapentin elimination in a linear fashion with a good correlation with creatinine clearance. Unlike other anticonvulsant drugs, it does not
induce or inhibit hepatic microsomal enzymes.

Despite a remarkably successful and safe clinical profile, the drug’s exact site or mode of mechanism has not been completely understood (35). In experimental animals gabapentin exerts a potent inhibitory effect in neuropathic pain models of mechanical hyperalgesia and mechanical/thermal alldynia. So far, there is no evidence that gabapentin blocks GABA uptake or metabolism that it binds to GABA or GABAB receptors, or exerts any GABA-mimetic action (36). Other effects of gabapentin have been described but are not considered to play a significant role with regard to pharmacodynamics. These include small decreases in the release of monoamine neurotransmitters (dopamine, noradrenaline and serotonin) (37,38), and the attenuation of sodium-dependent action potentials (suggesting sodium channel blockade) after prolonged exposure to the drug (39).

Although early studies indicated a central anti-allodynic effect (40), gabapentin has been shown to inhibit ectopic discharge activity from injured peripheral nerves, as well (41). With regards to site of action, Patel et al. demonstrated a presynaptic site of action for gabapentin in the rat spinal cord (42). Field et al. excluded an antihyperalgesic action via opioid receptor binding, after demonstrating that morphine tolerance does not alter the efficacy of gabapentin, and naloxone does not reduce its antihyperalgesic effect (43). Receptor binding studies have also failed to demonstrate a direct binding site for gabapentin at the NMDA receptor (44).

It has also been recognized that the α2δ subunit of the voltage-gated calcium channels is a binding site for gabapentin and the S-isomer of pregabalin (S-(-)-3-isobutylygaba) (45). Because only gabapentin and the S-isomer of pregabalin produce antihyperalgesic effects, it has been postulated that the antihyperalgesic action for gabapentin is mediated by its binding to this site on the voltage-gated calcium channel (46). The α2δ subunit regulates the conductance of current flow through the voltage-gated calcium channels (47). Thus, an inhibitory effect of gabapentin on the neuronal calcium channels (especially certain VGCC subtypes, such as P/Q and/or N type) might decrease calcium influx, which might subsequently reduce excitatory amino acid (glutamate) release, leading to decreased postsynaptic excitatory responses (48-51). Otherwise, an enhancement of the calcium influx via VGCC produced by gabapentin, in case of a possible enhancement of VGCC as a result of binding to the α2δ subunit might alternatively reduce the membrane excitability by facilitating K+ efflux via the calciumactivated potassium channels that have been identified on mammalian sensory nociceptive neurons and are altered by nerve injury (52). These speculations may be consistent with the hypothesis that gabapentin modifies voltage-gated calcium channels, in a manner consistent with explaining an antihyperalgesic effect by either mechanism.

Available studies investigating the effects of gabapentin on calcium currents in neuronal cells initially produced controversial results (44,53,54). However, Sarantopoulos et al investigated the effects of gabapentin on the calcium currents in primary afferent neuronal somata, dissociated from rats with experimental nerve injury, sham skin surgery or non-operated, and employing special perforated patch recordings using B-escin (55), first showed that gabapentin inhibits voltage-gated calcium currents (56), but not ATP-sensitive potassium currents (KATP) (57). These KATP currents may also control excitability and excitatory neurotransmitter release from primary afferent terminals (58-63). Of note is the fact that gabapentin acts as a KATP channel opener in central neurons (58-60), wherein inhibits neurotransmitter release via this mechanism, but most likely this is not the case on peripheral nociceptive pathways (57). Nevertheless, the gabapentin effect on VGCC is rapid, concentration-dependent and reversible, and the inhibition is partly voltage dependent (56). Apparently, the antihyperalgesic effect of gabapentin may be explained by the inhibitory action on voltage-gated calcium channels. Inward calcium currents have a well-demonstrated role in mediating several neuronal physiological processes (64,65) including synaptic neurotransmitter release (66,67), and are altered by nociception and neuronal injury (68,69). Additionally, other studies have suggested that gabapentin decreases excitatory neurotransmitter release at presynaptic dorsal horn sites (42,51), a consequence of VGCC inhibition. Inhibition of excitatory neurotransmitter release in the spinal cord may prevent the development of central sensitization and possibly the subsequent establishment of chronic pain.

The pharmacological effects of gabapentin most likely are complex, and have been shown to be mediated at central (40,70), as well as at peripheral sites (46,56). In addition to neuropathic pain, gabapentin has also been shown to suppress pain from inflammatory mechanisms, in a selective and preferential fashion (71). Stanfa et al reported opposing effects of this drug between normal animals, versus animals with painful inflamation: while gabapentin facilitates noxious evoked responses of dorsal horn neurons in the normal animals, in contrast, after inflammation inhibits C-fibres evoked responses and post-discharges, in a fashion indicative of a selective action against inflammatory pain states (71). Kanai et al showed that gabapentin has pro-excitatory effects in normal peripheral sensory neurons, while in injured neurons decreases excitability (72). Sarantopoulos et al showed that gabapentin decreased VGCC in neurons dissociated from rats subjected to experimental surgical nerve injury and sham skin-operated rats, as well as in neurons from non-operated rats. Nevertheless, the gabapentin inhibitory effect in the latter was not as significant as in neurons from rats that had been previously subjected to surgical sciatic nerve injury or sham skin surgery (56). Furthermore, gabapentin suppresses thermal and mechanical hyperalgesia induced in
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The above findings indicate a potential role of gabapentin as a putative "broad-spectrum" analgesic (76,77), with a selective antihyperalgesic and antiallodynic action, specifically against pain induced intraoperatively by nerve or tissue injury (77). Gabapentin, may also suppress central sensitization, a major mechanism mediating the transition from acute to chronic pain after surgery, perhaps via an inhibitory effect on VGCC that control presynaptic excitatory neurotransmitter release. Pertinent to the above observation, is the emerging role of gabapentin as a perioperative and postoperative analgesic. The antinoceptive and antihyperalgesic and anti-inflammatory effects of gabapentin in states that emerge after acute nerve injury may have an impact on acute and chronic pain after surgery. Because of the synergism between gabapentin and opioids, the effects of gabapentin on acute postoperative pain and morphine consumption in patients undergoing different types of surgery have been investigated in randomized, controlled double-blind studies. The postoperative analgesic effect has been actually confirmed by systemic reviews that have analyzed series of clinical studies, evaluating gabapentin as a postoperative analgesic agent (78). These studies have confirmed that perioperative gabapentin is an effective analgesic and has an opioid sparing effect. The specific effect after different types of surgery is reviewed in the following sections.

Pain after breast surgery

Two thirds or more of women who undergo breast surgery for cancer will develop chronic pain (7-10,79-81). In addition to physical discomfort, women experiencing chronic pain, after breast surgery for cancer, have poor quality of life, with impaired function and increased psychosocial distress (82-84).

Ongoing, intense acute postoperative pain may be associated with the development of chronic pain after breast surgery. In this context, regarding the efficacy of gabapentin in preventing the development of chronic pain, two pertinent studies by Fassoulaki et al have also documented a preventative effect of perioperative gabapentin after breast surgery for cancer. First, Fassoulaki et al investigated the analgesic efficacy of mexiletine and gabapentin on acute and chronic pain after breast surgery for cancer in 75 patients (79).

They were randomized to receive, in a double-blind manner, mexiletine 600 mg/d, or gabapentin 1200 mg/d or placebo for 10 days. A significant reduction of postoperative analgesic requirements in patients who received mexiletine or gabapentin were observed. Mexiletine and gabapentin reduced codeine consumption from the second to tenth day by 50%. Total paracetamol consumption was also reduced during the same time. Pain at rest was reduced by either drug on the third postoperative day, while gabapentin reduced postoperative pain after movement from the second to fifth postoperative day. No side effects, such as dizziness, drowsiness, or ataxia were observed. Three months later, the incidence of burning pain was more frequent in the control group, indicating a preventative effect of perioperative gabapentin on chronic pain as well. Of note is the finding that in the previous study, gabapentin, although started the day before surgery, decreased pain and produced an analgesic sparing effect only during and after the second postoperative day. Nevertheless, this was not the case in a similar randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study by Dirks et al, who administered a single oral dose of gabapentin (1200 mg) or placebo 1 hour before mastectomy (85). Gabapentin produced a opioid-sparing effect (morphine consumption decreased by 50%) as well as a significant analgesic effect, evident even at 2 and 4 hours postoperatively.

Pain with movement decreased by 50% or more, while pain at rest and side effects did not differ. Fassoulaki et al, also, in a double-blind, randomized controlled study, compared a multimodal analgesic regimen (gabapentin, Eutectic Mixture of Local Anaesthetics -EMLA cream- applied to surgical field, and ropivacaine installation in the brachial plexus area) to placebo (9). Gabapentin was administered at a dose 400 mg orally every 6 hours starting from the day before surgery up to the eighth postoperative day. The group of patients that received gabapentin perioperatively, as part of a multimodal regimen, consumed much less analgesics immediately postoperatively, had less pain at rest on postoperative days 1, 3 and 5, less pain after movement in the PACU and on postoperative days 2,4 and 8, as well as a significantly lower incidence of chronic pain three months after surgery (45% versus 82% in the control group). The capacity of gabapentin to prevent chronic pain was attributed to suppression of the ectopic discharge activity from injured peripheral nerves and blockade of the injury-induced neuronal hyperactivity.

Post-hysterectomy pain

The effect of gabapentin on postoperative pain and analgesic requirements following abdominal hysterectomy, a common surgical procedure, has been investigated by a series of studies, not necessarily yielding consistent results. Dierking et al, in a randomized, dou-
ble-blind study investigated the opioid sparing and analgesic effects of gabapentin administered during the first 24 h after abdominal hysterectomy (86). Gabapentin 1200 mg versus placebo was administered 1 h before surgery, followed by 600 mg (or placebo) after 8, 16 and 24 h. While pain levels remained the same, gabapentin reduced total postoperative morphine consumption in a fashion dependent on the plasma levels of the drug. No side-effects were detected.

Pain relieving and analgesic-sparing effects have been observed also even after a single preoperative dose of the drug. A randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind study by Turan et al investigated whether a single dose of 1200 mg gabapentin, administered one hour before surgery, affects pain and tramadol consumption after abdominal hysterectomy (87). Gabapentin decreased both pain scores up to 20 h after surgery, as well as tramadol consumption at 12, 16, 20, and 24 h, including total consumption compared with placebo group. Sedation scores were similar at all the measured times. There were no differences between groups with regard to sedation and any side effects.

Furthermore, Rorarius et al, also in a double-blind, randomized controlled investigation compared 1200 mg of gabapentin to active placebo (15 mg of oxazepam) administered 2.5 h prior to induction of anaesthesia to patients undergoing elective vaginal hysterectomy (88). Gabapentin reduced postoperative analgesic consumption by 40% during the first 20 postoperative hours, pain scores during the first 2 postoperative hours, as well as postoperative nausea and vomiting (because of the decreased postoperative opioid requirements and/or of an anti-emetic effect). Nevertheless, oxazepam was more effective anxiolytic. As was the case in the previous studies, no differences were observed in side effects. Gilron et al evaluated the effects of gabapentin alone (1800 mg/day), or in combination with rofecoxib (1800/50 mg/day respectively), or rofecoxib alone (50 mg/day), versus placebo starting 1 hour before abdominal hysterectomy and continuing for 72 hours (89). All treatments decreased pain at rest and movement at 24 hours and morphine consumption at 48 hours, and improved respiratory function measured by peak expiratory flow. Gabapentin was efficacious in reducing pain interference with movement, mood and sleep. Combination with rofecoxib was superior to either single agent for postoperative pain. Thus, combination with other non-opioid analgesics may confer significant benefits such as opioid sparing, reduced interference with movement, mood and sleep and accelerated pulmonary recovery.

Turan et al found similar results, with gabapentin 1200 mg/d alone, in combination with 50 mg/d rofecoxib and rofecoxib alone, versus placebo, administered before and after 2 hours after abdominal hysterectomy (90). All three treatments decreased pain scores and produced an opioid sparing effect versus control, which led to a faster recovery of bowel function. Seventy-two hours postoperatively, patients who had received combination treatment reported the highest satisfaction rate. Both these studies indicate a significant potential of the gabapentin as part of multimodal analgesia schemes facilitating recovery after lower abdominal surgical procedures.

These results are not reproducible by all studies. Fassoulaki et al investigated the effects of gabapentin 400 mg 6 hourly or placebo, administered from 18 hours preoperatively and continued for 5 postoperative days, on acute as well as on chronic postoperative pain one month after abdominal hysterectomy as well (91). Gabapentin had no effect on the acute postoperative pain and on postoperative analgesic requirements. Nevertheless, chronic pain in the surgical area one month after surgery was decreased from 81% in the control group to 36% in the gabapentin group. The intensity of pain at that time was also decreased. The same investigators evaluated the combination of gabapentin 400 mg 6 hourly for 7 days with continuous wound irrigation with 0.75% ropivacaine for 30 hours, versus placebo (92). In combination with local aesthetic, gabapentin did produce an early analgesic effects as well, evident in a significant opioid sparing effect starting immediately after surgery and continuing for up to the 7th postoperative day. In addition, one month postoperatively fewer patients in the treatment group experienced chronic pain than in the control group.

Pain after spinal surgery

The potential analgesic and opioid-sparing effect of gabapentin was evaluated also after spinal surgery, but different studies yield controversial results. In a relevant randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind study, Turan et al investigated 1200 mg of gabapentin, administered 1 h before spinal surgery (elective lumbar discectomy or spinal fusion), versus placebo. Gabapentin resulted in a significant analgesic effect at 1, 2 and 4 hours after surgery, a morphine-sparing effect, as well as reduction in the incidence of vomiting and urinary retention (93).

Pandey et al, similarly, reported that gabapentin (300 mg) administered prior to single-level lumbar discectomy significantly decreased both the severity of postoperative pain at all time intervals up to 24 hours, as well as postoperative opioid requirements during the same time interval (94). However, in another randomized-controlled, double-blind study gabapentin 800 mg administered preoperatively before elective lumbar laminectomy or disectomy failed to decrease pain or morphone requirements in the immediate postoperative period after lumbar laminectomy and disectomy (95).

It is unlikely that this discrepancy may be explained by the a dose-response effect, as in the study by Pandey et al, a much lower dose of 300 mg resulted in a positive effect. Furthermore, in a dose-response study, gabapentin, up to 600 mg, 2 hours before surgery produced an analgesic and opioid sparing effect versus placebo in a dose-dependent manner (96). Increasing the dose of gabapentin from 600 to 1200 mg did not decrease pain, or opioid
requirements any further. So, based on these results, gabapentin 600 mg is the optimal dose for postoperative analgesia after lumbar discectomy.

**Post-amputation pain**

Pain in the stump of the residual limb (stump pain), or referred to the missing limb (phantom pain) frequently follows amputation, affecting a high percentage (60%-80%) of amputees (97-100). Although the prevalence of both of these painful syndromes subsides over time, some patients continue suffering from pain, of intensity severe enough to affect their quality of life. Post-amputation pain syndromes are usually difficult to treat. Tricyclic antidepressants have shown equivocal efficacy and their use may be limited by side effects, but monootherapy with gabapentin up to 2400 mg daily was better than placebo in relieving phantom pain at 6 weeks’ treatment (101). Thus, Nikolajsen et al investigated whether postoperative treatment with gabapentin could reduce postamputation stump and phantom pain (102). Patients received placebo, or gabapentin started on the first postoperative day after amputation, gradually increased up to 2400 mg/day, and continued for 30 days. However, gabapentin failed to reduce the incidence or intensity of post-amputation pain, at short term (30 days) or long term (up to 6 months).

**Pain after orthopedic surgery**

Gabapentin in most previous studies decreased postoperative pain (including pain with movement) and/or postoperative opioid consumption, but these studies did not include any patients requiring mobilization after joint surgery. To investigate the gabapentin effect in the latter patient population, Menigaux et al administered 1200 mg oral gabapentin or placebo 1-2 h before anterior cruciate ligament repair under general anaesthesia (103). Gabapentin decreased preoperative anxiety scores; postoperative pain scores (both at rest and after mobilization and physiotherapy) at days 1 and 2, and was associated with more extensive range of motion and less morphine consumption within the same time interval. In addition, the same group of authors, administered oral gabapentin 800 mg versus placebo, 2 hours before arthroscopic shoulder surgery, but in this case the drug did not augment postoperative analgesia provided by interscalene brachial plexus (104).

Pain after Ear, nose and throat (ENT) surgery in a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study, Mikkelsen et al investigated the effect of gabapentin 1200 mg before tonsillectomy in adults, followed by gabapentin 1200 mg on the day of operation and 1800 mg for the next 5 days, versus placebo, in combination with rofecoxib 50 mg daily (105). Gabapentin reduced rescue opioid analgesic requirements the first 24 hours, but at the cost of more side effects such as dizziness, gait disturbance and vomiting.

Turan et al reported that gabapentin (1200 mg 1 h before rhinoplasty or endoscopic sinus surgery under monitored anaesthesia care) conferred significant intraoperative and postoperative analgesia and reduced opioid and non-opioid analgesic requirements, but at cost of higher incidence side-effects (106).

**Pain after other surgical procedures**

Pain after laparoscopic cholecystectomy Gabapentin administered preoperatively significantly decreases postoperative pain and analgesic requirements following laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Pandey et al administered 300 mg gabapentin, 100 mg tramadol or placebo in a double-blind manner two hours before laparoscopic cholecystectomy under general anaesthesia. Gabapentin decreased pain and opioid requirements at all time intervals compared to tramadol and placebo, but more sedation, nausea and vomiting were a drawback (107).

**Post-thoracotomy pain**

Pain with neuropathic characteristics, commonly ensues after thoracic surgery and trauma, and it may be refractory to conventional analgesic strategies.

Sihoe et al. tried gabapentin on 60 consecutive patients with refractory pain persisting at four weeks or more after thoracic surgery or trauma. Gabapentin may relieve refractory thoracic pain and paresthesiae in approximately 75% of patients (108). The incidence of minor side effects (somnolence and dizziness) was 40%, while overall satisfaction rates were 90%.

**Enhancement of epidural analgesia**

Turan et al investigated gabapentin as an adjunct for postoperative analgesia using patient-controlled epidural analgesia (PCEA) (109). In patients who received PCEA after lower extremity surgery procedures, gabapentin (1200 mg daily before and for 2 days after surgery) decreased pain scores at 1, 4, 8, 12, and 16 h, PCEA bolus requirements at 24, 48 and 72 hours and paracetamol consumption, versus placebo.

However, time to return of bowel function, duration of hospitalization, and resumption of oral diet did not differ, while the incidence of dizziness was higher in the gabapentin group. Nevertheless, despite more dizziness, patient satisfaction was higher amongst patients who received gabapentin.

**Conclusion**

Most of these studies have shown that either single preoperative or repeated doses of gabapentin, continued for up to a few days after surgery, decrease acute postoperative pain and/or need for postoperative opioids. This has been shown for procedures such as abdominal and vaginal hysterectomy, breast surgery for cancer, lumbar discectomy and spinal fusion, laparoscopic cholecystectomy, orthopedic surgery and ENT surgery. Reduced opioid consumption may translate into fewer opioid related unwanted effects with an impact on postoperative recovery, but this is not quite clear yet. In a few studies, gabapentin resulted in side effects such as dizziness and drowsiness. More studies are needed to determine the optimal dose and duration of administration for different types of surgery, especially considering the
capacity of prolonged administration of gabapentin postoperatively, to prevent the development of chronic pain. Development of central sensitization, as a result of afferent nociceptive input and neuronal hyperexcitability may underlie the pathogenesis of chronic pain. Gabapentin, by reducing the noxious stimuli-induced excitatory neurotransmitter release may prevent central sensitization and subsequent generation of chronic pain after surgery.
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