PULMONARY TUMORLETS WITH SURROUNDING FIBROUS TISSUE – SUSPECTED CARCINOMA:
CASE REPORT AND REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE

Ivan Alerić1, Domagoj Mosler2, Sven Seiwert3, Ines Mlinarević Polić4 and Elvira Lazić Mosler5

1Clinical Hospital for Pulmonary Diseases, Zagreb University Hospital Center, Zagreb; 2Topusko Spa Center, Topusko; 3Department of Pathology, School of Medicine, University of Zagreb, Zagreb, Croatia; 4Mostar University Hospital Center, Mostar, Bosnia and Herzegovina; 5Department of Dermatology and Venereology, Dr. Ivo Pedišić General Hospital, Sisak, Croatia

SUMMARY – Pulmonary tumorlets are small, often multiple nodular proliferations of pulmonary neuroendocrine cells. They are common incidental findings in chronic inflammatory pulmonary diseases. They can also be found in normal lung parenchyma and as one part of the continuum known as diffuse idiopathic pulmonary neuroendocrine cell hyperplasia. In many cases, they are incidental histologic findings of no importance or clinical consequences, or they can be associated with a very slow progression of either obstructive or mixed obstructive/restrictive impairment with good prognosis. Only rarely, they metastasize to an adjacent lymph node or produce ectopic neuroendocrine products. When found during diagnostic examination, they represent a doubt to be a malignant tumor until proven otherwise, which is often impossible without biopsy or surgical removal of the adjacent lung lobe. Hereby, we present a patient with a persistent nodular lung structure after being treated for nonspecific symptoms, cough with non purulent sputum and pain among the scapulae, for a period of one month. He had otherwise normal clinical and laboratory findings, except for a mild mixed obstructive/restrictive pattern of impairment that was shown by lung spirometry. After 8 months, he underwent lobectomy of the medial lobe of the lung with partial lymphadenectomy, since the diagnostic methods applied could not define the nature of lung nodular infiltration. Histologic examination showed a few tumorlets surrounded by the fibrous tissue with a very dense lymphocyte infiltration. We present a review of the literature and emphasize the necessity to include tumorlets with adjacent fibrosis as part of the differential diagnosis of a solitary nodular lung structure.
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Introduction

Pulmonary tumorlets are nodular proliferations of pulmonary neuroendocrine cells (PNCs), sized less than 5 mm in diameter. They are often multiple and usually peripheral and are common incidental findings in chronic pulmonary diseases such as bronchiectasis and chronic lung abscess1-3. They can also be found in normal lungs3,4 and as one part of the continuum of diffuse idiopathic PNC hyperplasia (DIPNECH)5-8. In many cases, they are of no clinical importance or consequences, or they can be associated with a very slow progression of either obstructive or mixed obstructive/restrictive impairment with good prognosis5,8,9. Only rarely, they metastasize1,10,11 or produce
ectopic neuroendocrine products\textsuperscript{9,11-13}. When found during clinical examination and investigation, especially by different imaging analyses, they represent a doubt to be a malignant tumor until proven otherwise, which is often impossible without biopsy and, even more, without surgical removal of the adjacent lung lobe\textsuperscript{8,14}.

Including tumorlets with their adjacent fibrosis in the differential diagnosis of a solitary nodular structure, and thereby supposing them to be a possible lung carcinoma, can help clinicians decide to either include radical treatment or to keep on with watchful waiting.

**Case Report**

A 79-year-old male patient was referred to the hospital after he had suffered from cough with non purulent sputum for three weeks. During the last few days before admission, he was subfebrile with chilliness and shivering, as well as interscapular pain. The patient past history revealed that he stopped smoking 39 years before, after he had smoked 15 cigarettes during the period of ten years. Also, in the last ten years, he had been treated for arterial hypertension. Physical examination revealed normal breathing sounds and laboratory data were also normal. His lung spirometry showed a mild mixed obstructive/restrictive pattern of impairment, while x-ray discovered a solid, solitary nodular lesion of 15 mm in diameter in the basal middle lobe. After administration of two antibiotics, there was no complete regression of symptoms and he underwent computerized tomography (CT) of the chest (Fig. 1), which confirmed a 15-mm solid solitary nodular lesion surrounded by ground-glass opacity forming a halo sign, dragging towards the oblique interlobium.
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**Fig. 1.** Computed tomography horizontal section of the chest showing a 15-mm solid solitary nodular lesion surrounded by ground-glass opacity forming a halo sign, dragging towards the oblique interlobium.

**Fig. 2.** (A) Fused frontal whole-body positron-emission tomography-computed tomography (PET-CT) showing intensive accumulation of the radiotracer in the nodular structure of the medial lung lobe; (B) horizontal section PET-CT showing less intensive accumulation in the part of the corticalis of the upper part of the left femur.
bium. CT of the upper abdomen was normal. In the course of the next few months, the patient underwent further follow up analyses, including four fiberbronchoscopies, once x-ray guided, in order to obtain samples by brush smear and cytologic catheter aspiration. All laboratory findings were normal, including tumor markers: progastrin-releasing peptide (ProGRP) 35.115 pg/mL, cytokeratin 19 fragment (CYFRA 21-1) 2.430 ng/L and neuron-specific enolase (NSE) 9.4 µg/L. Since follow up chest x-rays 3 months later showed progression of the lesion to the size of 20 mm, positron emission tomography-CT (PET-CT) of the whole body to the proximal parts of the thighs, as well as CT of the head, neck, thorax and abdomen with oral and intravenous application of contrast were performed. The former showed intensive accumulation of radiotracer in the known nodular structure of the medial lung lobe (Fig. 2A) and less intensive accumulation in the part of the corticis of the upper part of the left femur (Fig. 2B). The latter showed only several lymph nodes up to 12 mm in diameter, both in the axillae and mediastinum. In the medial lobe towards the border to the upper lobe, a lobulated, irregularly shaped, spiculated, expansive lesion (24x21x25 mm) was shown, which probably infiltrated the horizontal and oblique interlobium. Near this structure, there

Fig. 3. Hemalaun-eosin stained slides under objective X4 (A) and X20 (B) showing a relatively sharply demarcated area of predominantly mononuclear inflammatory infiltrate with some reactive connective tissue. Immunohistochemistry demonstrated predominantly CD 3 (C) positivity of inflammatory cells, with foci (D) of CD 20 positive cells.
were several smaller nodular satellite lesions up to 6 mm in diameter. The lesion of the corticalis was also seen. Two months later, at the same position, follow up CT of the thorax showed an irregular solid nodular structure of 20 mm in diameter, consisting of areas of different densities. Eight months after his first referral, the patient underwent right thoracotomy and medial lobectomy with dissection of 8 peribronchial and 13 mediastinal lymph nodes. Histologic examination of the lung tissue revealed poorly defined borders of whitish-gray area of 3 cm in diameter, with fibrous tissue augmentation and dense lymphocyte infiltration (Fig. 3A, B). Few small microscopic nodules were shown along one large blood vessel. After immunohistochemical analysis (Fig. 3C) demonstrated predominantly CD3 positivity of inflammatory cells with foci of CD20 positive cells (Fig. 3D), together with thyroid transcription factor (TTF)-1 and CD56 positive cells, the diagnosis of a tumorlet was made. Histologic analysis of dissected lymph nodes showed no tumor metastases. There were no complications in the postoperative course and the patient was discharged 9 days after surgical intervention. The lesion of the corticalis shown on both of PET-CT and CT was considered as a result of degenerative change.

The patient had an uneventful postoperative course and follow up period for more than 4 years, without administration of any adjuvant treatment.

Discussion

Pulmonary tumorlets are islet-like extraluminal proliferations of PNCs, also known as Kulchitsky cells, which are normally found in bronchial and bronchiolar mucosa. Tumorlets are currently diagnosed more frequently, mainly due to the extensive use of advanced radiological techniques. According to the World Health Organization’s classification of lung tumors, they are defined as tumorlets by size of 2 to 5 mm, as part of a continuum named DIPNECH, which begins with generalized proliferation of scattered single cells and extends to the carcinoid tumors, which are greater than 5 mm in diameter. The same classification of DIPNECH excludes another kind of PNC proliferation, also in the form of a tumorlet or carcinoid, which can be found in different chronic pulmonary diseases, such as bronchiectasis and chronic lung abscess, often together with a peripheral carcinoid. Formerly, tumorlets were associated only with chronic inflammatory lung processes, mostly because they were revealed post mortem during autopsy of patients who died from such diseases. Whitwell, who coined the term ‘tumorlet’, postulated bronchiectasis or lung abscess as the only origin of a tumorlet in 73 per cent of 24 reported cases. The most probable causes of such kind of PNC ‘secondary’ proliferation are hypoxia and chronic inflammation, which stimulate the proliferation of neuroendocrine cells. Other authors have reported a kind of dichotomy regarding the state of lung in which tumorlets were found: among 20 cases of pulmonary tumorlets, one-third occurred in lungs severely scarred by chronic inflammatory processes, whereas the other two-thirds were found in lungs with little or no scarring. According to Gosney and Travis, this latter kind of ‘primary’ proliferation of PNCs, which occurs in otherwise normal lungs, is distinguished as DIPNECH. This entity was well defined by Aguayo et al. in 1992, many years after recognition and publishing its features. The origin and cause of primary proliferation of PNCs to DIPNECH is yet unknown. There are no genetic markers that might distinguish these two kinds of proliferation of PNCs. There is, however, 11q13 allelic imbalance that was found by a group of authors, which can be a discriminatory marker being very rare in tumorlets while present in most of carcinoids. It is interesting that progression of proliferation from a tumorlet to carcinoid tumors does not occur in chronic inflammatory lung processes as it does in the course of DIPNECH. The connective tissue reaction is characteristic of both types of PNC proliferation. In DIPNECH, secondary fibrosis is a result of proliferated PNCs, which causes and stimulates inflammation with proliferation of fibroblasts, leading to the synthesis of collagen and resulting in bronchiolar occlusion and extramural fibrosis of the involved airway in otherwise unremarkable surrounding lung tissue. Secondary PNC proliferation occurs in the areas of pulmonary fibrous lesions as a response to chronic inflammatory and fibrotic processes. So far, we have seen tumorlets as part of the continuum of primary PNC proliferation called DIPNECH. However, it has to be mentioned that D’Agati and Perzin have presented a case report describing tumorlets that were revealed in end-stage
lung disease caused by bronchiectasis, chronic bronchitis and pulmonary fibrosis. Those tumorlets were found in a spectrum of pathologic changes ranging from intramucosal proliferation of PnCs to the microscopic tumorlet. Consequently, they suggest that pulmonary tumorlets should be viewed as part of a biologic spectrum of PnC proliferation, this time a secondary one\textsuperscript{10}.

Therefore, we find it convincing that numerous data imply that the present nosologic concept of tumorlets, including clinical, malignant, etiopathogenic, morphological, prognostic, paraneoplastic and genetic aspects, and especially those regarding differences from carcinoid, should be additionally clarified\textsuperscript{2,7,11,18}. Also, the context in which the tumorlet occurs regarding otherwise normal lung, DIPNECH and chronic inflammatory disease, should be further researched\textsuperscript{10}.

Earlier, tumorlets were categorized as small, multifocal, peripherally situated minute lesions of less than 5 mm in size\textsuperscript{3,5,20}, unlike the carcinoid, which is greater than 5 mm and located centrally\textsuperscript{10,19}. However, some recent studies have shown that the location of a tumor is not a defining characteristic\textsuperscript{8,21,22}. They are reported to be found more often in the fifth and sixth, or even seventh decade and among women\textsuperscript{2,3,8,13,23}, but their precise incidence is not certain. Tumorlets were found at autopsy in 2 out of 1900 patients with no previous lung disease, and in 22 out of 2400 lung samples resected for various causes in one, and 17 of 7800 autopsies in another study\textsuperscript{2,3}. They were also found in 5 lungs from a series of 35 autopsies\textsuperscript{13}.

Tumorlets are most often a slow growing incidental finding at histopathologic analysis, mostly found after autopsy or after resection of lung parenchyma for various reasons\textsuperscript{2,3}. There is more clinical significance and relevance when they are detected as nodal structures during radiological analysis, which is sometimes, but not always indicated. That is why there is often no definite answer if they are in any association or even more causative connection with the clinical status of the patient. The presenting symptoms, if there are any, depend on the constellation in which the tumorlets arise. If it is primary PnC proliferation, i.e. DIPNECH, many cases remain asymptomatic with no clinical consequences or with very slowly worsening dry cough and breathlessness in the course of many years, associated with very slow progression of obstructive or mixed obstructive/restrictive impairment with good prognosis\textsuperscript{5,7-9}. Some new emerging data associate multiple tumorlets within DIPNECH with severe emphysema or obliterative bronchiolitis\textsuperscript{6,8}, and in one reported case of progressive airflow limitation even with underlying obliterative bronchiolitis successfully treated by single lung transplantation\textsuperscript{20}. The predominant symptoms of secondary PnC proliferation are consequential to the underlying disease. Since tumorlets are focal proliferation of PnCs, it is expected that they can produce different molecules and hormones, which Gosney \textit{et al.} describe as appropriate and inappropriate neuroendocrine products, some of which are normally found in PnCs, like proGRP, calcitonin or serotonin, and some of them are not, like adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH), vasoactive intestinal polypeptide, growth hormone, antidiuretic hormone and somatostatin\textsuperscript{4,11-13}. Consequently, they may present with symptoms of ectopic hormone secretion like Cushing syndrome caused by ectopic secretion of ACTH\textsuperscript{8,9,11,19,24}. Tumorlets only rarely metastasize, but there are few documented patients with peribronchial, hilar, mediastinal or axial lymph node metastases\textsuperscript{1,3,8,10,11,19,25}.

With or without the presenting symptoms, when detecting solitary or multiple pulmonary nodules after initial radiologic analysis, i.e. chest radiography, and them being confirmed by CT and PET/CT, as in the case presented, many different diagnostic and treatment options arise. There are many differential diagnoses for a solitary pulmonary nodule, but the priority is to exclude malignancy like small cell lung cancer. Pulmonary tumorlets are often situated peripherally, which makes them unsuitable for bronchoscopic analysis including biopsy, catheter aspiration and brush smear. Furthermore, they are small and unreachable to percutaneous ultrasound or CT-guided fine needle aspiration. Finally, there are many cases that end in surgical treatment after sophisticated diagnostic methods not being able to exclude malignancy\textsuperscript{8}.

Conclusion

The presented case demonstrates that pulmonary tumorlets with the surrounding fibrous tissue, when
found in otherwise normal lungs, should be considered in differential diagnosis of solitary pulmonary nodules visualized by CT and confirmed by PET/CT. In the case presented, the short period of observation was also due to the lesion of the corticalis of the left femur, as seen on PET/CT, raising suspicion of a distant metastasis, which led to surgical treatment.
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PLUĆNI TUMORLETI S OKOLNIM FIBROZNIM TKIVOM – SUSPEKTNI KARCINOM: PRIKAZ SLUČAJA I PREGLED LITERATURE
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Plućni tumorleti su malene, često višestruke nodularne proliferacije plućnih neuroendokrinih stanica te su čest slučajni nalaz kod kroničnih upalnih plućnih bolesti. Također se mogu naći u normalnom plućnom parenhimu te kao jedan dio kontinuuma poznatog kao difuzna idiopatska hiperplazija plućnih neuroendokrinih stanica. U mnogim slučajevima oni su slučajni histološki nalaz bez značenja ili kliničkih posljedica, a mogu biti i povezani s vrlo sporom progresijom opstruktivnog ili miješanog opstruktivno-restriktivnog oštećenja s dobrom prognozom. Samo rijetko metastaziraju u obližnje limfne čvorove ili stvaraju ektopične neuroendokrine produkte. Nađeni tijekom dijagnostičkog pregleda, predstavljaju sumnju na maligni tumor sve dok se ne dokaže suprotno, što je često nemoguće bez biopsije ili kirurškog odstranjenja pripadajućeg plućnog režnja. Prikazuje se bolesnik s ustrajnim čvorom unutar plućnog parenhima nakon što je tijekom mjesec dana bio liječen zbog nespecifičnih simptoma – kašlja bez gnojnog iskašljaja i boli između lopatica. Ostali su klinički i laboratorijski nalazi bili uredni osim blagog miješanog opstruktivno-restriktivnog oštećenja. Nakon 8 mjeseci odstranjen je srednji režanj pluća zajedno s dijelom limfnih čvorova, jer primijenjene dijagnostičke metode nisu mogle odrediti prirodu čvoraste infiltracije pluća. Histološki pregled je pokazao nekoliko tumorleta okruženih fibroznim tkivom uz vrlo gustu infiltraciju limfocitima. U ovom članku donosimo pregled literature te prikaz slučaja koji naglašava neophodnost uključivanja tumorleta s okolnim fibroznim tkivom u diferencijalnu dijagnozu solitarne nodularne strukture u plućima.
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