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Numerical modelling of hygrothermal response in building envelopes

The present paper deals with numerical modelling of heat and mass transfer through multilayer walls. Based on physical principles of mass and energy conservation, nonlinear partial differential equations are developed, where driving potentials are considered. Measurable physical properties involved in the present modelling are dependent on the temperature and capillary pressure, which are considered as driving potentials. A mathematical model that solves the coupled heat and mass transfer through multilayered porous media is developed and validated via a benchmark exercise issued from the HAMSTAD program. The obtained results compare well with benchmark results.
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Numeričko modeliranje toplinskog odgovora u ovojnicama zgrada

Ovaj rad proučava numeričko modeliranje topline i prijenosa mase kroz višeslojne zidove. Nelinearne parcialne diferencijalne jednadžbe razvijene su na temelju fizičkih načela mase i očuvanja energije, a u obzir su uzeti i pripadajući potencijali. Mjerenje fizičkih svojstava koja su dio modeliranja ovise o temperaturi i kapilarnom tlaku koji se smatraju potencijalima. Matematički model koji rješava prijenos topline i tvari kroz višeslojne porozne medije razvijen je i potvrđen putem referentne vježbe u HAMSTAD programu. Dobiveni rezultati uspoređeni su s referentnim vrijednostima.
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1. Introduction

Over the past two decades, the so-called efficient buildings have increasingly made use of multilayered components, which are regarded as innovative technical solutions in the context of energy conservation and sustainable development. Several studies have placed emphasis on the advantages of using renewable materials in the context of energy preservation. However, both thermal and hygroscopic transport must be considered with regard to these materials, so as to accurately predict the heat and moisture transport behaviour, as well as the overall comfort offered by the building. In most recent insulation technologies, the wall itself is made of several different layers. For these envelopes, the heat transfer, moisture transfer, and air infiltration, constitute a typical coupled heat and mass transfer process [1]. An accurate coupled heat, air, and moisture transfer (HAM) through porous media is of special interest in many engineering areas such as in the sphere of pollutants infiltration, drying in porous solids and soils, drying of woods and papers, soil mechanics, thermal insulation for buildings, etc. In this respect, some notable applications are inter alia presented in [2-5]. The air infiltration through permeable building elements is of paramount importance in civil engineering applications. In other words, it is an important factor that can considerably affect hygrothermal performance of buildings. The effects of moisture are even more important because the air flow can transport high quantities of water vapour into building elements, which subsequently results in interstitial condensation with a very high condensation rate. This can even lead to serious damage to such permeable and/or leaky elements. In addition, the accumulation of moisture in a material used in building envelopes can lead to poor thermal performance of the envelope, degradation of organic materials, and structural defects. Therefore, detailed heat, air and moisture models must be made with regard to buildings so as to increase the accuracy of defining the heat and moisture transfer between outdoor and indoor environments and, hence, to enable better prediction of thermal loads, indoor thermal comfort, air quality indices, and mould growth hazard.

It should be noted that temperature gradients and water vapour pressure can generate fields of temperature and humidity inside the building envelope. In addition, thermal characteristics and the data on moisture storage levels of the wall should be considered. This combination makes the transport of heat and moisture through the building transient and relatively complex. Despite this complexity, we can numerically simulate the drying-moistening dynamic processes of the building envelope component. In this sense, hygrothermal models have been used to evaluate performance of walls exposed to weather in different geographical locations [6-8]. To describe hygrothermal transfer in the porous capillary media, Luikov [8] uses the analogy between the moisture migration and heat transfer to develop and design a model that uses the temperature and moisture content as driving potentials. Moreover, he assumes that capillary transport is proportional to the moisture and temperature gradients. Also, by analogy to specific heat, he introduces a specific mass capacity, which is defined as the derivative of water content with respect to mass potential. This model can be applied for both hygroscopic and non-hygroscopic materials. It has been used by a number of researchers including [9-11]. Among these authors, we can cite Pedersen [12], who uses the capillary suction pressure, Künzel and Kiesel [13], who consider the relative humidity, and Mendes and Philippi [6], who demonstrate that moisture content gradients can be used as driving forces for the heat and moisture transport calculation for the interface between porous materials with different pore size distribution functions. The calculation approach used by these authors seems correct since it takes into account the discontinuity phenomenon at the interface. Also with regard to the heat transfer through the building envelope, there are some aspects that should be handled using complex calculation. These are the phenomenon’s multidimensionality, its transient behaviour, and moisture conditions.

Our main target here is to develop a two-dimensional model to simulate the transfer of heat, air, and moisture in building envelopes. Note that this model belongs to the class of models that have recently emerged to handle the coupled transfer, as mentioned above. These models are used either in commercial codes or house codes to solve problems inherent to buildings physics [14-21].

It should be noted that such models are more flexible for future extensions (e.g. for 2D and/or 3D simulations), because they allow addition of new features, as well as an easy integration with other existing models. One advantage of having a transient heat, air, and moisture model (HAM model) as a whole-building hygrothermal model is that it enables us to capture the potential moisture release from the building surroundings into the indoor space. In fact, moisture sources, from construction and from wet soil, propagating through foundation walls and floor slabs, could take precedence over all internal moisture sources. Similarly, in recent times an emphasis is being placed, when calculating indoor humidity levels, on the importance of quantification of moisture release from foundation slabs. The use of a transient HAM model when conducting the whole-building performance analysis also yields a better estimation of energy demand for heating or cooling of buildings. This is possible due to the fact that transient HAM models take into account the effect of moisture in the heat transfer through building enclosures. When conducting thermal analyses, the energy simulation models usually ignore the effect of moisture [22], and use constant thermal storage and transport property values (thermal conductivity and heat capacity, respectively), despite the fact that these properties can be strongly dependent on moisture content.

It is worth recalling that this paper deals with numerical simulation of the heat, air, and moisture transfer in building systems. The numerical model of the combined heat and moisture transfer, as based on basic functions of partial differential equations (PDEs), is tested in this paper through a benchmark case. The rest of the paper is structured as follows. The section following this introduction provides a concise description of the physical problem and the mathematical model used in the paper. Section 3 is devoted to boundary conditions of heat and moisture. In Section 4, the model is validated through implementation of a benchmark
case dealing with interstitial condensation that occurs at the contact surface between two materials. The paper ends with some conclusions that summarize our findings.

2. Mathematical modelling

Physical models forming the basis of various software tools that are used to predict the heat, air, and moisture response of building envelopes seem quite diverse. This section addresses the model for heat and moisture transfer through building materials, which has been applied in this paper. It is based on the temperature and capillary pressure, regarded as independent variables.

It should be noted that in the coupled transfer the moisture transport in building materials appears under two different phases: liquid and vapour. The vapour phase is divided into the diffusion and convection parts. Indeed, the diffusive flow of vapour is engendered by the vapour pressure gradient, and the corresponding conductivity represents the permeability of the vapour. For the convective vapour flow, it is advected by the moving air [22].

The modelling of transfer in the vapour phase by the capillary pressure gradient as conductive potential, and the permeability of liquid as the conductivity of moisture transfer, has become the most appropriate and the most often used approach for this kind of modelling [23]. For the liquid flow, the moisture content gradient has been used in some hygrothermal tools as the driving potential, and the moisture diffusivity as the moisture transfer conductivity. Governing equations of the coupled transfer in building materials can be formulated according to the principle of preservation of the combined transport of heat and humidity of a representative elementary volume (REV), which is defined as being large enough when compared to pore dimensions, but also small enough compared to the size of the sample.

Different kinds of transport equations and boundary conditions are required in order to simulate the heat and moisture transfer in a multi-layered wall. These equations are outlined below in accordance with the considered medium. The equations require dedicated boundary conditions in order to close the problem and solve the coupled equations. Note that the assumption of ideal gas was made for the dry air and water vapour in order to characterize the humid air mixture.

2.1. Moisture transfer

It should be noted that the moisture transfer through composite walls, even in a one-dimensional process, is a complex phenomenon involving coupled transfer of the liquid, vapour, and heat. According to Qinru et al. [15], and after some manipulations and conjectures, the governing equation for the moisture content through the medium can be expressed by the following equation

\[ \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} = \nabla \cdot \left( \delta_p \nabla p_v - K_c \nabla p_v \right) - \nabla \cdot \left( \nabla p_v \right) + F_{\text{mo}} \]  

where:

- \( w \) - moisture content [kg/m³],
- \( t \) - time [s],
- \( \delta_p \) - water vapour permeability [s],
- \( p_v \) - partial water vapour pressure [Pa],
- \( K_c \) - water permeability [s],
- \( p_r \) - capillary pressure [Pa],
- \( v \) - air velocity [m/s],
- \( F_{\text{mo}} \) - moisture source term related to moisture content [kg/m³s].

2.2. Heat transfer

Here, we presume that the main mechanisms governing the transfer of heat are the thermal conduction and convection due to air movement and latent heat. This is due to the presence of low temperature gradients. In this way, the energy conservation equation can be written in terms of driving potentials as follows [24]:

\[ (c_{p,v} + c_p w) \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = \nabla \cdot (\lambda \nabla T + L_v \delta_p v_{cT} - v \cdot (L_v \nabla p_v + \rho_v c_p v T)) + F_h \]  

where \( c_{p,v} \) [J/kg·K] is the dry specific heat of material, \( \rho_v \) [kg/m³] is the dry density of material, \( c_o \) [J/kg·K] is the specific heat of liquid water, \( w \) is the moisture content [kg/m³], \( T \) [K] is the temperature, \( \lambda \) [W/mK] is the thermal conductivity, \( L_v \) [J/kg] is the enthalpy of evaporation, \( \rho_v \) [kg/m³] is the dry air density, \( c_o \) [J/kg·K] is the specific heat of dry air, and \( F_h \) is the heat source term related to temperature [W/m³].

2.3. Conservation equations and modelling

As stated in the beginning, Eqns (1) and (2) are recast through a single variable which is the capillary pressure (\( \rho \)). Moreover, the relationship between the partial water vapour pressure and the relative humidity can be expressed as [15]:

\[ \rho_v = \rho_{ew} \]  

where \( \phi \) is the relative humidity, and \( \rho_{ew} \) [Pa] is the saturated water vapour pressure. Note that the relative humidity is often chosen as flow potential, since it is continuous at the interface of two layers of materials that have different moisture storage properties (sorption and moisture retention). This potential is linked to the capillary pressure via the Kelvin equation:

\[ \phi = \exp \left( - \frac{p_v}{\rho \cdot R_v \cdot T} \right) \]  

where \( p_v \) [kg/m³] is the water density and \( R_v \) [J/kg·K] is the gas constant for water vapour.

It results from this that the conservation equations of the combined heat and moisture transfer can be recast in terms of coefficients, and by considering the temperature as an independent variable for heat transfer and the capillary pressure as an independent variable for moisture transfer. By following this procedure, the equations can assume the following form:
The supply of moisture flux through the outside surface of the envelope, $g_{e,v}$, can be expressed using the following relationship:

$$g_{e,v} = \beta_{p,v} \left( p_{v,i} - p_{surf,v} \right) + g_{wv}$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

where $\beta_{p,v}$ [kg/m$^2$ s · Pa] is the vapour transfer coefficient of the exterior surface, $p_{v,i}$ [Pa] is the water vapour pressure of the exterior surface, $p_{surf,v}$ [Pa] is the water vapour pressure of the indoor air, and $p_{surf,v}$ [Pa] is the water vapour pressure of the interior surface.

### 3.2. Heat BCs

Recall that here the heat flux through external surface $q_{u,e}$ [W/m$^2$] includes the effects of conduction, convection, latent heat flow due to vapour, and sensible heat flow due to rain absorption. It can be expressed as:

$$q_{u,e} = \alpha_e \left( T_{e} - T_{surf,e} \right) + L_v \beta_{p,v} \left( p_{v,i} - p_{surf,v} \right) + g_{wv} c_p T_{e}$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

where $\alpha_e$ [W/m$^2$ K] is the heat transfer coefficient of the exterior surface, $T_{e}$ [K] is the exterior temperature encompassing both the temperatures of the ambient air and that of the solar wave radiation and long wave, and $T_{surf,e}$ [K] is the temperature at the exterior surface.

Likewise, the heat flux through internal surface of the building envelope, $q_{u,i}$ [W/m$^2$], is given by:

$$q_{u,i} = \alpha_i \left( T_i - T_{surf,i} \right) + L_v \beta_{p,i} \left( p_{v,i} - p_{surf,i} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

where $\alpha_i$ [W/m$^2$ K] is the heat transfer coefficient of the interior surface, $T_i$ [K] is the temperature of indoor air, and $T_{surf,i}$ [K] is the temperature at the interior surface.

It should here be noted that the wind speed in porous media is commonly expressed by the experimentally observed Darcy–Boussinesq law.

$$v = \frac{k_v}{\mu_v} \nabla p_v$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

where $k_v$ [kg/m·s·Pa] is the air permeability of the material, $\mu_v$ [kg/m s] is the dynamic viscosity of air, $p_v$ [Pa] is the dry air pressure.
Numerical modelling of hygrothermal response in building envelopes

in discrete balance equations for all elements. The flux terms are
 discretised by using central difference approximations for the
diffusion terms, and upwinding schemes for the convective terms.
An ordinary differential equation system (ODE) is obtained by
replacing all spatial gradients with finite difference approximations
of the transient heat and moisture balance equations. In the current
version of COMSOL, this system of combined heat and moisture
transport is solved using the Newton-Raphson iteration method
implemented in the generic ODE-solver. The implementation of this
generic multi-step solver in the COMSOL framework is extensively
documented in [27]. However, since the air mass balance equation
is simplified to a steady state formulation, its discretised form
cannot be included in the general form of the obtained ordinary
differential equation. Therefore, this set of linear equations is
solved separately from the coupled transient heat and moisture
transport. In this respect, the quasi–steady state implementations
means that the air flow field is kept constant during integration of
the coupled energy and moisture balance equations. At defined
time intervals, a new steady state solution of the air flow field is
calculated and used during the continued integration of heat and
moisture balances.

Using meshes that smoothly change in size near the interface
of two internal layers, where the solution is expected to change
abruptly, a regular 157-node mesh has been applied, which
resulted in 216 triangular elements.

It should also be noted that some default settings were selected
for the COMSOL solver parameters. Linear time dependent
system solver called UMFPACK, as a set of routines for solving
unsymmetrical sparse linear systems, was selected. Also, a shape
function denoted SHLAG (Lagrange element of second order) was
adopted for both temperature and capillary pressure. A variable
time step was chosen, which enabled fast convergence.

4. Numerical handling and validation of the model

4.1. One dimensional benchmarking case

As stated above, the adequacy of the aforementioned model
equations has been checked considering a 1D benchmark
exercise #1. The latter arises from a series of benchmark cases
based on the EU-initiated project for the standardization of
heat, air and moisture calculation methods (European project
known as HAMSTAD-WP2) [28, 29]. Five exercises from this
benchmark assessment are appropriate for assessing the
performance and accuracy of hygrothermal models in one
dimensional configuration. In this project, Hagentoft et al. [29]
developed a model in which they considered the temperature
and the capillary suction pressure (or partial water vapour
pressure), as potentials for the energy conservation and
moisture transport, respectively.
The benchmark exercise presented in Figure 1 deals with
interstitial condensation occurring at the contact surface
between two materials. The structure, from the lowest
x-coordinate (external side) to the highest one, is composed
of: the air and vapour tight seal, 100 mm load bearing material,
and 50 mm thermal insulation, cf. Figure 1. The materials have
different thermal and moisture properties: the load bearing
material is capillary active, and the insulation is hygroscopic
but capillary inactive (infinite resistance to liquid flow).
Their thermal conductivities differ by the factor of 50 (in dry
conditions). The structure is perfectly airtight. Note that other
benchmarking exercises also exist, as reported in Tariku [30].

4.1.1. Material property functions

Material properties in terms of moisture content $w$ are given
below for both the bearing layer and insulation, respectively.
Some thermo-physical specifications of materials are
presented in Table 1.

**Load bearing material**

Water retention curve:

$$w = \frac{146}{1 + \left(8 \times 10^4 \cdot p_c\right)^{0.875}} \text{ [kg/m}^3\text{]}$$

$$p_c = 0.125 \cdot 10^5 \left(\frac{146}{w}\right)^{0.425} - 1 \text{ [Pa]}$$

**Figure 1. Construction details for the analysed benchmark case**
Sorption isotherm:

\[ w(w) = \frac{146}{1 + (-8 \cdot 10^{-8} \frac{RT \rho \ln(\phi)}{M_w})^{1.6 \cdot 0.235}} \] [kg/m³]

\[ \phi = \exp\left(\frac{M_w}{RT \rho_w} \cdot 0.125 \cdot 10^0 \left(\frac{146}{w}\right)^{1.6 \cdot 0.235} - 1\right) \]

Vapour diffusion:

\[ \delta_p = \frac{M_w}{RT} \cdot 26.1 \cdot 10^{-5} \left(1 - \frac{w}{900}\right) \cdot 0.503 \left(\frac{1}{1 - \frac{w}{900}}\right) + 0.497 \] [s]

Liquid water permeability:

\[ K = 0 \] [s]

Thermal conductivity:

\[ \lambda = 0.033 \cdot \frac{w}{1000} \] [W/mK]

Heat capacity of dry material:

\[ \rho_0 c_0 = 0.0739 \cdot 10^6 \] [J/m³ · K]

4.1.2. Initial conditions

The following initial conditions were adopted:
- For load bearing material: \( w = 145 \) kg/m³; \( T = 283 \) K
- For insulation: \( w = 0.065 \) kg/m³; \( T = 283 \) K.

4.1.3. Boundary conditions

The applied boundary conditions corresponding to the problem under study are fixed temperature and humidity (Dirichlet boundary conditions):
- A data file supplies hourly values for heat and moisture for a period of more than one year. Intermediate values of time are obtained by interpolation.
- No difference in pressure is considered.

Table 1. Some general data about materials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Thermo-physical parameter</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Liquid water density</td>
<td>( \rho_w )</td>
<td>kg/m³</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas constant for water vapour</td>
<td>( R )</td>
<td>J/molK</td>
<td>8,314</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molar mass of water vapour</td>
<td>( M_w )</td>
<td>kg/mol</td>
<td>0,018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enthalpy of evaporation</td>
<td>( L_v )</td>
<td>J/kg</td>
<td>2,5-10⁶</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. Boundary conditions for the simulation period (one year):
- a) external and internal air pressure; b) external and internal ambient temperature
Figure 2 shows climatic conditions for a period of one year representing duration of simulation process. These conditions consist of:
- The outside equivalent temperatures $T_e$ and internal temperature $T_i$, which is set to 20°C in order to ensure an optimum interior thermal comfort.
- External and internal air pressure variations $P_e$ and $P_i$.

The surface transfer coefficients are given by:
$$\alpha_{e,e} = 25\,[\text{W/m}^2\text{K}], \quad \alpha_{e,i} = 7\,[\text{W/m}^2\text{K}]$$
$$\beta_{p,e} = 0\,[\text{s/m}], \quad \beta_{p,i} = 2 \times 10^{-8}\,[\text{s/m}]$$

Note that these conditions allow for a very good case for checking the heat and moisture transfer model.

It has already been mentioned that the numerical simulation was performed using the COMSOL Multiphysics software (CMS) [31], and the required results are:
- Capillary pressure $p_c$ in space and time for the load bearing layer A, and insulation B.
- Temperature $T(x,t)$.
- Total moisture weight $M$ in each layer.
- Heat flux $q$ crossing the structure from interior to the wall.

The model simulated, for the period of more than one year, the distribution of the capillary pressure $p_c$ and the temperature $T$. The temperature and capillary pressure distribution in the load bearing layer and insulation is depicted in Figure 3.

4.1.4. Results and discussion

Moisture transport

Using properties of the material, the total moisture content can easily be computed from the distribution of capillary pressure $p_c$. Figure 4 shows the total moisture content in the load bearing layer during one year. An important increase in moisture content was observed as a result of the low average external temperature (−3 °C) in winter period, and the convection implying mass displacement front towards the lower air pressure (Figs. 2.a and 2.b). Conversely, dry conditions occurring between 2500 and 6500 hours, and a weak convection effect, caused an abrupt drying of the material. High discrepancies between the internal and external pressure, coupled with the temperature drop, were observed during the last quarter of the simulation period, which lead to a new increase in the moisture content levels. A comparison between the current model results and the HAMSTAD test results was conducted. It can be seen that the predicted profile generally corroborates, over the considered period, HAMSTAD’s results with an average difference evaluated to 1.65% only. This allows us to state that results available in literature can be reproduced by the current model.

![Figure 4. Time-dependent change of total moisture content in load bearing layer during the first year](image)

Heat transport

The heat flow crossing the structure from the inside during the first 500 hours, as obtained with the current model in comparison with the HAMSTAD test, is shown in Figure 5. The graph tendency of the heat flux depends on the period of the day; the quantity of energy crossing the wall is inversely proportional to the outside temperature. Indeed, the ascending tendency corresponds to the period of the day between 3 pm and 8 am when the average temperature is globally negative, with the maximum outgoing flux registered at about 8 am. The downward part of the graph represents the flux behaviour during the rest of the day, when the average temperature is globally positive, and when the lowest heat flux value is registered at 3 pm.

This figure shows that prediction of the model is very close to that of the test case. Moreover, some registered peaks suggest a possible numerical instability. At this point, it is emphasized that all results were obtained using default settings of the grid and
solvers. Even better results can be expected if more attention is paid to these settings. This will further be elaborated in future research.

Figure 5. Time-dependent change of heat flux from interior to the wall

To investigate energy distribution within the studied structure, the temperature variation through the studied structure, presented in Figure 1 at the end of each of first three days of the year, respectively, is depicted in Figure 6. The measurements show that the temperature gradient is maximum in the insulating material where the regulating effect of heat is strongly proven. The registered outer surface temperatures corresponding to the three cases are 0,52 °C, 0,63 °C, and 2,87 °C, respectively. The temperature within the load bearing layer remains quasi constant. This is due to the very high thermal conductivity of the material and the predominance of convective terms in the porous material, which is implicitly considered by the present model. It should also be noted that the gradient of temperature calculated in the insulating material is about one hundred times superior to that registered in the load bearing layer.

Figure 6. Temperature distribution through both layers at 24, 48 and 72 hours

The temperature evolution profile during the first 72 hours, at different positions of the multilayered structure, is shown in Figure 7. Three curves at the bottom of the graph, expressing temperature fluctuations at three different points of the load bearing layer, are almost similar. The high conduction and convection of the material makes the temperature almost constant. This also explains the vertiginous fall of temperature during the first ten hours, when the temperature falls from 283 K (initial temperature of the structure) to about 274 K (outside ambient temperature). Thermal behaviour of the load bearing layer reflects the outside ambient temperature variations.

Figure 7. Time-dependent change of temperature at different positions

Paradoxically, the temperature in the middle of the insulating material represents approximately one half of the temperature difference between the inside and outside surfaces. The insulating material used ensures after half an hour, for the interior surface, a relatively constant temperature bordering the internal ambient temperature (20 °C).

5. Conclusion

A combined HAM transport model for consolidated porous buildings materials is presented. The model considers the capillary pressure and temperature gradients as driving potentials of the coupled heat and moisture transfer through porous materials of a building envelope. This model is successfully benchmarked against two test cases. The governing partial-differential equations of three transport phenomena are coupled and solved simultaneously for a given temperature and capillary pressure, the latter being considered as the relevant variable. The COMSOL solver is the code chosen to solve governing equations for HM transport. A good agreement with the considered benchmarks evidences the potential of the present model, and suggests that its development and implementation are promising, and thus, that it can be further coupled with an indoor model to create a whole building hygrothermal model, taking into account the multi-dimensional heat, air, and moisture transfer. The implementation of this model, and its validation through other benchmark studies, are in progress. As a final conclusion, it can be stated that the approach used in this paper provides a reliable and efficient model for simulating the coupled heat, air, and moisture transfer through multilayer building elements.
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