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Most organizations today are increasingly influenced by competition and global markets due to advancements in information technology and economic globalization. Developments in information technology and increasing competitiveness are led to shorter product life cycles, increase rate of product obsolescence, growth of high-quality products and introduction of new products into the market. These factors have stimulated organizations to follow NPD goals such as reducing product development time, lower product cost with higher quality, shorter time-to-market, and improving delivery performance to achieve profitability and keep their market position. Customer and supplier involvement during the NPD processes has attracted widespread attention in the literature. SRM and CRM can improve the performance of New Product Development (NPD) as well as facilitate the role of customers and suppliers in NPD in the path towards a high-performance organization; therefore, it is necessary for organizations to gain a correct understanding of the roles of CRM and SRM in NPD processes and to draw on such a knowledge to enhance the performance of the new product. Given the advantages of applying CRM and SRM within organizations, this paper tries to explore the different roles of CRM and SRM which play in NPD process.
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Istraživanja učinaka upravljanja odnosa s kupcima i upravljanja odnosa s dobavljačima na razvoj novog proizvoda

Na većinu poduzeća danas sve više utječu konkurencija i globalna tržišta zbog usavršavanja informatičke tehnologije i ekonomske globalizacije. Razvoj informatičke tehnologije i sve veća stručnost dovode do kraćeg vijeka trajanja proizvoda, bržeg zastarijevanja proizvoda, povećanja broja visokokvalitetnih proizvoda i stavljanja novih proizvoda na tržište. Ove su činjenice potaknule proizvodnje organizacije da slijede ciljeve razvoja novih proizvoda (NPD) kao što su smanjenje vremena razvoja proizvoda, niža cijena proizvodnje uz bolju kvalitetu, skraćivanje vremena plasmana proizvoda na tržište, bolji uvjeti isporuke u svrhu profitabilnosti i opstanka na tržištu. Uključivanje kupaca i dobavljača u proces NPD postalo je vrlo popularna tema u literaturi. SRM i NPD mogu unaprijediti postupak razvoja novog proizvoda (NPD) i olakšati ulogu kupaca i dobavljača u NPD u cilju razvoja visoko produktivne organizacije; stoga organizacije trebaju dobro razumjeti uloge CRM i SRM u postupku razvoja novog proizvoda i stečeno znanje iskoristiti za unapređenje novih proizvoda. Zbog prednosti primjene CRM i SRM u proizvodnim organizacijama, u radu se istražuju različite uloge CRM i SRM u postupku razvoja novog proizvoda.

Ključne riječi: dobavljač; kupac; razvoj novog proizvoda; upravljanje odnosa s dobavljačima; upravljanje odnosa s kupcima

1 Introduction

With many companies facing highly unpredictable market conditions and with huge advancements in technology, organizations are required to push for the enhancement of their capabilities in New Product Development (NPD) in their attempts to satisfy market demands and customer preferences. As long as production of new products can lead to profit growth and a higher sale rate, NPD is one of the strategic activities in many organizations. In fact new products could be considered as one of the key factors in success of organizations in the market. However, whereas the role of NPD and innovation strategies in building of competitive advantage and improving financial performance have been studied extensively in the literature, new products have failed to achieve the considered goals in many cases. Numerous variables have been mentioned as factors leading to a successful NPD in literature. Customer and supplier involvement during the NPD processes has attracted considerable attention in the literature [1, 2]. One effective strategy for reducing the failure rate of new products, on the one hand, and increasing their success rate on the other is to align the new product development process with market requirements [3, 4]. Positive effects of customer orientation and applying the customers’ information on new product development have been frequently documented in the literature. Previous studies on supply chain and operation management suggest different methods for organizations to improve their competitive advantage through cooperation and coordination with suppliers. For instance, according to Rauniar et al. [5], knowledge sharing among customers, suppliers, and the development team members can decrease the defects of NPD project and improve time, cost, and customer satisfaction of product development. Many researchers have separately conducted works related to supplier involvement or customer involvement in NPD, but our knowledge there has been a limited focus on studying the effects of the simultaneous involvement of both suppliers and customers in NPD (e.g. See. Sun et al. [6], Chien & Chen [7]).

Additionally, although relevant studies have helped organizations gain insight into factors influencing on supplier involvement and customer involvement in NPD, these studies have only begun to introduce an integrated framework for applying supplier and customer knowledge in order to create successful and sustainable product development. Therefore, the aim of this paper is to introduce and develop such a framework for NPD by applying both supplier relationship management (SRM) and customer relationship management (CRM) concepts simultaneously. Not only CRM is able to maintain organization’s existing customers, it is also effective in encouraging customers to offer more suggestions and implications to enhance the quality of products and services [8]. In fact CRM would help organizations achieve relevant knowledge about customers’ preferences.
CRM has also proved to be a highly effective and efficient tool to develop innovation capabilities and create competitive advantage within an organization [8 ÷ 10].

CRM involves all activities that organizations establish long-term, continuous, profitable and mutually beneficial relationships with customers. Reinartz et al. [11] consider CRM as a process for customer interaction management to build, develop, and maintenance long-term and beneficial relationships with customers. In summary, CRM has capabilities which allow customer-related information to be systematically used in NPD process in ways that could improve new product performance.

SRM, on the other hand, is the discipline of managing preferred suppliers and finding ways to reduce costs, supply goods and materials repeatability, share the experiences of organizations-suppliers and extract relevant advantages from the relationships between organizations and suppliers [12]. SRM is focused on maximizing the value of the relationship between organizations and suppliers by providing an integrated set of management tools in order to interact organization with its suppliers.

![Figure 1CRM, SRM, and NPD](image)

The strategic objective of SRM is to collaborate with suppliers so that a company can produce new and efficient products [13]. SRM is a category of supply chain which can deliver many competitive advantage contributions through leveraging the business processes in supply chain as well as facilitating the acceleration of product development cycles and introduction of new products into the market. Such mechanisms are able to drive competitive advantage by reductions in the costs of parts and materials, increasing flexibility and responsiveness to changes in the customers’ demands, and accelerating cycle time which could in turn enhance customer satisfaction and increase market share. Accordingly, the need for an integrated system which can take into account the roles of CRM and SRM in new product development is increasingly felt in organizations. As depicted in Fig. 1, the relationships between suppliers, customers and new product development is established in such a system. Given the relationships between CRM, SRM and new product development, it is expected that advantages such as cost-effectiveness, higher flexibility and responsiveness to changes in customers’ demands, lower cycle time and enhanced quality of products would bring about the customers’ satisfaction and finally the improvement of the organizational performance.

2 Key factors in the success of new product development

Notwithstanding the focus on the importance of the NPD process in organizations, high rates of failure, sometimes as high as 40 %, has been reported in the literature for new products [14]. Success rate has been reported to be less than 60 % in some countries; for example, the rate has been reported at 54,3 and 59,8 % for England and Japan, respectively [15], at 59 % for United States [16] and at 49 % for Spain [17]. A study by Tennant & Roberts [18] suggested only 23 % of the 200 firms surveyed delivered their products on time. Several factors have been suggested in the literature influencing the success of new products. For example, Lettice et al. [19] compiled 200 factors and categorized them into six dimensions, i.e. stakeholders’ contributions, invention, operating context, exploitation, reuse and the performance of stakeholders in New product Development, which is in turn divided into customers, suppliers, senior management, production/delivery and marketing; Operating context includes human resources, technological infrastructure, organizational structure and competitive context; Reuse of assets includes knowledge-related assets, processes, organization and reuse library use. Invention includes invention portfolio, invention process, and invention organization and tools; finally, exploitation includes commercialization criteria. Generally, key factors extracted from literature could be classified as process, employee-oriented, strategic, management, and information technology.

3 Customer involvement in new product development

Customer involvement in the innovation process is one of the most important and valuable resources available to organizations. Using these opportunities, organizations can enhance innovation capabilities and reduce risks related to producing innovative products [20]. Customer involvement in the NPD process can lead to identifying customers’ needs, gathering relevant customer information and translating customers’ needs into new products. Moreover, use of customers’ knowledge can increase new product advantage for organizations and enable them to discover opportunities for innovation and idea generation. In general, successful companies have been found to spend a lot of time on gathering and analysing customer-related information, studying market, identifying lead users and cooperating with them, as well as on learning activities and other methods for absorbing knowledge [21]. With the emergence of information systems, many organizations have used the technologies to apply and share knowledge with their customers or participate in activities related to value creation (e.g. new product development). Examples of such organizations include Cisco, Bang & Olufsen, 3Com, Microsoft, Fiat and Compaq. According to Nambsian [22], customers can play three roles (resource, co-creator, user) in NPD. Customer as resource is related to a source of innovation and idea generation, customer as co-creator is related to customer participation in product design and development phase, and customer as user is related to customer involvement in product testing and
support. Accordingly, organizations’ close relationships with their customers and customers’ participation through NPD process could be considered as key success factors in new product development.

4 Supplier involvement in new product development

According to reports by LLC performance evaluation [23], most organizations endeavour to increase participation rate by external parties outside organizations in new product development. Various definitions of supplier involvement in product development have been used in previous studies. Wagner [24] defines supplier integration in new product development as "the combination of internal resources of the buying firm with the resources and capabilities of selected key suppliers through the meshing of intercompany business processes to achieve a competitive advantage". Van Echteld et al. [25] provides the following definition: "the resources (capabilities, investments, information, knowledge, ideas) that suppliers provide, the tasks they carry out and the responsibilities they assume regarding the development of a part, process or service for the benefit of a buyer’s current or future product development projects.”

Most organizations depend on their supplier involvement in new product development in order to increase their innovative capabilities and their products’ quality [26]. Many researchers have mentioned the advantages of supplier involvement in new product development [26÷30]. These advantages include new product time to market, product quality, development cost, product cost, cycle time and etc. In general, supplier involvement in new product development has potential advantages such as helping organizations achieve new competencies, risk sharing, quick entry into new markets. On the other hand, some other studies in the literature indicate the negative impacts of such integration on performance. It is claimed that the involvement of suppliers in product development leads to a higher cost of production as well as a lower performance and a more lengthy development time [31]. For example, having studied 106 companies involved in information and communication technology industries, Littler et al. [32] concluded product development was more costly and less efficient because supplier involvement imposes the particular complexities upon project management. Similarly, Hartley et al. [33] concluded that relationships with suppliers do not influence the NPD time performance. Key factors from literature are supplier selection process with consideration of culture of suppliers and their capabilities, timing and extent of supplier integration, information sharing with suppliers etc.

5 A review of the models on variables related to customer involvement and NPD

Several theoretical and practical studies have examined the relationship between factors related to customers and NPD performance. This section reviews studies that have examined this relationship. Tab.1 shows related studies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Independent variables</th>
<th>Dependent variables</th>
<th>Performance variables</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Feng et al. [34]</td>
<td>Time to market</td>
<td>Customer focus, customer involvement, communication with customers</td>
<td>Time to market</td>
<td>Customer focus, customer involvement, and communication with customers are significantly and negatively related to time-to-market. Negative direct of moderating effects of it implementation on relationship between customer involvement/ communication with customers and time to market.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## 6 A review of the models on variables related to supplier involvement and NPD

Several researches have attempted both theoretically and practically to study the relationships between factors related to suppliers and NPD performance. This section will review studies having explored such relationships. Tab. 2 provides a summary of these studies.

### 7 A review of existing models considering both customer and supplier involvement in NPD

Few studies have considered the relationship between both customer and supplier involvement in NPD process and NPD performance either theoretically or practically. Tab. 3 summarizes the studies having considered this relationship. Models include Koufteros et al. [47], Lau et al. [48], Sun et al. [6], Lau et al. [48] and Chien & Chen [7].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Independent variables</th>
<th>Dependent variables</th>
<th>Performance variables</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thomas et al. [4]</td>
<td>Computer mediated communication channels (Face-to-Face, Video Conferencing, Email, Web-based Tools)</td>
<td>Knowledge Exchange between buyer and supplier firms, Buyer NPD Performance (Effectiveness, Efficiency), Buyer Market Performance</td>
<td>Effectiveness, Efficiency, Buyer Market Performance</td>
<td>Significant and positive relationship between knowledge exchange between buyer and supplier firms and both NPD effectiveness and NPD efficiency. Full mediation with face-to-face communication and partial mediation for email and web-based communication via knowledge exchange with regard to NPD effectiveness. Full mediation with email communication and partial mediation with face-to-face communication via knowledge exchange with regard to NPD efficiency.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 3 A summary of studies related to both customer and supplier involvement in NPD and their impact on performance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Independent variables</th>
<th>Dependent variables</th>
<th>Performance variables</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
8 Proposed Model

8.1 The role of CRM in new product development

Different definitions have been suggested for CRM in the literature. Meta Group divides CRM into three areas [50]:
- Operational CRM
- Analytical CRM
- Collaborative CRM.

8.1.1 Operational CRM and NPD

Operational CRM is related to automation of business processes involving contact points with the customer at the "front office". This area includes the automation of different parts in customer relationship:
- Enterprise Marketing Automation (EMA)
- Sales Force Automation (SFA)
- Customer Service and Support (CSS) Automation.

Operational CRM can help marketing automation through marketing campaigns. In this area, customers are provided with updated information and direct interactions with customers are facilitated. CRM systems are effective in organizing and planning these campaigns and provide appropriate channels for communications with the customers. Moreover, CRM tries to manage sales through sales force automation such as order management system and sales force support is provided. As service automation attempts at making long-term relationships with customers, it is considered a very important component. Service automation can be achieved through help desk wherein customers’ requests are allocated to appropriate working forces. There has been a distinctive focus on the importance of different components of operational CRM for new product development in the literature.

Tyagi & Sawhney [51] considered the effective role of marketing campaigns and advertisements in the NPD performance. One of important stages in NPD process is new product launch. Three factors, namely when, how and where for launching new product, directly influence the effectiveness of products in the market. Marketing strategies and plans are directly related to new product launch to market. In marketing automation, direct marketing methods can prove helpful in getting feedback from the customers, new product positioning, seeking innovative customers by effective campaigns, etc. Management of marketing campaigns can improve the market share related to new product introduction. On the contrary, poor marketing planning could lead to the failure of new products [52].

The role of the sales force in new product development has been studied by Judson et al. [53]. They found salespersons both directly and indirectly played an important role in new product development and their suggestions could be used to gather information necessary to create ideas for new products. Gordon et al. [54] stated that sales force cannot act as a reliable and valuable source for new products unless there is a structured system for receiving and collecting customers’ feedback and information. Customer-related information (on new product development) collected by sales force could reside in CRM systems, in the individual files of salespersons or even their supervisors (CRM packages have such capabilities) [55].

Product support is considered an important factor in successful marketing for many products. Many aspects of support are influenced by product design; therefore, customer support requirements should be taken into account during the NPD process. Goffin and New [56] conducted an exploratory study on the relationships between customer support and service and NPD. They found that innovation managers will need to allocate enough resources to integrate customer support requirements in new product development. The study of Goffin and New [56] shows the necessity of implementing CRM and applying CSS during the NPD process to achieve new product success.

8.1.2 Analytical CRM and new product development

Analytical CRM involves Data Mart, Data warehouse, customer data storage, etc. which analyses customer data by using software and algorithms and presents them in a useful and available format. Analytical CRM also includes analysis of data collected through operational CRM. It bridges the gap between front office and back office. Analyses yield valuable information on market, customer behaviour and etc. In this part, market information is analysed, the successfulness of campaigns is evaluated and customer satisfaction is assessed. These analyses can be used for customer segmentation, identification of potential customers for cross-selling and up-selling, and etc. Analytical CRM can play an important role in understanding customers’ needs for new products or services [57].

In addition, analytical CRM is able to determine customers’ needs and predict their future needs along the path to the development of new products and services. Therefore, this element of CRM can develop the strategies of the organization in order to satisfy customer needs. Accordingly, a study by Veldhuizen et al. [39] attested to the effective role of market information processing in new product advantage and success through the new product development process. Effective information processing has been known as a challenging area because many organizations are not able to utilize market information in their NPD process [58]. These studies confirm the use of analytical CRM in organizations during the NPD process.

8.1.3 Collaborative CRM and new product development

Collaborative CRM includes all methods of communication (different channels) between

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operational CRM</th>
<th>Cross functional integration, NPD performance</th>
<th>NPD performance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Collaborative CRM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
organizations and customers (such as email, telephone, fax, website pages, etc.). This part supports coordination between the staff and channels. The final goal of the collaborative CRM is to make use of information gathered from different departments in order to improve the quality of customer services [59]. Interaction and integration with customers can be achieved by communicational processes which support knowledge sharing between the organization and customers [60]. In NPD, collaborative CRM supports the organization in using all kinds of the channels that facilitate contact with different customer segments to achieve the specific goals of NPD. In addition, collaborative CRM as a component of CRM provides the opportunity for the organization to get access to a larger amount of valuable customer information for new product development. Using different communicational channels for gathering customer-related information may be regarded as the input for new product development process. Therefore, collaborative CRM could provide capabilities to better NPD performance.

8.2 SRM and new product development

SRM as defined by the Gartner Group is the "practices needed to establish business rules, and the understanding needed for interacting with suppliers of products and services of varied criticality to the profitability of the enterprise" [61].

Other researchers have regarded SRM as a new generation of E-procurement [62], and in particular, as an integrated approach that bridges the gaps between product development, sourcing, supply and procurement planning across the value chain [63]. SRM can help the organization achieve the following competitive advantage [64]:

- Increasing satisfaction of services and purchased goods and speeding up product development through sharing suppliers knowledge and alternative technologies;
- Increasing satisfaction among suppliers;
- Lowering costs to service customers by improving business processes across the supply chain.

In order to achieve profitability, organizations must be able to choose the appropriate supplier as soon as possible, to create strategic relations and cooperate with suppliers along the lines of its goals. SRM provides the necessary processes for creating business rules to interact with suppliers and offering services related to the organization’s profitability. SRM attempts to share knowledge and resources, combine competitive cores with suppliers, achieve synergy and establish a win-win relationship. SRM’s advantages include decreasing costs, providing repeatable procurement, speeding up product development, attracting and retaining competitive advantage, decreasing costs for purchasing products/services [65].

SRM can be used to evaluate suppliers’ capability and select the appropriate supplier for the new product development process. Using SRM for the selection of suppliers in new product development can have advantages such as faster reaction to change the criteria of choosing suppliers – which might happen during the new product development, decreasing decision time in supplier management and the retention of valuable information in supplier management [66].

A good example for the successful implementation of SRM was in Latin America where companies utilized SRM in order to improve services level and time to market for new products (Kearney, 2004). SRM, like CRM, has capabilities which can be used in new product development. Among these capabilities are technical capabilities, support and service, purchase and marketing. All CRM solutions rely on special technologies to provide services. Similar means are available for SRM, too.

Using such tools, organizations will be able to share experiences on services and products and to lower risks related to new product development and suppliers’ selection.

Like CRM which has data Mart, data warehouse and analytical instruments, suppliers’ information repository is base of SRM solutions. Organizations can use the tool for segmenting suppliers in order to participate in new product development according to the new product features. The aim of the service and support section in CRM is to provide the best product with the highest quality and lowest cost. SRM pursues the same purpose within the organization in order to collect and manage information across all departments of the organization. For instance, if a problem occurs for the new product or for the quality of the product or in the production line, etc., the call management unit updates the supplier repository and the incident is dealt with. At the same time the procurement manager is informed of the incident; therefore, full-scale participation and integrated task distribution are achieved in the organization. The objective of sales force automation is to improve the efficiency of the sales force while lowering the time and costs of the sales. Meanwhile, SRM’s purchase unit supports the purchase of the services and goods. This section possesses electronic catalogue, electronic RFQs and electronic online auction. SRM integrates this section with other procurement activities. Like marketing automation in CRM, the best guarantee for purchase managers to ensure their desired goods or services will be bought is to involve the suppliers in a competition. By improving marketing campaigns the organization will be able to attract a lot of suppliers. Such module allows for the selection of the suppliers according to pre-determined criteria through available channels. In this way, purchase managers can identify potential suppliers and gather the required information.

9 Conclusion

Several factors warrant the participation of the organization in new product development. Some of these factors include:

- Global economic stagnation motivates organizations to seek for ways to improve the efficiency of their products. Generally in the light of the knowledge gained by organizations on the supply chain, organizations are normally seeking ways to decrease the time devoted to develop the new product.
- Increasing demand for customized products such as DELL will increase complexities in new product development.
development. More complex and specialized products make it impossible for organizations to go through the product development on their own.

- In order to maintain their competitive advantage in global markets organizations try to their activities and assign external resources to new technologies. In fact, in this way organizations gain considerable financial and operational benefits through the participation of their external partners.

As companies and organizations emphasize the important role of new product development in achieving competitive advantage, and as they shift from a product-oriented approach to a customer-oriented one, developing mechanisms with the ability to support the approach seems necessary. On the other hand, customers’ and suppliers’ roles in increasing the success and decreasing the failure rate of new product development projects are undeniable. Since SRM and CRM have the capabilities to systematically facilitate the role of customers and supplies in new product development, leading to improved new product development and organizational performance, organizations are required to gain knowledge on the mechanisms through which CRM and SRM influence the performance of the new product development. Having identified the capabilities of SRM and CRM and their potential roles in NPD, this research attempted to present a model which could be helpful for organizations in their NPD activities. Future research can focus on presenting a conceptual model. This conceptual model can consider CRM resources and capabilities and SRM resources and capabilities as antecedents successful NPD in an empirical study.
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