Transfer of tibial tubercle (TT) (Fulkerson osteotomy)

INTRODUCTION

Located in the front of knee, it serves several functions the patella has always been an intriguing structure. As the largest sesamoid bone in the body, this structure, along with the supporting extensor mechanism, has been the source of chronic pain.

The human knee dates back 320 million years in the evolutionary scale to Eryops, the common ancestor of reptiles, birds, and mammals. The Eryops knee was bicondylar, with a femorofibular articulation, cruciate ligaments and and asymmetric collateral ligaments. The patella was not jet present.

As evolution continued, the fibula migrated distally, away from the joint line. The bicondylar femur rotated internally and developed a medial offset, bringing the joint progression closer to the midline (1, 2).

The osseous patella developed separately in birds, some reptiles and in mammals about 70 million years ago. This was a late development compared with the cruciates or the condylar surfaces. The anterior femoral articular surface extended proximally beneath the patella to from the sulcus and completed the development of the patellofemoral joint.

FUNCTIONS OF THE PATELLA

The main biomechanical function of the patella is to improve the quadriceps efficiency by increasing the lever arm of the extensor mecha-
anism. The patella displaces the patellar tendon away from the femorotibial contact point throughout range of motion, therefore increasing the patellar tendon moment arm (1-3). The patella is necessary to centralize the divergent forces from the four heads of the quadriceps, and to transmit tension around the femur, in a frictionless way, to the patellar tendon and tibial tuberosity.

The hyaline articular cartilage provides an insensitive thick, avascular tissue that is specifically adapted to bearing high compressive loads (2, 3).

The patella also functions as a bony shield, not only for the trochlea, but also for the distal femoral condyles with the knee in flexion. The patella plays also an important role in the cosmetics of the knee.

**PATELLAR INSTABILITY**

Patellar instability is a common and complex problem that is both difficult to characterize and difficult to treat. There are many underlying predisposing factors that may lead to patellar instability. These factors include abnormalities in muscle origin and insertion and the dynamic forces created by them as well as significant anatomic malalignment of the femur, tibia, or patella. Additionally, deficiencies of passive restraints (e.g., medial patellofemoral ligament), patella alta, trochlear dysplasia, soft tissue dysplasia, abnormal foot/ankle alignment, genu valgum, and excessive lateral tibial torsion are thought to contribute to patellar instability. Due to its complexity, over 100 surgical methods have been described to treat this condition (6, 7, 8). It is clear that no single surgical option is appropriate for every patient with patellar instability and treatment decisions must be made based on the underlying pathology.

**ANTEROMEDIAL TIBIAL TUBERCLE OSTEOTOMY**

The tibial tubercle is the most distal anchor of the extensor mechanism and can serve as tool in altering patellofemoral (PF) mechanics.

Osteotomies of the tibial tubercle are a useful method to treat a variety of PF conditions by allowing coronal, axial, and sagittal plane adjustments of the patellofemoral articulation with redistribute patellar contract pressures and improve tracking.

Furkerson designed a tubercle osteotomy known as the anteromedialization (AMZ) technique to address PF pain in conjunction with patellar maltracking. The oblique nature of the Fulkerson osteotomy allows for simultaneous anteriorization and medialization of the tibial tubercle. By varying the angle of the osteotomy, the tubercle can be biased to a more anterior or more medial position.

The tibial tuberosity to trochlear groove (TT-TG) distance, popularized by Dejour et al. (8), serves as an objective measure of tuberosity position, has helped quantify abnormal tuberosity position and enhanced appropriate candidate identification for all tuberosity osteotomies including the AMZ. This becomes important because patellar contact pressures are very sensitive to distal realignment (6, 7, 8).

**INDICATIONS**

Indications for AMZ are primarily based upon mechanical and chondral pathologies specific to each individual knee.

Malalignment is a term that has different meanings to different experts.

A comprehensive review by Post et al. (11, 12, 13) demonstrated that the “Q” angle was inadequate to use as a measure of malalignment of the tibial tuberosity.

Using the objectively measured TT-TG, asymptomatic patients have distances averaging 13 mm and those with instability symptoms have average measurements of over 15 mm (9, 10, 11). A panel of patellofemoral experts agreed that TT-Tg distances of over 20 mm were definitely abnormal and would be potential candidates for moving the tibial tubercle (8, 9, 10). Patients with isolated chondrosis of the distal or lateral patella, who have excessive lateral patellar tilt and/or subluxation associated with an increased TT-TG distance and minimal trochlear chondrosis are optimal AMZ candidates based on a retrospective review of AMZ outcomes by Pidoriano (8).

It has been argued that rotational tuberosity abnormalities associated with subjective instability and pain may be sufficiently treated with straight medialization or
derotation of the tibial tubercle (13), although Pritsch et al. (12, 13) found 80% of 66 patients undergoing tubercle transfer for patellar instability and pain associated with maltracking required anteriorization based on intraoperative examination. Patients who are undergoing PF cartilage restorative procedures have been shown to benefit from a combined AMZ procedure (9, 10, 11, 12), were optimization of the biomechanical environment and decreased stress across the restored cartilage is required.

In patients undergoing medial patellofemoral ligament, MPFL, repair or reconstruction for recurrent lateral patella instability, AMZ may be indicated only in the setting of a significantly increased TT-TG distance. There is no randomized study of AMZ plus MPFL surgery versus MPFL surgery alone published.

Therefore indications for anteromedialization AMZ are:

Lateral or distal patella chondrosis with an increased TT-TG distance and excessive lateral tilt / subluxation, and the absence of trochlea chondrosis.

**METHODOLOGY**

There were 173 knees (19 bilateral) in this series that had a history of recurrent lateral patellar dislocation. Patient age was 18 – 82 with an average age of 50, over 50 years 83 (percent 48).

All of the patients in this study were indicated for treatment based on a clinical history of recurrent dislocations and correlating examination findings. They all demonstrated excessive lateral patellofemoral laxity and a positive apprehension sign. All were also found to have signs of lateral maltracking including combinations of lateralization of the patella on Merchant view, abnormal Q-angle, and the apprehension test with active extension.

Patients who received reconstruction of the medial patellofemoral ligament (MPFL) or lateral patellofemoral ligament (LPFL) were eliminated from this study.

Distribution of patients according to sex, age, and type of surgery

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patients</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MALES</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEMALES</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BILLATERAL</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OVER 50 YEARS</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RESULTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Result (post.op.grading – Ficat, Bandi) follow up 3-144 months</th>
<th>Number of patients</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EXCELLENT 163</td>
<td>94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOOD 10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAIR /</td>
<td>/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POOR /</td>
<td>/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL 173</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The 3 principal factors are following (if it possible?):
1. TT – TG distance of more than 20 mm on CT images
2. patellar height (Caton-Deschamps index) of more than 1.2 on the lateral radiograph
3. patellar tilt of more than 20 degrees on CT images

CONCLUSIONS

Multiple case series has reported of the AMZ procedures. Despite the heterogeneity in outcome measurements, results demonstrate high percentage of excellent good results and improvements in objective, subjective, and functional measures. Attention to details related to surgical planning and properly managing patient expectations is most likely to lead to good or excellent results.
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