VARIABILITY OF BLACK POPLAR (Populus nigra L.) LEAF MORPHOLOGY IN VOJVODINA, SERBIA
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Summary

Morphological study of intra and interpopulation variability of black poplar leaves was carried on four natural populations located in the basin of the major rivers at the area of Vojvodina, Serbia. Research was conducted on the basis of nine leaf morphometric parameters, with descriptive and multivariate statistical analysis. Results show that within and between studied populations exists considerable variability, with the variability much more pronounced within than between populations. Given that the environmental conditions of investigated locations are uniform, it is assumed that the variability is consequences of the specific gene pool of these populations.
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INTRODUCTION

UVOD

Area of Vojvodina, Serbia, is one of the regions with lowest forest cover in Europe, less than 6.50% of its total area. Forests of Vojvodina are unevenly distributed and mainly located in the narrow and wider areas along rivers, representing smaller and larger forest complexes. Since the last few decades floodplains are increasingly under human’s control, representation of flooded ecosystems in total area of Vojvodina is only 28%. Forest and other wooded land of riparian area, which are the products of mutual relations between biological and ecological characteristics of native poplars and willows and river alluvial action, have been tight between the embankment and the river. These natural habitats of native riparian species are disappearing and formation of new alluvial sediments and new pioneer stands has been significantly reduced. Within these riparian ecosystems black poplar (Populus nigra L.) as one of important pioneer tree species (Pospiškova et al. 2004) has been adapted to the specific conditions of floodplain.

Until now, smaller and larger areas of a poplar-willow forest are preserved in groups and as single trees. In the river valleys, where the river is still wild, black poplar is better preserved than on the rivers which are more regulated. Today, black poplar participate with only 15.87% in total area of native poplars, which is 8.45% of all poplars area (other 91.54% belongs to hybrid poplars) (Radosavljević 2009). Given that black poplar suffers from tremendous habitat losses, it has been considered as endangered tree species of riparian ecosystem.
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Lefevre et al. (1998) lists three key factors for disappearance of natural populations of black poplar from reduced floodplain ecosystems. The first factor is the control of river systems which leads into the question possibility of riparian species natural regeneration and encourages the replacement of existing populations with hardwood populations; all this brings into the question the survival of the remaining gene pool of the species. Another important endangering factor is over-exploitation of autochthonous poplar in the last century and the mass introduction of superior hybrid Euro-American poplars and American black poplar clones (Romanić 2000), in order to meet the needs of the human population for fast-growing species. As the last given factor is introgression of cultivated poplars as a potential threat to natural populations of poplars. Besides hybrid poplars, which are threat for gene pool and sustainability of native poplar population, different poplar varieties present all over the Europe and wider could threaten the survival of black poplar natural population (Šijačić-Nikolić et al. 2012).

Assessment of black poplar variability along many rivers across Europe, using morphological and genetic markers, have been performed by a number of researchers (Samarđžić 1996; Cottrell et al. 1997; Krstinić et al. 1997; Arens et al. 1998, Romanić 2000; Kajba et al. 2002; Alba et al. 2002; Van Dam et al. 2002; Gebhardt et al. 2002; Vanden Broeck et al. 2004; Pospiškova et al. 2004; Bruce et al. 2010; Čortan et al. 2013, 2014; Maksimović et al. 2014). Existence of variability is a key factor in the process of species adapting to environmental changes. The higher variability exists there are higher chances for species long-term survival.

In order to develop strategies for conservation as well as for reforestation, it is necessary to estimate the amount and distribution of diversity in existing natural populations (Flush et al. 2002). Prescribing appropriate conservation me-
asures will neutralize losses and preserve the remaining
gene pool, which is currently threatened by extinction.
Foregoing points to the need for variability research which
have been exploring a number of black poplar (*Populus nigra* L.) leaf morphological parameters in four populations
along Vojvodina area. Results of black poplar leaf morpho-
logic variability have been shown in this paper.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**MATERIJAL I METODE**

The examination of black poplar degree of leaf morpho-
metric parameters variability within and between populations
was performed on selected test trees in four populations at
their natural habitat, in the area of Vojvodina (Figure 1).
Populations are located in the basin of the major rivers in
Vojvodina: two populations are located in the basin of Da-
nube river (the upper course - population A and the lower
course of Danube – population D), one is placed in the ba-
sin of the Tisa River – population B and the last one in the
basin of the Sava River – population C (figure 1). These four
sites have similar site characteristics; they are placed on flat
ground right next to the riverside, which are under the pe-
eriodical flooding (up to 65 days per year). The geological
substrate consists of clayey sands with quartz and other si-
licate and it has sandy structure. The soil is alluvial on allu-
ivial deposit, medium-deep (41–80 cm), loosely, fresh, ske-
letal (51–70% of the structure). Litter is medium represented, suggesting on favorable process of humification.

Within each of these studied populations 10 adult test trees
were randomly selected. Leaves were randomly sampled
from test trees during the growing season, when the leaves
are fully developed (August–November). For the purpose
of consistency, samples were collected from mature trees
with approximately the same height (4–6 m) and the same
part of the crown (outer part of crown, leaves of light, south
side). In order to provide equivalent material required for
comparison, samples were taken from the middle part of
long shoot twig, because of the less pronounced polymor-
phism in relation to the leaves from basal and apical part of
long shoot (Tucović 1965).

Analysis were carried out on a sample of 100 dried, healthy,
undamaged leaves from each test tree, which is in total 4000
leaves from 40 test trees. On each leaf 9 morphometric pa-
rameters were analyzed, with a total of 36000 measure-
ments. The measurements were performed with an accu-
race of 1mm.

The analysis included the following morphometric para-
eters: a – length of leaf, b – width of leaf, c – petiole len-
th, d – angle between the first vein and horizontal line, e
– width of leaf at 1 cm from the top, f – distance between
the base of leaf and the widest part of leaf, g – length of the
whole leaf (leaf and stalk), h – number of veins on the left
side of the leaf and i – number of veins on the right side of
the leaf.

Obtained morphometric data were statistically analyzed
using the software package Statistica 6.0. Results of measure-
ments were statistically analyzed with descriptive statistic:
mean value (x), minimum and maximum value (min –
max), variation range (R), standard deviation (SD), relative
standard deviation (RSD). The one-way ANOVA was used
to test differences between mean values of measured leaf
parameters. Mean values were separated using Tukey’s HSD
test, with significance levels of p<0.05 and p<0.01. The den-
drogram of cluster analysis (Nearest Neighbor Method,
Euclidean distance) was used to illustrate a hierarchical clu-
stering of studied populations.

**RESULTS**

**REZULTATI**

Results of descriptive statistics are represented in Table 1.
The largest mean values of leaf length (a=93.43 mm), leaf
width (b=73.39 mm), petiole length (c=54.71 mm), dis-
tance between the base of leaves and the widest part of the
Table 1. Descriptive statistic for the measured morphological traits of leave for all analyzed Black poplar populations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Deskriptivna statistika za mjerena morfometrijska svojstva listova za sve analizirane populacije Crne topole</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Descriptive parameters</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>a(mm)</th>
<th>b(mm)</th>
<th>c(mm)</th>
<th>d(mm)</th>
<th>e(mm)</th>
<th>f(mm)</th>
<th>g(mm)</th>
<th>h</th>
<th>i</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deskriptivni pokazatelji</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>89.18</td>
<td>72.96</td>
<td>51.33</td>
<td>38.72</td>
<td>5.24</td>
<td>21.77</td>
<td>140.52</td>
<td>5.07</td>
<td>5.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>93.43</td>
<td>73.39</td>
<td>54.71</td>
<td>45.55</td>
<td>5.15</td>
<td>24.89</td>
<td>148.44</td>
<td>5.78</td>
<td>5.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>86.64</td>
<td>66.39</td>
<td>51.49</td>
<td>42.36</td>
<td>5.67</td>
<td>23.03</td>
<td>137.80</td>
<td>6.07</td>
<td>6.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D</td>
<td>91.00</td>
<td>68.71</td>
<td>50.19</td>
<td>49.12</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>24.43</td>
<td>141.19</td>
<td>5.58</td>
<td>5.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>90.06</td>
<td>70.36</td>
<td>52.00</td>
<td>43.94</td>
<td>5.33</td>
<td>23.54</td>
<td>141.91</td>
<td>5.63</td>
<td>5.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Min-max</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>74.98</td>
<td>70.76</td>
<td>42.63</td>
<td>33.46</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>19.26</td>
<td>118.161</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sd</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>6.6505</td>
<td>2.0719</td>
<td>6.6584</td>
<td>4.4525</td>
<td>1.5498</td>
<td>1.8341</td>
<td>11.7430</td>
<td>0.5433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>7.8168</td>
<td>3.3272</td>
<td>6.1018</td>
<td>3.9295</td>
<td>1.0692</td>
<td>2.6728</td>
<td>7.1297</td>
<td>0.5432</td>
<td>0.5399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>7.9787</td>
<td>4.6871</td>
<td>8.5544</td>
<td>3.7100</td>
<td>1.1547</td>
<td>2.0363</td>
<td>15.2070</td>
<td>0.3492</td>
<td>0.3294</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D</td>
<td>7.7785</td>
<td>3.9382</td>
<td>4.6863</td>
<td>3.4262</td>
<td>0.7826</td>
<td>2.3162</td>
<td>10.4321</td>
<td>0.3812</td>
<td>0.3609</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>7.556125</td>
<td>3.5061</td>
<td>6.500225</td>
<td>3.87955</td>
<td>1.139075</td>
<td>2.21485</td>
<td>11.12795</td>
<td>0.454225</td>
<td>0.4214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RSD(%)</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>7.46</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>12.97</td>
<td>11.50</td>
<td>29.56</td>
<td>8.42</td>
<td>8.36</td>
<td>10.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>8.37</td>
<td>4.53</td>
<td>11.15</td>
<td>8.63</td>
<td>20.75</td>
<td>10.74</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>9.40</td>
<td>9.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>9.21</td>
<td>7.06</td>
<td>16.62</td>
<td>8.76</td>
<td>20.37</td>
<td>8.84</td>
<td>11.04</td>
<td>5.76</td>
<td>5.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>8.40</td>
<td>5.04</td>
<td>12.52</td>
<td>8.96</td>
<td>21.40</td>
<td>9.37</td>
<td>7.90</td>
<td>8.17</td>
<td>7.43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Legend: mean value (x), minimum and maximum value (min – max), variation range (R), standard deviation (SD), relative standard deviation (RSD), letters in superscript represent results of Tukey HSD test for significance level p ≤ 0.01** and 0.05*. 
leaf ($f=24.89$ mm) were in population B (Table 1). Population D has the largest angle between the first vein and horizontal line ($d=49.12^\circ$), population C has the largest width of leaf 1 cm from the top ($e=5.67$ mm). According to the average number of nerves on the left and on the right side of a leaf ($h=6.07$ and $i=6.19$) population C stands out, while in other populations the average number of nerves from both sides of a leaf is 5.

The parameter $e$ has the highest variability (21.4%), unlike the parameter $b$ (5.04%) which has the lowest variability. Relative standard deviation of other parameters is in the range of 7–13%. In total, the highest variability is shown in population A (11.15%) and the smallest in population D (8.41%).

Statistically significant differences are recorded between test trees within each population, but there were no statistically significant differences between populations for most of measured parameters (Table 2). Parameters $b$, $d$, $h$ and $i$ are significant for $p$-value <0.01 while parameter $f$ for $p$-value <0.05.

Furthermore Tukey’s HSD test (table 1), that has been performed between populations, has determined which particular population is different in specific parameters, grouping populations with similar characteristics in same homogenous group. According to values of parameters $b$, $d$ and $h$ Tukey’ test group populations into three different homogenous groups, showing the highest differentiation between studied populations, while according to values of parameters $f$ and $i$ populations were grouped just into two different homogenous groups. The populations A is the one that mostly separates in different homogenous group from other populations.

According to the dendrogram cluster analysis (Figure 3) of analyzed morphometric parameters it is clear that morphometric parameters are most similar between populations B and D. These populations are grouped on the lowest distance, while population C is very close to them. These three populations form a cluster, followed by population A which is on the highest distance.

**DISCUSSION**<br>RASPRAVA

Values of leaf parameters in this study are comparable with values given by Fitschen (2002) and Roloff (2006), where the leaf length is 5–12 cm, leaf width 4–10 cm and petiole length 2–6 cm. If we compare the obtained results with the results given by Tučović (1965) who reported leaf length in the range $89.28 – 94.80$ mm, width $98.73 – 116.19$ mm and petiole length $47.79 – 55.29$ mm, it could be seen that leaf length and petiole length of studied populations are in this range, while leaf width is smaller from this range.

Krstinić et al. (1997), Romanić (2000), Kajba et al. (2002), Bruce et al. (2010) did research of black poplar leaf variability along the Drava and Sava River in Croatia, Drava and Mura River in Slovenia, compared to their data, it is evident that the morphometric parameters of the black poplar leaf in its natural habitat in Vojvodina area are much higher. But we must take into consideration that reasons for these differences, beside the obvious genetic and environmental factors, could be that for mentioned researches leaf samples were taken only from short shoot.

Observed characteristics are not equally under the genetic control. In general length and width of leaf are characteristics that are considered to be the most plastic one which are partially under genetic control (Hovanden and Vanden Schoor 2004). Given that the parameters that had a lowest variation ($b$, $a$ and $g$) are considered as one under strong environmental influence (van Dam 2002, Krstinić et al. 1997), this indicates existence of similar environmental conditions between populations. The one that have highest variations ($e$, $c$, $f$ and $d$) are considered to be under strong genetic control and less by the environmental factors (Kajba and Romanić 2002; Romanić 2000; Krstinić et al. 1997), which indicate a possible use of these parameters for estimation of intrapopulation and interpopulation variability, as well as to research the introgression of genes of other poplar species in local population of the European black poplar (Kajba and Romanić 2002).
Higher differentiation within populations than between populations, is consistent to previous results for other woody species (Ballian et al. 2005; Bašić et al., 2007; Ballian et al. 2010; Bruce et al. 2010; Ballian et al. 2014; Poljak et al. 2014), that could be considered as a general rule when it comes to forest tree species. This pattern of variability indicates the existence of gene flow between the populations so that each population has similar combination of genotypes (Poljak et al. 2014).

In general morphological research should be carried out successfully several years, in different conditions, in order to extract parameters that are highly environmentally influenced from those that are under genetic control. To clearly understand the relationship between and within populations, and to understand the variability within and between populations it would be necessary to do further research of numerous factors that affect the variability of the species, such as genetic, climatic, phytocenological, pedological and other research which were not the subject of this paper.

**CONCLUSIONS**

**ZAKLJUČCI**

Analysis of black poplar morphometric leaf parameters was carried out to determine the morphological variability of leaf parameters on interpopulation and intrapopulation levels. The results indicate that there is considerable variability at both mentioned levels of research. Interpopulation and intrapopulation variability of leaf morphometric parameters is consequence of both genetic and environmental factors. Higher variability was perceived within populations than between populations and with relations to equal environmental conditions of researched populations, it could be concluded that the variability is not induced by environmental factors (climate and soil), but by specific genotype of studied populations.
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ulacija crne topole na području Vojvodine je u ovom istraživanju ispitana pomoću niza morfoloških svojstava lista. Istraživanje unutarpopulacijskih i međupopulacijskih morfološke varijabilnosti listova crne topole (Populus nigra L.) rađeno je na razini četiri prirodne populacije koje se nalaze u dolinama najvećih rijeka Vojvodine (Dunav, Tisa, Sava – Slika 1). Skupljanje uzoraka obavljeno je metodom slučajnog odabira u tijeku vegetacijskog perioda kada su listovi potpuno razvijeni. Prikupljeni su listovi srednjeg djela grančice dugorasta koje Tucović (1965) ističe kao najvažnije za karakteriziranje pojedinih sistematskih kategorija. Na herbariziranom materijalu analizirano je devet morfometrijskih svojstava (slika 2).

Standardna deskriptivna statistika (prosječna vrijednost, min/max vrijednost, raspon varijacije, standardna devijacija, relativna standardna devijacija), analiza varijance (one way ANOVA), post hoc Tukey HSD test i klaster analiza (metoda najbližeg susjeda, Euklidska udaljenost) su provedeni kako bi se utvrdile razlike na unutarpopulacijskom i međupopulacijskoj razini.

Rezultati analize varijance (tabela 2.) ukazuju na postojanje statistički značajnih razlika između individua u okviru populacija za sva ispitivana morfometrijska svojstva (p<0,000). Dok su rezultati analize varijance provedeni radi utvrđivanja značajnosti razlika između populacija pokazali da za svojstva b, d, f, h i i postoje statistički značajna razlika između populacija. Tukey testom i klaster analizom utvrđeno je da se populacija A najviše ističe, potom slijedi populacija C, dok su populacije B i D najslabi. Rezultati analiza pokazuju izraženu varijabilnost kada su u pitanju parametri c, e, f i d za koje se smatra da su pod izrazitom genetskom kontrolom, dok parametri b, a i g koji se odlikuju velikom plastičnošću pokazuju manju varijabilnost, što ukazuje na slične stanišne uvjete istraživanih populacija.

Dobijene statističke analize ukazale su da na unutarpopulacijskoj i međupopulacijskoj razini postoji značajna varijabilnost, pri čemu je varijabilnost unutar populacija dosta izraženija od varijabilnosti između populacija. Imajući u vidu da su stanišni uvjeti istraživanih populacija ujednačeni i na osnovi utvrđenih statističkih značajnosti može se zaključiti da su njihove razlike zanemarive, možemo zaključiti da je unutarpopulacijska različitost uzrokovanu izrazitom heterogenošću analiziranih genotipova ovih populacija.

**KLIJUČNE RIJEČI:** Crna topola, Vojvodina, morfologija lista, unutarpopulacijska i međupopulacijska varijabilnost