Lower leg atrophy in congenital talipes equinovarus

Aliz Bohner-Beke¹,², Eleonóra Leidecker², Tamás Koch¹, András Sramó¹, János Kránicz²

Although several authors have addressed calf atrophy, there is no study comparing cases with a sizable control group or describing the development of healthy calf musculature. Keeping in mind the neuromuscular origin of clubfoot, the authors aimed to find out whether the “unaffected” foot is really unaffected in the unilateral clubfoot, and whether its circumference fails to reach the expected measure. The authors examined 60 clubfeet of 40 cases (mean age: 18, range: 3-30 years) and recorded their body weight, height, lower leg length and circumference. To record the same values in healthy controls, the same person examined 1086 feet of 543 subjects aged 4-22 (mean age: 12.5 years). According to the measured values, lower leg length strongly correlates with body height and circumference with body weight. This means the most important developmental trends of these parameters can be demonstrated with polynomial regression models. In unilateral clubfoot, the mean difference in lower leg length and circumference between the affected and unaffected leg was 0.86 cm and 3.13 cm, respectively. Atrophy of the unaffected leg can be justified at 10% significance level. In the case of bilateral clubfoot, the difference in length and circumference is justified by numerical results. By recording lower leg length and circumference in controls, the authors pioneered documenting the parameters of healthy calf muscle development. These data can be used to determine the rate of developmental failure in bilateral clubfoot and to reveal the possible involvement of the unaffected leg in unilateral clubfoot.
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INTRODUCTION

Successful treatment and management of congenital talipes equinovarus (CTEV) involves several problems. The most common ones are cavus deformity, forefoot inversion, permanent calcaneal equinus, and varus or calcaneal valgus. When the attending physician considers the therapy successful and ends it, calf atrophy still poses considerable challenge. Even if we view calf atrophy as an aesthetic problem only, in most cases it still affects the function of the limb. Clinically, it has been observed that less serious cases are accompanied by less atrophy, while more severe ones are associated with a more substantial degree of atrophy (1). The more severe the deformity, the thinner and more atrophic the calf is (2, 3). Both parents and patients themselves often inquire about the cause of this difference in size and its possible solution, and many authors have addressed this issue. A review article by Sobel and Giorgini (1980) summarizes the differences in lower leg circumference (LLC) recorded by various authors in inches (4). Several studies have found calf atrophy to be present in fetuses and aborted newborns with clubfoot. This atrophy primarily affects the triceps surae and tibial posterior muscles. As a result, the belly of these muscles shortens and the tendons lengthen (5-9). Aronson and Puskarich’s study was the first to demonstrate that this muscle atrophy of the affected leg cannot be attributed to casting and bracing if therapy duration did not exceed 2 years. Thus, casting would result in reversible atrophy that would not persist, not to mention increase (1). Literature suggests the neuromuscular origin as the possible pathological cause of persistent, progressing and untreatable atrophy (10-14). Still, others think that it is the pro-
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portion of muscle fiber types (types I and II) that changes, as they found a relative increase in type I fibers on the affected side (5, 10, 11, 15-22). Gray and Katz suggest calf atrophy to be the result of a decrease in the number of muscle fibers (10).

Bechtol and Mossman, then Flinchum have put forward a new hypothesis that in clubfoot muscle growth cannot keep up with bone growth (5, 23). Other authors consider CTEV to be a genetic disease, where muscle atrophy is caused by a faulty gene. Dobbs and Gunnett suggest that there might be some connection between the Pitx1 mutation and CTEV (25).

Ippolito has raised the question whether leg muscle atrophy in clubfoot is primitive or acquired, i.e. genetically determined or develops as a result of treatment. He found that atrophy was already present in aborted fetuses and untreated newborns suffering from unilateral CTEV, and that it progressed with growth (26).

Several authors have also recorded a decrease in lower leg length (LLL) of the affected limb in unilateral CTEV; however, this issue has never attracted as much interest as the difference in LLC (6, 7, 27-32).

As a matter of fact, we have no information about the “normal” parameters of LLC, so that we could compare it with muscle development recorded in clubfoot. In unilateral clubfoot, we always compare the affected limb with the unaffected one, but if we accept the theory of a neuromuscular origin, we cannot know for sure that the “unaffected” leg is really unaffected. In addition, in bilateral CTEV, we have nothing to compare the legs with, unless we collect information on the normal rate of leg muscle development and normal LLC values.

**Objectives**

Lower leg atrophy of the affected side is a frequent finding in the physiotherapeutic management of CTEV. In unilateral CTEV, this atrophy is commonly present in the unaffected leg as well, which poses a challenge for the physiotherapist when setting up the treatment plan. Therefore, our aim was to determine the LLC of children with CTEV.

1. Our study aimed to find out whether there is a difference in LLL and LLC between the two legs in unilateral CTEV, and if so, to what degree.

2. In bilateral CTEV, we recorded the same data on both legs and compared them to those of the control group, so that we could determine the normal rate of calf muscle development. Finally, we compared it with calf muscle development experienced in clubfoot.

3. In unilateral CTEV, we used the values of the control group to examine the potential involvement of the unaffected side.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**CONTROL GROUP**

Altogether we examined 1086 feet of 543 youngsters (308 boys and 235 girls) aged 4-22 (mean age: 12.5 years).

After obtaining the necessary consent from the parents and the principals of the educational institutions, we organized the locomotor examination of the subjects’ feet paying special attention to the following aspects:

- **Body parameters:** Body weight was measured using digital scales, with an accuracy of 500 grams. Body height was measured in meters, with a decimal accuracy.
- **LLL measurement:** The tape measure touched the lower leg and the distance between the articular groove of the medial tibial plateau and the lower part of the medial malleolus was recorded with an accuracy of 0.5 cm.
- **LLC measurement:** When measuring muscle circumference, identical degree of muscle contraction was used to record the largest calf circumference. The largest circumference was measured by winding the tape around the calf tightly and recorded with an accuracy of 0.5 cm.

**CASE GROUP**

We started our investigation at the Department of Traumatology and Hand Surgery, Medical School, University of Pécs in September 2010. During the 2-year study (until September, 2012), we examined 60 clubfeet of 40 patients.

The mean age of the subjects was 18 (range: 3-30) years and gender distribution showed a 62.5% male predominance. Fifty percent of our cases had unilateral CTEV affecting the right side in a higher proportion of cases (12 patients). The treatment of cases started in the newborn period (week 1 or 2 at the latest) with the application of serial casting. If conservative therapy did not result in sufficient correction, residual deformity was treated with soft tissue surgery at the age of 8-9 months. Postoperative plaster fixation was applied for 4-6 weeks, followed by active and passive exercise therapy.

As part of the investigation, we took detailed history, performed physical assessment, took x-rays if it was considered...
necessary, and got the patient or his/her family to fill in a special questionnaire.

All measurements (body weight/height, LLL and LLC) were performed by the same person and in accordance with the aspects determined when examining the control group.

Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed with the SPSS Statistics 17.0 software. We used scatter diagrams and basic statistical indices to analyze LLL and LLC values. Data were compared using the Wilcoxon rank sum test, as the distribution of the variables could not be considered normal.

RESULTS

CONTROL GROUP

Results of LLL measurements

Preliminary examinations suggested that there was no difference between men and women or between the left and the right sides if LLL was related to body parameters instead of age. Namely, there is strong correlation between LLL and body height. Data were analyzed by using regression models. The correlation was justified with linear as well as quadratic and cubic regression analyses (p<0.001). We found that the increase in LLL in relation to body height slowed down over time. The best fit was provided by the quadratic model (R² = ). Based on the quadratic model, we could calculate the expected/estimated LLL using body height.

The calculation uses the following formula:

\[
LLL = -22.502 + 52.018 \times \text{body height} - 9.190 \times \text{body height}^2
\]

Results of LLC measurements

Just as LLL strongly correlates with body height, the same relationship can be detected between LLC and body weight. We also ruled out the possibility that gender or laterality had an influence on the results.

As a result of the slight inclination in the middle of the cloud, we performed linear as well as quadratic and cubic regression analyses. The regression analysis of all 3 models yielded acceptable results (p<0.001). The correlation was justified by all three regression models. The best fit was provided by the cubic model, so we used the following formula to calculate the expected or estimated value:

\[
\text{LLC} = 12.868 + 0.637 \times \text{body weight} - 0.005 \times \text{body weight}^2 + 0.00002224 \times \text{body weight}^3
\]

Based on the polynomial regression analyses, you have to substitute body height if you want to determine LLL and body weight if you want to know LLC.

CASE GROUP

Unilateral CTEV

Differences in LLL

When illustrating the length of both lower legs in unilateral CTEV, it became apparent that the unaffected leg was longer than the affected one (Figure 1). The difference between the affected and the unaffected side was statistically significant (p=0.01).

Differences in LLC

When comparing the circumference of the lower legs in unilateral CTEV, we found that the rate of difference between the two legs was much higher than in case of LLL (Figure 2); the difference was statistically significant (p<0.001).
Difference between the affected side and estimated values

In unilateral CTEV, when comparing the affected side to the estimated values based on the examination of the control group, the LLL values approximated, while LLC values failed to reach those of the control group (Figure 3). The difference between LLL values was not significant; however, the difference between LLC values was statistically significant (p<0.001). The diagrams reveal that the circumference shows greater spreading and its values lag further behind the expected ones.

Difference between the unaffected size and estimated values

When we compared the unaffected side to the normal growth trends, LLC was still somewhat below the expected values, although that rate of difference was not as substantial as in the case of the affected leg (Figure 4). The difference between LLL values was not statistically significant; however, in the case of LLC, the difference was significant at the 10% level of significance (p=0.073), which is acceptable considering age distribution and the statistical model applied.
A failure to reach the estimated values was also apparent in bilateral CTEV, and the difference was more marked when comparing LLC (Figure 5). The difference between the expected and the actual values was significant both in the case of LLL (p=0.0019) and LLC (p<0.001).

**DISCUSSION**

**Control group**

During the objective investigation, we considered all the measured parameters to determine two variables that correlate most strongly with LLL and LLC. These variables were body height and body weight, respectively. Based on this, the most important developmental tendencies of these parameters can be illustrated by using polynomial regression models.

As a function of body height and weight, we can anticipate normal LLL and expected LLC, with regression used to obtain body proportions.

**Case group**

**LLL**

In unilateral CTEV, the mean difference between the affected and the unaffected legs was 0.86 cm. If we compare our results with those reported from international studies, three factors have to be considered: how they measured the difference, what age their cases were and how the patients were treated.

Noonan et al. report on three cases; the mean difference between the two legs was 2.1 cm (range: 2.0-2.3). Their patients were treated with extensive soft tissue surgery and the measurements were performed on lateral x-ray images (30).

Merrill et al. examined 11 patients, mean age 16.5 (range: 6-60) years. The patients had been treated with the Ponseti method and the measurements were performed with the help of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). The difference between the two tibiae was measured in eight patients, while the difference between the two fibulae was measured in five cases. They found that the tibia of the affected side was shorter in all cases, the mean difference being 0.68±0.26 cm (range: 0.46-0.94). The affected fibulae were also shorter in all patients; mean difference: 0.87±0.45 cm (range: 0.54-1.63) (32).

**LLC**

In unilateral CTEV, the mean difference between the circumference of the lower legs was three cm in our study and it corresponds with some international results, although the method of measurement may be different.

Sobel and Giorgini summarized and tabulated the results of 11 publications on LLC difference published during the 1980-2001 period. The mean difference of the recorded values was 1.52 cm (range: 0.76-3.56) (4).

The aim of Gamble was to answer parental questions. He wanted to find out more about the development of this difference in unilateral CTEV and also to know if the degree of the difference was affected by the treatment of choice (po-
steromedial release, Ponseti technique). He used a tape measure for his measurements and found that the mean difference in size between the two calves was 9.83% (N=93), which did not seem to be influenced by the treatment method (33). If our circumference results are converted into percentage, it will result in the mean difference of 11.6%, which is close to Gamble’s 10% in patients that underwent posteroomedial release.

Aronson and Puskarich examined 29 cases with unilateral CTV and 23 controls. Their cases were also treated with posteroomedial release and the difference between the volumes of the two lower legs was also 10% (1).

Chesney compared objective and subjective parameters when characterizing treatment results. The most important difference between the two studies is the circumference, which is meant to be the “normal” one. It would be difficult to study developmental failure in bilateral CTEV as there is nothing to compare the measured values with, and there are no expected “normal” values in the literature either. Chesney’s study used the circumference of the unaffected leg as a reference value; however, in our opinion, it cannot be considered normal either. In his opinion, the most useful anthropometric measurements when assessing clubfoot are LLL and LLC. This confirms the clinical observation that in less severe cases, the difference between the circumference of the lower legs is smaller, while it is more pronounced in more severe cases. The function of the leg also corresponds with the rate of this difference (34).

If we divide those with unilateral CTEV into 3 groups (according to age) with approximately the same number of patients in each, we can determine the mean difference in LLC. As a result, we can see that the mean difference in circumference is 1.5 cm in group 1 (n=6, age: 2-8 years), 3.69 cm in group 2 (n=8, age: 9-13 years) and 4.11 cm in group 3 (n=7, age: 14-37 years).

The relationship between age and lower leg atrophy is similar to that found by Ippolito, i.e. atrophy progresses with age (26).

It is also apparent that the circumference of the “unaffected” leg fails to reach the estimated value, which supports the neuromuscular origin.

In bilateral CTEV, LLC also fails to reach the estimated value, and the rate of this failure can be determined precisely by using the formula of the regression equations.

In our opinion, the difference between our study and the previous ones in this field lies in the fact that we compared LLC in unilateral CTEV not only to the ‘unaffected’ leg but also to the values obtained from a sizeable control group. In addition, we have provided a new basis for measurements and reference values for the assessment of bilateral CTEV.

CONCLUSIONS

1. We found that the circumference of the affected lower leg in unilateral CTEV fails to reach that of the unaffected one.

2. The rate of atrophy in bilateral CTEV can be determined and our calculations revealed correlations that have been unknown before, namely, the close relationship between LLL/LLC and other body parameters.

3. The involvement of the unaffected side can be justified as well. In order to get a clearer picture, we will have to include more patients or limit the physiological variation.

The most appropriate imaging techniques for measuring LLL are MRI and especially Extended Orthopedic System 2D/3D ultra-low dose orthopedic machines.

Tape measurements proved to be credible in the measurement of LLC when assessing atrophy. In our case, the size of the control group justified its use.

The method of measurement used in our study is simple, available to everyone, can be included in physical examination, and the rate of developmental failure is easy to calculate based on the formulas that have resulted from the study.
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Atrofija potkoljenice kod prirođene deformacije talipes equinovarus
Aliz Bohner-Beke, Eleonóra Leidecker, Tamás Koch, András Sramó, János Kránicz

Iako su se mnogi autori bavili atrofijom lista noge, ni u jednoj studiji nisu uspoređeni slučajevi s podjednakom kontrolnom skupinom niti je opisan razvoj zdravog mišića lista noge. Vodeći računa o neuromuskularnom podrijetlu talipesa, cilj studije bio je nastojati utvrditi da li „nezahvaćeno” stopalo doista nije zahvaćeno kod jednostranog talipesa te da li njegov opseg dostiže očekivane mjere. Autori su ispitali 60 stopala zahvaćenih talipesom u 40 slučajeva (srednja dob 18, raspon 3-30 godina) te zabilježili njihovu tjelesnu masu, visinu, duljinu i opseg potkoljenice. Iсти ispitivač zabilježio je iste parametre kod 1086 stopala u 543 osobe u dobi od 4-22 godine (srednja dob 12,5 godina) kako bi se utvrdile njihove vrijednosti kod zdravih kontrolnih osoba. Prema izmjerениm vrijednostima, duljina potkoljenice snažno korelira s tjelesnom visinom, a njezin opseg s tjelesnom masom. To znači da se najvažniji razvojni trendovi ovih parametara mogu opisati pomoću modela polinomijalne regresije. Kod jednostranog talipesa je srednja razlika u duljini i opsegu potkoljenice između zahvaćene i nezahvaćene noge bila 0,86 cm odnosno 3,13 cm. Atrofija nezahvaćene noge može se dokazati na razini značajnosti od 10%. U slučaju obostranog talipesa razlika u duljini i opsegu dokazuje se prema brojčanim rezultatima. Mjerjenjem duljine i opsega potkoljenice u kontrolnih osoba autori su prvi put dokumentirali parametre razvoja zdravog mišića lista noge. Ovi podaci mogu se rabiti za utvrđivanje razvojnog poremećaja kod obostranog talipesa, kao i za otkrivanje moguće zahvaćenosti nezahvaćene noge kod jednostranog talipesa.

Ključne riječi: atrofija; talipes; dijete, predškolsko; dijete; adolescent