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Prenatal genetic testing is under the remit of the National Health Service in Slovenia and has been included in clinical routine since the 1980s. Traditionally, prenatal services have consisted of karyotyping and rapid fetal aneuploidy screening to detect chromosome abnormalities, whereas targeted mutation testing was used for single gene disorders. Development of array comparative genomic hybridization and next generation sequencing allows for genome analysis at better resolution in a single experiment. While technological advances in medicine continue to evolve, increasing diagnostic accuracy and broadening the spectrum of indications, all these innovations require more investment along with more equipment and higher staffing ratios trained to use it, placing burden upon healthcare funding and expenditure. This prompts us to consider how to implement new techniques into the existing services in order to update genetic services for the 21st century. Our aim is to develop a new approach to prenatal genetic services, which would maximize diagnostic yield at an acceptable cost.
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INTRODUCTION

Genetic disorders represent a significant share of morbidity and mortality in pediatric population and are an important public health issue. Primary and secondary prevention genetic services are intended to prevent birth defects, genetic disorders, or disease before it occurs or before birth. New technologies, which could be utilized in prenatal genetic diagnosis, call for modifications and adaptations of the existing healthcare system.

In this work, we present development of the prenatal genetic service, the actual conditions and our recommendations for the future under the National Health Service in Slovenia.

TRADITIONAL APPROACH TO PRENATAL GENETIC DIAGNOSIS

Prenatal genetic diagnosis has been available in Slovenia since 1980 (Figure 1). We started with karyotyping of amniotic fluid, followed by chorionic villus sampling (CVS) in 1984. Chromosome analysis has been offered to pregnant women of advanced age (37 years or above), women with abnormal ultrasound scan, positive screening test (Nuchal Translucency, Double or Triple Hormone Test), a live-born child or previous pregnancy with an identified chromosome abnormality, or because one parent carries a chromosomal rearrangement. Diagnostic yield of classical karyotype is approximately 3%, excluding Down syndrome and other recognizable chromosomal syndromes (1). Full chromosome analysis has long been regarded as the gold standard for prenatal testing.

Prenatal detection of single gene disorders has been available since 1997 for couples where one parent carries an autosomal dominant or X-linked disease or both parents are carriers of an autosomal recessive disorder. The basic molecular genetic tests, such as targeted allele-specific polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-based or restriction fragment analysis methodologies, Sanger sequencing or Southern blot were used to detect single gene disorders. In cases of unknown mutation, indirect approach by linkage analysis was used.
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In 1998, we introduced the use of fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH) in the prenatal setting. FISH allowed for detection of new categories: (a) genomic or submicroscopic mutations; and (b) rapid fetal aneuploidy testing, later replaced by other methods (qPCR or MLPA). Rapid fetal aneuploidy screening was followed by karyotype analysis.

Fluorescent in situ hybridization has filled the gap between molecular and cytogenetic diagnostic tests. In addition, FISH allows for analysis of metaphase chromosomes or interphase nuclei. FISH probes are used to identify microdeletions (2), subtelomeric rearrangements (3), and origin of marker chromosome (4), or for simple and complex chromosome rearrangements on metaphase chromosomes, whereas rapid fetal aneuploidy screening and evaluation of mosaicism can be done on interphase nuclei.

We have been using interphase FISH method for preimplantation genetic diagnosis (PGD) in which one or two blastomeres are biopsied from the early embryo for FISH analysis of known familial chromosome rearrangements, or for sex selection in X-linked monogenic disease (5, 6).

**GENOMIC TESTS IN PRENATAL GENETIC DIAGNOSIS**

Now, we are facing genomic approaches. Array comparative genomic hybridization (aCGH) or molecular karyotyping can scan the entire genome to detect gains and losses of genetic material. aCGH was introduced into our routine in 2014, since the output is higher comparing to classical karyotyping, subtelomeric MLPA analysis or FISH. Prenatal aCGH is routinely used in the cases of ultrasound structural anomalies in the fetus, including nuchal translucency >3.5 mm, and in the case of prenatally detected chromosomal rearrangements using karyotyping (7, 8). Clinically relevant genomic imbalances are reported in 4%-7% of fetuses with ultrasound detected structural anomalies (7, 9, 10).

Some laboratories completely switched over their prenatal invasive diagnostic to aCGH (11). Apart from the fact that aCGH is more expensive compared to conventional karyotyping, there is another very important issue in prenatal diagnosis, i.e. how to deal with variants of unknown clinical significance (VOUS), susceptibility loci (SL) for neurodevelopmental disorders and unexpected diagnoses.

In addition, aCGH has become a tool for discovering regions prone to genomic rearrangements (12) and for identification of new deletion/duplication syndromes (13).

Moreover, Next Generation Sequencing (NGS) has radically changed the diagnosis of single gene disorders. It has shifted focus from the pre-NGS-test differential diagnostic mode to the post-NGS-test diagnostic assessment mode (14). NGS allows for multi-gene analysis in a single investigation (15). Since the implementation of NGS in the routine genetic testing, we have been increasingly harnessing its diagnostic potential to facilitate prenatal diagnosis. Whole human exome and genome sequencing based on NGS technology allows for comprehensive, rapid and accessible detection of a variety of mutational events in a patient with suspected genetic disease. Quality NGS service encompasses several steps, including proper pre-testing clinical assessment, massive parallel sequencing, and orthogonal validation with Sanger sequencing, data analysis and exhaustive interpretation. To ensure the timely and clinically valid diagnosis in the prenatal setting, we have been continuously optimizing each of these steps with the aim to reach 1-month referral-to-reporting time.

Recent reports indicate that exome sequencing facilitates prenatal genetic diagnosis (16-18). Early studies performed in pregnancies with ultrasound structural fetal abnormalities have reported conclusive detection rate of 10% in karyotype- and microarray-negative cases (19, 20).
The comprehensive nature of exome and genome sequencing also carries the issue of reporting variants of unknown significance, which are even more numerous than in microarray diagnosis. In our approach, we therefore limit the reporting of mutations only to those that are highly likely causative, which includes known pathogenic sequence variants and variants with certain impact (including non-sense and frameshift variants). Another challenge is the possibility of identifying unsolicited findings in genes, which may manifest postnatally. We currently focus the analysis only on findings pertinent to the referral diagnosis aiming to minimize the issue of identifying unwarranted incidental findings. The complexity of such a comprehensive and complex diagnosis in the prenatal period thus requires specific and sufficiently informative genetic counselling offered throughout the testing process.

The impact of NGS in a single gene landscape is analogous to aCGH in the cytogenetic domain. However, as NGS allows for detection of point mutations, small indels, CNVs and chromosome translocations (21), it is anticipated that aCGH will soon be replaced.

Since 2013, noninvasive prenatal screening (NIPS) can be offered to women with high-risk pregnancy (22). NIPS analyzes fetal DNA molecules, which are freed into the maternal circulation from the placental tissue. Professional Committee of the Slovenian Association of Medical Genetics currently recommends NIPS for pregnancies at a high risk of common trisomies, however, there is cumulative evidence of comparable NIPS test characteristics in low risk pregnancies. In Slovenia, NIPS is at the moment available on the patient self-pay basis. NIPS test has high specificity (99%) and sensitivity (>99%) to detect fetal trisomy 21, 18, and 13, yet it has been shown to be slightly less specific and sensitive for sex chromosome aneuploidy detection. Positive predictive value for trisomy 21 is around 45.5% (23), which is 10 times better than the classical prenatal screening tests (nuchal translucency, combined screening test or triple/quadruple screening test).

CURRENT PRACTICE AND FUTURE TRENDS

Rapid development of new technologies in medical genet- ics increases the costs of genetic service. There is a need for a different approach, in which indication-matched tests would be used to maximize diagnostic yield at an acceptable cost.

Our prenatal genetic testing strategy specifies pregnant women into high-risk and low-risk pregnancies (Figure 2). High-risk pregnancy is defined as a positive screening test with a risk of 1:30 for trisomy, US detected fetal anomalies (including US detected NT >3.5 mm), or if one parent is a carrier of chromosome rearrangement/ single gene disorder. In these cases, rapid testing for fetal aneuploidy screening (qfPCR) is performed first, followed by aCGH, when the qfPCR shows normal result. In the case of trisomy 21, 13 or suspected chromosome mosaicism detected by qfPCR, karyotyping of the prenatal sample is recommended to clarify the chromosome constitution (Figure 2).
Our approach considers aCGH indicated in cases of high-risk pregnancies only, based on professional and economic reasons. VOUS/SL co-findings can cause significant emotional distress for parents to be. On the other hand, resources intended for the prenatal genetic service program are limited.

Previously, targeted mutation testing was performed if there were ultrasound-detected anomalies possibly associated with a specific single gene disorder. Because of the genetic heterogeneity of the disorders and limitations of prenatal ultrasound to define fetal phenotype, the NGS approach will improve the performance. Since the introduction of NGS into our clinical routine, it has been demonstrated that the detection yield is far better than in other diagnostic tests currently in routine use. However, parents should be informed about the limitations of NGS and discuss it thoroughly at pre-test and post-test genetic counselling. A definitive genetic diagnosis would enable informed decision-making regarding continuation of the actual pregnancy and provide valuable information on the recurrence risk for future pregnancies.

On the other hand, low-risk pregnancies (advanced maternal age without any other screening test, previous pregnancy with chromosome aneuploidy and screening test with a risk higher than 1:300 and lower than 1:30) usually have normal qfPCR profile and karyotype, placing substantial financial burden upon healthcare budget, together with an increased risk of adverse pregnancy outcome because of the invasive procedure (CVS or amniocentesis). Similarly to some other European countries (24), we plan to offer rapid fetal aneuploidy testing as a stand-alone prenatal diagnostic test to pregnant women in low-risk group. Genetic counselling would be offered to pregnant women in low-risk group, where they would have an opportunity to discuss the advantages and limitations of the tests and would be offered a choice; they could opt between qfPCR and full chromosome karyotyping. In the case of abnormal karyotype, such as marker chromosome or unbalanced chromosome rearrangement, aCGH would be done to determine more accurately genomic gains or losses (Figure 2).

We are aware that rapid fetal aneuploidy testing cannot substitute full karyotype, since it gives information on numerical aberrations of chromosome 13, 18, 21 and sex chromosomes only, therefore, eventual reciprocal translocation, supernumerary marker chromosome or other rarer chromosome findings might be missed. However, in our experience, unbalanced chromosome rearrangements that could be detected by routine chromosome analysis are usually accompanied by developmental abnormalities of the fetus and would be detected by ultrasound examination of fetal morphology. It has been shown that rapid delivery of results using qfPCR as a stand-alone test for pregnancies without ultrasound anomalies reduces parental anxiety (24).

At the Clinical Institute of Medical Genetics, among other genetic diagnostic procedures, PGD (pre-implantation genetic diagnosis) is available within the remit of the Health Service (no out of pocket expenses) for couples at a high risk of genetically disadvantaged offspring, either for chromosomal unbalanced rearrangement or single gene disorder.

CONCLUSION

We are witnessing rapid development of modern methodologies. However, technological advances are not always accompanied by the resources required to meet laboratory and medical needs. The challenge therefore is how to offer the best modern, rapid and appropriate medical services at an acceptable cost. In Slovenia, we have already introduced modern techniques into routine clinical practice. We are developing a strategy in the prenatal diagnostic setting to ensure best diagnostic yield with a combination of genetic tests at an affordable cost.
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SADAŠNJOST I BUDUĆNOST PRENATALNE DJIAGNOSTIKE U SLOVENIJI

M. Volk, N. Teran, A. Maver, L. Lovrečić, B. Peterlin

Prenatalno genetičko testiranje u nadležnosti je Državne zdravstvene djelatnosti u Sloveniji i uključeno je u kliničku praksu od 1980.-ih godina. Prenatalne usluge tradicionalno obuhvaćaju kariotipiziranje i brz probir na fetalne aneuploidije kako bi se otkrile kromosomne anomalije, dok se za poremećaje jednog gena provodilo ciljano testiranje na mutacije. Razvoj komparativne genomskih hibridizacija na mikropostroju i sekvenciranje sljedeće generacije omogućava analizu genoma uz bolju rezoluciju u jednom testu. Dok se tehnološki napredak u medicini nastavlja poboljšavajući tako dijagnostičku točnost i šireći lepezu indikacija, ove inovacije zahtijevaju sve veća ulaganja i sve više opreme te dodatno osposobljeno osoblje koje će raditi s tom opremom, što opterećuje zdravstvene fondove i povećava troškove. To nas potiče da razmotrimo kako uklupiti nove tehnike u postojeću službu kako bismo genetičke usluge prilagodili potrebama 21. stoljeća. Cilj nam je razviti nov pristup prenatalnoj genetički kojim će se postići najučinkovitiji rezultati uz prihvatljive troškove.
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