ABSTRACT

The correct prediction in the transport logistics has vital importance in the adequate means and resource planning and in their optimisation. Up to this date, port planning studies were based mainly on empirical, analytical or simulation models. This paper deals with the possible use of Bayesian networks in port planning. The methodology indicates the work scenario and how the network was built. The network was afterwards used in container terminals planning, with the support provided by the tools of the Elvira code. The main variables were defined and virtual scenarios inferences were realised in order to carry out the analysis of the container terminals scenarios through probabilistic graphical models. Having performed the data analysis on the different terminals and on the considered variables (berth, area, TEU, crane number), the results show the possible relationships between them. Finally, the conclusions show the obtained values on each considered scenario.
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1. INTRODUCTION

As taken up in paper [29], one of the main issues in port logistics or other related freight transport engineering fields is the general forecast of those parameters related to space, means, and resources requirements, as well as their optimisation. Physical and equipment parameters related to a container terminal (i.e. stocking surface, necessary berthing length, dock cranes number, ...) represent a high investment and are characterised by important social, economic or environmental impacts. Therefore, a correct forecast of these parameters and of the actual surface requirements (least possible geographical impact, thus its least modification), leads the performed research to provide a highly useful tool to any planning agent, so it can anticipate and/or forecast its space and means needs, way before strategic, marketing or planning decision-making.

Up to this date, port planning has been rather based on empirical, analytical or simulation models. Empirical methods are based on productivity average indicators issued by planning agents. These indicators set a relationship between the main activities of a subsystem and the total annual production. These methods are thus very useful when dealing with new terminals planning or master plans development. The reference indicators have been constantly studied and updated by different authors over the years ([4, 10, 11, 16, 27, 33 and 34] among others). Analytical methods use mathematical concepts and formulas, based on the queuing theory and requiring large databases. These methods have been studied by several authors ([27, 41 and 1]. Dragovic emphasized it in his paper “Port and container terminals modelling” [12]. The paper mentions several studies ([22, 23 and 26] among others), based on different aspects of the berthing system planning, as the occupation ratio, port congestion percentage, minimum waiting time, total port system costs, optimal number of berthing points and dock cranes, the optimal ratios berthing points/terminal or dock cranes/berthing points, etc. As indicated by the United Nations Conference on Trade and Development [40] simulation techniques use models to represent complex processes, whose mathematical description is not performable due to random behaviour and non-linear characteristics of the process. A detailed description of the method and the results of its appli-
cation to the Casablanca Port are included in a paper published by UNCTAD [41].

The USA [20], published a paper that performs a revision on the literature related to the capacity factors, focused on port planning. Another paper has been issued in Singapore [15], dealing with strategic planning issues.

Spanish bibliographical references started back in 1977 [32] with a paper stating the basics of port planning. Rafael Soler [34] would publish later on a comparison between exploitation conditions in several Spanish ports, using empirical methods. More recently, paper [6] presents the parameters and processes to be considered in container terminal planning. In 2007, in his Ph.D. thesis MN [17], González, M. González Cancelas determines the characteristic parameters and ratios of the port operation, obtaining their values for each container port terminal. Other papers on logistic planning could also be mentioned [27].

During the last decades, several data analysis and modelling techniques have been developed in the statistics and artificial intelligence fields [13, 2]). Data Mining (DM) is a modern and interdisciplinary area that joins together those techniques automatically operating (requiring minimum human involvement) and, besides that, it is highly efficient in processing huge quantities of information, like those available in several practical processes of data bases. The application of these disciplines is extended to a great number of commercial and research environments, when dealing with prediction, classification or diagnosis processes [27, 29, 30, 31, 9, 43, 44, 42 and 8] among others). Data mining uses different techniques, as probabilistic networks or Bayesian networks, allowing to model jointly all the relevant information for a given problem using probabilistic interference mechanisms to obtain conclusions based on the available evidences [18, 25, 35 and 7].

Bayesian networks are a compact representation of a multi-variant probability distribution. Formally, a Bayesian network is an acyclically conducted graph, whose nodes represent a random variable; the relationships between variables are coded by the graph’s structure following the d-separation criterion. Each node has an associated probability distribution, conditioned by its origins, in such a way that the overall distribution can be expressed as the product of all conditioned distributions associated to the network’s nodes. Thus, for n variables network \(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n\) (Equation 1):

\[
p(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} p(x_i | x_{\text{pa}(i)})
\]

where,

- \(p\) is the probability distribution of node variables,
- \(p(x_i | x_{\text{pa}(i)})\) is a conditional probability matrix,
- \(x_i\) is the variable value in each case.

This technique’s study allows a good global perspective of the statistic learning process and data mining, as well as a better understanding of other alternative techniques [17, 13]. Bayesian networks are used preferably in the transportation systems to develop highway models, as by Sun, Zhang and Yu [35] who showed the use of Bayesian networks to perform traffic predictions, or as shown in [37]. The use of Bayesian networks can also be found in [3] where an integrated management of water resources has been developed, or in [5] dealing with planning improvement of natural resources.

The strength of Bayesian networks can be explained by the fact that, once the network structure is clearly defined, they allow any inference given the available information. Thus, predictive inferences can be performed (given the transport terminal surface \(X\), you can know what is the probability of having \(Z\) cranes in the storage area), as well as abductive (if the terminal has less than \(X\) cranes in the storage area, one can know the probability of knowing its storage surface). In this way, each node can be at the same time a source of information or a prediction subject. Inferences are performed by applying probabilities propagation algorithms, specially developed to this purpose. The use of Bayesian networks requires identification of the variables and their relationships, and to quantify these relationships by assigning a-priori and conditioned probabilities.

2. METHODOLOGY

In order to determine the Bayesian network characterising the operation of the main international container ports, the following methodology has been developed, divided into two main tasks: one that defines the work environment and the second that develops the artificial intelligence model.

2.1. Work environment definition

It consists in reviewing the state of the art in order to identify the operation measurement variables’ array of the containers maritime terminals, by means of specialized browsers and application managers. It is developed in two steps:

- Terminal variables definition and selection: all possible variables are studied.
- Assign a value to each variable for each studied terminal; different information sources are used to obtain the values to be assigned to each variable. The variables (features) taken into account to calculate the a-priori and conditioned probabilities are the port variables. The number of variables (features) forms a table of \(2^n\) combinations, where \(n\) is the natural number that could be considerably high; this is a difficulty that can be solved by reducing the space of
2.2 Artificial intelligence model construction

Building a Bayesian network from data represents a learning process in two steps: the structural learning and parametrical learning [25]. The first step allows obtaining the Bayesian network structure, i.e. the dependence and independence relationships between the involved variables. In the second step, the required a-priori and conditioned probabilities are obtained for a given structure. The following chapters describe the variables discretisation, the model construction, the inference and the classification.

2.2.1 Variables discretisation

Having selected the variables to be studied, they have to be subsequently discretised to allow the model construction. Bayesian networks usually use discrete or nominal variables; in case they are not, they have to be discretised before constructing the model. Although there are Bayesian network models with continuous variables, these are limited to Gaussian variables and linear relationships. There are two main types of discretisation methods: (i) not supervised and (ii) supervised; thus, different discretisation types are studied and this will be an option of the developed software. In order to apply the Bayesian networks to this study, the variables obtained during the work environment definition will be used. These variables are discrete, so the continuous ones have been discretised according to intervals determined by 25, 50 and 75 percentiles, reproduced in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>P25</th>
<th>P50</th>
<th>P75</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Berth(m)</td>
<td>1,480</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>5,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area (ha)</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>80.6</td>
<td>208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crane number</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEU number</td>
<td>524,791</td>
<td>1,892,231</td>
<td>6,709,818</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2.2 Model construction

During this step of the process, the structural learning is equivalent to finding the relationships between variables, so that the Bayesian network topology or structure can be determined. According to the structure type, different structural learning methods can be applied: tree learning, poly-tree learning, multi-connected networks learning, measurements and search-based learning, dependence relationships based methods.

Bayesian networks are increasingly included in the supervised classification tasks, but not so in the planning activity related to ports. Based on the ideas expressed in [21, 24], and developed in [25], showing that probability expressions represented by Bayesian networks can be used to carry out classifications considering one special variable – the variable to be classified, predicted by a group of variables – in such a way that the obtained network structure can be used to predict its value by assigning values to the predictor variables, and then propagating the evidence introduced in the network, by calculating the a-posteriori probability in the node associated to the special variable, given the values of the rest of them.

To build the network, the Elvira software has been used, specially developed for Bayesian networks [14].

The computer has been used to study works in Windows 7 operating system, CPU Intel Core i7 3.4 GHz processor and 8 MB of RAM. However, this program does not require such a powerful computer, but if you have JAVA V.5 or later installed software.

The code Elvira uses its own format to encode the models, a reader-interpreter module for codified models, a graphical interface for network construction – with specific options for the case of canonical models, exact and approximate (stochastic) reasoning algorithms for both discrete and continuous variables, reasoning explanation methods, decision-making algorithms, model learning based on databases, networks fusion, etc.

2.2.3 Inference and classification

After constructing the models, the inference capacity is studied. A Bayesian network offers an inference system, in which – when new evidences on the state of certain nodes are encountered – their probability tables are modified and subsequently the new probabilities are diffused to the rest of nodes. The probability diffusion, or probabilistic inference, is the probability for some variables to be calculated, given the evidences on other variables. The probabilities before the introduction of evidences are known as a-priori probabilities; after introducing the evidences, the new diffused evidences are called a-posteriori probabilities.

In this phase the Bayesian networks characteristics in learning tasks are used. Each observed example will
modify the probability that the formulated hypothesis is correct (increasing or decreasing it). Thus, a hypothesis that would not fit within an example array is not completely discarded, but its associated probability is decreased.

These methods are robust to the possible noise in the training examples and to the possibility of having incomplete or possibly wrong data among these training examples.

Bayesian methods allow taking into account – in the hypothesis prediction – the a-priori knowledge or domain knowledge as probabilities.

The network built using the K2 algorithm is showed below (Figure 1). This algorithm was developed by Cooper and Herskovits (1992). It is a search algorithm that optimizes the probability of the given network database. Actually, what makes this algorithm is to find the most probable set of origins, using Bayesian metrics that accurately measures the probability given the data structure. This heuristic algorithm is based on a topological order that must be specified by the user. This will greatly reduce the search space, because the system makes a node that then orders another one not to be the origin.

The network obtained using inference allows to calculate the probabilities to be adopted by the different variables discretisation, and to obtain efficient scenarios for the container terminals; if the efficiency [39, 38], is understood as the capacity to reach the programmed objectives with a minimum mobilization of resources, thus optimizing them, different scenarios are obtained (Figure 2) corresponding to different crane number.

The different scenarios (Figure 3, 4, 5 and 6) correspond to discretisations of the variable crane number, S1 corresponds to allocate less than 11 cranes, S2 to allocate between 11 and 26 cranes, S3 between 26 and 62, and S4 to allocate more than 64 cranes.
Figure 4 – Bayesian networks. Algorithm K2. Scenario 2 crane number discretisation layer S2

Figure 5 – Bayesian networks. Algorithm K2. Scenario 3 crane number discretisation layer S3

Figure 6 – Bayesian networks. Algorithm K2. Scenario 4 crane number discretisation layer S4
Each scenario with different probabilities adopted by each variable are described in the following Figures (Figure 3 for layer S1, Figure 4 for layer S2, Figure 5 for layer S3 and Figure 5 for layer S4). The discretisations have been carried out on intervals determined by 25, 50 and 75 percentiles, whose values have been described above.

Analyzing the results of Figures 3, 4, 5 and 6, one can see a good network performance, such as better behavior occurs on setting 4 layer S4 for a number greater than 62 cranes, where there is a probability above 60% to meet the discretization of layer S4 (berth > 5,100 m, area > 210 m, TEU > 6.7 M).

3. RESULTS

Network topology or structure provides information on the probabilistic dependence between variables and their conditioned dependencies, given other variable(s), which is the purpose of this paper. This dependence simplifies the knowledge representation (fewer parameters) and the reasoning (diffusion of probabilities). Thus, the Bayesian Network provides a compact and modular way of representing the common distribution of several random variables. Bayesian networks are a compact representation of a multivariable probability distribution by a directed acyclic graph where each node represents a random variable and dependencies between variables are encoded in the structure of the graph at the discretion of separation.

A Bayesian Network comprises a qualitative section that describes the relationships between different variables and a quantitative section that describes the strength of these relationships by means of conditioned probabilities. Using these models allows obtaining the relationships between the variables associated to the dimensioning of a containers terminal and the terminal itself. These qualities of the Bayesian networks allow the relationships to be studied in order to identify the links between variables (berth, area, crane number), as shown subsequently.

3.1 Relationship 1

The variable berth shall be the main decision variable in the planning process. It shows in the network as a variable: as a node generating all arches, by configuring a divergent connection, an origin that is linked towards several nodes. In other words, the outward arrows are divergent towards the nodes. When the state of origin variable is known, there is dependence between variables; an unknown state of the origin will produce independent variables and information diffusion is impossible when adding evidences on the other nodes. In this paper, the variables crane number and area are independent, given the variable berth (Figure 7).

3.2 Relationship 2

In the convergent connections (also known as head to head) several variables target their arches towards a convergence variable. In this kind of connections, the origin variables are independent. Nevertheless, if there is an evidence of a generated variable, the origins will turn dependent. This study considers that berth and area are conditionally dependent, given the crane number. The important characteristic of this connection type when the information is diffused is that when an evidence is available on the convergence variable, the origin nodes will turn dependent and one evidence of the state of one of them will diffuse to the others (Figure 7).

3.3 Relationship 3

The variable berth and the variable area are independent, given the variable crane number; it can be highlighted that the variable AREA depends on the variable crane number, and crane number depends on berth: this is equivalent to state that berth is the cause of crane number and that crane number is the cause of area. In this case, given the dependence between variables, if the information on the variable berth is known, the certainty of crane number state can be modified; also, when information is available on crane number state, the knowledge probability on berth’s state is modified. Nevertheless, if the crane number state is known, knowing some information about the variables berth and area will not modify the certainty of their state. It can be stated that information diffusion is blocked, and that the variables berth and area turn conditionally independent given the crane number; this is known as serial connection (Figure 8).
3.4 Relationship 4

Variables berth and TEU are independent given the crane number (Figure 8), so the variable TEU depends on the variable crane number, and crane number depends on berth: this is equivalent to stating that berth is the cause of crane number and crane number is the cause of TEU. Knowing information on berth allows modifying the certainty on crane number state; also, if information is available on crane number state, the certainty on berth state is modified. Nevertheless, given the state of crane number, the information on the variables berth and TEU will not modify the certainty on their state. It can be stated that information diffusion is blocked, and that the variables berth and TEU turn conditionally independent given the crane number; this is known as serial connection.

3.5 Relationship 5

Variables area and TEU are independent given the variable crane number, so the variable TEU depends on the variable crane number, and crane number depends on area: this is equivalent to stating that area is the cause of crane number and crane number is the cause of TEU. Knowing information on area allows modifying the certainty on crane number state; and also, if information is available on crane number, the certainty on area is modified. Nevertheless, given the state of crane number, the information on the variables area and TEU will not modify the certainty on their state. It can be stated that information diffusion is blocked, and that the variables area and TEU turn conditionally independent given the crane number; this is known as serial connection.

4. CONCLUSIONS

The origin variable in international terminals planning is Berth, because its connections are totally outward.

Studying the scenarios according to the variable crane number leads to the conclusion that those terminals working with a few cranes – fewer than 11 – have a short berth length (about 1,500 meters), reduced areas generally of less than 30 hectares, and that they operate less than 500,000 TEUs, with a probability of about 60% for these combinations, situation that corresponds to Scenario 1 (Figure 3). The opposite situation corresponds to Scenario 4, (Figure 6), with more operating cranes (more than 60) and bigger berth length (more than 5,000), areas of more than 200 hectares and operating more than 6.7 million TEUs per year, with a probability between 65% and 72%.

Scenario 2 (Figure 4) and Scenario 3 (Figure 5) have lower probabilities. Scenario 2 represents operation of 11 to 26 cranes and has the same probability for different combinations, divided between the discretisations S1, S2 and S3. The probability that a terminal with this crane number may have a berth length of more than 5,000 m, nor an area of more than 200 hectares; nor operate more than 6.7 million TEUs is not considered.

Scenario 3 has homogeneous probability combinations divided between discretisation layers S2, S3 and S4; layer S1 was not considered because there is higher probability for a terminal operating 26 to 62 cranes to have an area between 80 and 200 hectares and a volume of about 1.9 to 6.7 million TEUs.
Among further research work in the field includes the following:
- Use other tools to analyse the models used in this research.
- Use other models and/or tools to analyse the results.
- Expand variables in the study and analyse new results that may be obtained in these same models.
- Analyse models with other port traffic (bulk, passengers,...).
- Expand data in the future years studied and ports that have been modified (upgrades, improvement works,...) to analyse the models and their behaviour in specific cases.
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RESUMEN

La correcta predicción en el ámbito de la logística de transportes, es de vital importancia para una adecuada planificación de medios y recursos, así como de su optimización. Hasta la fecha los estudios sobre planificación portuaria, se basan principalmente en modelos empíricos, analíticos o de simulación. El estudio refleja el alcance del posible uso de las redes bayesianas a la planificación portuaria. En la metodología se indica el escenario de trabajo y la construcción de la red considerada en el estudio para su aplicación en la planificación de terminales portuarias de contenedores, apoyado en las herramientas que proporciona el programa Elvira. Para el análisis de los escenarios de las terminales de contenedores y mediante el empleo de modelos gráficos probabilísticos, se han definido las principales variables y se ha realizado inferencia en escenarios virtuales. Una vez analizados los datos de las distintas terminales del estudio, así como las variables consideradas (berth, area, TEU, crane number), se muestran las posibles relaciones entre las citadas variables. Por último en las conclusiones, se muestran valores obtenidos con la red, para cada uno de los escenarios considerados.
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