1. INTRODUCTION

Lotus-type is a new type of porous material which comprises unidirectional pores. This makes the lotus-type materials very useful for application in lightweight structures, medicine, automotive engineering, sports equipment, etc. [2]. The porosity of lotus metals is usually lower than 70 %, which is lower if compare to some conventional porous metals where porosity is often higher than 70 % [3]. Moreover, pores of lotus-type metals are cylindrical, where the length of pores is usually large if compare to pore diameter (Fig. 1). Since the stress field around the pores depends on the loading direction, the relative high level of anisotropy is typical for lotus-type materials. While uniform stress distribution appears in the case when loading is acting along longitudinal direction of pores, the relative high stress concentration around the pores is characteristic for the perpendicular loading direction.

Figure 1. Cross section of lotus-type porous iron in transversal (a) and longitudinal (b) direction [2].

When porous material is used as a structural element, the fatigue behaviour of such porous structure should be known. Some investigations regarding fatigue behaviour of aluminium porous structures have been reported in [4-6].
However, these results can not be used for lotus-type porous materials due to different pore shapes and their orientations. Seki et al. [3, 7, 8] have been studied the fatigue behaviour of cooper and magnesium lotus-type porous structures where the effect of porosity, anisotropic pore structure, and pore size distribution have been taken into account. Their experimental research has shown that for the fatigue loading parallel to the longitudinal axis of pores the stress field in the matrix is homogeneous and slip bands appear all over the specimen surface. This is not the case for transverse loading, where stress field is inhomogeneous and slip bands are formed only around pores because of high stress concentration in this region. Lately, some research works on metal foams have already been done at the University of Maribor [9-11]. However, these materials have not been fully characterized yet, particularly in the way of fatigue life behaviour.

In the present paper, the fatigue process of lotus-type material is divided into the crack initiation and crack propagation phase, where the total service life of treated structural element equals:

\[ N = N_i + N_p, \tag{1} \]

where \( N_i \) is the number of loading cycles required for the fatigue crack initiation and \( N_p \) is the number of loading cycles required for the crack propagation from initial to the critical crack length when final fracture can be expected to occur.

When determining the crack initiation phase \( N_i \), the strain life approach with consideration of simplified universal slope method [19] has been used

\[ \varepsilon = 0.623 \left( \frac{R_m}{E} \right)^{0.032} \left( 2N_i \right)^{-0.16} + 0.0196 \cdot \left( \varepsilon_f \right)^0.105 \left( \frac{R_m}{E} \right)^{0.53} \left( 2N_i \right)^{0.56} \]  

where \( \varepsilon \) is the total strain amplitude, \( R_m \) is the ultimate tensile strength, \( E \) is the modulus of elasticity and \( \varepsilon_f \) is the true fracture strain. It is evident from Eq. (2) that the two exponents are fixed for all metals and that only monotonic material properties \( R_m, E \) and \( \varepsilon_f \) control the fatigue behavior.

The crack propagation phase in this paper is described using Paris equation

\[ \frac{da}{dN} = C \cdot \Delta K^m \]  

where \( da/dN \) is the crack growth rate, \( \Delta K \) is the stress intensity factor range \( (\Delta K = K_{max} - K_{min}) \), and \( C \) and \( m \) are the material parameters which are determined experimentally according to the load ration \( R = K_{min}/K_{max} \) (the value \( R=0.1 \) has been considered in this study). The number of loading cycles \( N_p \) required for the crack propagation from initial crack length \( a_i \) to the critical crack length \( a_c \) can then be determined with integration of Eq. (3):

\[ \int_0^{N} \frac{1}{C} \cdot \frac{da}{\Delta K(a)} = \frac{1}{a_i} \int_a^{a_c} \Delta K(a) \]  

2. COMPUTATIONAL MODEL

In publications [2, 11] the regular models with aligned or for some angle aligned pores have been used when determining the strength behavior of lotus-type porous material. In these studies, the used computational models are built of multiple representative volume elements (RVEs) which are presented by a square block with central cylindrical hole of diameter \( d \). The porosity of such structure is then regulated with change of pore diameters by keeping the size of the RVEs as a constant value [2]. In our study, the irregular pores distribution of lotus-type material is considered where only pore distribution in transversal direction is assumed. A special image recognition code was developed, which was used to convert the chosen lotus-type material cross section image into the CAD model which is then used to create the appropriate numerical model. The transverse computational model by square cross section of treated porous structure with length of 3.3 mm and randomly distributed pores with minimum and maximum diameters \( d_{min} = 0.084 \text{ mm} \) and \( d_{max} = 0.47 \text{ mm} \), is introduced, respectively (Fig. 2). For such pores distribution, the porosity is equal to 36 %. The boundary conditions are presented as displacement value of 0.01 mm at the top edge and fixed restraints in the bottom edge of used computational model (Fig. 2).

**Figure 2.** 2D computational model for pores distribution in transversal direction.
**Table 1.** Monotonic material properties of nodular cast iron EN-GJS-400-18-LT [12]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yield strength $R_y$ [MPa]</th>
<th>Ultimate tensile strength $R_m$ [MPa]</th>
<th>True fracture strain $\varepsilon_f$ [-]</th>
<th>Modulus of elasticity $E$ [MPa]</th>
<th>Poisson’s ratio $\nu$ [-]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>256</td>
<td>417</td>
<td>0.235</td>
<td>1.82$\times 10^5$</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 3.** True stress-strain curve of base material [12].

**Figure 4.** 2D FE-mesh

3. NUMERICAL ANALYSIS

Computational models were discretized with quadratic finite elements with linear interpolation. Previous verification of required finite element size has also been done in respect to the results convergence with relative error 0.05. Figure 4 shows the 2D FE-mesh for treated porous structure.

3.1. Crack initiation phase

When studying the crack initiation phase, the stress filed around individual pores and location of the maximum stress concentration should be determined first. The maximum von Mises equivalent stress $\sigma_{\text{eq}} = 350$ MPa with the appropriate total strain $\varepsilon = 0.022$ are recognized in a cross section No. 1 (Fig. 5 (a)). Considering the pulsating loading ($\dot{\varepsilon}_a = \varepsilon/2 = 0.011$ ) and material properties as presented in Table 1, the number of loading cycles $N_i$ required for the fatigue crack initiation is then calculated according to Eq. (2). In the next step, the initial crack $a_i = 0.05$ mm is added into the critical cross section No. 1 (Fig. 5 (b)) and the numerical procedure is continued with the crack propagation phase. When the fatigue crack reaches the critical length, the complete fracture of cross section No. 1 occurs which mean that two neighboring pores are connected with a seam and the complete procedure is repeated regarding to the other critical cross section.

3.2. Crack propagation phase

The crack initiation period of each critical cross section is finished with the formation of initial crack of length $a_i$ after the appropriate number of stress cycles $N_i$. The crack propagation period is then analyzed using Paris equation (3), where the following material parameters have been considered [12]:

$$C = 4.608 \times 10^{-12} \frac{\text{m/cycle}}{(\text{MPa}\sqrt{\text{m}})^{3.86}}; \quad m = 3.86;$$

$$\Delta K_{\text{th}} = 20.8 \text{ MPa}\sqrt{\text{m}}; \quad \Delta K_{\text{fc}} = 30.4 \text{ MPa}\sqrt{\text{m}}.$$
Than, the stress intensity factor is determined using Abaqus FEM software, where the equivalent stress intensity range $\Delta K_{eq}$ as a combined value of mixed mode conditions $\Delta K_I$ and $\Delta K_{II}$ has been considered. To analyze the fatigue crack growth under mixed mode conditions the value $\Delta K_{eq}$ in Eq. (3) has to be replaced with the value $\Delta K_{eq}$. The crack propagation angle is in each calculating step determined using maximum tensile stress (MTS) criterion. The analysis of crack propagation has been stopped when the equivalent stress intensity factor range $\Delta K_{eq}$ exceeded the critical value $\Delta K_{Ic}$ or when the crack reached the vicinity of neighboring pore. At that moment it was assumed that two neighboring pores are connected with a seam and the computational procedure was continued with the crack initiation period in other critical cross section. Figure 6 shows the numerical procedure of crack propagation in a cross section No. 1.

![Figure 6. Schematic procedure of crack propagation period in a cross section No. 1.](image)

### 4. DISCUSSION OF THE RESULTS

Figure 7 shows the numbering of critical cross sections where both, crack initiation and crack propagation period have been studied. The maximum stress concentration appeared, firstly, in cross section No. 1 where initial failure occurred after certain number of stress cycles ($N_i = 472$ cycles for formation of initial crack of length $a_i = 0.05$ mm and $N_p = 212$ cycles for this initial crack to propagate until critical length $a_c = 0.15$ mm). Thereafter, the complete computational procedure is repeated in a cross section No. 2, where the maximum stress concentration occurred in the next calculating step. Here, the seam between neighboring pores in a cross section No. 1 is considered during the numerical analyses. As shown in Figure 7, seven subsequent cross sections have been analyzed in respect to the crack initiation and crack propagation period in treated lotus-type porous structure with pore distribution in transversal loading direction. The final computational results are presented in Tables 2 and 3. The appropriate total number of stress cycles according to Eq. (1) can then be assumed as a total fatigue life of treated structure.

#### Table 2. Computational results for the crack initiation phase

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cross section No.</th>
<th>$\sigma_{eq}$ [MPa]</th>
<th>$\varepsilon$</th>
<th>$N_i$ [cycles]</th>
<th>$a_i$ [mm]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>0.0110</td>
<td>472</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>378</td>
<td>0.0229</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Table 3. Computational results for the crack propagation phase

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cross section No.</th>
<th>Initial crack length</th>
<th>Critical crack length</th>
<th>Function $\Delta K_{eq} = f(a)$</th>
<th>Crack propagation phase $N_p$ [cycles]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>$4E+08a^2+35734a+13.171$</td>
<td>212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>$1E+09a^2+40056a+14.989$</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>$1E+09a^2+115195a+6.629$</td>
<td>350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>$-4E+08a^2+139479a+6.189$</td>
<td>1109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>$3E+08a^2+113530a+12.203$</td>
<td>162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>$-5E+07a^2+119507a+10.049$</td>
<td>519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>$-5E+07a^2+17932a+11.267$</td>
<td>1099</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. CONCLUSION

The computational fatigue strength investigation in respect to the crack initiation and crack propagation in dynamic loaded lotus-type porous structure made of nodular cast iron is discussed in this paper. The crack initiation period, Ni, has been determined using strain life approach with consideration of simplified universal slope method to determine the number of stress cycles, Ni, required for formation of initial cracks. The crack propagation period, Np, has been determined using Paris equation, where the relationship between the stress intensity factor and crack length has been determined using Abaqus FEM software. Here, the MTS criterion has been considered when analyzing the crack path inside the lotus-type porous structure. The crack initiation and crack propagation period have been studied in seven subsequent critical cross sections between different pores as shown in Figure 7. The total fatigue life, N, of the lotus-type porous structure under given boundary conditions has then been determined as a sum of Ni and Np for all considered cross sections.

Computational results for the pores distribution in the transversal direction have shown that the number of stress cycles for the crack initiation in the individual cross sections varied between 307 and 731, while the number of stress cycles for the crack propagation until final breakage of individual cross section varied between 113 and 1109, which correspond to the regime of low cycle fatigue. Computational results have also shown that quite uniform stress distribution appears in the case when loading is acting along longitudinal direction of pores. Therefore, the appropriate longer fatigue life could be expected in that case.
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