Some facts about zero divisors of triangular infinite matrices
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Abstract. We are interested in the elements that are zero divisors in $T_{\infty}(F)$ - the ring of $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ upper triangular matrices over a field $F$. It is known that a matrix from $T_{\infty}(F)$ is a left zero divisor if and only if it contains at least one zero on the main diagonal. The problem when an infinite triangular matrix is a right zero divisor stays unsolved. In the paper, we give some sufficient conditions for a matrix from $T_{\infty}(F)$ to be a right zero divisor. We also present some properties of infinite matrices that help us investigate the problem.
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1. Introduction

If $\mathcal{A}$ is an algebra, then $a \in \mathcal{A}$ is called a left or right zero divisor if there exist $x \in \mathcal{A}$ such that these two matrices satisfy

$$ax = 0$$

or

$$xa = 0,$$

respectively.

It can be easily noticed that if $a$ is an $n \times n$ matrix over a field, then $a$ is a zero divisor if and only if it is singular. In particular, a triangular $n \times n$ matrix is a left or right zero divisor if and only if at least one of its coefficients from the main diagonal is equal to 0. However, if one considers an $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ triangular matrix, the situation is different. This problem was studied by Suškevič [1]. He proved that every upper triangular matrix containing at least one zero on the main diagonal is a left zero divisor. The case when a matrix is a right zero divisor turned out to be far more complicated. It was proved that if a matrix has only a finite (but nonzero) number of zero coefficients on the main diagonal, then this matrix is a right zero divisor. Moreover, some examples of triangular matrices were given having an infinite number of nonzero coefficients on the main diagonal that are not right zero divisors.

In this paper, we wish to improve the results of Suškevič. We denote by $T_{\infty}(F)$ the algebra of upper triangular $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ matrices. First, we will prove that every
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infinite triangular matrix $a$ is a conjugate of some sort of a sum of two matrices $a_1$, $a_2$, where $a_1$ has only zeros on the main diagonal and $a_2$ has no zeros on the main diagonal. Then we will show that such sum is a zero divisor if and only if $a_1$ is either a zero divisor or a zero matrix. Thus we will focus on matrices whose first diagonals contain only zeros. In particular, we will prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** Let $F$ be a field and let $a \in T_\infty(F)$. Suppose $k$ is the smallest number such that the $k$-th diagonal contains some nonzero coefficients.

1. If $k \geq 1$ and the $k$-th diagonal of $a$ does not contain any zero coefficient, then $a$ is not a right zero divisor.

2. If the $k$-th diagonal of $a$ contains a finite but nonzero number of zero coefficients, then $a$ is a right zero divisor.

## 2. Results

First, we introduce some notation. The symbol $e_n$ stands for the $n \times n$ identity matrix and $e_\infty$ for the $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ identity matrix. If we deal with an identity matrix whose dimension does not have a meaning or whose dimension may be finite as well as infinite, we will simply write $e$.

By $a^T$ we will denote the transpose of $a$.

If $a$ is a matrix and $b$ is an invertible matrix, then by $a^b$ we understand the conjugate $b^{-1}ab$.

By $NT_k^k(F)$ we will understand the subring of all matrices $x$ satisfying condition

$$\forall i \in \mathbb{N} \quad \forall 0 \leq j \leq k \quad x_{i,i+j} = 0. \quad \text{(1)}$$

Instead of $NT_0^0(F)$, we will write $NT_\infty(F)$.

We start with an easy lemma.

**Lemma 1.** Let $F$ be any field. If $a \in T_\infty(F)$ is a right zero divisor, then there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a_{nn} = 0$.

**Proof.** Suppose the opposite – that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $a_{nn} \neq 0$. Assume that $xa = 0$. We get now

$$0 = (xa)_{ii} = x_{ii}a_{ii}.$$ 

Since $a_{ii} \neq 0$, we get $x_{ii} = 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

Suppose now that for some $k \geq 0$ we have proved that the main, first, ..., the $k$-th diagonal of $x$ is equal to 0. Consider the $k+1$-st diagonal. From $(xa)_{i,i+k+1} = 0$ we obtain

$$0 = \sum_{j=0}^{k+1} x_{i,j}a_{i,j+i+k+1} = \sum_{j=0}^{k} x_{i,j}a_{i,j+i+k+1} + x_{i,i+k+1}a_{i+k+1,i+i+k+1} = x_{i,i+k+1}a_{i+k+1,i+i+k+1},$$
so since $x_{i,i+k+1}a_{i+k+1,i+k+1} \neq 0$, we have $x_{i,i+k+1} = 0$. Thus, all the diagonals of $x$ are zero and $x = 0$. This contradicts the fact that $a$ is a zero divisor.

Now we will concentrate on similarity of matrices. We wrote in the introduction that we would prove that every triangular matrix is similar to some sort of sum of two matrices. First, we need to clarify what kind of sum we mean.

**Definition 1.** Suppose that $a$ is an infinite matrix. Let $M$ be a nonempty subset of $\mathbb{N}$ and let $\{I_m\}_{m \in M}$ be a family of nonempty disjoint subsets of $\mathbb{N}$ such that $\bigcup_{m \in M} I_m = \mathbb{N}$. If $a$ satisfies condition

$$\forall m, m' \in M \quad m \neq m', \quad i \in I_m, \quad j \in I_{m'} \implies a_{ij} = 0,$$

then we say that $a$ is a generalized direct sum of infinite matrices $a_m$ ($m \in M$) defined as follows

$$(a_m)_{ij} = \begin{cases} a_{ij} & \text{if } i, j \in I_m \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$

and we write $a = \oplus_{m \in M} (a_m)$.

This definition is based on the definition of a generalized direct sum of finite matrices given in [2].

Now we will focus on matrices that are similar to generalized direct sums. First, we will prove a lemma.

**Lemma 2.** Suppose that $F$ is a field and $a$ is triangular, finite or infinite, matrix of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b \\ 0 & a_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where either $(a_1)_{ii} = 0$ for all $i$ and $(a_2)_{jj} \neq 0$ for all $j$, or $(a_1)_{ii} \neq 0$ for all $i$ and $(a_2)_{jj} = 0$ for all $j$. Then there exists a triangular matrix $t$ of the form

$$t = \begin{pmatrix} e \\ 0 \\ y \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

such that

$$a^t = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Proof.** The equation

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b \\ 0 & a_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e_k \\ 0 \\ y \\ e_k \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e_k \\ 0 \\ y \\ e_k \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

is equivalent to

$$a_1 y - y a_2 = -b.$$

If $(a_1)_{ii} = 0$ and $(a_2)_{jj} \neq 0$, then we can find the rows of $y$ in a recursive way, starting from the last one and going up. Note that even in the case when $a_2$ is infinite, the matrix $y$ consists of a finite number of rows, so this procedure has sense.
Analogously, if $(a_1)_{ii} \neq 0$ and $(a_2)_{jj} = 0$, we can find the columns of $y$ starting from the first stone and moving to the next in each step. Clearly, if $a_2$ is infinite, then $y$ has an infinite number of columns. However, when evaluating each of them, we make use of only a finite number of the preceding columns. Therefore, we can find $y$ from the claim.

Now, using the above lemma, we will prove our theorem about decomposition.

**Theorem 2.** Let $F$ be a field. For every $a \in T_\infty(F)$ there exist disjoint sets of indices $I_1, I_2, I_1 \cup I_2 = \mathbb{N}$ and triangular matrices $t, a_1, a_2$, such that the following conditions are fulfilled

\[ a^t = (a_1)_{I_1} \oplus (a_2)_{I_2}, \]

for all $i \in I_1$ we have $(a_1)_{ii} = 0$, \hfill (2)

for all $i \in I_2$ we have $(a_2)_{ii} \neq 0$. \hfill (3)

**Proof.** Let us write $a$ in a block form, i.e.,

\[
    a = \begin{pmatrix}
    (a_{11}) & (a_{12}) & (a_{13}) & \cdots \\
    (a_{21}) & (a_{22}) & (a_{23}) & \\
    & (a_{32}) & (a_{33}) & \\
    & & & \ddots \\
    \end{pmatrix}.
\]

Without loss of generality, we will assume that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ $(a_{(2n-1)i})_{ii} = 0$ and $(a_{(2n)i})_{jj} \neq 0$ for all $i, j$.

If $a$ has only two blocks on the main block-diagonal, then by Lemma 2, the claim holds.

Now we will proceed inductively. Suppose that for some $n \geq 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ we have $a_i \in T_n(F)$ and there exists $t^{(i)}$ of the form

\[
    t^{(i)} = \begin{pmatrix}
    e_{m_1} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & b^{(1,i)} & 0 \\
    \varepsilon^{m_2} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & b^{(1,i)} & 0 \\
    e_{m_3} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & b^{(1,i)} & 0 \\
    \varepsilon^{m_4} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & b^{(1,i)} & 0 \\
    \varepsilon^{m_5} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & b^{(1,i)} & 0 \\
    \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
    e_{m_{n-1}} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & b^{(1,i)} & 0 \\
    e_{m_n} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & b^{(1,i)} & 0 \\
    \end{pmatrix}
\]

and

\[
    t^{(i)} = \begin{pmatrix}
    e_{m_1} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
    \varepsilon^{m_2} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
    e_{m_3} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
    \varepsilon^{m_4} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
    \varepsilon^{m_5} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
    \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
    e_{m_{n-1}} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
    e_{m_n} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
    \end{pmatrix}
\]

if $i$ is even and

if $i$ is odd
such that for any $1 \leq i \leq n$

\[
a \prod_{j=1}^{\ell(i)} = \begin{pmatrix}
  a(1) & 0 & a^{(1,3)} & 0 & \cdots & \triangle & *
  \\
  a(2) & 0 & a^{(2,4)} & 0 & \cdots & \square & *
  \\
  a(3) & 0 & \triangle & * & \cdots & * & *
  \\
  a(4) & 0 & \square & * & \cdots & * & *
  \\
  \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots
  \\
  a(i) & 0 & & & & & & *
\end{pmatrix},
\]

where, depending on parity of the $i$, either $\triangle$ or $\square$ are zero matrices. Note that from Lemma 2 it follows that for $i = 2$ such $t^{(i)}$ exists. Now we will prove that it exists for $n + 1$. We will assume that $n$ is even. For the case when $n$ is odd, the inductive step is analogous.

We know that for all matrices $a^{(j)}$, $1 \leq j \leq n$, $2 \nmid j$, there exist matrices $y_j^{(n+1)}$ such that

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
  a(j) & a(j, n+1) \\
  0 & a(n+1)
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
  e_{m_1} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & y_j^{(n+1)} & 0 \\
  0 & e_{m_2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
  0 & 0 & e_{m_3} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
  \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
  0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & e_{m_n} & 0 \\
  0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & e_{m_{n+1}} & e_{\infty}
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
  a(j) & 0 \\
  0 & a(n+1)
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

We put then

\[
t^{(n+1)} = \begin{pmatrix}
  e_{m_1} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & y_j^{(n+1)} & 0 \\
  0 & e_{m_2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
  0 & 0 & e_{m_3} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
  \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
  0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & e_{m_n} & 0 \\
  0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & e_{m_{n+1}} & e_{\infty}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

and have

\[
a \prod_{j=1}^{\ell(i)+1} t^{(j)} = \begin{pmatrix}
  a(1) & 0 & a^{(1,3)} & 0 & \cdots & \triangle & *
  \\
  a(2) & 0 & a^{(2,4)} & 0 & \cdots & \square & *
  \\
  a(3) & 0 & \triangle & * & \cdots & * & *
  \\
  a(4) & 0 & \square & * & \cdots & * & *
  \\
  \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots
  \\
  a(i) & 0 & & & & & & *
\end{pmatrix},
\]

Define now the sequence of matrices $t_n \in T_{\infty}(F)$ as follows.

\[
t_1 = t^{(1)}, \quad t_{n+1} = t_n t^{(n+1)} \quad \text{for } n \geq 1
\]

Note that from the form of matrices $t^{(i)}$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$) it follows that

\[
(t_{i+1})_{kl} = (t_i)_{kl} \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq k, l \leq \sum_{j=1}^{i} m_j.
\]
If \( a \) has a finite number, say \( k \), of blocks on the main diagonal, it suffices to put \( t = t_k \). Otherwise, we define \( t \) by the rule \( t_{ij} = (t_{\max(i,j)})_{ij} \). As (4) is satisfied, this \( t \) is well defined and since we have \( (a^t)_{ij} = (a^{t_{\max(i,j)}})_{ij} \), it fulfills the desired condition.

Theorem 2 states that we can somehow decompose a triangular matrix. For the components of this 'decomposition', the following property holds.

**Lemma 3.** Let \( F \) be a field. If \( a \in T_\infty(F) \) is of the form \( a = (a_1)_{I_1} \oplus (a_2)_{I_2} \), where \( a_1 \) and \( a_2 \) satisfy (2) and (3), respectively, and \( I_1, I_2 \neq \emptyset \), then \( a \) is a zero divisor if and only if \( a_1 \) is either a zero divisor or a zero matrix.

**Proof.** We will make a proof for the case of a right zero divisor. The case of a left zero divisor is exactly the same.

Let \( a = (a_1)_{I_1} \oplus (a_2)_{I_2} \) and suppose that \( (a_1)_{II} = 0 \) for all \( i \in I_1 \) and \( (a_2)_{II} \neq 0 \) for all \( i \in I_2 \). Our \( a \) is a right zero divisor if and only if there exist \( x \in T_\infty(F) \) such that

\[
(xa)_{nm} = \sum_{j=n}^{m} x_{nj} a_{jm} = 0 \quad \text{for all } n \leq m. \tag{5}
\]

Suppose that \( a \) is a zero divisor. Then, in particular, (5) is satisfied for the pairs \( n, m \) such that \( n, m \in I_1 \), so as have

\[
0 = \sum_{j=n}^{m} x_{nj} a_{jm} = \sum_{n \leq j \leq m} x_{nj} \cdot ((a_1)_{I_1} \oplus (0)_{I_2})_{jm},
\]

there exists a matrix \( x \) of the form \( x = (x_1)_{I_1} \oplus (0)_{I_2} \) such that \( xa = 0 \). Hence, there exists \( x_1 \) such that \( x_1a_1 = 0 \), so \( a_1 \) is a right zero divisor.

Analogously, if for some \( x_1 \) we have \( x_1a_1 = 0 \), then we put \( x = (x_1)_{I_1} \oplus (0)_{I_2} \) and we can easily check that (5) is fulfilled.

As we have proved Theorem 2 and Lemma 3, we will focus on matrices from \( NT_\infty(F) \).

Let us introduce the matrix defined as below.

\[
s = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \\
1 & & & & 
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

One can see that if \( a \in NT_\infty(F) \), then

\[
a = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} & \cdots \\
0 & 0 & a_{23} & a_{24} & \\
0 & 0 & 0 & a_{34} & \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \\
0 & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} & \cdots \\
0 & 0 & a_{23} & a_{24} & \\
0 & 0 & 0 & a_{34} & \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \\
1 & & & & 
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} & \cdots \\
0 & a_{23} & a_{24} & \\
0 & 0 & a_{34} & \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \\
0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots \\
0 & 0 & 1 & \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 
\end{pmatrix}.
\]
Analogously, if \( a \in NT_{\infty}^{k-1}(F) \) (\( k \geq 1 \)), then

\[
a = \begin{pmatrix}
a_{1,1+k} & a_{1,2+k} & a_{1,3+k} & \cdots \\
0 & a_{2,2+k} & a_{2,3+k} & \\
0 & 0 & a_{3,3+k} & \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ddots & 1
\end{pmatrix}^k.
\]

Thus, \( xbs^k = 0 \) if and only if \( xb = 0 \). Hence we have

**Lemma 4.** Let \( F \) be a field and let \( a \in NT_{\infty}^{k-1}(F) \) for some \( k \geq 1 \). Then \( a \) is a right zero divisor if and only if \( b \in T_{\infty}(F) \) defined by the rule \( a = bs^k \) is a right zero divisor.

Now it is easy to prove the theorem presented in the introduction.

**Proof of Theorem 1:** From Lemma 4 we know that \( a \) is a right zero divisor if and only if \( b \) defined by the rule \( a = bs^{k+1} \) is. Hence, we can assume that \( a \in T_{\infty}(F) \setminus NT_{\infty}(F) \). If \( a_{ii} \neq 0 \) for all \( i \in N \), then by Lemma 1 the matrix \( a \) is not a right zero divisor. Thus, the first claim is proved.

Suppose that \( a_{ii} \neq 0 \) for a finite but nonzero number of indices \( i \). From Theorem 2 we know that for some \( t \in T_{\infty}(F) \) we have \( a' = (a_1)_I \oplus (a_2)_I \), where \( a_1 \in NT_k(F) \) for some \( k \in N \). This means that \( a' \) satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 3. Hence, \( a' \) is a right zero divisor and so is \( a \). \( \Box \)

From our considerations we get the following.

**Corollary 1.** Suppose \( F \) is a field and either \( a \in T_{\infty}(F) \setminus NT_{\infty}(F) \) or \( a \in NT_{\infty}^{k-1}(F) \setminus NT_{\infty}^k(F) \) for some \( k \in N \). Assume that \( a \) contains only a finite number of nonzero coefficients on its first nonzero diagonal. If

1. \( 0 < \left| \{i : a_{ii} = 0 \land a_{i+1,i+1} = 0 \land a_{i,i+1} = 0 \} \right| < \infty \) in the case when \( a \in T_{\infty}(F) \setminus NT_{\infty}(F) \),

2. \( 0 < \left| \{i : a_{i,i+k} = 0 \land a_{i+1,i+k+1} = 0 \land a_{i,i+k+1} = 0 \} \right| < \infty \) in the case when \( a \in NT_{\infty}^{k-1}(F) \setminus NT_{\infty}^k(F) \),

then \( a \) is a right zero divisor.

**Proof.** By Lemma 4, if \( a \in NT_{\infty}^{k-1}(F) \setminus NT_{\infty}^k(F) \) we can consider \( b \) such that \( a = bs^{k+1} \). We will assume then that \( a \in T_{\infty}(F) \setminus NT_{\infty}(F) \). We know that there exist \( t \in T_{\infty}(F) \) such that \( a' = (a_1)_I \oplus (a_2)_I \) and \( a \) is a right zero divisor if and only if \( a_1 \) is. Hence, we will concentrate on \( a_1 \).

Since \( a_1 \in NT_{\infty}(F) \setminus NT_{\infty}^k(F) \), from Theorem 1 it follows that it suffices to prove that \( a_1 \) has only a finite number of zeros on the first diagonal.

The coefficients \((a_1)_{i,i+1}\) can be divided into two ’sorts’.

- \((a_1)_{i,i+1} = (a')_{k,k+1} \) for some \( k \) such that \( k, k + 1 \in I_1 \),

- \((a_1)_{i,i+1} = (a')_{kl} \) for some \( k, l \) such that \( l > k + 1 \).
Since we have only a finite number of nonzero coefficients on the main diagonal, we have also a finite number of diagonal blocks (When we say diagonal blocks, we mean the blocks \(a_{(i)}\) from the proof of Theorem 2.). Thus, we also have only a finite number of \(a_{i,i+1}\) of the second 'sort'. Therefore, we can focus on the first one.

Note that for these entries we have

\[(a_1)_{i,i+1} = (a^t)_{k,k+1} = t_{kk}^{-1}a_{k,k+1}t_{k+1,k+1},\]

so \((a_1)_{i,i+1} = 0\) if and only if \(a_{k,k+1} = 0\). Hence, if \(a\) has a finite but nonzero number of coefficients such that \(a_{kk} = a_{k+1,k+1} = a_{k,k+1} = 0\), then \(a_1\) also satisfies this condition. Then, by Theorem 1, the matrix \(a_1\) is a right zero divisor, and by Lemma 3 so is \(a\). \(\square\)

![Diagram of the proposed algorithm](image)

Figure 1: Diagram of the proposed algorithm

From what we have proved in this paper it follows that if we want to know whether an infinite triangular matrix is a right zero divisor, we can try to use the procedure in Figure 1. The matrix \(a(1)\) is the matrix satisfying \(a = a(1)s\).

Clearly, this algorithm does not have to finish.

**Example 1.** Let \(a\) be defined as follows.

\[a_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j = 2i - 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}\]

It can be observed that in each step we have \(|\{i: a_{ii} = 0\}| = \infty\) and the procedure does not finish.
Let us notice that in this case it can be also observed that $a$ is not a right zero divisor.

At the end of the paper, we would like to ask the following question.

**Problem:** Is $a \in \mathcal{T}_\infty(F)$ a right zero divisor if and only if the proposed above algorithm finishes for this $a$?
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