ORIGINAL SCIENTIFIC PAPER



Croat. Chem. Acta **2015**, 88(3), 259–266 Published online: December 30, 2015 DOI: 10.5562/cca2584



Kinetics and Mechanism of Electron Transfer Reaction of an Adipato Bridged Iron(III)-Salen Complex with Dithionite Ion in Perchloric Acid Medium

Pius O. Ukoha,¹ Simeon Atiga,¹ Oguejiofo T. Ujam,^{1,2,*} Jonnie N. Asegbeloyin,¹ Obinna C. Okpareke,¹ Solomon O. E. Okereke³

¹ Department of Pure and Industrial Chemistry, University of Nigeria, Nsukka, Enugu State, Nigeria

² Department of Chemistry, University of Victoria, P.O. Box 3065, Victoria, BC V8W3V6, Canada

³ Department of Pure and Industrial Chemistry, Abia State University, Uturu, Imo State, Nigeria

* Corresponding author's e-mail address: oguejiofo.ujam@unn.edu.ng

RECEIVED: December 30, 2014 ★ REVISED: October 2, 2015 ★ ACCEPTED: October 20, 2015

Abstract: Redox kinetics of the reaction of an adipato bridged iron(III)-salen complex, [(Fe(salen))₂adi] with dithionite ion, $S_2O_4^{2-}$, was investigated in perchloric acid at I = 0.05 mol dm⁻³ (NaClO₄) and $T = 29 \pm 1$ °C. Spectrophotometric titrations indicated consumption of one mole of $S_2O_4^{2-}$ per mole of [(Fe(salen))₂adi] reduced. Under pseudo-first order conditions of [$S_2O_4^{2-}$] above ten-fold excess of concentration of [(Fe(salen))₂adi], observed rates increased with increase in [$S_2O_4^{2-}$] and second order rate constants were fairly constant (0.285 ± 0.01 dm³ mol⁻¹ s⁻¹) indicating first order dependence of the rate on [(Fe(salen))₂adi]. A plot of logk_{obs} versus log[$S_2O_4^{2-}$] was linear and gave a slope of 1.0 indicating first order dependence of the rate on [$S_2O_4^{2-}$]. The reaction rate increased with increase in [H⁺] within 3×10^{-3} mol dm⁻³ \leq [H⁺] $\leq 14 \times 10^{-3}$ mol dm⁻³. The reaction was unaffected by variation of ionic strength and dielectric constant of the medium. Addition of anion and cation did not catalyze the reaction. The reaction has been analyzed on the basis of an inner-sphere mechanism mediated by proton transfer.

Keywords: kinetics, mechanism, dithionite, electron transfer reduction, iron(III)-salen complex.

INTRODUCTION

HE vital importance of iron and its compounds in the functioning of living organisms and metallurgical industries explains the vast interest of researchers in investigating its chemistry. This is evident in many literature reports on the reactivities of iron and it compounds. Particularly, interest in iron biochemistry is enhanced by the participation of iron and its complexes in a wide variety of metabolic and cellular processes. For example, iron-salen derivatives and closely related metal-complexes have been implicated in efficient asymmetric catalysis, in the hydrolytic cleavage of DNA and RNA.^[1-3] Dinuclear bridged Fe(III) complexes have also been used as structural models for dinuclear site in proteins involved in oxygen storage by hemerytherin.^{[4–7].} These applications could primarily be attributed to the ease of inter-conversion between ferrous {Fe(II)} and ferric {Fe(III)} states by suitable reductants or oxidants.

We have previously reported the dynamics of electron transfer reactions of the dinuclear iron(III) complex ion, $[Fe_2O]^{4+}$, with L-ascorbic acid,^[8] β -mercaptoacetic acid^[9] and β -mercaptoethanol and mercaptoethylamine.^[10] Most of these reactions followed the outer-sphere electron transfer route with intervening ion-pair complexes and free radicals. There are also similar reports of the electron transfer reactions of a 2,2'-bipyridine Fe(III) complex having a $[FeOFe]^{4+}$ moiety,^[11-16] which followed outer-sphere mechanisms. Some of the reactions showed zeroth order dependence on the concentration of the reductant.

Biological systems are structurally complex but can be simplified by the use of synthetic biomimetic molecules in scientific investigations. This unravels the key electronic and structural factors relevant to proteins function^[17] and enhances the understanding of the complexities of their reactions. In this report we investigate the reduction of bridged dinuclear Fe(III)-salen complex (a mimic of nonheme binuclear proteins) by dithionite ion, which hitherto



has not been reported. The effect of varying concentrations of ionic strength, dielectric constant, H⁺, AcO⁻ and Mg²⁺ on the reaction were studied and mechanism for the reaction proposed. The attraction in this study is that it could provide a useful model to further the understanding of the effect of brigding ligands on the redox properties of Fe(III) in a heme protein.

EXPERIMENTAL

All reagents were analytical grade and used without further purification except otherwise stated. All solutions were prepared with distilled water. UV-visible spectra were recorded on Unico 2012 and Jenway 6405 UV-visible spectrometers. FTIR spectra were obtained on a Shimadzu FTIR spectrometer. Absorbances of solutions were obtained on a B.Bran722–2000 spectronic 20D spectrophotometer. [(Fe(salen))₂adi] was prepared by literature procedures^[18,19] involving the reaction of [(Fesalen)₂O]^[20] with adipic acid.

Kinetic Measurements

The rate data for reduction of the Fe(III) dimer, $[(Fe(salen))_2adi]$ and consequent oxidation of the dithionite ion were obtained as the decrease in the absorbance of the reacting mixture at 455 nm. At this wavelength only the Fe(III) dimer absorbed with no interference from the Fe(II) product, the reductant, or the organic product. The reactions were conducted under pseudo-first order conditions with a large excess of the reductant (20-fold in excess of the oxidant). The kinetic curves obtained under this condition were exponential and the rate constant was obtained from the logarithmic plot of $(\ln A_t - A_{\infty})$ against time (t). Pseudo-first order rate constants were determined from the slope of the plot, based on the following equation:

$$\ln A_t - A_{\infty} = k_{obs}t + \ln(A_{\infty} - A_0)$$
(1)

where A_{∞} = final absorbance, A_t = absorbance at time t, A_0 = Initial absorbance and k_{obs} = pseudo-first order rate constant as reported elsewhere.^[8,21] Specific rates for replicate runs were reproducible to within ± 6 %.

The formation of free radical intermediates was detected by monitoring gel formation by acrylamide in partially reacted reaction mixture in excess methanol.^[21] The stoichiometry of the reaction was determined by spectrophotometric titrations under the following conditions: concentration of [(Fe(salen))₂adi] kept constant at 1×10^{-4} mol dm⁻³, [H⁺] = 5×10^{-3} mol dm⁻³, I = 0.05 mol dm⁻³ (NaClO₄) and [S₂O₄²⁻] varied at 1.0×10^{-5} mol dm⁻³ \leq [S₂O₄²⁻] \leq 8.0×10^{-4} mol dm⁻³ at $T = 29 \pm 1$ °C. The final absorbances (A_{∞}) of separate reaction solutions were plotted against mole ratio, [Red] / [Ox],

and the stoichiometry of the reaction derived from the point of inflexion on the curve.

RESULTS AND DISCUSION

Stoichiometry

Spectrophotometric titration showed that one mole of $S_2O_4^{2-}$ was consumed per mole of [(Fe(salen))₂adi] reduced in accordance with Equation (2).

$$2[(Fe(salen))_2 adi] + 2S_2O_4^{2-} + 2H^+ \rightarrow 2[(Fe(salen))_2 adiH]^- + 4SO_2$$
(2)

Similar observations were reported for the reactions of S₂O₄²⁻ with [Fe₂(bpy)O]Cl₄,^[22] cytochrome c oxidase,^[23] cobalticytochrome,^[24] nitrogenase^[25] and michrosomal cytochrome b5.^[26] In most of these reactions dithionite acted as a one or two electron reductant reducing iron(III) to iron(II). Formation and evolution of sulfur(IV) oxide (SO₂) is common in reactions involving oxidation of dithionite ion. The decolorisation of aqueous KMnO₄ by the gaseous product evolved during the reaction confirmed its formation. The presence of Fe(II) species as one of the products was confirmed by standard literature method^[27] by formation of deep blue precipitate on reacting acidified solution of the completed reaction mixture with freshly prepared potassium hexacynoferrate(III). Infrared spectra of ether extract of the product showed bands attributable to v(M-N) and v(M-O) in the region 422–543 cm⁻¹. Other observed bands were comparable with those obtained from [(Fe(salen))₂adi], indicating retention of the structure in [(Fe(salen))₂adi]²⁻.

Kinetic Study

Pseudo-first order decays were linear and fit equation (1) to above 88 % extent of the reaction, indicating first order dependence of rate on concentration of [(Fe(salen))₂adi] (the oxidant). Pseudo-first order and second order rate constants are shown in Table 1. Variation of initial concentration of sodium dithionite at 4.0×10^{-3} mol dm⁻³ \leq [S₂O₄²⁻] \leq 14.0 \times 10⁻³ mol dm⁻³ with [(Fe(salen))₂adi] fixed at 1×10^{-4} mol dm⁻³, [H⁺] at 5.0×10^{-3} , ionic strength at 5×10^{-3} mol dm⁻³ (NaClO₄) and $T = 29 \pm 1.0$ °C pointed to increase in pseudo-first order rate constant, k_{obs} , with increase in [S₂O₄²⁻] gave k_{obs} values with less than 5 % standard deviation.

Least mean squares fit ($r^2 = 0.967$) of the log k_{obs} versus log[S₂O₄^{2–}] (Figure 1) was linear with a slope of 1.05 which is in accord with first order dependence of rate of reaction on [S₂O₄^{2–}]. Second order rate constant,

	100 111,7 0.00 1	
$10^{3}[S_{2}O_{4}^{2-}] / mol dm^{-3}$	$10^{3}k_{\rm obs}$ / s ⁻¹	k_2 / dm ³ mol ⁻¹ s ⁻¹
4.0	1.20	0.30
6.0	1.67	0.28
8.0	2.24	0.28
10.0	2.85	0.29
12.0	3.25	0.27
14.0	3.49	0.29

Table 1. Pseudo-first and second order rate constants for $S_2O_4^{2-}$ -(Fe(salen))₂adi reaction at $T = 29.0 \pm 1$ °C, [(Fe(salen))₂adi] = 1×10^{-4} mol dm⁻³, $\lambda_{max} = 455$ nm, I = 0.05 mol dm⁻³.

 k_2 , were evaluated as the ratio of k_{obs} to $[S_2O_4^{2-}]$ and were fairly constant at about 0.285 ± 0.01 dm³ mol⁻¹ s⁻¹. These results suggest a rate law in agreement with Equation (3).

$$-\frac{d}{dt}\left[(\text{Fe(salen)})_2\text{adi}\right] = k_2\left[S_2O_4^{2-1}\right]\left[(\text{Fe(salen)})_2\text{adi}\right]$$
(3)

The reaction is second order overall. Some other reactions of S₂O₄²⁻ have been reported to be first order dependent on $[S_2O_4^{2-}]$. Reaction of cytochrome c oxidase with dithionite^[23] was second order overall and first order in $[S_2O_4^{2-}]$. Reduction of ferricytochrome by dithionite at I = 1.0 and T = 25 °C proceeded through two pathways but first order dependence on [S₂O₄²⁻].^[28] However, electron transfer from dithionite to microsomal cytochrome b5 was shown to be half order dependent on $[S_2O_4^{2-}]$ and 1.5 order overall.^[26] Half order dependence on $[S_2O_4^{2-}]$ was reported for the reaction of S2O42- with cobalticytochrome.^[24] First order dependence of the rate on $[S_2O_4^{2-}]$ is in agreement with its nature as a two-electron reductant. The reduction of the two Fe(III) centers likely took place step-wise, thus the possibility of having a mixedvalence precursor complex of the form [Fe(II)–Fe(III)]⁻ is not



Figure 1. Plot of $\log k_{obs}$ versus $\log[S_2O_4^{2-}]$.

DOI: 10.5562/cca2584

Table 2. Effect of [H⁺] on the rate of (Fe(salen))₂adi-S₂O₄²⁻ reaction at [(Fe(salen))₂adi] = 1×10^{-4} mol dm⁻³, $T = 29.0 \pm 1$ °C, I = 0.05 mol dm⁻³.

10 ³ [S ₂ O ₄ ²⁻] /	10 ³ [H ⁺] /	$10^{3}k_{obs}$ /	k ₂ /
mol dm⁻°	mol dm⁻³)	S ⁻¹	dm ³ mol ⁻¹ s ⁻¹
8.0	3.0	1.8	0.225
8.0	5.0	2.25	0.315
8.0	7.0	2.94	0.368
8.0	9.0	3.22	0.403
8.0	11.0	3.57	0.446
8.0	13.0	3.95	0.494

remote. Iron is a 3d metal, and its orbital overlap over the length of the ligand bridge will not be strong enough to cause metal-metal interactions through space over the adipic acid bridge.^[29] The iron centers have chemical and electronic properties expected for isolated monomeric iron complex.^[18,30] [(Fe(salen))₂adi] has been reported to be magnetically dilute.^[18] This infers that the two iron centres are independent to a large extent and have equal chances of being reduced by S₂O₄^{2–}.

Acid Dependence

The effect of $[H^+]$ on the observed rate (Table 2) was investigated with various concentration of HClO₄ within the range, 3.0×10^{-3} mol dm⁻³ \leq $[H^+] \leq 13.0 \times 10^{-3}$ mol dm⁻³ at fixed ionic strength, $T = 29 \pm 1.0$ °C and kinetic decays observed at $\lambda = 455$ nm. Within this range of $[H^+]$, the rate of reaction increased with increase in $[H^+]$ as shown in Table 2. A plot of k_2 against $[H^+]$ gave a linear plot with an intercept (Figure 2). This result indicates acid-dependence of rate which is in agreement with Equation (4)

$$k_{\mathrm{H}^{+}} = a + b \left[\mathrm{H}^{+} \right] \tag{4}$$

where $a = 19.20 \times 10^{-2} \text{ dm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^1$ and $b = 33.83 \text{ dm}^6 \text{ mol}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$.



Figure 2. Plot of $\log k_{obs}$ versus $\log[S_2O_4^{2-}]$.



Enhancement of the rate of reaction with increasing [H⁺] could be interpreted in terms of preprotonation steps leading to formation of protonated precursor species before the electron transfer, k_{et} , step. Dithionite {better presented as $(O_2SSO_2)^{2-}$ } dispropotionates slowly in aqueous solution at pH \geq 7 but rapidly in acid solution assuming the following equilibrium:^[31]

$$2S_2O_4^{2-} + 2H_2O \rightleftharpoons \underbrace{2HSO_3^{-}}_{S(V)} + \underbrace{SSO_3^{2-}}_{S(-II)/S(V)}$$

Such solutions are also known to contain the monomer-dimer equilibrium of the type

$$S_2O_4^{2-} \rightleftharpoons 2SO_2^{-}$$

The acid hydrolysis is second order with respect to $[S_2O_4^{2-}]$. Protonated species of the type HSO₃⁻ participate actively in redox reactions of $S_2O_4^{2-}$. Also the oxidant has proton-acceptor properties and could be protonated to yield charged precursor complexes of the type described in Equation (5).

$$\left[(\text{Fe}(\text{salen}))_2 \text{adi} \right] + H^+ \xrightarrow{\kappa_a} \left[(\text{Fe}(\text{salen}))_2 \text{adiH} \right]^+$$
 (5)

Protonated species like [(Fe(salen))₂adiH]⁺ (Scheme 1) is likely to be a better oxidant than the neutral complex and it is expected to enhance the reaction rate. Reaction between [(Fe(salen))₂adiH]⁺ and SO₂⁻ will therefore be more facile than reaction between $S_2O_4^{2-}$ and [(Fe(salen))₂adiH]⁺ is likely to result in a precursor complex of inner-sphere character.



Scheme 1. Protonation of [(Fe(salen))₂adi].

Effects of Ionic Strength, Dielectric Constant (D) and Added Ions

The effect of the ionic strength was investigated using NaClO₄ after certifying that sodium ions produced no catalytic effect (Table 3). At relatively low ionic strength of the medium (0.05–0.15 mol dm⁻³) with other parameters kept constant, it was observed that k_{obs} was invariant and remained within the range of 2.90×10^{-3} – 3.0×10^{-3} s⁻¹. Based on the Debye-Hückel equation for the reaction of ions in solution [Equation (6)] or a modified Davies equation^[32] for relatively high ionic strength [Eq. (7)], primary salt effect is ruled out for the reaction

$$\log k_2 = \log k_0 + Z_A Z_B \mu^{0.5}$$
 (6)

$$\log k_2 = \log k_0 + Z_{\rm A} Z_{\rm B} \left[\sqrt{\mu} / \left(1 + \sqrt{\mu} \right) \right]$$
⁽⁷⁾

where k_2 = rate constant for the reaction, k_0 = hypothetical rate constant in a medium of infinite dielectric constant, Z_A , Z_B = charges on reactants A and B respectively, μ = ionic strength.

Based on the data in Table 3, a plot of \log_{k_2} versus $\sqrt{\mu}$ gave a slope of zero indicating that at the rate determining step, one of the redox partners is neutral. This will be true in a situation where intramolecular electron transfer in the precursor complex, [SO₂–(Fe(salen))₂adi], is the determining step or equally formation of [SO₂–(Fe(salen))₂adiH⁺].

In addition, if there is fast formation of the precursor complex [(Fe(salen))₂adiH–SO₂][–], then decomposition of the precursor complex coupled with intramolecular electron transfer in the rate determining step will result in lack of primary salt effect. Rapid scan of the reaction mixture within the first twenty seconds produced evidence of a new species with λ_{max} centered at 520 nm. This suggested the formation of a precursor complex prior to electron transfer.

Table 3. Effect of $[H^+]$ on the rate of $(Fe(salen))_2adi-S_2O_4^{2-}$ reaction at $[(Fe(salen))_2adi] = 1 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$, $T = 29.0 \pm 1 \degree \text{C}$, $I = 0.05 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$.

//moldm ^{−3} NaClO ₄	10 ³ k _{obs} / s ⁻¹)
0.05	2.90
0.07	2.92
0.09	2.88
0.11	2.95
0.13	2.85
0.15	2.87



Table 4. Effect of dielectric constant (*D*) on the rate of $(Fe(salen))_{2}adi-S_{2}O_{4}^{2-}$ reaction.

D	74.55	68.78	63.0	57.24	51.47	45.70
$10^3 k_{\rm obs} / {\rm s}^{-1}$	2.80	2.92	2.90	2.68	2.92	2.98

The effect of dielectric constant (*D*) of the medium on reaction rate was investigated by varying it between 45.70–74.55 using propan-2-one/H₂O mixtures. Results shown in Table 4 show that within this range of *D*, the k_{obs} was practically unaltered indicating lack of dependence of rate on *D*. This observation suggests non-participation of two charged species at the rate determining step. This is in agreement with the lack of primary salt effect observed (*vide supra*) and tends to suggest the formation of a precursor complex prior to electron transfer.

The addition of CH₃COONa and MgCl₂ at constant ionic strength had no effect on the reaction. Table 5 shows the variation of k_{obs} for the reaction in the presence of these ions. Lack of catalysis has been associated with reactions operating by the inner-sphere mechanism. Typical outersphere reaction involving charged species will be accelerated by cations if the redox partners are anions or retarded if oppositely charged redox partners are involved at the rate determining step.^[27] For positively charged redox partners addition of anions will reduce repulsion and catalyze the reaction.^[33] Lack of catalysis for the S₂O₄²⁻–(Fe(salen))₂adi reaction suggests strongly that the reaction is operating by inner-sphere path. Michaelis-Menten-type plot of $1/k_{obs}$ versus $1 / [S_2O_4^{2-}]$ (Figure 3) based on the enzymatic reaction rate and modified by Kumar et al.[34] was linear with intercept on the $1/k_{obs}$ axis. This is indicative of likely presence of a preassociation step or presence of intermediate with appreciable equilibrium constant.^[27] This is the general observation for redox reactions operating by the inner-sphere mechanism.

Temperature Dependence

The effect of temperature on the rate of reaction was investigated between 302-323 K (Table 6). The results show that the rate of reaction increased with increase in temperature



Figure 3. Plot of $1 / [S_2O_4^{2-}]$ versus $1 / k_{obs}$.

Table 5. Effect of added ions on the rate of (Fe(salen))₂adi–S₂O₄^{2–} reaction at $[S_2O_4^{2-}] = 12 \times 10^{-3}$ mol dm⁻³, $[(Fe(salen))_2adi] = 1 \times 10^{-4}$, $T = 29.0 \pm 1$ °C.

10 ³ [CH ₃ COO ⁻] / mol dm ⁻³	6.0	8.0	10.0	12.0	14.0
$10^3 k_{\rm obs} / {\rm s}^{-1}$	3.20	3.25	3.20	3.23	3.28
10 ³ [Mg ²⁺] / mol dm ⁻³	6.0	8.0	10.0	12.0	14.0
10 ³ k _{obs} / s ⁻¹	3.25	3.22	3.26	3.28	3.25

within the stated range. Least-squares fit of $log(k_{obs}/T)$ versus 1/T based on the Eyring-Polanyi Equation (8) was linear with intercept at -4.8

$$k_{r} = \left(\frac{kT}{h}\right) \exp\left(\frac{\Delta S^{\#}}{R}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta H^{\#}}{RT}\right)$$
(8)

where k_r – rate constant, k – Boltzmann's constant, h – Planck's constant, $\Delta S^{\#}$ – entropy of activation, $\Delta H^{\#}$ – enthalpy of activation, T – absolute Temperature, R – gas constant.

 $\Delta H^{\#}$ and $\Delta S^{\#}$ were evaluated from the slope and intercept determined to be 10.94 kJ mol-1 and -291.20 J mol⁻¹ K⁻¹ respectively. Similar results have been reported for other inner-sphere reactions.^[35–37] The redox reaction of [Mn(salen)H₂O] with ascorbic acid proceeded by the inner-sphere path, $\Delta H^{\#}$ (89.2 kJ mol⁻¹) and $\Delta S^{\#}$ (54.6) were reported.[35] These results were rationalized in terms of enthalpy controlled reaction which is a common feature of slow reactions. The inner-sphere oxidation of Cr(III) guanosine complex by IO_4^- has value $\Delta S^{\#}$ (-130 J K⁻¹ mol⁻¹) and $\Delta H^{\#}$ (42.2 kJ mol⁻¹).^[36] The negative $\Delta S^{\#}$ was interpreted in terms of mutual ordering of the solvated water molecules and the $\Delta H^{\#}$ value was rationalized on the basis of an endothermic reaction. Dixon et al.^[38] reported $\Delta S^{\#}$ (93 ± 45 J K⁻¹ mol⁻¹) and $\Delta H^{\#}$ (105 kJ mol⁻¹) for the inner-sphere electron transfer reaction between L-ascorbic acid with [(NH₃)₅CoOH]²⁺. These results also supported a reaction requiring high energy to attain transition state but with

Table 6. Temperature-dependent rate constants for $(Fe(salen))_2adi-S_2O_4^{2-}$ reaction at $[S_2O_4^{2-}] = 12 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$, $[(Fe(salen))_2adi] = 1 \times 10^{-4}$, $T = 29.0 \pm 1$ °C, $[H^+] = 5 \times 10^3 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$, $I = 0.05 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ (NaClO₄) and $\lambda_{max} = 455 \text{ nm}$.

Т / К	$10^3 k_{\rm obs} / {\rm s}^{-1}$	log (k _{obs} / T)	10 ³ (1 / T)
302	2.86	-5.02	3.31
308	3.10	-5.00	3.25
313	3.53	-4.95	3.19
318	3.82	-4.92	3.14
323	4.10	-4.90	3.10



poorly organized transition state or where bond breaking was dominant. Most probably, the negative $\Delta S^{\#}$ of the S₂O₄²⁻– (Fe(salen))₂adi reaction is due to a rate determining step where bond formation dominated leading to mutual ordering of solvated molecules. Electron transfer and breakdown of the precursor complex [SO₂–(Fe(salen))₂adi] dominated in this reaction.

Mechanism of Reaction

Considering the stoichiometry, acid-dependence, effect of ionic strength, effect of dielectric constant, catalysis, formation of intermediates and Michaelis-Menten-type plot, the following scheme has been proposed for the reaction:

$$\left[(\text{Fe}(\text{salen}))_2 \text{adi} \right] + H^+ \xrightarrow{K_p} (\text{Fe}(\text{salen}))_2 \text{adi} H^+$$
(9)

$$S_2 O_4^{2-} \underbrace{\overset{K_d}{\longleftarrow}} 2SO_2^{-}$$
(10)

$$(Fe(salen))_2 adiH^+ + SO_2^- \underbrace{\kappa_1, fast}_{[(Fe(salen))_2 adiH - SO_2]}$$
(11)

$$[(Fe(salen))_2 adiH - SO_2] \xrightarrow{k_2, slow} (12)$$

$$(Fe(salen))_2 adiH + SO_2$$

 $(Fe(salen))_2 adiH + SO_2^- \xrightarrow{k_3, slow}$

$$(Fe(salen))_2 adiH^- + SO_2$$
(13)

$$(\text{Fe}(\text{salen}))_2 \text{adi} + \text{S}_2 \text{O}_4^{-2} \xrightarrow{k_4, \text{ fast}} \left[(\text{Fe}(\text{salen}))_2 \text{adiH} - \text{SO}_2 \right]^- + \text{SO}_2^-$$
(14)

$$[(Fe(salen))_2 adiH - SO_2]^- \xleftarrow{k_5, slow}$$

$$(Fe(salen))_2 adi^- + SO_2$$
(15)

$$(Fe(salen))_{2}adi^{-} + SO_{2}^{-} \underbrace{\overset{k_{\theta}, fast}{\overleftarrow{}}}_{(Fe(salen))_{2}}adi^{2^{-}} + SO_{2}$$
(16)

$$(Fe(salen))_2adi^{2-} + H^+ \underbrace{\overset{k_2, fast}{\longleftarrow}} (Fe(salen))_2adiH^-$$
(17)

Rate =
$$-\frac{d\left[(\text{Fe(salen)})_2\text{adi}\right]}{dt}$$
 = (18)
 $k_2\left[(\text{Fe(salen)})_2\text{adiH} - \text{SO}_2\right] + k_5\left[(\text{Fe(salen)})_2\text{adi} - \text{SO}_2\right]$

following steady state approximation:

$$\left[(\operatorname{Fe}(\operatorname{salen}))_{2}\operatorname{adiH} - \operatorname{SO}_{2} \right] = k_{1} \left[(\operatorname{Fe}(\operatorname{salen}))_{2}\operatorname{adiH}^{+} \right] \times \left[\operatorname{SO}_{2}^{-} \right] - k_{2} \left[(\operatorname{Fe}(\operatorname{salen}))_{2}\operatorname{adiH} - \operatorname{SO}_{2} \right] = 0 \\ \left[(\operatorname{Fe}(\operatorname{salen}))_{2}\operatorname{adi} - \operatorname{SO}_{2} \right] = \left[(\operatorname{Fe}(\operatorname{salen}))_{2}\operatorname{adiH}^{+} \right] \left[\operatorname{SO}_{2}^{-} \right]$$

$$\left[\frac{k_{1}}{k_{2}} \left[(\operatorname{Fe}(\operatorname{salen}))_{2}\operatorname{adiH}^{+} \right] \left[\operatorname{SO}_{2}^{-} \right] \right]$$

Also:

$$\left[(\text{Fe(salen)})_2 \text{adiH}^+ \right] = K_p \left[(\text{Fe(salen)})_2 \text{adi} \right] \left[\text{H}^+ \right]$$
(20)

and:

$$\left[\operatorname{SO}_{2}^{2-}\right] = K_{d}\left[\operatorname{S}_{2}\operatorname{O}_{4}^{2-}\right]$$
(21)

Substituting [Eq. (20)] and [Eq. (21)] into [Eq. (19)] gives:

$$\left[(\text{Fe(salen)})_2 \text{adiH} - \text{SO}_2 \right] = \frac{K_d k_1 K_p}{k_2} \left[(\text{Fe(salen)})_2 \text{adi} \right] \left[H^+ \right] \left[S_2 O_4^{2^-} \right]$$
(22)

By recourse to steady state approximation:

$$\begin{bmatrix} (\text{Fe}(\text{salen}))_2 \text{adi} \text{H} - \text{SO}_2^{-} \end{bmatrix} = k_4 [(\text{Fe}(\text{salen}))_2 \text{adi}] \times \\ \begin{bmatrix} \text{S}_2 \text{O}_4^{2-} \end{bmatrix} - k_5 [(\text{Fe}(\text{salen}))_2 \text{adi} - \text{SO}_2^{-}] = 0 \\ \begin{bmatrix} (\text{Fe}(\text{salen}))_2 \text{adi} - \text{SO}_2^{-} \end{bmatrix} = \\ \frac{k_4}{k_5} [(\text{Fe}(\text{salen}))_2 \text{adi}] \begin{bmatrix} \text{S}_2 \text{O}_4^{2-} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}$$
(23)

Substituting [Eq. (22)] and [Eq. (23)] into [Eq. (18)] gives:

$$-\frac{d\left[(\text{Fe}(\text{salen}))_2\text{adi}\right]}{dt} = K_d K_p k_1 \left[(\text{Fe}(\text{salen}))_2 \text{adi}\right] \left[H^+\right] \times \left[S_2 O_4^{2^-}\right] + k_4 \left[(\text{Fe}(\text{salen}))_2 \text{adi}\right] \left[S_2 O_4^{2^-}\right] = (24) \left[(\text{Fe}(\text{salen}))_2 \text{adi}\right] \left[H^+\right] \left[S_2 O_4^{2^-}\right] \left\{K_d K_p k_1 \left[H^+\right] + k_4\right\}$$

Croat. Chem. Acta 2015, 88(3), 259–266



Equation (24) is similar to Equation (4):

$$a = K_{d}K_{p}k_{1} \text{ and } b = k_{4}$$
(25)

The pathway followed for reduction of [(Fe(salen))2adi] by $[S_2O_4^{2-}]$ was resolved by considering the following points: (1) Absence of catalysis by AcO⁻ and Mg²⁺ indicates operation of an inner-sphere mechanism and suggests SO2⁻ as the main reductant species. Formation of a precursor complex of the form [(Fe(salen))2adiHSO2] which undergoes intramolecular electron transfer rules out any available site for attack by anions and hence lack of catalysis. (2) Plot of $1 / k_{obs}$ against $1 / [S_2O_4^{2-}]$ was linear with positive intercept suggesting formation of a precursor complex of large enough equilibrium constant. (3) Rapid scanning of the reaction mixture within the first 20 seconds showed a new λ_{max} centered around 520 nm. Similar complexes of inner-sphere character has been reported for oxoanion reactions.^[36] (4) Lack of dependence of the rate of reaction on ionic strength suggests interaction of the redox partners with a zero product of charge indicating likely intramolecular electron transfer in a preassociation complex. These data strongly supports the view that the reaction followed the inner-sphere electron transfer mechanism in accordance with the proposed reaction scheme.

CONCLUSIONS

This study was undertaken to investigate the kinetics of the reduction of $[(Fe(salen))_2adi]$ by dithionite, and a reaction mechanism has been proposed. The overall rate law for the reaction is determined as

Rate =
$$-\frac{d}{dt} [(\text{Fe(salen)})_2 \text{adi}] =$$

 $K_d [(\text{Fe(salen)})_2 \text{adiH}] [S_2 O_4^{2-}] \left(\frac{k_2 K_a k_1}{k_{-1}} [H^+] + \frac{k_4 k_3}{k_{-3}}\right)$

The increase in the rate constants with an increasing $[H^+]$ is in accord with the proposed mechanism of the reaction.

Acknowledgements: Simeon Atiga thanks the Department of Pure and Industrial Chemistry, University of Nigeria, Nsukka for some financial assistance. We thank John I. Ugwu and Amara Chukwuneke for technical support.

REFERENCES

 M. Komiyama, J. Sumaoka, Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol. 1998, 2, 751.

- K. I. Ansari, J. D. Grant, G. A. Woldemariam, S. Kasiri,
 S. S. Mandal, *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2009**, *7*, 926.
- [3] G. A. Woldemariam, S. S. Mandal, J. Inorg. Biochem. 2008, 102, 740.
- S. Sheriff, W. A.Hendrickson, J. L. Smith, J. Mol. Biol. 1987, 197, 273.
- [5] R. E. Stenkamp, L. C. Sieker, L. H. Jesen, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 618.
- [6] A. C. Rosenzweig, C. A. Frederick, S. J. Lippard, P. Nordlund, *Nature* **1993**, *366*, 537.
- [7] A. C. Rosenzweig, P. Nordlund, P. M.Takahara, C. A. Frederick, S. J. Lippard, *Chem. and Bio.* **1995**, *2*, 409.
- [8] P. O. Ukoha, J. F. Iyun, J. Chem. Soc. Nig. 2002, 27, 119.
- [9] P. O. Ukoha, J. F. Iyun, Chem. Class J. 2005, 2, 51.
- [10] P. O. Ukoha, J. F. Iyun, J. Chem. Soc. Nig. 2001, 26, 163.
- [11] S. O. Idris, J. F. Iyun, E. B. Agabji, *Trans. Met. Chem.* 2009, 34, 205.
- S. O. Idris, J. F. Iyun, E. B. Agabji, Inter. J. Chem. Appl. 2011, 3, 159.
- [13] S. O. Idris, J. F. Iyun, E. B. Agabji, Afr. J. Nat. Sci. 2007, 10, 35.
- [14] S. O. Idris, J. F. Iyun, E. B. Agabji, *Ife J. Sc.* **2008**, *10*, 103.
- [15] S. O. Idris, J. F. Iyun, E. B. Agabji, Chem. Class J. 2004, 1, 27.
- [16] S. O.Idris, J. F. Iyun, E. B. Agabji, Chem. Class J. 2005, 2, 85.
- [17] R. Bikas, H. Hosseini-Monfared, G. Zoppellaro, R. Herchel, J. Tucek, A.M. Owczarzak, M. Kubicki, R. Zboril, *Dalt. Trans.* **2013**, *42*, 2803.
- [18] Z. Smékal, F. Brezina, Z. Sindelar, R. Klicka, M. Nadvornik, *Trans. Met. Chem.* **1996**, *21*, 49.
- [19] S. Atiga, P. O. Ukoha, O. T. Ujam, C. O. Okpareke, *Trans. Met. Chem.* **2014**, *39*, 189.
- [20] M. Tanaka, M. Kitaoka, H. Okawa, S. Kida, Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan 1976, 49, 2469.
- [21] P. O.Ukoha, C. Alioke, J. N. Asegbeloyin, O. T. Ujam, J. Chem. Soc. Nig. 2010, 35, 163.
- [22] S. O. Idris, J. F. Iyun, E. B. Agabji, Chem. Class J. 2005, 2, 85.
- [23] G. D. Jones, M. G. Jones, M. T. Wilson, M. Brunori, A. Colosimo, P. Sarti, *Biochem.* **1983**, 209, 175.
- [24] J. C. W. Chien, L. C. Dickson, J. Biol. Chem. 1978, 253, 6965.
- [25] G. A. Ashby, R. N. Thorneley, *Biochem.* **1978**, 246, 455.
- [26] D. M. Davis, J. M. Lawther, *Biochem.* 1978, 258, 375.
- [27] C. U. Alioke, P. O. Ukoha, N. N. Ukwueze, O. T. Ujam, J.N. Asegbeloyin, *Chem. Mat. Res.* **2012**, *2*, 48.
- [28] C. Creutz, N. Sutin, Proceedings of National Academy Science, USA, 1973, 70, 1701.



- [29] M. B. Robin, P. Day, Adv. Inorg. Chem. Radiochem. 1976, 10, 247.
- [30] T. R. Weaver, T. J. Meyer, S. A. Adeyemi, G. M. Brown, R. P. Eckberg, E. W. Hatfield, E. C. Johnson, R. W. Murray, D. Untereker, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1975, 97, 3039.
- [31] N. M. Greenwood, A. Earnshaw, *Chemistry of Elements*, Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford, 2003.
- [32] C. W. Devies, *Ion-Association.*, Butterworth and Co., Ltd., London, 1962.
- [33] T. J. Przystas, N. Sutin, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1973, 95, 5545.

- [34] C. S. Kumar, U. Chandraiah, M. A. A. Siddigui, S. Kordlikars, *Ind. J. Chem. A* **1991**, *30*, 714.
- [35] I. A. Salem, A. H. Gemeay, Trans. Met. Chem. 1996, 21, 130.
- [36] H. A. Ewais, S. A. Ahmed, A. A. Abdel-Khalek, J. Chin. Chem. Soc. 2004, 51, 713.
- [37] D. A. Dixon, N. P. Sadler, T. P. Dasgupta, *Trans. Met. Chem.* **1995**, *20*, 295.
- [38] U. Chandrawat, A. Prakash, R. N. Mehrotra, Can. J. Chem. 1995, 73, 1531.