ABSTRACT

The evolutionist considers human as “The Moral Animal”. But in the eyes of religiosity human being is “The Moral Person.” Both these worldviews recognize additional dimensions of ‘humanness’ such as sexuality. The paper traces the origin of moral America as well as the origins of sex, sexuality, nudity and pornography. The paper summarizes seven sex revolutions and highlights the emergence of the 8th sex revolution – polyamory. Sex revolution of 1960s paved the way for the onset of the golden year of pornography – 1970. Teaching evolutionary biology since 1960s, as attested by the renowned evolutionist Ernst Mayr (1988), has resulted in the erosion of moral education in schools. Human sex and sexuality have been “sexed-up” and hypersexualized with destigmatized-pornographication without warning the young adult viewers about the ill effects of such pornographication.

The changing demography of America indicates the decline of Christians and the rise of ‘nones’ who are non-believers. The increase in ‘nones’ is due to Generation Y, the Millennials. Survey data of the Barna Research Group Ltd USA has shown that there is significant moral decay. A proposal has been made to launch a TV channel on bioethics, in the name of Rev. Fritz Jahr, in order to send the Millennials a message of moral education.

Birth of Moral America

Before Christopher Columbus sighted the land of the (American) Red Indians in 1492 there was no United States of America. Even in 1620 the present super power, The American nation was not in existence and was not in the global map. At that time, in Briton the mainline Church was the Church of England. But there were other dissenters
groups with doctrinal differences. A splinter group from a dissenter religious section of English people, commonly called the Plymouth Pilgrim Fathers, fled the volatile political environment in England for the relative calm and tolerant Holland. The Pilgrims held Calvinistic doctrine which is salvation is by the Grace of God and the access to Grace is through a personal faith in the saving grace of the Lord Jesus Christ. Salvation in Christ is a free gift and it can’t be earned! Later in 1620 this group set sail to land in a place presently known as Plymouth Massachusetts of the United States of America and read Psalms 100 as their thanks giving response. These successful settlers colonized the entire North America which is the present United States of America. “The Pilgrims’ modern popular story of seeking “religious freedom” has become a central theme of the history and culture of the United States” (Wiki, 2015 a).

**Why a blessed nation?**

Biblical history declares that Abraham lived in the city of Ur in land of the Chaldeans (Genesis 11: 31; 12:1). But was he a Chaldean? He differed from the Chaldeans in his solid belief system. He believed in the living God, the Almighty Lord God of the Hebrews, the El Shadai – monotheistic faith. Obviously the rest of the world in general and the Chaldeans in particular, did not believe and share the belief system of Abraham. In the same token it emphasizes the possibility that it is possible for any person to sustain his or her belief in God despite the differing belief system of the neighbours. In the foreknowledge of God Abraham is to become the father of nations – the Nation of Israel. Therefore Abraham was singled out by God and was called out from the then well advanced Chaldean civilization. He, as a believer in the God of Genesis, was asked to move to Canaan, the land of milk and honey. His grandson Jacob was renamed as ‘Israel’ and the nation of Israel was born when Moses delivered them from slavery in the land of Egypt. The time distance between Abraham and Moses was about 430 years. One of the main reasons for choosing Israel is that they were the only monotheistic group of that time and that their God was the LORD, the Creator and the Saviour. Israel as a chosen nation of God Israel became the blessed nation as affirmed by Biblical writers: “Blessed is the nation whose God is the LORD; and the people whom he hath chosen for his own inheritance. (Psalms KJV Bible 33: 12)

**America the blessed nation!**

When the nation of United States of America was born it was composed of ‘one people with one language’ with one group of believers who believed in One God, the God of the Bible. The nation upheld core Christian values. The Ten
Commandments, as a guiding moral code, found a prominent place in the highest courts of America to render justice. The early settlers with Calvinistic puritanical background maintained highest degree of sex-ethics. Christian families were intact with rigid sexual ethics such as no sex before marriage; no sex outside marriage and no deviation from the century old tradition of considering marriage as the union between one male person with one female person. The term “same sex marriage” was unheard off in the society. The nation upheld bioethical principle of ‘human dignity’ to abolish slavery from its soil. At one stage in its history America claimed that it is a chosen nation and it is a blessed nation by God. People in the East considered America as the most blessed nation of God. People with different culture and with other religious faith began to migrate into America. The influx of such nature changed the demography of America!

**Side effects Of Industrial Revolution (IR)**

The rise of the American nation to the status of the richest and the most powerful nation of the world was quick. The fruits of European Industrial scientific revolution (1700s) began to reach American nation so as to make the United States as a very prosperous nation. Even though American nation claimed that it is a nation chosen by God it was unaware of the deadly silent scientific revolution – revival of the theory of evolution - in the parent land of England. In the process industrial revolution the earth was dug. Many buried bones, what are now called as fossils, were unearthed. Different types of earth strata were noticed. These events started the new branch of sciences like ‘Geology and Palaeontology’. IR also brought in knowledge and social revolutions.

**Why consider Evolution?**

The idea of evolution, in its prototype, was one of the themes for discussion between Greek philosophers like Plato and Aristotle. They were arguing on the point of whether or not the biological identity is fixed or flexible to change into another species? They disagreed and the idea of evolution was put into cold storage.

In the 6th edition of the *Origin of Species* by Darwin it is mentioned that the concept of Natural Selection is similar to the views of Aristotle’s selection. Therefore, Greek literature on evolution may have been available for the European intellectuals, which may have triggered the rethinking on the subject in the light of fossil bones as well as the reasons for their fossilization of these ancient animals. The Greek ideas of evolution were unscientific and therefore they were speculative in nature, specially
the mechanism i.e. the process by which (THE HOW OF IT) these progressive changes might have been brought about and the causes which may have triggered evolution! St. Paul in his letter to young Timothy admonished him to avoid ‘science falsely called’ which may be because such speculative ideas will have a direct negative impact on the moral development of a young person. Such a possibility does exists has been highlighted by the American survey results and data which are placed in public domain. Such a support the above statement is very strong. The main objective of the present study is to assess the type of impact on the moral development of a Christian nation such as the American people.

Theologians were university faculty

During 1800s philosophy, theology and natural history were the major disciplines of study. Hence it is common for academicians to have theological degrees. The theologian, Rev. William Buckland (1784-1856) was a student of Prof John Kidd (1801) at Corpus Christ College, Oxford, a geologist interested in Mineralogy and Chemistry. In 1813 he, as a clergyman, was appointed as a Reader in Mineralogy. As a Fellow of the Royal Society (FRS) (1818) he published his account on the teeth and bones of the Elephants, Rhinoceros, Hippopotamus, Hyena, etc, discovered in Kirkdale Cave in 1821. In 1831, he became the Professor of Mineralogy after his teacher Dr. Kidd. He wrote the first account of fossil of giant reptiles which he called *Megalosaurus* (Giant Lizards) and the word dinosaur was not yet introduced to describe such mammoth reptiles. The word Dinosauria was used by Richard Owen, an English Palaeontologist in 1842 as a taxonomic term to denote the suborder of “Saurian reptiles”. The term means terrible, fearsome or formidable lizards or reptiles. Hence, in Biblical writings the term dinosaurs will not be found although creatures resembling dinosaurs were found during the time of Job (Job 42). Thus science and scientists cum theologians began to influence and infiltrate Christian thinking and Genesis account of Creation! Slowly but steadily Christian doctrine gave way to evolutionary thought in theology of the Western nations.

Moral Development

There are just two streams of thought on moral development: (i) the secular (rationalist /evolutionist and non-believers) and (ii) the religious (Hindu, Christian and Islam). A person’s moral development is linked with country of birth, religious faith of the parents or partners and upbringing of the child. In a child’s bodily development stages sex hormones play a significant role in the sexual awakening of a
child. The internet has brought the various contours of human sexuality at the moral door steps of a developing mind. Pornography is one such contours of human sexuality – a corrupted form of sex. In the developed and Christian influenced western nations a child, as early as nine years of age, is exposed to such corrupted sex by a simple press of a button of a hand set. Therefore in this study an attempt has been made to trace the changes in the perception of sex ethics from a moral viewpoint. This has been done by studying human-sex-history of the recent past. Useful and large amount of data are available on the public domain. The present study has used these survey data which have been recently published on the mindset of Christians, other faith groups, agnostics and atheists towards human sex and sexuality in America.

Teaching of evolutionary Biology

The period 1960s is an important turning point in sex-ethics. It ushered in one of the greatest sex revolutions. During late 1950s and the early 1960s the Biological Science Curriculum Study (BSCS) revamped the teachings of biological sciences in high schools. It produced three versions of text books: the Yellow Version, the Green Version and the Blue Version. These versions were of higher standard and terribly learner-student friendly. The curricular framework was three prongs of a fork designed to stab moral education: (i) teaching of the theory of evolution (ii) redesigning biology as evolutionary biology and (iii) evolutionism – application of the principles of evolution in day today life of the society. The upgrading of biology included the removal of moral teaching. For instance if the earlier text book had a statement that “the purpose of the heart is to pump blood” then it was rendered as “the work of the heart was to pump blood”. The word ‘purpose’ has a teleological connotation that all life is goal or purpose oriented. It is suggestive of the fact that there is meaning in life and more importantly it is suggestive of the existence of God! Such method of reaching the minds of teens and young adults with the message of evolution was found to be an effective method. In a similar way the acceptability of same sex marriage as a social event has been followed, In addition such a move have legal backing in all the fifty states of America.

Confession of an Evolutionist

The period 1980s is yet another important turning point in sex-ethics. It ushered in one of the greatest social changes in redefining traditional marriage so as to include same sex marriage. Mayr (1988) has highlighted the danger of nullifying moral
education in school curricula as well as the importance family and parental inputs in the development of moral judgement in children. He cautioned:

“We have just passed through a period in which exaggerated importance was placed on the so-called freedom of the child, allowing him to develop his own goodness. We have made fun of the moralizing in children’s book and have tended to remove all traces of moral education from the schools. This causes few problems when parents perform their roles properly. But it may spell disaster when parents fail to do their jobs. In view of our better understanding of the origin of the morality of the individual, would it not seem time again to place greater stress on moral education?” (p. 85).

This is a wakeup call to the Christians that originated from a sensible evolutionist. But the Church was in deep sleep. It did not hear the repeated alarm bell. As warned American family was in tatters and the “parents failed to do their job”. There was no moral education to tie the loose broken ends of marriage and parenting. Therefore, Azariah (1994 a) made a plea to take the valid observations and suggestion of an ardent evolutionist Dr. E. Mayr seriously to re-implement moral education in all school curricula.

**Broken marital relationships - infidelity**

According to the report of Mosher *et al* (2005) American males, during their lifetime have had 6-8 female sexual partners. Similarly females had 4 male sexual partners. It is reported that “3% of women have had zero sexual partners since the age of 18. And about 31% have had 1 partner, 36% have had 2-4 partners, 20% have had 5-10 partners, 6% have had 11-20 partners, and 3% have had 21 or more partners. 20% of American men and 31% of American women have had one sex partner in their lifetime”.

**Broken homes**

The Family Research Council (FRC) has published data on broken families. According to their data on The Index of Belonging and Rejection it may be seen that “55 percent of 15-to-17-year-olds in America do not live in intact families” (Ford 2014). It is statistically sad to note that “more than 40% of all children are born out of wedlock and one in three children live in single-parent homes”. Americans marriage morals have lost its salt-ness! “Study after study finds that Americans are not hierarchical.
American children are raised to challenge their parents. American underlings are relatively free to challenge their bosses. In this country you’re less likely to have to submit to authority…. Americans are now in a depressed state of mind. As China and India rise, nearly two thirds of Americans believe their nation is in decline” (Brooks, 2010). The fear of moral decline leads to a condition in which morality continues to decay (Christian Post 2003, Patterson and Prince, 2012).

**Broken youth Life**

Recently the American President assessed his country’s moral problem: “We know the statistics—that children who grow up without a father are five times more likely to live in poverty and commit crime; nine times more likely to drop out of schools and twenty times more likely to end up in prison. They are more likely to have behavioural problems, or run away from home, or become teenage parents themselves. And the foundations of our community are weaker because of it” (Ford, 2014).

**Long Term Impact**

It is a chain reaction. Failure of marriage and parenting impacts other areas of society. Americans build large, efficient organizations that are not bound by the circles of kinship and clan. Study after study finds that Americans are not hierarchical. American children are raised to challenge their parents. American underlings are relatively free to challenge their bosses. In this country you’re less likely to have to submit to authority (Brooks, 2010).

**Origin of human sex and sexuality**

There are only two ways to get to the root of origin of human sex and sexuality: evolution and ancient religious text such as the Book of Genesis (Bible). Evolutionary thought does not offer any clue as there is no cultural fossils showing intermediary fossils with graded transitional stages. But there is some information in the book of Genesis. God created humankind in his image: a triune God. But there are not three separate persons but One God. Similarly there are two genders (Gen. 1: 27) – male (Man) and female (Woman) – but one flesh (Gen. 2: 24). Union between one man and one woman then constituted one family. All humanity received the first fertility blessing: “Be fruitful, and multiply, and replenish the earth, and subdue it” (Gen. 1: 28). In modern terms “be fruitful” can be equated with biological fertility i.e. the ability to reproduce; “multiply” with fecundity i.e.
the ability to produce viable and mature eggs and “replenish” with Total Fertility Rate (TFR) i.e. the ability to maintain population growth.

The term “Total Fertility Rate” refers to the number of children a woman can deliver in her married life time and the average number of children that is likely to be born per a given woman. TFR is a direct measure of the degree of fertility of a woman. Therefore TFR is a critical factor in ensuring the stability of a human population. A woman should give birth to at least two or three children to keep the population above the critical replacement level: one child to replace the death of a person and one to keep the status quo and the third to keep the level well above the critical replacement level. Unfortunately many developed countries have a TFR of 2 or less than 2. It is evident that cultural changes impact TFR. These factors are: sex revolution; abortion, culture of condoms/contraceptives; childfree life by choice after marriage. In sex culture there has been seven sex revolutions in history (Azariah, 2015 a).

Origin and Ramification of Matrimonial Decay

The American marriage culture has now become pluralistic in nature. The long history of decay in matrimony has an origin. It originated in the generation time of Lamech, a descendent of Cain, the son of Adam and Eve. Since then there has been seven sex revolutions (Azariah, 2015 a). These seven sex revolutions have been summarised here under. The eighth is being brought forth by the West.

Seven Sex Revolutions in a nutshell

1. The book of Genesis records the first three sex revolutions. The first sexual revolution deals with Lamech who is a descendent of Cain, the son of Eve. He is the first person to introduce a change in the traditional marriage of one man with one woman (Gen. 4). Lamech took two wives and broke the cohesiveness of family. He also murdered those who opposed his new ethics. His new revolution stressed the fact that a three sided marital relationship – either two men & a woman or two women and a man – always hurts and may end in murder. Such a hurtful triangular relationship is a major theme in modern movie entertainment.

2. The second sexual revolution is that of high sex culture practiced in the kingdoms of Egypt and Garar (Gen. 12 to 20). Pharaoh the king of Egypt (Gen. 12) and Abimelech the king of Gerar were the powerful political rulers (Gen. 20). Their sex culture cantered around acquiring any beautiful but unmarried women
as their own property. They would kill the husband to release the beautiful woman to be acquired into their harem - a national policy of unlawful rule of their law. Abraham found that absolutely there was no fear of God anywhere in the country (Gen. 20: 11). Sex ethics and fear of God are inversely linked.

3. The citizens of two kingdoms - Sodom and Gomorrah (Gen 14: 8) practiced MSM – i.e. men having sex with men (MSM, Gen. 18 -19). The cost and consequences of adopting a culture of MSM were immense. There was a very serious environmental destruction and these two kingdoms were totally wiped out (Azariah, 2015 a; b).

4. There were additional three sex revolutions since ‘1920s (Huber, 2015). The year 1920 marked the initiation of the fourth “sexual revolution.” Party songs carried the message of sexual permissiveness of the flapper generation. There were sexy lines in pop songs. The seed of fourth “sexual revolution.” took time for germination but for an unknown zoology professor at Indiana University who wrote, in 1948, on the topic of “Sexual Behaviour and the Human Male”. His tickling report put an end to the conventional understanding of sex (Huber, 2015). Thus the floodgates for the next sex revolution were slowly opened.

5. The fifth sexual revolution was born in the year 1960 followed by a full-fledged pornography era in 1970, the golden year of pornography. From 1970s premarital sex was morally ‘right’ Births outside of legal marriage were doubled between 1960 and 1970. The incidence of Sexually Transmitted Infectious Diseases in young adults was increased by 165 percent between 1967 and 1971(Huber 2015).

6. The sixth sexual revolution began to take roots in 1980s. It relates to marked changes in sexual orientation and the redefinition of marriage. In the past millennium traditional marriage was defined as the union between a male and a female, The American DOMA (Defence of Marriage Act) endorsed it. This union is child centric producing biological parents. When the number of gay and lesbians increased they were not covered by DOMA. The word “marriage” was redefined as the union between two persons or people regardless of sex so as to accommodate same sex marriages.

7. The seventh sex revolution is yet to be born. But the foundations have been laid so as to cater to the needs of same sex reproduction. A new film “The Baby Formula” imagines two lesbians conceiving (Same-sex reproduction) with sperm derived from each other’s stem cells. The futuristic question is: Can a woman father a child?
America’s pluralistic faith

Just as there is pluralism in sex ethics and sex culture there is also intense pluralism in America’s demography. That in the course of time the nation of America has moved to a pluralistic faith and a nation of immigrants is evident from the email sent by President Obama to CBN News.

“I think that the right might worry a bit more about the dangers of sectarianism. Whatever we once were, we’re no longer just a Christian nation; we are also a Jewish nation, a Muslim nation, a Buddhist nation, a Hindu nation, and a nation of nonbelievers. We should acknowledge this and realize that when we’re formulating policies from the state house to the Senate floor to the White House, we’ve got to work to translate our reasoning into values that are accessible to every one of our citizens, not just members of our own faith community” (Brody, 2007).

Three main points can be culled out from the above declaration: (I) The statement “whatever we once were – a Christian nation” - is no more tenable which is affirmed by the succeeding part of the sentence that is ‘we are no longer just a Christian nation’. (2) The nation is polarized into a faith community (core Christians) and other faith community. The former group was reduced in its percentage so as to lose its social status as a “Christian nation”. (3) The emergence of a new brand of “faith group” called the ‘nonbelievers’ is significant. People in other faith groups are the ‘unbelievers’ for they do not believers in the God of the Christians. This new group of nonbelievers is also called “the nones” because they do not believe in none of the world religions. Even though evolutionism is emerging as a new global religion it spreads a spirit of intolerance in indoctrinating and spreading their message of no-god and no purpose in life.

Statistics on Pluralistic Faith

An attempt has been made to gauge the moral reasoning in terms of American people’s belief in God. Americans are more polarized in deciding about God than ever. In general “God is rarely spoken of, except in mocking, derisive tones”. Although Americans are running away from ‘organized religion’ they are unable to run ‘from God’. They call themselves as “The Nones” as they “are the undecided of the religious world”. They drift “spiritually and dabble in everything from Sufism to Kabbalah to, yes, Catholicism and Judaism”. According to Trinity College’s recent

The third category is the non believers in God of the True Believers and the fastest growing group. In 2011 the “Nones” were about 12 percent of the population. Although they say that they have no religious affiliation at all they believe in atheism or evolutionism or secularism or in all of them. The older members occupy positions of power and policy making in the universities and governments. The percentage is higher among young people (25%). These young people are commonly referred to as the Millennials, the balance tilters!

Pluralistic Moral Reasoning

There are different types of moral reasoning. Subjective Moral reasoning is one among them. Two examples may be given: (1) It is legal for women to go topless publically in Ontario, Canada since 1991. It is the right of women to go topless. A Canadian court ruled that “nothing degrading or dehumanising” about a woman going topless in public, a ruling confirmed by legislation in 1996. Three Canadian sisters (1.8.15) removed their shirts, while bicycling because it was a hot summer day. The policeman stopped them. The next day hundreds of woman joined in a topless protest carrying placards. One of them said “Nudity is not sexual” (BBC, 2015). This is a good example of subjective moral reasoning. So then to them nudity is not pornography, if pornography is a deviant form of sexuality. But pornography includes nudity. Example (2): In New York Times Square it is within a woman’s legal rights to roam about with painted but naked body. Frequently shirtless women to mingle with the public and pose for a photograph for cash – even with under aged youth! It is commonly said that if a culturally sensitive person remains for ten minutes in New York Times Square then that person would naturally shed tears! Moreover it is common that in some of the TV music channels one has to search for clothing on the body of the artists.

A new born human baby is nude. Evolutionist would generally agree that nudity is natural. But medical staff and religiosity would naturally cover the naked body. This is human culture. Therefore we need to understand the origin of nudity.

Origin of nudity/Pornography

Any study on the Origins may have to refer to evolution or Genesis 1-11. The word nudity or pornography does not occur in both the cases. There is no direct approach to solve the problem. In Genesis 1-11 the practice of pornography was not in existence then.
The first human pair, Adam and Eve, disobeyed in the Garden of Eden. After their disobedience they knew that they were naked and they began to cover their nakedness. There was an inner urge in them NOT to remain naked. In some cases “nakedness” would mean “nudity”. Since pornography means uncovering of a human body for commercial exploitation then this cultural practice does not occur in Genesis 1-11. But it does indicate that it is NOT natural for a human being to remain body uncovered. Adam and Eve both switched fig leaves to cover their nude condition. But the creator God provided a better and durable instrument of skin to cover their nakedness.

The culture of pornography began to blossom soon after the 1960 sex revolution. It may be good to recall the year 1970s was crowned as the Golden Era of pornography. It was in the year 1973 the landmark decision by the United States Supreme Court on the issue of abortion - Roe v. Wade, 410 U.S. 113 – made it a woman’s rights to abort a living foetus. In the name of ‘safe sex’ and ‘sex education’ condoms were freely available. The synergistic impacts of all these three factors converted pornography as part of sex industry.

**Pornography and condoms as consumer common goods**

It is a fact that the sex industry has circumvented “successfully any objection to pornography from the religious/moral perspective” and has consequently been able to “dismiss these objections as First Amendment infringements.” Therefore there are more viewers of pornography than ever. Surveys indicate that “87% of college age men view pornography, 50% weekly and 20% daily or every other day, with 31% of women viewing as well.” No wonder total revenue for the pornography industry was $97 billion in 2006, exceeding the combined revenue for Microsoft, Google, Amazon, eBay, Yahoo, Apple, and Netflix for that year (King, 2015). It should be pointed out that the recent bailout money for the debt ridden nation of Greek is only $83 billion. Currently two high value consumer goods prevail in the economic market: condoms and pornography. In USA markets, a total of 600-700 million condoms are sold per year (Stephens-Davidowitz, 2015). How will the future governments morally view these two emotive markets?

If pornography is morally unacceptable then to regulate the pornographic culture both human regulatory actions plus God’s spiritual provisions are necessary. It is known that both people’s culture and technological culture have broken these two regulatory norms through mass media. The axiomatic truth is that pornography is not going to go out the society! Recent American social survey data show that the
‘nones’ are the one single group that has readily accepted pornography as a morally unacceptable human behaviour!

**Porn’s ill health effects: Neurosurgeons View**

King, (2015) refers to the medical report of four neurosurgeons from Texas whose opinion is critical during these days public health crisis. They are Christensen, B. J. and. Patterson, R.W., (2011) vide “New Research,” The Family in America, Spring 2011, Vol. 26 Number 1 and Study cited by King (2015): Donald L. Hilton Jr. and Clark Watts (2011) vide “Pornography Addiction: A Neuroscience Perspective,” Surgical Neurology International 2.1 [February 21, 2011]: 19: *These studies were cited by King (2015).* It has been stressed that an objective review of available neurological science should compel us “to begin serious discussions of sexual addiction and its components such as pornography.”

It is not just a matter of medical science when pornography disrupts normal brain functions. The medical reports of these experts and scholars adduce evidence indicating that pornography use affects “sexual behaviour in adolescents” and “does indeed cause harm in humans with regard to pair-bonding.” What is more, researchers have uncovered evidence that pornography may prime users for “actual sexual relations with children” and may foster “violent attitudes toward women.”

These two reports of neurosurgeons from the University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio point out that it is time to start thinking about addiction to pornography—a destroyer of marriages. The addiction model is similar to addiction to illegal drugs. Both have “medical implications”. “The medical implications of pornography” is that those who are addicted to pornography suffer from “various manifestations of cerebral dysfunction collectively labelled hypofrontal syndromes” which is the damage to the ‘braking system’ of the brain” “a dysfunction of the mesolimbic reward centers of the brain.” More particularly, these addicts experience “reduced cellular activity in the orbitofrontal cortex, a brain area . . . [relied upon] . . . to make strategic, rather than impulsive, decisions.” The pornography addict may, in fact, manifest “decreased interest in pursuing goal-directed activities central to survival.”

**Two Good remedial efforts**

Pop star Demi Lovato posed for a cover shoot for Cosmopolitan women’s magazine. “The National Center on Sexual Exploitation launched a ‘Cosmo Harms Minors’ campaign asking for supermarkets to have a protective overlay on the women’s magazine so as not to show objectionable cover pictures.
The decision of Hilton Group of hotels to withdraw porn film from all its room services has been welcomed by the America’s National Center on Sexual Exploitation: “We want to publicly thank Hilton for its decision to create a safe and positive environment for all of its customers. Hilton has taken a stand against sexual exploitation. Pornography not only contributes to the demand for sex trafficking, which is a serious concern in hotels, but it also contributes to child exploitation, sexual violence and lifelong porn addictions” (Moynihan, 2015 a). At this stage it is “too little too late”. After 62 years, Playboy magazine is to stop publishing images of naked women as part of its redesign from next year. (BBC 2015 BBC World News – Playboy ‘to drop’ Naked Women Images. 13.10. 2015).

**Porn-virus Infects society**

An interesting survey was conducted by the Austin Institute for “The Study of Family and Culture” on the viewership of pornography by faith groups such as Christians, other faiths and the ‘nones’ (Patterson and Prince, 2012).

The results of the Austin team showed that American men in both the study groups view pornography more than women. American Christianity is steadily losing its moral grounds. According to this study pornography has come to stay deeply rooted in American soil. Data show that pornography is not going to go that easily from its culture.

Data rearranged – % in descending order – Men

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Faith Group</th>
<th>Men (%)</th>
<th>Women (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Liberal Protestants</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fundamental Protestants</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mainline Protestants</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evangelical Protestants</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pentecostals</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liberal Catholics</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate Catholics</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Catholics</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traditional Catholics</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Christians</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latter Day Saints / Mormons</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The percentage of viewership is high irrespective of religious affiliation.
Church attendance Deters Pornography

An interesting correlation was established between pornography viewership and Christian Church service on Sundays. There were three respondents: (i) those who attend weekly Church service regularly (ii) those who attend Church occasionally and (iii) those who never attend a Church service at all any time (Patterson and Prince, 2012). The percentage data on viewers of pornography in these three categories of people are summarized below.

(i) Attend Church weekly  
Men (26%)  
Woman (6%)

(ii) Attend Church occasionally  
Men (38%)  
Woman (9%)

(iii) Never attend Church  
Men (53%)  
Woman (12%)

Exposing the congregation to moral teachings during the sermons had a significant reduction in porn viewing in both males and females. According to the data an inverse relationship was seen. Those who attended weekly Church service on Sundays viewed less pornographic materials than those who never attend Church. Results seem to indicate that Church attendance must be encouraged.

A Moral Decay

The Barna Research Group Ltd USA conducted, in October 2003, a telephone interview survey with a nationwide random sample of 1024 adults using its Barna Research Group telephone interviewing facility in Ventura, CA. The survey was well planned. The respondents were both believers in God and non-believers. There were three arms in the survey: (i) Christians (ii) Other faith groups and (iii) The “nones” Agnostics & atheists. The survey took note of changing moral scenario of American culture – it is now a morally acceptable behaviour to go topless in public. The survey covered seven such moral issues which were once considered as a ‘not acceptable moral behaviour’. They are listed below:

Seven cardinal moral behaviours

The Barna Research Survey recognised the following seven human features as moral characters and therefore used them in its sociological survey.

1. Gambling
2. Co-habitation without marriage
3. Enjoying sexual thoughts/fantasies about someone
4. Having an abortion
5. Having a sexual relationship with someone of the opposite sex to whom you are not married
6. Looking at pictures of nudity or explicit sexual behaviour
7. Using profanity

Barna Research Survey Results:

*Percentage of Adults Who Consider A Behavior To Be “Morally Acceptable” (Bold means HIGH)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MORAL ISSUES</th>
<th>All Adults</th>
<th>Evangelicals</th>
<th>Born Again</th>
<th>Other Faith</th>
<th>Atheist/Agnostic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Gambling</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>68%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table Credit: Barna Research Group Ltd.

All the three arms of the study are infected with the pathogen corrupted moral thinking. Even the Protestants and Catholic Christians were impacted by this virus. People with agnostic and atheistic worldviews are unstable to changes in morality as evidenced by their response to all the seven issues that were posed in the Barna research study as morally acceptable acts. Of the three major moral players the group ‘nones’ (Atheists, evolutionist etc.) is the predominant sector that has recognised these seven non-virtues as morally accepted behaviour (68-78 %). It appears they no serious reservations to any of the 7 moral issues. The evangelicals are the least who scored between 4 to 7% for the four factors starting from 4 to 7. The people of ‘other faiths’ comprised next to the ‘nones’ and the difference between them is marginal (45 to 71%). Therefore it may be concluded that atheistic ideology including evolutionism corrupts present day moral standards. It is an axiomatic truth the religiosity deters
moral decay of the stability of marriage. On the face value, it appears that evangelical faith can provide a counter culture to matrimonial moral decay.

All round moral decay

The Christian Post (2003) also reported the results of Barna Research Group Ltd November 12, 2003 survey: “a majority of Americans believed that each of three activities was “morally acceptable.” Those included gambling (61%), co-habitation (60%), and sexual fantasies (59%). Nearly half of the adult population felt that two other behaviours were morally acceptable: having an abortion (45%) and having a sexual relationship with someone of the opposite sex other than their spouse (42%). About one-third of the population gave the stamp of approval to pornography (38%), profanity (36%), drunkenness (35%) and homosexual sex (30%). The activity that garnered the least support was using non-prescription drugs (17%).” The process of bringing in further degrading change in sex ethics is at the doorstep of many nations.

Atheistic Worldviews and Pornographic Moral Issues

The results show that those respondents with atheistic worldviews have a strong tendency to overstep the seven moral issues. Both Protestants and Catholic Christians were less impacted with reference to seven moral issues. They were not totally deprived of their morality. It is depressing to note that people with agnostic and atheistic worldviews are those who can very easily accept all the seven issues - as morally acceptable acts. The Evangelical Christians scored the lowest while the agnostics and the atheistic scored the highest in all the seven moral issues. It only proves that the Church should preserve its doctrinal faith and prevent the infiltration of contemporary atheistic worldviews.

Evolutionism Justifies Infidelity

The word evolutionism indicates the application of the principles of evolution in day today culture – practical living. Relationships researcher Jason McIntyre of the University of Queensland has raised a question: Are our unfaithful evolution ancestors the cause for spouse cheating & infidelity? He justified infidelity (adultery): “Our unfaithful ancestors reproduced with greater success than their monogamous counterparts. ...Consequently, humans have evolved a tendency to cheat on their partners”. “Women gained a reproductive benefit from infidelity because having children with a range of different men increases the genetic diversity
amongst her offspring. Men benefit from infidelity by simply increasing the number of offspring they produce, which also increases the chances that at least some will survive.” He also justifies this behaviour: “There are characteristics of the relationship that can trigger infidelity. For example, frequent arguments, lack of trust, long-distance relationships and stifling the personal development of one’s partner are all associated with higher rates of infidelity.” As accepted evolutionary adaptive human value infidelity will increase as there are Websites like AshleyMadison and eHarmony that offer links with other people who crave for extra-marital sex (SCD, 2015).

Is Evolutionism - intrinsically a moral evil?

When the ground truth reveals that the agnostics and atheists (evolutionists) are more readily susceptible to accept a morally evil behaviour such as the ones listed above as an acceptable behaviour is viewed in the light of the self confession of a world renowned evolutionist then a natural question is raised whether an atheistic worldview has an inbuilt capacity to project a moral evil as good!

Polymorphic Pluralism in Marriage – The 8th – Polyamory

The eighth sex revolution is polyamory. It is a double-impact moral decay because what a traditional society considers immoral acts in marriage within the moral framework of bioethics/ It practices what is normal socially not accepted as human sexual behaviour as morally accepted behaviours. It is a double evil – a moral evil.

The early human sex history

In the bygone human history there were a total of seven sex revolutions (Azariah, 2015 a). The first three are recorded in Genesis Chapters 1 to 11(Bible). The fourth, fifth and the sixth revolutions were initiated during the years 1920s, 1960s and 1980s respectively. The seventh and the futuristic revolution relates to the question of “can a lesbian woman father a child?” The birth of the seventh one rests on the success of stem cell technology (Azariah, 2015 a; In Press). Since 1960 human society has been preoccupied by glorification of sex and sexuality. As a result human cultural environment has been hypersexualized by the process of pornographication. The phrase “sexed up” or “hyped up” is used when something is made more sexually appealing than it really is! This phrase came into common usage around 2003 (Scott, 2015).
The post modern 21st century advocates a culture which is a hyper-sexualized culture since totally new forms of sexual experiences are emerging with brand new sexual values proclaiming new sex ethics. There is a breakdown of traditional rules and regulations which ensured the dignity of marriage and the continuity of generations. Obscenity and nudity were taboos and were kept out of reach of children. Contemporary sex and sexuality are centred on the glorification of pornography without warning the young adult viewers about the ill effects of such pornographication.

The Eighth -The Background

Since 1960 human society has been preoccupied by the glorification of sex and sexuality. As a result human cultural environment has been hypersexualized by the process of pornographication. Such a hyper sexed climate came in very handy for the propagation and the birth of the eighth sex revolution.

Late Diana Moore was also known as Morning Glory Zell-Ravenheart (May 27, 1948 – May 13, 2014). She took advantage of the sex revolution in 1960s and the then prevailing usage of the word “polyfidelity” during 1970s and wrote an amorous article entitled “A Bouquet of Lovers” in which she boldly rejected the traditional ideology “that sexual and relational exclusivity are necessary for deep, committed, long-term loving relationship”. Instead she introduced a new type of bioethically based poly-amorous-fidelity. The sexual ethical philosophy of polyamory can be summed up in the words of Jade-Martina Lynch, the contestant of polymorous Irish Big Brother program. She expressed “My soul is just so free I can’t be in a monogamous relationship (Moynihan, 2015 b).”

A hybrid word ‘polyamory’ was derived by fusing the word ‘poly’ (Gr) meaning ‘many’ with a Latin word ‘amor’ meaning ‘love’. The Greek equivalent word is ‘eros’ from which the English word ‘erotic’ is derived. So then polyamory simply means a lifestyle of erotic-many-lovers i.e. one love shared among many people.

The very first sex revolution laid the foundation for the emergence of non monogamous relationship in polygamy. (i) A Polygynous relationship is the practice of having more than one wife -spouse. (ii) Soon the woman exercised her rights to marry multiple husbands – the polyandrous condition. (iii) In polyamorous relationships are group type of relationship in which marriage is not practised. But it is not ‘group-sex’ but it includes it since, in this relationship everybody is ‘married” to everybody else. The fundamental principle in polyamorous relationships is that “knowledge and consent of all partners concerned” is an important prerequisite.
Such relationships are built on an ideology of openness, goodwill, truthful communication, and ethical behaviour should prevail among all the parties involved.

Even though there is no marriage a high degree of bioethics principle is adhered to among the polyamorous group members. The sexual movement of each member is known to the entire group and informed consent of all partners concerned is obtained. “Distinguishing polyamory from other forms of non-monogamy (e.g., “cheating”) is an ideology that openness, goodwill, truthful communication, and ethical behaviour should prevail among all the parties involved” (Wiki, 2015 b).

Three fundamental principles

Polyamory has three fundamental principles. (i) The group advocates honesty in sexual relationship which includes a person’s sexual behavioural desires and the people's feelings of members involved. This excludes cheating the partner and clandestine adultery. The second (ii) principle relates to the bioethical obtaining the informed consent of the partners involved. This consent and permission of all members is a necessary prerequisite so as to avoid any form of coercion. No one can be forced to do something against their will. The third (iii) principle is to develop a high level of emotional intimacy with all the members of the group. The group desire is to develop genuine affection and love based on a deep intimacy with all the people involved. ‘In short it is a group love relationship with multiple persons simultaneously.

Emergence of Regional Groups

Adherents to the polyamorous worldview are distributed worldwide. The polyamorous group based in California goes by the name of Saturnia Regna which was a utopian paradise in Roman mythology. The Greeks referred to this mythical utopian era as the Golden Age. It was ruled by king named Saturnus, or Saturn. The Latin word “regna” has the meaning “reigning” or “presiding over”. Hence the name for the time when Saturn reigned was called “Saturnia Regna,” or “the Reign of Saturn.” During reign of Saturnia Regna, women and their sexuality were common public good and therefore woman was not the exclusive property of any one man. In this time men were not possessive of women as personal property. They freely permitted other men’s attention towards the women. All the inmates were free to do what they wanted to do with each other. As a result they were not bothered to recognise the biological paternity of specific children.
Sex Dolls

Matt McMullen found out that sex starved people are willing to spend thousands on sex dolls and so he began to make life size sex dolls since 1996. He priced each doll from $5,000 to $10,000 depending on body type, texture and colour of skin, hair and eye. With custom-ordered body parts and animated modern dolls were called “Realbotix” and hence these dolls may look like shockingly lifelike humanoid robots. These robotic heads with artificial intelligence can blink and open and close their mouth (Cott, 2015). One thing these dolls can’t do is that they cannot enter into a marriage relationship with the owner to procreate! For a child centric procreation the system needs the pair bonding of two complimentary sexes – the Man (male) and the Woman (female). This is the unbreakable biological rule. Artificial Intelligence can never ever create a “marrying doll” that is sexual.

Pretty Horrific

Mass media expects the parents to be a little more proactive with respect to their children’s social life. In a TV program “The Bachelor Australia – 7” parents were challenged with the following questions. “How would you feel about your son dating 24 women? Or about your daughter dating the same man as 23 other girls? Hopefully your mouth has dropped open in horror, because it is pretty horrific. I, for one, would not stand for it. And I don’t know whether it was the cameras in their faces, but I hoped for better from the parents that we met in the last few episodes. Dads were won over with one firm handshake, and the one mother who said something along the lines of, “This is a bit of an unusual situation, isn’t it?” was just as easily convinced with a few lines. I’m hopeful that most parents would care a little more before deeming someone worthy of their child” (Rajakariar, 2014). Such a mass media message may endanger the sanctity of boy-girl relationship leading up to Holy Matrimony. The Church should be aware of such negative impacts on social stability and take necessary steps towards ‘forward planning.’

Youth targeted with De-stigmatized pornography

Destigmatized hard-core-nitch-work-pornography is geared to entrap the young adults of below and above 20 years of age i.e. the teens + 20-something = Generation Y. It has now emerged as an emotive industry. “Hot Girls Wanted” is a documentary movie. The movie features about four young girls in their late teens who seek fame and fortune as porn models. They expose their bodies in lingerie and then proceed
to participate in hard-core humiliating sex acts. A reviewer adds that it exposes “an ugly truth about those who join the pornography industry and challenges our silence” (Morefield, 2015). In other words the Church has to wake up to uphold human sexuality in spirituality as well as in Biblical and Christian morality.

The reviewer commented (Morefield, 2015): “We get very little background on the young women and none of the participants dwell on religion or morality at all”. He continues that after viewing the film he found himself in a spiritual malaise and he felt “the world around me is a darker, uglier place than the one I grew up in. In such a spiritually toxic environment, it’s tempting to pronounce the world we live in not just broken, but irredeemable. It’s almost as though blind rage is the only emotion left strong enough to coexist with despair, or survive in its presence”.

The final concluding truth is most challenging. The reviewer concludes “The most depressing thing about Hot Girls Wanted is that it shows the entry of its four subjects into the world of pornography not as a slow, painful descent but as a giddy, head-first dive. The film postulates that porn has been destigmatized, at least for the under-twenty crowd, who are a lot more savvy about what the world is selling them than they are about the laws of supply and demand.”

**Campus Youth in Jeopardy**

The InterVarsity Christian Fellowship (IVCF) based in USA endeavours to create mature Christians among the young adults of below and above 20 years of age. Recent University regulations have made it harder for Christian Organizations to practice open witnessing in campuses.

**Religious liberty on college campuses**

The 23 Campus chapters of the InterVarsity Christian Fellowship (IVCF) were derecognized in 2011 by the California State University system. The privileges such as free usage of meeting halls and classrooms together with facilities were no more available to IVCF. According to Greg Jao, IVCF’s National Field Director and Campus Access Coordinator IVCF will lose its free access to campus rooms (this will cost our chapters $13k-30k/year to reserve room). IVCF will have no access to student activities programs, including the new student fairs when the new comers are contacted and it will lose its official standing with faculty, students and administrators. In order to reach the students with the Christian Gospel it will cost more!
California State University is a largest university system in America. And InterVarsity Christian Fellowship is also one of the largest American evangelical student Christian Fellowships. It was founded in 1941 and has 949 chapters on 616 university campuses with over 40,000 student members. IVCF works in small Bible study groups and conducts Christian leadership and Christian discipleship training conferences. In 2011 the Chancellor of the California State University (CSU) system issued a new executive order regarding membership to students unions. This Order stated that all recognized student groups have an open and non-discriminatory membership policy. IVCF does not discriminate but to recognize persons with different sexual orientation is rather difficult! Secondly such a new non-discriminatory policy will also cover any leadership positions. As a result any student can be elected to a Christian religious organization without subscribing to the core doctrines and group’s belief statements. An elected person need not even identify with the religion of the institution.

Inter Varsity Christian Fellowship is (IVCF) an interdenominational Christian organization. To become a member IVCF it is required that all campus-chapter leaders to affirm InterVarsity’s Doctrinal Basis Statements. However the Californian State University (CSU) has addressed (IVCF) to change the core doctrinal statements so as to allow non-Christians to serve as campus chapters leaders if IVCF wants to enjoy as an officially recognized CSU campus student group. This new requirement means that leaders with different sexual orientations and sex revolutionary ideologies can change the course of IVCF as an evangelical Christian Fellowship.

The eight sex revolutions have successfully brought disaster in to the human social system of marriage, family and parenting. In the absence of moral education in schools such a failure in marital system with the introduction of a sex revolution (polyamory) will spell disaster. The social fabric of Church may decay at a much faster rate than before. Therefore, Azariah (1994 b) suggested a quantum shift to spirituality mode.

**Too soon Too Fast**

Oh! America Oh! America! Your matrimony-moral-decay has set in too soon and too quick. This is pathological. Such a pathological condition could turn septic any time and become resistant to any intervention. Such a condition can happen is vouched by the survey results, documented above, by the American activists group. Which one of The Millennials (discussed below) will be willing and then will be able to set the institution of moral decay.
Pluralism in Generations

Pew Research Centre’s (PRC, 2015) report on “America’s Changing Religious Landscape (May 12, 2015) shows that Christians faith community continue to decline sharply as a share of population. But significant rise is seen in the unaffiliated other faiths groups and the ‘nones’. More interestingly the PRC survey identified a new group of cohort within the American society – the Millennials.

Millennials are born to rule

The term “Millennials” is an umbrella term to denote different age groups within the upcoming American younger generation which consists of 4 subgroups – X, Y & Z and the 20-something (Wiki, 2015 c). Letter X precedes letter Y; so also the generations. According to Pew Research Center (PRC) reports and data Millennials generation is composed of people who are born after 1980 and the first generation to come of age in the new millennium. The “Millennials” are also known as the Millennial Generation or Generation Y. This generation is the demographic cohort that follows Gen X. It may be noted that the year of birth that separates the different generations is purely arbitrary.

“More than half of Millennials, 59%, described their generation as ‘self-absorbed’ while 49% said they were ‘wasteful’ and 43% said they were ‘greedy’. Around 30% of Generation Xers — those ages 35 and 50 — said their own generation was self-absorbed and wasteful, and 20% of the baby boomers said the same about their age cohort.”

Gen X covers the birth years starting from the early 1980s to the early 2000s. The abbreviation Gen X (Generation X) is used to denote the generation born immediately after the Western post World War II baby-boom period which refers to the phenomenon of increased births in post war America which covers birth dates ranging from the early 1960s to the early 1980s. The oldest members of Generation Z - the cohort Millennials - are the college-going freshmen and the just born are the youngest members.

The subgroup “20-something” consists of persons who are above 20 years of age but less than 29 years. American teens and “20-something” are making their way into adulthood at the start of a new millennium. These young American groups are forging their way into a formidable force. As an upbeat generation they are confident, self expressive, liberal in views but open to change.
Millennials are ‘nones’

According to the reports and data bank of the Pew Research Centre Millennials generation is composed of people who are born after 1980 and the first generation to come of age in the new millennium. According to PRC’s survey reports and data, Millennials generation is composed of people who are born after 1980 and the first generation to come of age in the new millennium. That the Millennials are the main sector of the population which drives the growth of ‘nones’ is supported by the fact that 35% of Millennials do not identify with a religion (Lipka, 2015) Vide PRC FactTank May 12, 2015.

They are racially diverse, economically stressed and politically liberal. Millennials are building their own networks through social media – rather than through political parties, organized religion or marriage. Most of them call themselves as politically independents which is the highest sector for a given generation.

Millennials Characteristics

“One of the most important factors in the declining share of Christians and the growth of the “nones” is generational replacement. As the Millennial next generation enters adulthood, its members display much lower levels of religious affiliation, including less connection with Christian churches, than older generations.” As the new generation enters the portals of university education they are indoctrinated with evolution. As a result “fully 36% of young Millennials (those between the ages of 18 and 24) are religiously unaffiliated, as are 34% of older Millennials (ages 25-33). And fewer than six-in-ten Millennials identify with any branch of Christianity, compared with seven-in-ten or more among older generations, including Baby Boomers and Gen-Xers. Just 16% of Millennials are Catholic, and only 11% identify with mainline Protestantism. Roughly one-in-five are evangelical Protestants” (PRC, 2015). Such a generation once existed in the history of the nation of Israel (Judges – Bible – 2: 7 -10).

Formidable force

The Millennials are more in number. There are about 80 million of them compared to 23 million Generation Z members, but these numbers are liable for change. Millennials do not identify with any religion. Hence they are the main contributory factor for the growth of ‘nones’. They are racially diverse, economically stressed but confident, well connected and politically liberal. They have built their own networks
through social media – rather than through political parties, organized religion or marriage. Half now call themselves political independents, the highest share of any generation.

Members of Gen Z are digital technology experts. 95% of them use the internet. Gen Z kids are highly mass media experts and socially sophisticated and familiar with computer environment and more knowledgeable than Gen Y forerunners. Gen Z grows in a highly diverse environment but with skills in higher levels of technology. They are bound to make significant inroads in academics. They favour customized instruction, data mining of student histories. They are able to pinpoint diagnostics and remediation or accelerated achievement opportunities.

Gen Y kids often raised in dual income or single parent families have been more involved in family purchases...everything from groceries to new cars. One in nine Gen Yers has a credit card co-signed by a parent. Among Millennial Y teens 65% of 12 year-old girls and 79% of girls between 13 and 15 own their own mobile device and about 25% have a tablet.

**Millennial Ys – Paradigm Changers**

Paradigm is a change in human behaviour that follows any revolution, be it scientific or social or cultural or sexual. What are the paramount features of Gen. Ys that could make them paradigm changers? They are young with tender and formative mindset that is open to change and are connected as a group with social network. They do not have any allegiance to any political party or religion. Definitely they do not attend any religious Church services. In all likelihood they would have to identify themselves as ‘nones” – a group that is composed of rationalists, secularists, agnostics, atheists and the evolutionists. In short they believe in a godless society and are happy without God!

As pointed out by Myar, the evolutionists have removed from high school text books, all traces of moral education since about 1980s and have introduced the teaching of evolution in high schools itself. The Church and the State became separate. As a result the Gen. Ys are not exposed to any kind of moral education. When they grow up to run the country of America what sort of morality they would enforce nationwide? How they would bring about economic recovery to counter huge Federal and State deficits? Currently two high value consumer goods prevail in the economic market: condoms and pornography. A total of 600-700 million condoms are sold per year. In the year 2006 pornographic industry earned 97 billion
dollars. How will the future governments morally view these two emotive markets? What type of moral climate may persist in 2030-2050 America?

**A New TV Channel in Bioethics Education**

The Millennials are not exposed to any moral education, much less to bioethics. They are not connected through both by religion and by politics. They can be reached and establish connectivity only through social networks and mass media and smart phones. How to reach these Millennials who lack ethical and moral education? Their community is the main mission field for the bioethicists who believe in cross cultural global bioethics. A media savoury veteran can be that media missionary among the Millennials. It is a mission impossible but the mission could be achieved if we take a concerted action now! It is suggested to initiate a TV channel in memory of Rev. Fritz Jahr beaming 24x7 on bioethics education. Let the first 100 lectures be given by Dr. Hans- Martin Sass for bringing to light all the works of Rev. Fritz Jahr in bioethics.

**A Mere speculation**

The Millennials are a punch of Wi-Fi media experts well connected with each other through social media. Some of them may be knowledgeable in the working of computer digital (0 1) language. The present computer technology is corrupted and very vulnerable for hacking. One of them can develop a three digit computer language. The upcoming future anti-moral-hero has been bar coded as 666. Three digits may represent three digital computer language like {0 (6) 1 (6) / (6) } which can’t be corrupted which can do trillions and trillions of calculations and surveillance monitoring per nanosecond. The system which the Millenials may develop will be both “better and faster”. Will that top leader be a non religious but spiritual world leader without any moral mandate? Anyway the mission to form a cashless-One-World is still wide open!

**Back to Basics**

Muller Hill (1993) in his keynote speech on “Science, Truth and other Values” drew out the truth that humanity has (both scientist and general public) lost the seriousness to read the Old Testament and the Mosaic Laws. He stressed the importance of educating the conscience with Biblical moral teachings. He writes:
“I do not know how many of you here and how many scientists elsewhere believe in this new Bible written by scientists in four letters. But I know pretty well that very few scientists read Old Testaments and Mosaic Laws. Therefore, the question has to be raised as to what can be said of them. I know that to say ‘read the Old Testament or respect the commandments will result in laughter. So I have to retreat to my last line of defence and say listen carefully to your conscience. It is a voice that sometimes says No. It never says “yes” as Socrates has already remarked. This NO is the only break when all other breaks are gone. This voice can be silenced. Do not silence it. It comes forth from some unknown structure of human brain. It comes before religion and it grows with True Religion. Enjoy the beauty and pleasure of science and follow the voice of your conscience”.
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Moralno nazadovanje u pitanjima Svetoga braka
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