Dose-Dependent Protective and Inductive Effects of Xanthohumol on Oxidative DNA Damage in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*
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Summary

The effect of xanthohumol, a prenylflavonoid isolated from the hop plant (*Humulus lupulus* L.), on *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* DNA oxidative damage and viability was evaluated. Yeast cultures under oxidative stress, induced by H₂O₂, displayed stronger growth in the presence of 5 mg/L of xanthohumol than cultures with only H₂O₂. Likewise, DNA damage assessed by the comet assay was significantly lower in cells co-incubated with xanthohumol and H₂O₂. Accordingly, fluorescence of dichlorofluorescein in cells treated with H₂O₂ and xanthohumol was considerably lower than in cells exclusively treated with H₂O₂, indicative of a reactive oxygen species scavenging mechanism and consequent formation of oxidation products, as detected by mass spectrometry. However, at concentrations above 5 mg/L, xanthohumol elicited an opposite effect, leading to a slower growth rate and significant increase in DNA damage. A yeast yap1 deletion mutant strain sensitive to oxidative stress grew more slowly in the presence of at least 5 mg/L of xanthohumol than cultures of the wild type, suggesting that xanthohumol toxicity is mediated by oxidative stress. This evidence provides further insight into the impact of xanthohumol on yeast cells, supporting dose-dependent antioxidant/antigenotoxic and prooxidant/genotoxic effects.
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Introduction

The prenylated chalcone xanthohumol ((E)-1-[2,4-dihydroxy-6-methoxy-3-(3-methylbut-2-enyl)phenyl]-3-(4'-hydroxyphenyl)prop-2-en-1-one) is the principal prenylflavonoid of the female inflorescences (hops) of the hop plant *Humulus lupulus* L. (1,2). Hops are used in beer production to add bitterness and flavour, whereby beer is the main human dietary source of xanthohumol (3,4). This phenolic compound has received considerable attention in recent years, since it has shown interesting biological properties with potential for disease prevention and therapeutic applications: anti-inflammatory, antioxidant, antilipoperoxidative activities as well as antiangiogenic and antiproliferative effects (3–5).

Xanthohumol has been shown to exhibit potent antioxidant activity through the direct scavenging of reactive oxygen species (ROS) generated by xanthine oxidase, while...
its isomer isoxanthohumol is inactive (6). The radicals formed by oxidative stress can modify polyunsaturated lipids, proteins and nucleic acids, and are therefore associated with the early stages of carcinogenesis and apoptosis (4). Xanthohumol can also inhibit Cu^{2+}-mediated oxidation of low-density lipoproteins, but also promote oxidation by accelerating the formation of hydroxyl radicals in mixtures with H_2O_2 (7,8). The capacity of xanthohumol to induce intracellular ROS was shown to activate the mitochondrial apoptotic pathway in human malignant glioblastoma cells (9). Other studies support this finding, showing that it is able to inhibit the growth and to induce apoptosis in several cancer cell lines: prostate (10), ovarian (11), glioblastoma and malignant astrocytes (9,12), lymphocytic leukaemia (13) and colon (14).

The brewing industry has been particularly active to the health-promoting properties of xanthohumol aiming at the production of xanthohumol-enriched beer. The fermentation process is responsible for a strong reduction of xanthohumol content, since almost 60–90 % of it is lost by adsorption to Saccharomyces cerevisiae cells (15,16), which explains the relatively low xanthohumol content found in commercial beer. Therefore, different studies have focused on the evaluation of the parameters responsible for the decrease of xanthohumol in beer and how to overcome this problem to obtain its higher levels in the final product. However, in order to maintain the overall organoleptic properties and quality of the final beer, it is important to understand how the increased xanthohumol content might affect S. cerevisiae physiology. Yeast cells produce ROS as a by-product of oxidative phosphorylation, which can affect fermentation performance as well as the oxidative stability of the final beer (17). Xanthohumol has recently been shown to exhibit a dose-dependent effect on mitochondria of mammalian cells (18). Thus, the potential problems or benefits that it could bring to yeast physiology, due to its antioxidant properties, is an important issue of brewing research. A recent study, developed at pilot-scale, showed that xanthohumol has a positive dose-dependent effect on the physiological conditions of brewer’s yeast during fermentation (19).

The aim of the present work is to reveal how xanthohumol may affect the yeast cell viability in order to allow improvements of the brewing process such as efficiency of fermentation and production of xanthohumol-enriched beer. We have investigated the mechanism of action of xanthohumol on the yeast cells by assessing its intracellular antioxidant activity using xanthohumol on the yeast cells by assessing its intracellular antioxidant activity using the yeast comet assay to assess oxidative DNA damage.

Material and Methods

Reagents and standards

All the reagents used, except when otherwise stated, were purchased from GIBCO (Paisley, Scotland) and were of analytical grade. High-purity water (resistivity not lower than 18.2 MO-cm) from a Direct-Q® 3 UV water purification system (Millipore Ibérica, S.A., Madrid, Spain) was used for all analyses. Xanthohumol (≥98 % purity) was kindly donated by Martin Biendl from Hopsteiner (S. Steiner, New York, NY, USA, and Steiner Hopfen GmbH, Mainburg, Germany). Dichlorofluorescein diacetate (H_2DCFDA) was purchased from Life Technologies (Carlsbad, CA, USA), H_2O_2 (30 %) was purchased from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany), quercetin (>98 %) and anhydrous ethanol, used as solvent, were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). Acetonitrile (high-performance liquid chromatography grade) and formic acid (for mass spectrometry) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. Xanthohumol and quercetin stock solutions of 1 g/L were prepared by diluting the appropriate amount of reagent in ethanol and were stored at ~20°C. These solutions were used to prepare diluted solutions in all experiments.

Yeast strains, media and culture conditions

Two Saccharomyces cerevisiae strains were used in this study, S. cerevisiae BY4741 (MATa his3Δ1 leu2Δ2 met15Δ0 ura3Δ0) and yap1, which is the same strain also carrying the yap1(1YKL114c)::kanMX4 replacement allele. Both were obtained from the European Saccharomyces cerevisiae Archive for Functional Analysis (EUROSCARF, Institute for Molecular Biosciences, Johann Wolfgang Goethe-University Frankfurt, Frankfurt, Germany). Stock cultures were maintained on solid YPD medium (containing in % by mass per volume: yeast extract 1, peptone 2, glucose 2 and agar 2) at 30°C for 2 days and then maintained at 4°C for one week. Cells were cultivated in liquid YPD medium (without agar), in an orbital shaker at 30°C and 200 rpm. Growth was monitored by measuring the absorbance at 600 nm (A_600).

Yeast culture preparation

Saccharomyces cerevisiae cells were removed with an inoculation loop from a solid stock culture, then suspended in 5 mL of YPD medium (pre-inoculum) and incubated overnight at 30°C and 200 rpm. An appropriate volume of the pre-inoculum was diluted in fresh YPD medium to obtain A_600=0.1 and the culture was incubated under the same conditions for two generations to reach A_600=0.4. Subsequently, cells were harvested by centrifugation at 5000 g and 4°C for 2 min, washed once with the same volume of sterile deionised water and suspended in sterile deionised water, an appropriate buffer or liquid YPD medium depending on the experiment. This procedure ensures that cells are in an early exponential phase of growth.

Viability assays

A volume of 100 μL of cell suspension was collected, serially diluted to 10^4 in sterile deionised water and 100 μL were spread on solid YPD medium in order to obtain the viability count before treatments. Next, different dilutions of xanthohumol were added to aliquots of the initial culture in YPD medium for final concentrations of 1, 5, 10, 20 and 50 mg/L (final volume of 1 mL was maintained in all samples). Alternatively, the solvent (ethanol) was added to similar cell suspensions for control. In all samples
the maximum final ethanol volume fraction was 5 %. The suspensions were incubated at 30 °C and 200 rpm, and 100 μL were taken from each aliquot at 30, 60 and 150 min, diluted and spread on solid YPD. Cells were incubated at 30 °C for 48 h and the colonies were counted. Survival rates were calculated as percentage of colony-forming units (CFU), assuming 100 % survival of cells before any treatment (ethanol or xanthohumol). In order to exclude the effect of cell proliferation in culture media in viability assays, the procedure of the viability assays was the same, except that the cells were suspended in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS; 137 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 4.3 mM Na2HPO4 and 1.47 mM KH2PO4, pH=7.4) instead of sterile deionised water, after harveting the culture, and all treatments were performed in PBS instead of YPD.

**Evaluation of the growth of yeast cultures**

An appropriate volume of the pre-inoculum was diluted in liquid YPD medium to achieve $A_{600\text{ nm}} = 0.1$ as stated above and the culture was incubated with xanthohumol (1, 5, 10, 20 or 50 mg/L) and 10 mM H2O2. Ethanol (similar volume as xanthohumol solution) was added to a similar culture to be used as control. Cultures were incubated at 30 °C and 200 rpm, and growth was monitored by measuring the absorbance at 600 nm for 300 min. Specific growth rate was calculated from periodical measures of $A_{600\text{ nm}}$.

**Comet assay**

The yeast comet assay was performed to quantitatively determine DNA damage as described by Oliveira and Johansson (20). The yeast culture was prepared as mentioned above, cells were harvested by centrifugation at 5000×g and 4 °C for 2 min and washed twice with the same volume of ice-cold deionised water. Cells were resuspended in 2 mg/mL of zymolyase 20T (20 000 U/g; ImmunOMTM, MP Biomedicals, LLC, Santa Ana, CA, USA) in 5 buffer (1 M sorbitol and 25 mM KH2PO4, pH=6.5) containing 50 mM β-mercaptoethanol and incubated at 30 °C and 200 rpm for 30 min, in order to obtain spheroplasts. Spheroplasts were collected by centrifugation at 5000×g and 4 °C for 2 min, washed twice with the same volume of ice-cold 5 buffer, resuspended in the same volume of S buffer and distributed in aliquots of 100 μL. For treatments, aliquots of suspended cells were incubated for 20 min at 30 °C in the presence of xanthohumol (1, 2, 5, 7.5, 10 or 20 mg/L) and H2O2, (positive control; 10 mM H2O2) and 5 %, by volume, ethanol, mixtures of xanthohumol and H2O2, (positive control; 10 mM H2O2) and 5 %, by volume, ethanol, mixtures of xanthohumol and H2O2, (positive control; 10 mM H2O2) and 5 %, by volume, ethanol, mixtures of xanthohumol and H2O2, (positive control; 10 mM H2O2) and 5 %, by volume, ethanol. For the assessment of genotoxicity, spheroplasts were incubated with xanthohumol, and of antigenotoxicity with xanthohumol and H2O2. After incubation, spheroplasts were collected by centrifugation at 5000×g and 4 °C for 2 min, washed twice with S buffer and then suspended in 50 μL of low melting agarose (1.5 % by mass per volume in S buffer) at 35 °C. Subsequently, samples were analysed by the yeast comet assay as described elsewhere (20). At least 20 comets from representative images were acquired from each sample. The tail length (μm) was measured using the CometScore v. 1.5 software (21) and used as a parameter for DNA damage quantification.

**Flow cytometry analysis**

The yeast culture was prepared as described in Yeast culture preparation section. Cells were harvested by centrifugation at 5000×g and 4 °C for 2 min and washed twice with the same volume of PBS. The suspension was diluted to reach $A_{600\text{ nm}}=0.02$ with PBS and 500 μL were collected for autofluorescence measurement. The antioxidant activity was measured as described by Marques et al. (22) with minor modifications. Dichlorofluorescein diacetate (H2DCFDA) was added to the cell suspension (final concentration 50 μM) and cells were further incubated at 30 °C and 200 rpm for 1 h in the dark. Cells were washed twice with the same volume of PBS and aliquots of 1 mL were incubated with xanthohumol (1, 2 or 5 mg/L) and 5 mM H2O2 for 20 min at 30 °C. Each sample containing 20 000 cells was analysed by flow cytometry in an Epics® XLTM cytometer (Beckman Coulter, Brea, CA, USA) equipped with a 15 mW argon-ion laser emitting at 488 nm. Green fluorescence was collected through a 488-nm blocking filter, a 550-nm long-pass dichroic and a 225-nm band-pass filter. Data were analysed and histograms were made with the FlowJo v. 10.0.7 software (23).

**HPLC-DAD-ESI-MS/MS analysis of xanthohumol oxidation products**

The identification of the oxidation products of xanthohumol was confirmed online by high-performance liquid chromatography with diode array detector (HPLC-DAD) coupled with electrospray ionization tandem mass spectrometry (ESI-MS/MS). The samples were prepared by adding 5 mM H2O2 to 10 mg/L of xanthohumol solution prepared in 10 mM ammonium bicarbonate buffer at pH=7. The mixture was incubated for 20 min at 30 °C in the dark. Control assays without H2O2 and/or xanthohumol were also prepared. The formation of oxidation products was investigated by HPLC-DAD-ESI-MS/MS. The HPLC Accela system was equipped with Accela PDA detector, Accela Autosampler and Accela 600 Pump (Thermo Fischer Scientific, Bremen, Germany). Separations were carried out on a Phenomenex Gemini 3 μm C18 100 Å LC column, 150 mm×4.6 mm (Phenomenex Inc., Torrance, CA, USA) with a pre-column (4 mm×3.0 mm) using a binary solvent gradient (solvent A: 0.1 % formic acid in water and solvent B: acetonitrile), starting the injection with 40 % B, followed by a linear increase to 100 % B in 20 min and maintained for 5 min under these conditions. The flow rate was 0.4 mL/min and a total volume of 20 μL of a sample was injected into the column, which was kept at 20 °C.

An LTQ Orbitrap XL mass spectrometer (Thermo Fischer Scientific) controlled by LTQ Tune Plus v. 2.5.5 software and equipped with an electrospray ionization (ESI) source was used. Simultaneous acquisition of mass spectral data and photodiode array (PDA) data was processed by using Xcalibur v. 2.2 software (24). The capillary voltage of the ESI was set to 3000 V. The capillary temperature was 275 °C. The sheath gas and auxiliary gas flow rate (nitrogen) were set to 40 and 10, respectively (arbitrary units as provided by the software settings). The capillary voltage was 9 V and the tube lens voltage 60 V. Acquisition of the mass data was performed between m/z=80
and 2000 and the Orbitrap resolution was set to 30 000. All experiments were done using MS® (Waters, Milford, MA, USA) for data recording without discrimination of ions or their preselection (30.0 energy collision). The positive ion polarity mode was selected in this work due to a better signal-to-noise ratio in comparison with negative ion mode.

**Metmyoglobin antioxidant assay**

The Antioxidant Assay Kit was purchased from Cayman Chemical Company (Ann Harbor, MI, USA), and the assay was developed according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The assay was performed in 96-well plates by adding to each well 10 μL of xanthohumol or quercetin (0–200 mg/L), 10 μL of metmyoglobin and 150 μL of ABTS·−. At the end, 50 μL of H2O2 (441 μM) were added to the mixture and incubated in a shaker for 5 min at room temperature. Antioxidant capacity was quantified by reading the absorbance at 750 nm using a BioTek® PowerWave XS (Winooski, VT, USA) microplate reader and evaluating the half maximal inhibitory concentration (IC50) responsible for inhibition of 50 % of ABTS oxidation.

**Statistical analysis**

Comet assay results are presented as the mean value±standard deviation (S.D.) obtained from at least 20 comets of each of three independent experiments. All other results are presented as the mean value±S.D. from at least three independent experiments. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used for comparison of more than two mean values and Tukey’s test was used for multiple comparisons. Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient (rS) was applied to measure the strength of association between two ranked variables.

**Results**

**Effect of xanthohumol on yeast viability**

Yeast cells were incubated with xanthohumol (1, 5, 10, 20 or 50 mg/L) for different time periods, and then plated on solid YPD medium to evaluate the effect of xanthohumol on viability. This concentration range was chosen according to the suggested final xanthohumol concentration in enriched beer (up to 30 mg/L) (25). A control without xanthohumol, but containing the same volume of the solvent (ethanol), was included in order to evaluate the viability of untreated and non-stressed cells. When yeast cells were exposed to xanthohumol concentrations equal to or higher than 10 mg/L, a significant increase (p<0.05) in the rate of loss of viability was observed (Fig. 1a), while concentrations equal to or lower than 5 mg/L did not have significant impact on the yeast viability. Inclusion in the presence of PBS for 150 min led to substantially higher viabilities (Fig. 1b) compared to cultures in YPD (Fig. 1a), particularly in the presence of 20 mg/L of xanthohumol. This result strongly suggests that the toxic activity of xanthohumol is mediated by active yeast metabolism, which is attenuated in the nutrient-free PBS solution.

**Xanthohumol has in vitro antioxidant activity**

The antioxidant capacity of xanthohumol was tested by the metmyoglobin assay, a rapid method for the assessment of antioxidant protection of a substance (26,27). This assay has been used to measure the total antioxidant capacity of various biological samples related to the production of ROS as a consequence of normal aerobic metabolism. The assay relies on the ability of compounds to inhibit the oxidation of ABTS to ABTS·+ by metmyoglobin. The amount of produced radical is suppressed to a given level, which is proportional to the antioxidant capacity of the tested compound. In this case, different xanthohumol concentrations were tested based on its antioxidant capacity and compared with quercetin, a naturally occurring polyphenol well-known for its antioxidant properties. Xanthohumol displayed a weak antioxidant capacity after the addition of H2O2 (IC50=31.47 mg/L) when com-
pared to quercetin (IC₅₀=39.97 mg/L), which correlates with the decreased toxicity in cells suspended in PBS, presented above, where cellular metabolism is attenuated.

**Xanthohumol protects yeast cells under stress conditions**

The low antioxidant capacity of xanthohumol observed in the metmyoglobin assay could potentially protect yeast cells from oxidative stress. To test this hypothesis, we measured yeast growth in the presence of 10 mM H₂O₂ with and without xanthohumol. As the xanthohumol is dissolved in 5 % ethanol, the same volume fraction of ethanol without xanthohumol was included in the control. Yeast cultures did not grow in the presence of 10 mM H₂O₂ (with 5 % ethanol) or in combination with 1 or 2 mg/L of xanthohumol (Fig. 2). The presence of 5 mg/L of xanthohumol had a protective effect against H₂O₂ as yeast growth could be observed after 120 min of incubation when compared with cultures containing 10 mM H₂O₂ and 5 % ethanol.

**Xanthohumol decreases intracellular oxidation**

The protective effect observed in Fig. 2 could be due to a decrease in the levels of ROS as a consequence of a scavenging activity of xanthohumol. We tested this hypothesis by incubating cells loaded with the redox-sensitive fluorescent probe H₂DCF with xanthohumol and H₂O₂. This probe is able to diffuse freely into the cells through the plasma membrane where it is deacetylated to reduced dichlorofluorescein (H₂DCF). This form is hydrophilic and becomes trapped inside cells due to impermeability of plasma membranes. In the presence of oxidants, or depending on the intracellular redox environment, H₂DCF is converted to oxidized fluorescent form, dichlorofluorescein (DCF), which can be measured in a flow cytometer upon excitation at 530 nm and emission at 485 nm. As can be seen in Fig. 3, 5 mM H₂O₂ induced an increase of intracellular oxidation and, hence, higher fluorescence in H₂DCF-loaded cells (272 AU) than in nontreated cells (120 AU). Increasing concentrations of xanthohumol from 1 to 2 and 5 mg/L decreased the intracellular oxidation of cells induced by H₂O₂ in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 3), which resulted in a lower fluorescence (250, 222 and 145 AU, respectively). Therefore, the antioxidant activity of xanthohumol observed *in vitro* is also present intracellularly when yeast cells are incubated with xanthohumol and H₂O₂.

**Xanthohumol oxidation products determined by HPLC-DAD-ESI-MS/MS**

The oxidation reaction of the prenylated flavonoid xanthohumol was investigated in order to infer an oxidation induced by H₂O₂. The generated compounds were subsequently detected by high-performance liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (HPLC-MS/MS). A 40-minute chromatographic run was performed and three major peaks were observed in HPLC-DAD and HPLC-MS/MS chromatograms (Fig. 4). The peak with retention time of 17.36 min showed a protonated molecule at *m/z*=355.15 in the ESI-Orbitrap mass spectrum and a UV spectrum with a maximum at 368 nm. This is the most abundant peak in the chromatogram and corresponds to the xanthohumol fraction that was not oxidized (fragment ions at *m/z*=179.03 and 299.09 were observed). Two new peaks were detected as the oxidation products of xanthohumol, since they are not present in the blank/control assays. The new compound corresponding to the retention time of 12.64 min showed a protonated molecule at *m/z*=369.13 (Fig. 4). This oxidation product resulted from the net addition of one oxygen atom to xanthohumol and the loss of two hydrogen atoms. Collision-induced fragmentation of the selected ion yielded an abundance of prenyl cleavage fragments with *m/z*=313.07 [MH-C₅H₆]⁺. Accordingly, the compound was identified as the endoperoxyxanthohumol (EPOX, Fig. 5a), which
has been reported as a product formed after the treatment of xanthohumol with excess of peroxynitrite (28). The UV spectrum of EPOX ($\lambda_{max}=363$ nm) and the lack of the base peak at $m/z=179$, which represents a fragment resulting from retro Diels-Alder reaction, point to the presence of this compound ($C_{21}H_{21}O_{6}$, $m/z=369.13$).

The observed $m/z=387.14$ for the peak with retention time of 11.95 min (Fig. 4) represents a gain in molecular mass of 32 Da, corresponding to an introduction of two oxygen atoms at the xanthohumol structure. The presence of the fragment ion at $m/z=301.11$ (Fig. 5b) suggested that the prenyl group could be attacked by the hydroperoxide. In effect, this fragment corresponds to the loss of the prenyl group, as shown in Fig. 5b. The double bond in the prenyl group has a higher electron density than the conjugated chalcone skeleton and is therefore more prone to an attack by the peroxyl radical. Consequently, addition of the peroxyl radical to the prenyl group gives rise to the cyclic peroxide with $m/z=387.14$ ($C_{21}H_{23}O_7$). The presence of this oxidation product has not been reported for xanthohumol. However, several natural cyclic peroxides from marine sources have been tested for a broad range of activities including antiviral, antimalarial, antimicrobial activity and cytotoxicity (29).

**Xanthohumol protects yeast cells from DNA damage induced by H$_2$O$_2$**

The integrity of DNA is crucial to cells, but it is susceptible to damage induced by ROS (26). If a compound is able to prevent DNA damage it may have a potential benefit to cells and possibly to health in humans. The antioxidant activity of xanthohumol could contribute to genome

---

**Fig. 4.** Identification of xanthohumol (XN) oxidation products induced by H$_2$O$_2$. The chromatograms are reconstructed at: a) $m/z=355.15$, b) $m/z=369.13$ and c) $m/z=387.14$

**Fig. 5.** Proposed structures of xanthohumol oxidation products with: a) $m/z=369.13$ and b) $m/z=387.14$ and the corresponding fragmentation processes
protection in yeast cells, which, to our knowledge, has not been investigated before. The genome-protective effect of xanthohumol against oxidative DNA damage induced by H$_2$O$_2$ was evaluated using the yeast comet assay. The yeast comet assay is a well-established, simple, versatile, sensitive and inexpensive technique used to assess DNA oxidative damage quantitatively and qualitatively in eukaryotic cell populations (30–33). Yeast spheroplasts were incubated with different xanthohumol concentrations and 10 mM H$_2$O$_2$ in S buffer (containing 1 M sorbitol) in order to maintain osmotic protection. Ethanol (5 % by volume) was present in all samples since xanthohumol was dissolved in ethanol. The DNA of the cells in the presence of ethanol alone was not significantly damaged (measured as comet tail length; Fig. 6), whereas H$_2$O$_2$ dramatically increased (p<0.01) comet tail length. When xanthohumol was added, a decrease in comet tail length was observed at concentrations below 10 mg/L (Fig. 6). However, at the highest concentration of xanthohumol (10 mg/L), DNA damage was similar to that of cells incubated only with 10 mM H$_2$O$_2$. Therefore, dose-dependent antigenotoxic activity of xanthohumol is only observed at concentrations below 10 mg/L.

**Xanthohumol induces DNA damage**

Considering that xanthohumol was shown to be toxic to yeast cells only at concentrations higher than 10 mg/L (Figs. 1a and b) and at the same time protective at lower concentrations (Figs. 2, 3 and 6), it was hypothesized that xanthohumol could be genotoxic instead of protective at high concentrations. Cells were incubated with xanthohumol alone, without H$_2$O$_2$, and the DNA damage was assessed by the comet assay. As shown in Fig. 7, cells treated with xanthohumol at concentrations above 5 mg/L exhibited a statistically significant (p<0.001) increase in comet tail length when compared with cells treated only with ethanol (5 % by volume). This strongly suggests that xanthohumol also has a genotoxic activity at higher concentrations. The genotoxic effect did not promote longer comet tails at concentrations above 10 mg/L (Fig. 7), possibly due to the limited capacity of the genomic DNA to unwind and migrate during electrophoresis in the comet assay (30).

**Xanthohumol genotoxicity and prooxidant activity**

In an attempt to investigate the mechanism involved in xanthohumol genotoxicity observed at higher concentrations, a mutant yeast strain, yap1, sensitive to oxidative stress (34), was incubated with xanthohumol. The yap1 mutant strain is sensitive to oxidative stress because YAP1 encodes a transcription factor involved in the oxidative stress response triggering the transcription of genes encoding proteins required for scavenging and degrading ROS (35,36). Strains yap1 and the corresponding parental strain (BY4741) were cultured in rich medium in the presence of different concentrations of xanthohumol for 300 min and growth was monitored periodically by absorbance. Growth rate inhibition was calculated taking as reference the growth rate of the same strain without xanthohumol (Table 1). The growth of both strains was affected by xanthohumol, the yap1 being more sensitive. Growth of the yap1 was significantly affected at all tested concentrations, while strain BY4741 was affected at 7.5 and 10 mg/L of xanthohumol. Higher concentrations of xanthohumol affected both strains. The increased sensitivity of the yap1 strain adds genetic evidence to the previous results, indicating that xanthohumol is prooxidant at high concentrations.

**Discussion**

Anticarcinogenic and antioxidant properties of xanthohumol present in Humulus lupulus L. have been reported before (3,5,9–14,37–39). However, scarce information is available on the effect of xanthohumol on yeast cells. This work presents a first approach to the study of antigenotoxic and genotoxic effects of xanthohumol on Saccharomyces cerevisiae cells focusing on the brewer’s yeast physiology. The potential beneficial use of xanthohumol in human health has led beer manufacturers to
produce beer with higher contents of this compound. Generally, in studies on xanthohumol beer enrichment, the effect of xanthohumol concentration in yeast is disregarded, only seeking the parameters that can lead to higher xanthohumol concentrations in the final product (15,16,40). However, addition of xanthohumol during brewing could affect negatively the yield and quality of beer due to the effects this compound could promote in yeast cells. Therefore, the knowledge of the mechanisms of biological activity of xanthohumol can help producers to circumvent the potential deleterious effects on brewer’s yeasts. Here we show evidence supporting that at xanthohumol concentrations above 5 mg/L the loss of viability is due to a prooxidant activity, which affects the genome integrity. However, we also show that low levels of xanthohumol (below 5 mg/L) protect yeast cells from oxidative stress and oxidative DNA damage induced by H₂O₂ in a dose-dependent manner. Intracellular oxidation state, as measured in vivo with the redox-sensitive probe H₂DCF-DA, also decreases in a dose-dependent manner with xanthohumol in this concentration range.

The results presented in Figs. 1a and b demonstrate that yeast cells are affected by xanthohumol and hence, fermentation can be highly influenced by the addition of this compound in the previous industrial steps. For this reason, forthcoming investigation on the production of xanthohumol-enriched beer should include monitoring of xanthohumol concentration during fermentation in order to improve fermentation performance. These results are in agreement with those reported by Magalhães et al. (19) where viability slightly decreased after the addition of 10 mg/L of xanthohumol at the beginning of fermentation. The differences with our study, namely in the fermentation conditions at 4 °C for one week and the yeast species (Saccharomyces pastorianus), might explain the less intense response in viability. Moreover, lower temperatures can decrease xanthohumol solubility and consequent bioavailability since it is only slightly soluble in aqueous medium.

In the same study, Magalhães et al. (19) report that the increase of yeast vitality (fermentative capacity) could be ascribed to the protective antioxidant activity of xanthohumol. Accordingly, xanthohumol has been reported to be an effective antioxidant (8) and free radical scavenger (5,37). Results of our study remain in line with these reports for concentrations below 5 mg/L, assessed by both, viability assays (Fig. 2) and flow cytometry (Fig. 3). In this range of concentrations we report that xanthohumol has antigenotoxic activity, which correlates with the antioxidant activity reported here (Fig. 3, r=0.90) and elsewhere (5,8,37), and with the prevention of amino-3-methylimidazo[4,5-f]quinoxoline-induced DNA damage in liver cells (41). The antioxidant activity of xanthohumol was evidenced by the identification of two major oxidation products of xanthohumol molecule induced by H₂O₂ (Figs. 4 and 5).

Concentrations of xanthohumol above 5 mg/L provoked the opposite effect, leading to a slower growth rate (Table 1 and genotoxicity (Fig. 6). When a yeast mutant sensitive to oxidative stress (yap1) was used, the decrease in growth rate in the presence of xanthohumol was even higher (Table 1). This is also in accordance with previous studies showing that xanthohumol was able to induce intracellular ROS, leading to a decrease of cell viability and induction of apoptosis in several cancer cell lines (9,42). Flavonoids with a phenol B ring are able to form phenoxyl radicals, which catalyze glutathione (GSH) or nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NADH) oxidation and consequent generation of ROS (42). Similarly, xanthohumol has an open C-ring with a hydroxyl group on the B-ring that may support the production of phenoxyl radical and ROS production. Prooxidant activity also appears to be related to the presence of transition metals (such as iron and copper), peroxides and high flavonoid concentrations, increasing the formation of Fe²⁺ and Cu⁺ that react with H₂O₂ in the Fenton reaction (7,43).

Taken together, our results strongly suggest that xanthohumol has a dose-dependent dual effect on yeast cells: at low concentrations it is antigenotoxic, whereas at high concentrations it becomes genotoxic. In vitro antioxidant activity also revealed a mild antioxidant activity that correlates with this property. For flavonoids, this effect can be ascribed to the prooxidant activity at elevated doses, combined with the agents that induce oxidative damage (42). These results are in accordance with the denominated Janus effect proposed for substances with dual effect (44). These results agree qualitatively with a recent finding that xanthohumol protects mammalian cancer cells at low concentrations, while doses higher than 1.8 mg/L had a detrimental effect (18).

**Conclusions**

This work presents the first approach to the study of antigenotoxic and genotoxic effects of xanthohumol on Saccharomyces cerevisiae, contributing to an optimized utilization of xanthohumol in the production of xanthohumol-enriched beer and reinforcing the beneficial and harmful effects of this compound on yeast cells.

---

**Table 1. Growth rates of S. cerevisiae BY4741 and yap1 strains in the presence of xanthohumol**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>γ(XN)/(mg/L)</th>
<th></th>
<th>γ(XN)/(mg/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Growth rate/h⁻¹</td>
<td>Growth rate inhibition/%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BY4741</td>
<td>0.115</td>
<td>0.102</td>
<td>0.083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yap1</td>
<td>0.099</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>0.042</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Inhibition of growth was calculated as percentage of growth rate decrease of each strain taking as reference the control culture without xanthohumol (XN). Growth rates are the mean value of three independent experiments (*p<0.05 and **p<0.01)
Xanthohumol protects yeast cells from oxidative stress and oxidative DNA damage induced by H$_2$O$_2$ in a dose-dependent manner at concentrations up to 5 mg/L. The protective effect of xanthohumol involves a ROS scavenging mechanism with a consequent decrease of intracellular oxidation of cells. However, once a concentration threshold (5 mg/L) has been reached, xanthohumol triggers the opposite effect leading to a slower growth rate and genotoxicity. The evaluation of the impact of xanthohumol on yeast mutants affected by oxidative stress (mutP) proved that xanthohumol toxicity is mediated by oxidative stress, indicating its prooxidant effect at concentrations higher than 5 mg/L.
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