

Perfect 1-error-correcting Lipschitz weight codes

OLOF HEDEN^{1,*} AND MURAT GÜZELTEPE²

¹ *Department of Mathematics, KTH, SE-100 44 Stockholm, Sweden*

² *Department of Mathematics, Sakarya Universit, TR-54 187 Sakarya, Turkey*

Received May 10, 2015; accepted October 27, 2015

Abstract. Let π be a Lipschitz prime and $p = \pi\pi^*$. Perfect 1-error-correcting codes in $H(\mathbb{Z})_\pi^n$ are constructed for every prime number $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. This completes a result of the authors in an earlier work, *Perfect Mannheim, Lipschitz and Hurwitz weight codes*, (Math. Commun. **19**(2014), 253–276), where a construction is given in the case $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.

AMS subject classifications: 94B60

Key words: perfect codes

1. Introduction

Lipschitz weight codes were introduced by Martinez et al. in [3, 4]. Shortly, consider the ring of quaternions over the integers

$$H(\mathbb{Z}) = \{a_0 + a_1e_1 + a_2e_2 + a_3e_3 \mid a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \mathbb{Z}\},$$

where

$$e_1^2 = e_2^2 = e_3^2 = -1, \tag{1}$$

and

$$e_1e_2 = -e_2e_1 = e_3, \quad e_2e_3 = -e_3e_2 = e_1, \quad e_3e_1 = -e_1e_3 = e_2. \tag{2}$$

A *Lipschitz prime* is an element $\pi = a_0 + a_1e_1 + a_2e_2 + a_3e_3$ in $H(\mathbb{Z})$ such that

$$p = \pi\pi^* = (a_0 + a_1e_1 + a_2e_2 + a_3e_3)(a_0 - a_1e_1 - a_2e_2 - a_3e_3) = a_0^2 + a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2$$

is an odd prime number. The integer $N(\pi) = \pi\pi^*$ is called the *norm* of π .

The elements in the left ideal

$$\langle \pi \rangle = \{\lambda\pi \mid \lambda \in H(\mathbb{Z})\}$$

constitute a normal subgroup of the additive group of the ring $H(\mathbb{Z})$. The set of cosets to $\langle \pi \rangle$ in $H(\mathbb{Z})$ constitute an Abelian group denoted as below:

$$H = H(\mathbb{Z})_\pi = H(\mathbb{Z})/\langle \pi \rangle.$$

*Corresponding author. *Email addresses:* olohed@math.kth.se (O. Heden), mguzeltepe@sakarya.edu.tr (M. Güzeltepe)

In [3], it is proved that the size of $H(\mathbb{Z})_\pi$ is equal to p^2 .

Let

$$\mathcal{E} = \{\pm 1, \pm e_1, \pm e_2, \pm e_3\},$$

and let \mathcal{E}_π denote the family of cosets to $\langle \pi \rangle$ containing the elements of \mathcal{E} . We define the *distance* between the words $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ and $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)$ in the direct product $H(\mathbb{Z})_\pi^n$ of n copies of $H(\mathbb{Z})_\pi$,

$$d((\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n), (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)) = 1,$$

if there is a $j \in [n]$ and an $\epsilon \in \mathcal{E}_\pi$ such that $\beta_j = \alpha_j + \epsilon$ and $\beta_i = \alpha_i$, for $i \neq j$.

A *perfect 1-error-correcting Lipschitz weight code of length n* is a subset C of the direct product H^n of n copies of the group H , such that every element in $C \setminus H^n$ is at distance one from exactly one word of C .

In [1], perfect 1-error-correcting Lipschitz weight codes in $H(\mathbb{Z})_\pi^n$ are constructed for every Lipschitz prime π such that $p = \pi\pi^* \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, for $p > 3$. The purpose of this paper is to extend this result to the case $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.

2. Notation

The coset $a + \langle \pi \rangle$ to the left ideal $\langle \pi \rangle$ in the ring $H(\mathbb{Z})$ is denoted by \bar{a} .[‡]

It is important for our results that the Abelian group $H(\mathbb{Z})_\pi$, consisting of the cosets to the left ideal $\langle \pi \rangle$, is a left module over the ring $H(\mathbb{Z})$, see [1]. We remind that, as a left module over $H(\mathbb{Z})$, the left distributive rule holds in $H(\mathbb{Z})_\pi$, that is,

$$\lambda(\bar{a} + \bar{b}) = \lambda\bar{a} + \lambda\bar{b},$$

is true for every $\lambda \in H(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\bar{a}, \bar{b} \in H(\mathbb{Z})_\pi$.

For example, with $\pi = 2 - 3e_2$, we get that $13e_i = e_i(2 + 3e_2)(2 - 3e_2) \in \langle \pi \rangle$, for $i = 1, 2, 3$, and that $\bar{e}_3 = \overline{5e_1}$, as

$$4e_1(2 - 3e_2) \in \langle \pi \rangle \implies 8e_1 - 12e_3 \in \langle \pi \rangle \implies -12e_3 \in -8e_1 + \langle \pi \rangle.$$

Also used in an example below is the fact that

$$(2 + 2e_3)\overline{-e_3} = \overline{-2e_3 + 2} = \bar{2} - \overline{2e_3} = \bar{2} - \overline{10e_1} = \bar{2} + \overline{3e_1} = \overline{2 + 3e_1}, \quad (3)$$

and that

$$\bar{0} = e_1\bar{0} = e_1(\overline{8e_1 - 12e_3}) = \overline{-8} + \overline{12e_2} = \bar{5} - \bar{e}_2,$$

that is, $\bar{e}_2 = \bar{5}$.

Finally, we let \mathcal{E}_0 and \mathcal{E}_1 denote the following sets

$$\mathcal{E}_0 = \{\pm \bar{1}, \pm \bar{e}_1\}, \quad \mathcal{E}_1 = \{\pm \bar{e}_2, \pm \bar{e}_3\}.$$

[‡]To simplify reading of the text, one of the reviewers suggested a change of the notation used in ‘‘Part One’’ [1] of this study.

3. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper we are only concerned with the case when $p = \pi^* \pi \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ is a prime number. It then follows from the Christmas theorem of Fermat that p is the sum of two squares. Henceforth, we consider the case when $\pi = a_0 + a_2 e_2$, whereby $a_0^2 + a_2^2$ is equal to a prime number p .

We note that $p \in \langle \pi \rangle$, as $p = \pi^* \pi$. Thus $\bar{p} = \bar{0}$ in $H(\mathbb{Z})_\pi$, and elements in $H(\mathbb{Z})_\pi$ can be described as 4-tuples $x_0 + x_1 \bar{e}_1 + x_2 \bar{e}_2 + x_3 \bar{e}_3$, where we may assume that $x_i \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ for $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$. The element $\overline{a_0 + a_2 e_2}$ is equal to $\bar{0}$ in $H(\mathbb{Z})_\pi$. Hence, if we let \mathbf{i} denote the element $-a_0/a_2$ in the finite field \mathbb{Z}_p , then $-\mathbf{i}\bar{1} + \bar{e}_2 = \bar{0}$ in $H(\mathbb{Z})_\pi$, and furthermore, as $\bar{0} = e_1(\overline{a_0 + a_2 e_2}) = \overline{a_0 e_1 + a_2 e_3}$, we get that

$$\mathbf{i}\bar{e}_1 - \bar{e}_3 = \bar{0}. \quad (4)$$

Hence, with this notation,

$$\overline{x + ye_3} = \overline{x + \mathbf{i}ye_1}, \quad \overline{xe_1 + ye_2} = \overline{\mathbf{i}y + xe_1}, \quad (5)$$

and

$$\overline{x_0 + x_1 e_1 + x_2 e_2 + x_3 e_3} = \overline{x_0 + \mathbf{i}x_2 + (x_1 + \mathbf{i}x_3)e_1},$$

and, trivially, in \mathbb{Z}_p we have

$$\mathbf{i}^2 = -1. \quad (6)$$

We say that a selection of coset representatives $\bar{H} = \overline{H(\mathbb{Z})_\pi}$ to $\langle \pi \rangle$ in $H(\mathbb{Z})$ is a *complete selection of coset representatives* if no two elements of $\bar{H}(\mathbb{Z})_\pi$ are congruent modulo π , and if all cosets to $\langle \pi \rangle$ are represented in $\bar{H}(\mathbb{Z})_\pi$, that is,

$$|\overline{H(\mathbb{Z})_\pi}| = |H(\mathbb{Z})_\pi|.$$

As in [1], we say that the set \bar{H} is \mathcal{E} -homogeneous if

$$\bar{h}\epsilon = \bar{h}'\epsilon \implies \bar{h} = \bar{h}'$$

for every $\epsilon \in \mathcal{E}_\pi$ and $\bar{h}, \bar{h}' \in \bar{H}$. In [1], the following proposition is proved:

Proposition 1. *Let $\pi = a_0 + a_1 e_1 + a_2 e_2 + a_3 e_3$ be a Lipschitz prime with $p = \pi \pi^*$. Then, for any two distinct elements e_i and e_j in $\{e_0 = 1, e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ such that p does not divide $a_i^2 + a_j^2$, it is true that*

$$C_{i,j} = \{x_i e_i + x_j e_j : x_i, x_j \in \mathbb{Z}_p\}$$

is a complete selection of coset representatives to $\langle \pi \rangle$ in $H(\mathbb{Z})$. Furthermore, $C_{i,j}$ is \mathcal{E} -homogeneous.

A code C is a *group code* if it is a subgroup of H^n , or equivalently, as H^n is a finite group,

$$c, c' \in C \implies c - c' \in C.$$

We say that a group code C in H^n is an (n, k) -code if the size of C is equal to $|H|^k$.

A more general version of the next theorem is proved in [1].

Theorem 1. *Let H and \mathcal{E}_π be constituted as above, and let \overline{H} be a complete selection of coset representatives to $\langle \pi \rangle$. Assume that the norm of π is an odd prime number. Let $n = (|H| - 1)/(|\mathcal{E}_\pi|)$. If $g_1 = 1, g_2, \dots, g_n$ are elements in \overline{H} , satisfying the following three conditions:*

- (i) $|g_i \mathcal{E}_\pi| = |\mathcal{E}_\pi|$, for $i = 2, 3, \dots, n$;
- (ii) $g_i \mathcal{E}_\pi \cap g_j \mathcal{E}_\pi = \emptyset$, for $i \neq j$;
- (iii) $H \setminus \{0\} = \mathcal{E}_\pi \cup g_2 \mathcal{E}_\pi \cup \dots \cup g_n \mathcal{E}_\pi$;

then the null-space C of the matrix

$$\mathbf{H} = (1 \ g_2 \ \dots \ g_n)$$

is a perfect 1-error-correcting group $(n, n - 1)$ -code in H^n .

Indeed, the code C is defined by the elements g_i , for $i \in [n]$, and may not be altered by a change of these elements. It follows from the results in [2] that it suffices that these elements have the above properties and belong to some ring \mathcal{R} such that H is a left module over \mathcal{R} . For the sake of convenience in relation to the presentation of our result, instead of $H(\mathbb{Z})$ we consider the ring

$$H(\mathbb{Z}_p) = \{a_0 + a_1 e_1 + a_2 e_2 + a_3 e_3 \mid a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_p\},$$

where e_1, e_2 and e_3 have the properties described in Eq. (1) and Eq. (2), and where $p = \pi\pi^*$. It follows from Proposition 1 that the Abelian group $H(\mathbb{Z})_\pi$ is isomorphic to the Abelian group formed by the cosets to the left ideal $\langle \pi \rangle$ in the ring $H(\mathbb{Z}_p)$.

Thus, in order to prove the existence of a perfect 1-error-correcting Lipschitz weight code of length n , it suffices to prove the existence of a partition of the space as indicated in the theorem, where g_i , for $i \in [n]$, belongs to $H(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. In fact, such partitions are constructed in Section 5 for the cases considered in this paper.

4. Some lemmas

Throughout this section, when not stated otherwise, $\pi = a_0 + a_2 e_2$, where $a_0^2 + a_2^2$ is equal to a prime number $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, although some of the lemmas are true for every Lipschitz prime π .

Let $\mathcal{D}(\overline{a + be_1})$ denote the set

$$\mathcal{D}(\overline{a + be_1}) = \{\pm \overline{(a \pm be_1)}\} \cup \{\pm \overline{(b \pm ae_1)}\} \cup \{\pm \overline{(ia \pm ibe_1)}\} \cup \{\pm \overline{(ib \pm iae_1)}\}. \quad (7)$$

Let \mathcal{Q} denote the following subgroup of the multiplicative group \mathbb{Z}_p^* of the finite field \mathbb{Z}_p :

$$\mathcal{Q} = \{1, -1, \mathbf{i}, -\mathbf{i}\}.$$

The first lemma is an immediate consequence of the fact that \mathcal{Q} is a subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_p^* .

Lemma 1. *If $\overline{x + ye_1} \in \mathcal{D}(\overline{a + be_1})$, then $\mathcal{D}(\overline{x + ye_1}) = \mathcal{D}(\overline{a + be_1})$.*

Corollary 1. *There is a sequence $\overline{a_i + b_i e_1}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$, of elements in $H(\mathbb{Z})_\pi$ such that the sets $\mathcal{D}(\overline{a_i + b_i e_1})$ partitions $H(\mathbb{Z})_\pi$, that is,*

$$H(\mathbb{Z})_\pi \setminus \{0\} = \bigcup_{i=1}^s \mathcal{D}(\overline{a_i + b_i e_1}),$$

and

$$i \neq j \implies \mathcal{D}(\overline{a_i + b_i e_1}) \cap \mathcal{D}(\overline{a_j + b_j e_1}) = \emptyset.$$

Proof. The corollary follows from the fact that from Lemma 1 we may deduce that every non-zero element $\overline{x + y e_1}$ of $H(\mathbb{Z})_\pi$ belongs to exactly one of the sets $\mathcal{D}(\overline{a + b e_1})$. \square

Lemma 2. *For any element $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_p$,*

$$|\mathcal{D}(\overline{a + b e_1})| = \begin{cases} 8, & \text{if } ab(a^2 + b^2)(a^2 - b^2) = 0, \\ 16, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. We consider the case $a^2 + b^2 = 0$, that is, when $b = \mathbf{i}a$ or $b = -\mathbf{i}a$. The other cases are treated similarly. From the definition in Eq. (7), we get that

$$\mathcal{D}(\overline{a + \mathbf{i}a e_1}) = \mathcal{D}(\overline{a - \mathbf{i}a e_1}) = \{\pm(a \pm \mathbf{i}a e_1)\} \cup \{\pm(\mathbf{i}a \pm a e_1)\}.$$

As $\mathbf{i} \neq \pm 1$ and $a \neq 0$, this is a set consisting of eight distinct elements. \square

Lemma 3. *For any element $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_p$,*

$$\mathcal{D}(\overline{a + b e_1}) = \begin{cases} a\mathcal{E}_\pi, & \text{if } b = 0, \\ b\mathcal{E}_\pi, & \text{if } a = 0, \\ (a + a e_3)\mathcal{E}_\pi, & \text{if } a^2 + b^2 = 0, \\ (a + a e_1)\mathcal{E}_\pi, & \text{if } a^2 - b^2 = 0, \\ (a + b e_1)\mathcal{E}_\pi \cup (b + a e_1)\mathcal{E}_\pi, & \text{if } ab(a^2 + b^2)(a^2 - b^2) \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. As in the proof of the previous lemma, we just treat the case $a^2 + b^2 = 0$, and here just when $b = \mathbf{i}a$. We consider products to the left of the elements in \mathcal{E}_π with elements $a + a e_3$, where $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p \setminus \{0\}$. For instance, we get

$$(a + a e_3)\overline{e_2} = \overline{\mathbf{i}a - a e_1} = \overline{b - a e_1} \in \mathcal{D}(\overline{a + b e_1}),$$

etc. \square

We close this section with the following observation: Let $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_{(p-1)/4}$ be a family of coset representatives to \mathcal{Q} in \mathbb{Z}_p^* . The following easily verified relations are useful when deriving partitions of the set $H(\mathbb{Z})_\pi$ into cosets of \mathcal{E}_π :

$$\bigcup_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_p \setminus \{0\}} \mathcal{D}(\overline{a + \mathbf{i}a e_1}) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{(p-1)/4} (d_i + d_i e_3)\mathcal{E}_\pi. \quad (8)$$

$$\bigcup_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_p \setminus \{0\}} \mathcal{D}(\overline{a + ae_1}) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{(p-1)/4} (d_i + d_i e_1) \mathcal{E}_\pi. \quad (9)$$

$$\bigcup_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_p \setminus \{0\}} \mathcal{D}(\overline{a}) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{(p-1)/4} d_i \mathcal{E}_\pi. \quad (10)$$

5. Construction of necessary partitions

Theorem 2. *For every prime number p with $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ there is a Lipschitz prime π , with $\pi^* \pi = p$, and a sequence of $t = (p^2 - 1)/8$ elements g_1, \dots, g_t of $H(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} H(\mathbb{Z})_\pi \setminus \{0\} &= g_1 \mathcal{E}_\pi \cup \dots \cup g_t \mathcal{E}_\pi, \\ i \neq j &\implies g_i \mathcal{E}_\pi \cap g_j \mathcal{E}_\pi = \emptyset, \end{aligned}$$

and $|g_i \mathcal{E}_\pi| = |\mathcal{E}_\pi|$, for $i = 1, \dots, t$ and $j \neq i$.

Proof. The theorem follows immediately from Corollary 1, Lemma 2 and Lemma 3, as for every element $g \in H(\mathbb{Z}_p)$,

$$|g \mathcal{E}_\pi| \leq |\mathcal{E}_\pi| = 8.$$

□

In order to be able to apply Theorem 1 we note that the set

$$\{a + be_1 \in C_{0,1} \mid p \nmid a^2 + b^2\} \cup \{a \pm ae_3 \in C_{0,3} \mid p \nmid a \in \mathbb{Z}_p\},$$

forms a complete set of coset representatives to $\langle \pi \rangle$ in $H(\mathbb{Z}_p)$.

We illustrate the construction described in the proof above in the next example.

Example 1. *Let $\pi = 2 - 3e_2$. Then $p = 13$, $\mathbf{i} = 2/3 = 5$, $\overline{e_2} = \overline{5}$ and $\overline{e_3} = \overline{5e_1}$, see Section 2 and Section 3.*

The group $\mathcal{Q} = \{\pm 1, \pm 5\}$ has for example the coset representatives $d_1 = 1$, $d_2 = 2$ and $d_3 = 4$ in the multiplicative group of the field \mathbb{Z}_{13} . To form the partition of the set $H(\mathbb{Z})_\pi$ into left cosets of \mathcal{E}_π we begin by using equations (8), (9) and (10) letting

$$g_i = d_i + d_i e_3, \quad g_{3+i} = d_i + d_i e_1, \quad g_{6+i} = d_i,$$

for $i = 1, 2, 3$. This provides nine of the $(p^2 - 1)/|\mathcal{E}_\pi| = (13^2 - 1)/8 = 21$ requested left cosets $g_i \mathcal{E}_\pi$ to \mathcal{E}_π .

The remaining cosets to \mathcal{E}_π are formed recursively using Lemma 1 and the case $ab(a^2 + b^2)(a^2 - b^2) \neq 0$ of Lemma 3. We obtain “the next two cosets $g_{2(k+1)} \mathcal{E}_\pi$ and $g_{2(k+1)+1} \mathcal{E}_\pi$ ” by first choosing an element $\overline{a + be_1}$ such that

$$\overline{a + be_1} \notin g_1 \mathcal{E}_\pi \cup g_2 \mathcal{E}_\pi \cup \dots \cup g_{2k+1} \mathcal{E}_\pi,$$

(where we assume that $k \geq 4$). We then let $g_{2k+2} = a + be_1$ and $g_{2k+3} = b + ae_1$. When this procedure terminates, we have obtained the requested partition of $H(\mathbb{Z})_\pi$.

For example, we may let

$$g_{10} = 1 + 2e_1, g_{11} = 2 + e_1, g_{12} = 1 + 3e_1, g_{13} = 3 + e_1, g_{14} = 1 + 4e_1, g_{15} = 4 + e_1, \\ g_{16} = 1 + 6e_1, g_{17} = 6 + e_1, g_{18} = 2 + 4e_1, g_{19} = 4 + 2e_1, g_{20} = 2 + 6e_1, g_{21} = 6 + 2e_1.$$

The element $\bar{1}$ of $H(\mathbb{Z})_\pi$ belongs to the coset $d_1\mathcal{E}_\pi$. For the error-correcting procedure we may thus form the matrix

$$\mathbf{H} = [1 \ 1 + e_3 \ 2 + 2e_3 \ 4 + 4e_3 \ 1 + 2e_1 \ 2 + e_1 \ 1 + e_1 \ 1 + 3e_1 \ 3 + e_1 \ \cdots].$$

The perfect 1-error-correcting code C is the null space in $H(\mathbb{Z}_p)^{21}$ of \mathbf{H} , the number of words of C is $|C| = 169^{20}$. If, after a transmission, the word $\overline{x_1 \ x_2 \ \dots \ x_{21}}$ is received by giving the syndrome

$$\mathbf{H} \begin{bmatrix} \overline{x_1} \\ \vdots \\ \overline{x_{21}} \end{bmatrix} = [2 + 3e_1],$$

then the error $\epsilon = \overline{-e_3}$ has appeared in the third coordinate position as from Section 2

$$\overline{2 + 3e_1} = (2 + 2e_3)\overline{-e_3}.$$

From the theorem above and Theorem 1, we immediately get the following corollary:

Corollary 2. *To every prime number p such that $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ there is a Lipschitz prime π of norm $N(\pi) = p$ such that there exists a perfect 1-error-correcting Lipschitz weight code in $H(\mathbb{Z})_\pi^n$, where $n = (p^2 - 1)/8$.*

Thus, combining with the results of [1], we get

Theorem 3. *To every prime number $p > 3$ [§] there is a Lipschitz prime π of norm $N(\pi) = p$ such that there exists a perfect 1-error-correcting Lipschitz weight code in $H(\mathbb{Z})_\pi^n$, where $n = (p^2 - 1)/8$.*

A final remark is that the crucial part in our construction of perfect 1-error-correcting Lipschitz weight codes is the derivation of a partition of $H(\mathbb{Z})_\pi$ into **left cosets** of \mathcal{E}_π . As soon as this problem is solved, we can easily extend the construction with parity-check matrices, like in Theorem 4 in ‘‘Part One’’ of this study [1], in order to obtain codes of other lengths and sizes. Thus we now know, cf. Section 5.2 of [1], that for every prime number $p > 3$ there is a perfect 1-error-correcting Lipschitz weight code C of length $n = (p^{2l} - 1)/8$ and size $|C| = p^{2k}$, where $k = (p^{2l} - 1)/8 - l$, and l is any non-negative integer.

Acknowledgement

We are grateful to one of the reviewers who encouraged us to write a more clear presentation.

[§]The case $p = 3$ is out of any general interest.

References

- [1] M. GÜZELTEPE, O. HEDEN, *Perfect Mannheim, Lipschitz and Hurwitz weight codes*, Math. Commun. **19**(2014), 253–276.
- [2] O. HEDEN, M. GÜZELTEPE, *On perfect $1-\mathcal{E}$ -error-correcting codes*, Math. Commun. **20**(2015), 23–35.
- [3] C. MARTINEZ, E. STAFFORD, R. BEIVIDE, E. GABIDULIN, *Perfect codes over Lipschitz integers*, in: *Proc. IEEE Int. Symp. Information Theory*, Nice, January 2007, 1366–1370, doi:10.1109/ISIT.2007.4557413.
- [4] C. MARTINEZ, R. BEIVIDE, E. GABIDULIN, *Perfect codes from Cayley graphs over Lipschitz integers*, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory **55**(2009), 3552–3562.