

## Induced representations of Hilbert modules over locally C\*-algebras and the imprimitivity theorem

KHADIJEH KARIMI<sup>1</sup> AND KAMRAN SHARIFI<sup>1,2,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Shahrood University of Technology, P. O. Box 36199951  
61-316, Shahrood, Iran

<sup>2</sup> Mathematisches Institut, Fachbereich Mathematik und Informatik der Universität  
Münster, Einsteinstrasse 62, 48149 Münster, Germany

Received July 26, 2015; accepted November 8, 2015

---

**Abstract.** We study induced representations of Hilbert modules over locally C\*-algebras and their non-degeneracy. We show that if  $V$  and  $W$  are Morita equivalent Hilbert modules over locally C\*-algebras  $A$  and  $B$ , respectively, then there exists a bijective correspondence between equivalence classes of non-degenerate representations of  $V$  and  $W$ .

**AMS subject classifications:** 46L08, 46K10, 46L05

**Key words:** Hilbert modules, locally C\*-algebras, module maps, Morita equivalence, induced representations

---

### 1. Introduction

Morita equivalence and induced representations of C\*-algebras were first introduced by Rieffel [16, 17]. Two C\*-algebras  $A$  and  $B$  are Morita equivalent if there exists a full Hilbert  $A$ -module  $E$  such that  $B$  is isomorphic to the C\*-algebra  $K_A(E)$  of all compact operators on  $E$ . Some properties of C\*-algebras that are preserved under Morita equivalence were investigated in [2, 4, 15, 21]. Indeed, Rieffel defined induced representations of C\*-algebras, that are now known as Rieffel induced representations, by using tensor products of Hilbert modules and established an equivalence between the categories of non-degenerate representations of Morita equivalent C\*-algebras. Joita [10, 11] defined the notions of Morita equivalence and induced representations in the category of locally C\*-algebras. Joita and Moslehian [12] have recently introduced a notion of Morita equivalence in the category of Hilbert C\*-modules considered to obtain induced representations of Hilbert modules over locally C\*-algebras. This enables us to prove the imprimitivity theorem for induced representations of Hilbert modules over locally C\*-algebras.

Let us quickly recall the definition of locally C\*-algebras and Hilbert modules over them. A locally C\*-algebra is a complete Hausdorff complex topological \*-algebra  $A$  whose topology is determined by its continuous C\*-seminorms in the sense that the net  $\{a_i\}_{i \in I}$  converges to 0 if and only if the net  $\{p(a_i)\}_{i \in I}$  converges to 0 for every continuous C\*-seminorm  $p$  on  $A$ . Such algebras appear in the study of

---

\*Corresponding author. Email addresses: kh\_karimi5005@yahoo.com (Kh. Karimi), sharifi.kamran@gmail.com (K. Sharifi)

certain aspects of  $C^*$ -algebras such as tangent algebras of  $C^*$ -algebras, a domain of closed  $*$ -derivations on  $C^*$ -algebras, multipliers of Pedersen's ideal, noncommutative analogues of classical Lie groups, and K-theory. These algebras were first introduced by Inoue [6] as a generalization of  $C^*$ -algebras and studied more in [5, 14] with different names. A (right) *pre-Hilbert module* over a locally  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is a right  $A$ -module  $E$  compatible with the complex algebra structure and equipped with an  $A$ -valued inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : E \times E \rightarrow A$ ,  $(x, y) \mapsto \langle x, y \rangle$ , which is  $A$ -linear in the second variable  $y$  and has the properties:

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \langle y, x \rangle^*, \text{ and } \langle x, x \rangle \geq 0 \text{ with equality if and only if } x = 0.$$

A pre-Hilbert  $A$ -module  $E$  is a Hilbert  $A$ -module if  $E$  is complete with respect to the topology determined by the family of seminorms  $\{\bar{p}_E\}_{p \in S(A)}$ , where  $\bar{p}_E(\xi) = \sqrt{p(\langle \xi, \xi \rangle)}$ ,  $\xi \in E$ . Hilbert modules over locally  $C^*$ -algebras have been studied systematically in the book [8] and the papers [7, 14, 20].

Joita and Moslehian [12], and Skeide [18] defined Morita equivalence for Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules with two different methods. In the recent sense of Joita and Moslehian, two Hilbert modules  $V$  and  $W$  over  $C^*$ -algebras  $A$  and  $B$ , respectively, are called Morita equivalent if  $K_A(V)$  and  $K_B(W)$  are strong Morita equivalent as  $C^*$ -algebras. We consider this definition, which is weaker than Skeide's definition and also fitted to our paper.

In this paper, we first present some definitions and basic facts about locally  $C^*$ -algebras and Hilbert modules over them. In [19], Skeide proved that if  $E$  is a Hilbert module over a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ , then every representation of  $A$  induces a representation of  $E$ . We use this fact to reformulate the induced representations of Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules and some of their properties which have been studied in [1]. These enable us to obtain the notion of induced representations of Hilbert modules over locally  $C^*$ -algebras. We finally define the concept of Morita equivalence for Hilbert modules over locally  $C^*$ -algebras. We prove that two full Hilbert modules over locally  $C^*$ -algebras are Morita equivalent if and only if their underlying locally  $C^*$ -algebras are strong Morita equivalent and then we give a module version of the imprimitivity theorem. Indeed, we show that for Morita equivalent Hilbert modules  $V$  and  $W$  over locally  $C^*$ -algebras  $A$  and  $B$ , respectively, there is a bijective correspondence between equivalence classes of non-degenerate representations of  $V$  and  $W$ .

## 2. Preliminaries

Let  $A$  be a locally  $C^*$ -algebra,  $S(A)$  the set of all continuous  $C^*$ -seminorms on  $A$  and  $p \in S(A)$ . We set  $N_p = \{a \in A : p(a) = 0\}$ , then  $A_p = A/N_p$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra in the norm induced by  $p$ . For  $p, q \in S(A)$  with  $p \geq q$ , the surjective morphisms  $\pi_{pq} : A_p \rightarrow A_q$  defined by  $\pi_{pq}(a + N_p) = a + N_q$  induce the inverse system  $\{A_p; \pi_{pq}\}_{p, q \in S(A), p \geq q}$  of  $C^*$ -algebras and  $A = \varprojlim_p A_p$ , i.e., the locally  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  can be identified with  $\varprojlim_p A_p$ . The canonical map from  $A$  onto  $A_p$  is denoted by  $\pi_p$  and  $a_p$  is reserved to denote  $a + N_p$ . A morphism of locally  $C^*$ -algebras is a continuous morphism of  $*$ -algebras. An isomorphism of locally  $C^*$ -algebras is

a morphism of locally C\*-algebras which possesses an inverse morphism of locally C\*-algebras.

A representation of a locally C\*-algebra  $A$  is a continuous \*-morphism  $\varphi : A \rightarrow B(H)$ , where  $B(H)$  is the C\*-algebra of all bounded linear maps on a Hilbert space  $H$ . If  $(\varphi, H)$  is a representation of  $A$ , then there is  $p \in S(A)$  such that  $\|\varphi(a)\| \leq p(a)$ , for all  $a \in A$ . The representation  $(\varphi_p, H)$  of  $A_p$ , where  $\varphi_p \circ \pi_p = \varphi$  is called a representation of  $A_p$  associated to  $(\varphi, H)$ . We refer to [5, 11] for basic facts and definitions about the representation of locally C\*-algebras.

Suppose  $E$  is a Hilbert  $A$ -module and  $\langle E, E \rangle$  is the closure of linear span of  $\{\langle x, y \rangle : x, y \in E\}$ . The Hilbert  $A$ -module  $E$  is called *full* if  $\langle E, E \rangle = A$ . One can always consider any Hilbert  $A$ -module as a full Hilbert module over locally C\*-algebra  $\langle E, E \rangle$ . For each  $p \in S(A)$ ,  $N_p^E = \{\xi \in E : \bar{p}_E(\xi) = 0\}$  is a closed submodule of  $E$  and  $E_p = E/N_p^E$  is a Hilbert  $A_p$ -module with the action  $(\xi + N_p^E)\pi_p(a) = \xi a + N_p^E$  and the inner product  $\langle \xi + N_p^E, \eta + N_p^E \rangle = \pi_p(\langle \xi, \eta \rangle)$ . The canonical map from  $E$  onto  $E_p$  is denoted by  $\sigma_p^E$  and  $\xi_p$  is reserved to denote  $\sigma_p^E(\xi)$ . For  $p, q \in S(A)$  with  $p \geq q$ , the surjective morphisms  $\sigma_{pq}^E : E_p \rightarrow E_q$  defined by  $\sigma_{pq}^E(\sigma_p^E(\xi)) = \sigma_q^E(\xi)$  induce the inverse system  $\{E_p; A_p; \sigma_{pq}^E, \pi_{pq}\}_{p, q \in S(A), p \geq q}$  of Hilbert C\*-modules in the following sense:

- $\sigma_{pq}^E(\xi_p a_p) = \sigma_{pq}^E(\xi_p)\pi_{pq}(a_p)$ ,  $\xi_p \in E_p$ ,  $a_p \in A_p$ ,  $p, q \in S(A)$ ,  $p \geq q$ ,
- $\langle \sigma_{pq}^E(\xi_p), \sigma_{pq}^E(\eta_p) \rangle = \pi_{pq}(\langle \xi_p, \eta_p \rangle)$ ,  $\xi_p, \eta_p \in E_p$ ,  $p, q \in S(A)$ ,  $p \geq q$ ,
- $\sigma_{qr}^E \circ \sigma_{pq}^E = \sigma_{pr}^E$  if  $p, q, r \in S(A)$  and  $p \geq q \geq r$ ,
- $\sigma_{pp}^E(\xi_p) = \xi_p$ ,  $\xi \in E$ ,  $p \in S(A)$ .

In this case,  $\varprojlim_p E_p$  is a Hilbert  $A$ -module which can be identified with  $E$ . Let  $E$  and  $F$  be Hilbert  $A$ -modules and  $T : E \rightarrow F$  an  $A$ -module map. The module map  $T$  is called bounded if for each  $p \in S(A)$  there is  $k_p > 0$  such that  $\bar{p}_F(Tx) \leq k_p \bar{p}_E(x)$  for all  $x \in E$ . The module map  $T$  is called adjointable if there exists an  $A$ -module map  $T^* : F \rightarrow E$  with the property  $\langle Tx, y \rangle = \langle x, T^*y \rangle$  for all  $x \in E, y \in F$ . It is well-known that every adjointable map is bounded. The set  $L_A(E, F)$  of all bounded adjointable  $A$ -module maps from  $E$  into  $F$  becomes a locally convex space with the topology defined by the family of seminorms  $\{\bar{p}\}_{p \in S(A)}$ , where  $\bar{p}(T) = \|(\pi_p)_*(T)\|_{L_{A_p}(E_p, F_p)}$  and  $(\pi_p)_* : L_A(E, F) \rightarrow L_{A_p}(E_p, F_p)$  is defined by  $(\pi_p)_*(T)(\xi + N_p^E) = T\xi + N_p^F$  for all  $T \in L_A(E, F)$ ,  $\xi \in E$ . For  $p, q \in S(A)$  with  $p \geq q$ , the morphisms  $(\pi_{pq})_* : L_{A_p}(E_p, F_p) \rightarrow L_{A_q}(E_q, F_q)$  defined by  $(\pi_{pq})_*(T_p)(\sigma_p^E(\xi)) = \sigma_{pq}^F(T_p(\sigma_p^E(\xi)))$  induce the inverse system

$$\{L_{A_p}(E_p, F_p); (\pi_{pq})_*\}_{p, q \in S(A), p \geq q}$$

of Banach spaces such that  $\varprojlim_p L_{A_p}(E_p, F_p)$  can be identified to  $L_A(E, F)$ . In particular, topologizing,  $L_A(E, E)$  becomes a locally C\*-algebra which is abbreviated by  $L_A(E)$ . The set of all compact operators  $K_A(E)$  on  $E$  is defined as the closed linear subspace of  $L_A(E)$  spanned by  $\{\theta_{x,y} : \theta_{x,y}(\xi) = x\langle y, \xi \rangle \text{ for all } x, y, \xi \in E\}$ . This is a locally C\*-subalgebra and a two-sided ideal of  $L_A(E)$ ; moreover,  $K_A(E)$  can be identified to  $\varprojlim_p K_{A_p}(E_p)$ .

Let  $V$  and  $W$  be Hilbert modules over locally  $C^*$ -algebras  $A$  and  $B$ , respectively, and  $\Psi : A \rightarrow L_B(W)$  a continuous  $*$ -morphism. We can regard  $W$  as a left  $A$ -module by  $(a, y) \rightarrow \Psi(a)y$ ,  $a \in A$ ,  $y \in W$ . The right  $B$ -module  $V \otimes_A W$  is a pre-Hilbert module with the inner product given by  $\langle x \otimes y, z \otimes t \rangle = \langle y, \Psi(\langle x, z \rangle)t \rangle$ . We denote by  $V \otimes_\Psi W$  the completion of  $V \otimes_A W$ , cf. [9] for more detailed information.

### 3. Induced representations of Hilbert modules

In this section, we first study induced representations of Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules and then we reformulate them in the context of Hilbert modules over locally  $C^*$ -algebras.

Let  $H$  and  $K$  be Hilbert spaces. Then the space  $B(H, K)$  of all bounded operators from  $H$  into  $K$  can be considered as a Hilbert  $B(H)$ -module with the module action  $(T, S) \rightarrow TS$ ,  $T \in B(H, K)$  and  $S \in B(H)$  and the inner product defined by  $\langle T, S \rangle = T^*S$ ,  $T, S \in B(H, K)$ . Murphy [13] showed that any Hilbert  $C^*$ -module can be represented as a submodule of the concrete Hilbert module  $B(H, K)$  for some Hilbert spaces  $H$  and  $K$ . This allows us to extend the notion of a representation from the context of  $C^*$ -algebras to the context of Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules. Let  $V$  and  $W$  be two Hilbert modules over  $C^*$ -algebras  $A$  and  $B$ , respectively, and  $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$  be a morphism of  $C^*$ -algebras. A map  $\Phi : V \rightarrow W$  is said to a  $\varphi$ -morphism if  $\langle \Phi(x), \Phi(y) \rangle = \varphi(\langle x, y \rangle)$  for all  $x, y \in V$ . A  $\varphi$ -morphism  $\Phi : V \rightarrow B(H, K)$ , where  $\varphi : A \rightarrow B(H)$  is a representation of  $A$  is called a representation of  $V$ . When  $\Phi$  is a representation of  $V$ , we assume that an associated representation of  $A$  is denoted by the same lowercase letter  $\varphi$ , so we will not explicitly mention  $\varphi$ . Let  $\Phi : V \rightarrow B(H, K)$  be a representation of a Hilbert  $A$ -module  $V$ . We say  $\Phi$  is a non-degenerate representation if  $\overline{\Phi(V)(H)} = K$  and  $\overline{\Phi(V)^*(K)} = H$ . Two representations  $\Phi_i : V \rightarrow B(H_i, K_i)$  of  $V$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  are said to be unitarily equivalent if there are unitary operators  $U_1 : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$  and  $U_2 : K_1 \rightarrow K_2$ , such that  $U_2\Phi_1(v) = \Phi_2(v)U_1$  for all  $v \in V$ . Representations of Hilbert modules have been investigated in [1, 3, 19].

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $V$  be a full Hilbert  $A$ -module and  $\Phi_1 : V \rightarrow B(H_1, K_1)$  and  $\Phi_2 : V \rightarrow B(H_2, K_2)$  two non-degenerate representations of  $V$ . If  $\Phi_1$  and  $\Phi_2$  are unitarily equivalent, then  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  are unitarily equivalent.*

**Proof.** Let  $U_1 : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$  and  $U_2 : K_1 \rightarrow K_2$  be unitary operators and  $U_2\Phi_1(x) = \Phi_2(x)U_1$  for all  $x \in V$ . Then we have

$$U_1\varphi_1(\langle x, y \rangle)h = U_1\Phi_1(x)^*\Phi_1(y)h = \Phi_2(x)^*\Phi_2(y)U_1h = \varphi_2(\langle x, y \rangle)U_1h,$$

for every  $x, y \in V$  and  $h \in H_1$ . Since  $V$  is full, we conclude that  $U_1\varphi_1(a)h = \varphi_2(a)U_1h$  for every  $a \in A$  and  $h \in H_1$ , and consequently,  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  are unitarily equivalent.  $\square$

Skeide [19] recovered the result of Murphy by embedding every Hilbert  $A$ -module  $E$  into a matrix  $C^*$ -algebra as a lower submodule. He proved that every representation of  $B$  induces a representation of  $E$ . We describe his induced representation as follows.

**Construction 1.** Let  $B$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra and  $E$  a Hilbert  $B$ -module and  $\varphi : B \rightarrow B(H)$  a  $*$ -representation of  $B$ . Define a sesquilinear form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  on the vector space  $E \otimes_{\text{alg}} H$  by  $\langle x \otimes h, y \otimes k \rangle = \langle h, \varphi(\langle x, y \rangle)k \rangle_H$ , where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_H$  denotes the inner product on the Hilbert space  $H$ . By [19, Proposition 3.8], the sesquilinear form is positive and so  $E \otimes_{\text{alg}} H$  is a semi-Hilbert space. Then  $(E \otimes_{\text{alg}} H)/N_\varphi$  is a pre-Hilbert space with the inner product defined by

$$\langle x \otimes h + N_\varphi, y \otimes k + N_\varphi \rangle = \langle x \otimes h, y \otimes k \rangle,$$

where  $N_\varphi$  is the vector subspace of  $E \otimes_{\text{alg}} H$  generated by  $\{x \otimes h \in E \otimes_{\text{alg}} H : \langle x \otimes h, x \otimes h \rangle = 0\}$ . The completion of  $(E \otimes_{\text{alg}} H)/N_\varphi$  with respect to the above inner product is denoted by  ${}_E H$ . We identify the elements  $x \otimes h$  with the equivalence classes  $x \otimes h + N_\varphi \in {}_E H$ . Suppose  $x \in E$  and  $L_x h = x \otimes h$  then  $\|L_x h\|^2 = \langle h, \varphi(\langle x, x \rangle)h \rangle \leq \|h\|^2 \|x\|^2$ , i.e.  $L_x \in B(H, {}_E H)$ . We define  $\eta_\varphi : E \rightarrow B(H, {}_E H)$  by  $\eta_\varphi(x) = L_x$ . Then for  $x, x' \in E$ ,  $h, h' \in H$  and  $b \in B$  we have  $\langle \eta_\varphi(x), \eta_\varphi(x') \rangle = \varphi(\langle x, x' \rangle)$  and  $\eta_\varphi(xb) = \eta_\varphi(x)\varphi(b)$ , and so  $\eta_\varphi$  is a representation of  $E$ .

**Lemma 2.** Let  $\varphi_1 : B \rightarrow B(H_1)$  and  $\varphi_2 : B \rightarrow B(H_2)$  be two non-degenerate representations of  $B$ . If  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  are unitarily equivalent, then  $\eta_{\varphi_1}$  and  $\eta_{\varphi_2}$  are unitarily equivalent.

**Proof.** Suppose  $U : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$  is a unitary operator such that  $U\varphi_1(b) = \varphi_2(b)U$  for all  $b \in B$ . Then  $\text{id}_E \otimes U : E \otimes_{\text{alg}} H_1 \rightarrow E \otimes_{\text{alg}} H_2$  given by  $x \otimes h_1 \mapsto x \otimes h_2$  can be extended to a unitary operator  $V$  from  ${}_E H_1$  onto  ${}_E H_2$  and  $V\eta_{\varphi_1}(x) = \eta_{\varphi_2}(x)U$  for all  $x \in E$ . Hence,  $\eta_{\varphi_1}$  and  $\eta_{\varphi_2}$  are unitarily equivalent.  $\square$

The above argument enables us to extend the Rieffel induced representations from the case of  $C^*$ -algebras to the context of Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules. For this, let  $V$  and  $W$  be two full Hilbert modules over  $C^*$ -algebras  $A$  and  $B$ , respectively. Let  $E$  be a Hilbert  $B$ -module and  $A$  acts as adjointable operators on the Hilbert  $C^*$ -module  $E$ , and  $\Phi : W \rightarrow B(H, K)$  is a non-degenerate representation of  $W$ . Using [15, Proposition 2.66], the formula  $\frac{A}{E}\varphi(x \otimes h) = (a.x) \otimes h$  extends to obtain a (Rieffel induced) representation of  $A$  as bounded operators on Hilbert space  ${}_E H$ . In view of Construction 1, the representation  $\frac{A}{E}\varphi : A \rightarrow B({}_E H)$  of the  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  obtains the representation  $\eta_{\frac{A}{E}\varphi} : V \rightarrow B({}_E H, {}_V({}_E H))$  of the Hilbert  $A$ -module  $V$ . The constructed representation  $\eta_{\frac{A}{E}\varphi}$  is called the *Rieffel induced representation* from  $W$  to  $V$  via  $E$  and denoted by  $\frac{V}{E}\Phi$ . The following result can be found in [1, Proposition 3.3] that we derive from Lemmas 1 and 2. Our argument seems to be shorter.

**Lemma 3.** Let  $W$  be a full Hilbert  $B$ -module and  $\Phi_1 : W \rightarrow B(H_1, K_1)$  and  $\Phi_2 : W \rightarrow B(H_2, K_2)$  two non-degenerate representations of  $W$ . If  $\Phi_1$  and  $\Phi_2$  are unitarily equivalent, then  $\frac{V}{E}\Phi_1$  and  $\frac{V}{E}\Phi_2$  are unitarily equivalent.

**Corollary 1.** If  $\Phi : W \rightarrow B(H, K)$  and  $\bigoplus_{i \in I} \Phi_i : W \rightarrow B(\bigoplus_{i \in I} H_i, \bigoplus_{i \in I} K_i)$  are unitarily equivalent, then  $\frac{V}{E}\Phi$  and  $\bigoplus_{i \in I} \frac{V}{E}\Phi_i$  are unitarily equivalent.

Now, we reformulate representations of the Hilbert module from the case of  $C^*$ -algebras to the case of locally  $C^*$ -algebras. Let  $V$  and  $W$  be two Hilbert modules over

locally C\*-algebras  $A$  and  $B$ , respectively, and  $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$  a morphism of locally C\*-algebras. A map  $\Phi : V \rightarrow W$  is said to be a  $\varphi$ -morphism if  $\langle \Phi(x), \Phi(y) \rangle = \varphi(\langle x, y \rangle)$ , for all  $x, y \in V$ . A  $\varphi$ -morphism  $\Phi : V \rightarrow B(H, K)$ , where  $\varphi : A \rightarrow B(H)$  is a representation of  $A$ , is called a representation of  $V$ . We can define non-degenerate representations and unitarily equivalent representations for Hilbert modules over locally C\*-algebras like a Hilbert C\*-modules case.

Suppose  $A$  is a locally C\*-algebra,  $V$  is a Hilbert  $A$ -module and  $\varphi : A \rightarrow B(H)$  is a representation of  $A$  on some Hilbert space  $H$ . Suppose  $p \in S(A)$  and  $\varphi_p$  is a representation of  $A_p$  associated to  $\varphi$ ; then there exist a Hilbert space  $K$  and a representation  $\Phi_p : V_p \rightarrow B(H, K)$  which is a  $\varphi_p$ -morphism. For details we refer to the proof of [13, Theorem 3.1]. It is easy to see that the map  $\Phi : V \rightarrow B(H, K)$ ,  $\Phi(v) = \Phi_p(\sigma_p^V(v))$  is a  $\varphi$ -morphism, i.e., it is a representation of  $V$ .

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $V$  be a Hilbert module over locally C\*-algebra  $A$  and  $\Phi : V \rightarrow B(H, K)$  a representation of  $V$ . If  $p \in S(A)$  and  $\varphi_p$  is a representation of  $A_p$  associated to  $\varphi$ , then the map  $\Phi_p : V_p \rightarrow B(H, K)$ ,  $\Phi_p(\sigma_p^V(v)) = \Phi(v)$  is a  $\varphi_p$ -morphism. Specifically,  $\Phi_p$  is a representation of  $V_p$  and  $\Phi$  is non-degenerate if and only if  $\Phi_p$  is. In this case, we say that  $\Phi_p$  is a representation of  $V_p$  associated to  $\Phi$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $v, v' \in V$  and  $\bar{p}_V(v - v') = 0$ . Since  $\|\varphi(a)\| \leq p(a)$  for all  $a \in A$ , we have  $\langle \Phi(v - v'), \Phi(v - v') \rangle = \varphi(\langle v - v', v - v' \rangle) = 0$ , which shows  $\Phi_p$  is well-defined. We also have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Phi_p(\sigma_p^V(v)), \Phi_p(\sigma_p^V(v')) \rangle &= \langle \Phi(v), \Phi(v') \rangle = \varphi(\langle v, v' \rangle) = \varphi_p \circ \pi_p(\langle v, v' \rangle) \\ &= \varphi_p(\langle \sigma_p^V(v), \sigma_p^V(v') \rangle). \end{aligned}$$

Then, by definition of  $\Phi_p$ , the representation  $\Phi$  is non-degenerate if and only if  $\Phi_p$  is non-degenerate.  $\square$

Let  $V$  and  $W$  be two full Hilbert modules over locally C\*-algebras  $A$  and  $B$ , respectively. Let  $E$  be a Hilbert  $B$ -module,  $\Psi : A \rightarrow L_B(E)$  a non-degenerate continuous \*-morphism and  $\Phi : W \rightarrow B(H, K)$  a non-degenerate representation of  $W$ . We construct a non-degenerate representation from  $W$  to  $V$  via  $E$  as follows.

**Construction 2.** *We define a sesquilinear form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  on the vector space  $E \otimes_{alg} H$  by  $\langle x \otimes h, y \otimes k \rangle = \langle h, \varphi(\langle x, y \rangle)k \rangle_H$  and make the Hilbert space  ${}_E H$  as in Construction 1. The map  ${}_E^A \varphi : A \rightarrow B({}_E H)$  defined by*

$${}_E^A \varphi(a)(x \otimes h) = \Psi(a)x \otimes h, \quad a \in A, \quad x \in E, \quad h \in H,$$

*is a representation of  $A$ . The representation  $({}_E H, {}_E^A \varphi)$  is called the Rieffel induced representation from  $B$  to  $A$  via  $E$ , cf. [11]. Since  $A$  acts as an adjointable operator on Hilbert  $B$ -module  $E$ , we can construct interior tensor product  $V \otimes_{\Psi} E$  as a Hilbert  $B$ -module. Hence, we find the Hilbert spaces  ${}_E H$  and  ${}_{V \otimes_{\Psi} E} H$ . Let  $v \in V$ ; then the map  $E \times H \rightarrow {}_{V \otimes_{\Psi} E} H$ ,  $(x, h) \mapsto v \otimes x \otimes h$  is a bilinear form and so there is a unique linear transformation  ${}_E \Phi(v) : E \otimes_{alg} H \rightarrow {}_{V \otimes_{\Psi} E} H$  which can be extended to a bounded linear operator  ${}_E^V \Phi(v)$  from  ${}_E H$  to  ${}_{V \otimes_{\Psi} E} H$ . To see this, suppose  $q \in S(B)$ ,  $x \in E$ ,  $h \in H$  and  $(\varphi_q, H)$  is a representation of  $B_q$  associated to  $(\varphi, H)$ . We have*

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle {}_E\Phi(v)(x \otimes h), {}_E\Phi(v)(x \otimes h) \rangle &= \langle v \otimes x \otimes h, v \otimes x \otimes h \rangle \\
 &= \langle h, \varphi(\langle v \otimes x, v \otimes x \rangle)h \rangle_H \\
 &= \langle h, \varphi(\langle x, \Psi(\langle v, v \rangle)x \rangle)h \rangle_H \\
 &= \langle h, \varphi_q \circ \pi_q(\langle \Psi(\langle v, v \rangle)^{1/2}x, \Psi(\langle v, v \rangle)^{1/2}x \rangle)h \rangle_H \\
 &= \langle h, \varphi_q(\langle \sigma_q(\Psi(\langle v, v \rangle)^{1/2}x), \sigma_q(\Psi(\langle v, v \rangle)^{1/2}x) \rangle)h \rangle_H \\
 &= \langle h, \varphi_q(\langle (\pi_q)_*(\Psi(\langle v, v \rangle)^{1/2})(\sigma_q(x)), (\pi_q)_*(\Psi(\langle v, v \rangle)^{1/2})(\sigma_q(x)) \rangle)h \rangle_H \\
 &\leq \tilde{q}(\Psi(v, v))\langle h, \varphi_q(\langle \sigma_q(x), \sigma_q(x) \rangle)h \rangle_H \\
 &= \tilde{q}(\Psi(v, v))\langle h, (\varphi_q \circ \pi_q)(\langle x, x \rangle)h \rangle_H \\
 &= \tilde{q}(\Psi(v, v))\langle h, \varphi(\langle x, x \rangle)h \rangle_H \\
 &= \tilde{q}(\Psi(v, v))\langle x \otimes h, x \otimes h \rangle.
 \end{aligned}$$

The following equalities hold for every  $v, v' \in V$ ,  $x, x' \in E$  and  $h, h' \in H$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle x \otimes h, {}_E^V\Phi^*(v) {}_E^V\Phi(v')(x' \otimes h') \rangle &= \langle {}_E^V\Phi(v)(x \otimes h), {}_E^V\Phi(v')(x' \otimes h') \rangle \\
 &= \langle v \otimes x \otimes h, v' \otimes x' \otimes h' \rangle \\
 &= \langle h, \varphi(\langle v \otimes x, v' \otimes x' \rangle)h \rangle_H \\
 &= \langle h, \varphi(\langle x, \Psi(\langle v, v' \rangle)x' \rangle)h' \rangle_H \\
 &= \langle x \otimes h, \Psi(\langle v, v' \rangle)x' \otimes h' \rangle \\
 &= \langle x \otimes h, {}_E^A\varphi(\langle v, v' \rangle)(x' \otimes h') \rangle,
 \end{aligned}$$

which imply  $\langle {}_E^V\Phi(v), {}_E^V\Phi(v') \rangle = {}_E^V\Phi^*(v) {}_E^V\Phi(v') = {}_E^A\varphi(\langle v, v' \rangle)$ . That is, the map  ${}_E^V\Phi : V \rightarrow B({}_E^VH, {}_{V \otimes_{\Psi} E}H)$  is a  ${}_E^A\varphi$ -morphism and so it is a representation of  $V$ . We now show that  ${}_E^V\Phi$  is non-degenerate. To see this, recall that  $\overline{\Psi(A)(E)} = E$  and  $\overline{\langle V, V \rangle} = A$ , which imply  $\overline{\Psi(\langle V, V \rangle)(E)} = E$ . Suppose  $x, x' \in E$  and  $h \in H$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|(x - x') \otimes h\|^2 &= \langle h, \varphi(\langle x - x', x - x' \rangle)h \rangle_H \\
 &\leq \|h\|^2 \|\varphi(\langle x - x', x - x' \rangle)\| \\
 &\leq \|h\|^2 q(\langle x - x', x - x' \rangle) = \|h\|^2 \bar{q}_E(x - x').
 \end{aligned}$$

Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exist  $v_i, v'_i \in V$  and  $x_i \in E$  such that  $\bar{q}_E(\sum_i \Psi(\langle v_i, v'_i \rangle)x_i - x) < \epsilon$ . In view of the above inequality, the term  $\sum_i \Psi(\langle v_i, v'_i \rangle)x_i \otimes h$  approximates  $x \otimes h$  in  ${}_E^VH$ . But we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_i \Psi(\langle v_i, v'_i \rangle)x_i \otimes h &= \sum_i {}_E^A\varphi(\langle v_i, v'_i \rangle)(x_i \otimes h) \\
 &= \sum_i {}_E^V\Phi^*(v_i) {}_E^V\Phi(v'_i)(x_i \otimes h) \\
 &= \sum_i {}_E^V\Phi^*(v_i)(v'_i \otimes x_i \otimes h),
 \end{aligned}$$

which implies  ${}_E^V\Phi(V)^*({}_{V \otimes_{\Psi} E}H) = {}_E^VH$ . The equality  ${}_E^V\Phi(V)({}_E^VH) = {}_{V \otimes_{\Psi} E}H$  follows from the definition of  ${}_E^V\Phi$ , i.e.,  ${}_E^V\Phi$  is non-degenerate.

**Definition 1.** The representation  ${}^V_E\Phi$  in Construction 2 is called Rieffel induced representation from  $W$  to  $V$  via  $E$ .

**Theorem 3.** Let  $V$  and  $W$  be two full Hilbert modules over locally  $C^*$ -algebras  $A$  and  $B$ , respectively. Let  $E$  be a Hilbert  $B$ -module,  $\Psi : A \rightarrow L_B(E)$  a non-degenerate continuous  $*$ -morphism and  $\Phi : W \rightarrow B(H, K)$  a non-degenerate representation. If  $q \in S(B)$  and  $(\varphi_q, H)$  is a non-degenerate representation of  $B_q$  associated to  $(\varphi, H)$ , then there is  $p \in S(A)$  such that  $A_p$  acts non-degenerately on  $E_q$  and the representations  ${}^V_E\Phi$  and  ${}^{V_p}_{E_q}\Phi_q \circ \sigma_p^V$  of  $V$  are unitarily equivalent.

**Proof.** Continuity of  $\Psi$  implies that there exists  $p \in S(A)$  such that  $\tilde{q}(\Psi(a)) \leq p(a)$  for each  $a \in A$ , which guarantees  $\Psi_p : A_p \rightarrow L_{B_q}(E_q)$ ,  $\Psi_p(\pi_p(a)) = (\pi_q)_*(\Psi(a))$  is a  $*$ -morphism of  $C^*$ -algebras. Moreover,  $\Psi_p$  is non-degenerate since

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\Psi_p(A_p)(E_p)} &= \overline{\Psi_p(\pi_p(A))(\sigma_p^E(E))} = \overline{(\pi_q)_*(\Psi(A)\sigma_q^E(E))} \\ &= \sigma_q^E(\overline{\Psi(A)(E)}) \\ &= \sigma_q^E(E) = E_q. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\Phi_q$  is a non-degenerate representation of  $W_q$  associated to  $\Phi$ , then  ${}^{V_p}_{E_q}\Phi_q : V_p \rightarrow B(E_q H, {}_{V_p \otimes \Psi_q} E_q H)$  defined by  ${}^{V_p}_{E_q}\Phi_q(\sigma_p^V(v))(\sigma_q^E(x) \otimes h) = \sigma_p^V(v) \otimes \sigma_q^E(x) \otimes h$  is a non-degenerate representation of  $V_p$  which is also a  ${}^{A_p}_{E_q}\varphi_q$ -morphism. Indeed,  ${}^{V_p}_{E_q}\Phi_q$  is the Rieffel induced representation from  $W_q$  to  $V_p$  via  $E_q$ . Hence,  ${}^{V_p}_{E_q}\Phi_q \circ \sigma_p^V$  is a non-degenerate representation of  $V$  and it is a  ${}^{A_p}_{E_q}\varphi_q \circ \pi_p$ -morphism. The representations  $({}^A_E\varphi, {}_E H)$  and  $({}^{A_p}_{E_q}\varphi_q \circ \pi_p, {}_{E_q} H)$  of  $A$  are unitarily equivalent by [11, proposition 3.4]. We define the linear map  $U_1 : E \otimes_{alg} H \rightarrow E_q \otimes_{alg} H$ ,  $U_1(x \otimes h) = \sigma_q^E(x) \otimes h$  which satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \langle U_1(x \otimes h), U_1(x \otimes h) \rangle &= \langle \sigma_q^E(x) \otimes h, \sigma_q^E(x) \otimes h \rangle \\ &= \langle h, \varphi_q(\langle \sigma_q^E(x), \sigma_q^E(x) \rangle) h \rangle_H \\ &= \langle h, \varphi_q(\pi_q(\langle x, x \rangle)) h \rangle_H \\ &= \langle h, \varphi(\langle x, x \rangle) h \rangle_H \\ &= \langle x \otimes h, x \otimes h \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in E$  and  $h \in H$ . Then  $U_1$  can be extended to a bounded linear operator, which is again denoted by  $U_1$  from  ${}_E H$  onto  ${}_{E_q} H$ . It is easy to see that  $U_1$  is a unitary operator. We define the linear map  $U_2 : V \otimes_{alg} E \otimes_{alg} H \rightarrow V_p \otimes_{alg} E_q \otimes_{alg} H$  by  $U_2(v \otimes x \otimes h) = \sigma_p^V(v) \otimes \sigma_q^E(x) \otimes h$ . For every  $v \in V$ ,  $x \in E$  and  $h \in H$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle U_2(v \otimes x \otimes h), U_2(v \otimes x \otimes h) \rangle &= \langle \sigma_p^V(v) \otimes \sigma_q^E(x) \otimes h, \sigma_p^V(v) \otimes \sigma_q^E(x) \otimes h \rangle \\ &= \langle h, \varphi_q(\langle \sigma_p^V(v) \otimes \sigma_q^E(x), \sigma_p^V(v) \otimes \sigma_q^E(x) \rangle) h \rangle_H \\ &= \langle h, \varphi_q(\langle \sigma_q^E(x), \Psi_p(\langle \sigma_p^V(v), \sigma_p^V(v) \rangle) \sigma_q^E(x) \rangle) h \rangle_H \\ &= \langle h, \varphi_q(\langle \sigma_q^E(x), \Psi_p(\pi_p(\langle v, v \rangle)) \sigma_q^E(x) \rangle) h \rangle_H \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle U_2(v \otimes x \otimes h), U_2(v \otimes x \otimes h) \rangle &= \langle h, \varphi_q \left( \langle \sigma_q^E(x), (\pi_q)_*(\Psi(\langle v, v \rangle)) \sigma_q^E(x) \rangle \right) h \rangle_H \\
 &= \langle h, \varphi_q \left( \langle \sigma_q^E(x), \sigma_q^E(\Psi(\langle v, v \rangle)x) \rangle \right) h \rangle_H \\
 &= \langle h, \varphi_q \left( \pi_q(\langle x, \Psi(\langle v, v \rangle)x \rangle) \right) h \rangle_H \\
 &= \langle h, \varphi(\langle x, \Psi(\langle v, v \rangle)x \rangle) h \rangle_H \\
 &= \langle v \otimes x \otimes h, v \otimes x \otimes h \rangle,
 \end{aligned}$$

and so  $U_2$  can be extended to a bounded linear operator  $U_2$  from  $V \otimes_{\Psi} E H$  onto  $V_p \otimes_{\Psi_q} E_q H$ . It is easy to see that  $U_2$  is unitary. Moreover,  $U_2 \overset{V_p}{E} \Phi(v) = (\overset{V_p}{E_q} \Phi_q \circ \sigma_p^V) U_1(v)$  for all  $v \in V$ . Hence, the representations  $\overset{V_p}{E} \Phi$  and  $\overset{V_p}{E_q} \Phi_q \circ \sigma_p^V$  are unitarily equivalent.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $\Phi_1 : W \rightarrow B(H_1, K_1)$  and  $\Phi_2 : W \rightarrow B(H_2, K_2)$  be two non-degenerate representations of  $W$ . If  $\Phi_1$  and  $\Phi_2$  are unitarily equivalent, then  $\overset{V_p}{E} \Phi_1$  and  $\overset{V_p}{E} \Phi_2$  are unitarily equivalent, too.*

**Proof.** Let  $q, q' \in S(B)$ ,  $(\varphi_{1q}, H_1)$  be a representation of  $B_q$  associated to  $\varphi_1$  and let  $(\varphi_{2q'}, H_2)$  be a representation of  $B_{q'}$  associated to  $\varphi_2$ . Consider  $r \in S(B)$  such that  $q, q' \leq r$ . By Theorem 3, there exists  $p \in S(A)$  such that  $A_p$  acts non-degenerately on  $E_r$  and the representation  $\overset{V_p}{E} \Phi_i$  is unitarily equivalent to  $\overset{V_p}{E_r} \Phi_{ir} \circ \sigma_p^V$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Since  $\Phi_{1r}$  and  $\Phi_{2r}$  are unitarily equivalent representations of  $W_r$ , Lemma 3 implies that the representations  $\overset{V_p}{E_r} \Phi_{1r}$  and  $\overset{V_p}{E_r} \Phi_{2r}$  are unitarily equivalent.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.** *If  $\Phi : W \rightarrow B(H, K)$  and  $\oplus_{i \in I} \Phi_i : W \rightarrow B(\oplus_{i \in I} H_i, \oplus_{i \in I} K_i)$  are unitarily equivalent, then  $\overset{V_p}{E} \Phi$  and  $\oplus_{i \in I} \overset{V_p}{E} \Phi_i$  are unitarily equivalent.*

**Proof.** Let  $q \in S(B)$  and  $\Phi_q : W_q \rightarrow B(H, K)$  be a representation of  $W_q$  associated to  $\Phi$ . For every  $i \in I$ , define  $\Phi_{iq} : W_q \rightarrow B(H_i, K_i)$  by  $\Phi_{iq}(\sigma_q^W(w)) = \Phi_i(w)$ . If  $\sigma_q^W(w) = 0$ , then  $\Phi_q(\sigma_q^W(w)) = 0$  and so  $\Phi(w) = 0$ . Since  $\Phi$  and  $\oplus_{i \in I} \Phi_i$  are unitarily equivalent, we conclude that  $\oplus_{i \in I} \Phi_i(w) = 0$  and therefore,  $\Phi_i(w) = 0$  for each  $i \in I$ . It proves that  $\Phi_{iq}$  is well-defined for any  $i \in I$ . It is easy to see that  $\Phi_q$  is unitarily equivalent to  $\oplus_{i \in I} \Phi_{iq}$ . By Theorem 3, there exists  $p \in S(A)$  such that  $A_p$  acts non-degenerately on  $E_q$  and the representations  $\overset{V_p}{E} \Phi$  and  $\overset{V_p}{E_q} \Phi_q \circ \sigma_p^V$  of  $V$  are unitarily equivalent. The representations  $\overset{V_p}{E} \Phi_i$  and  $\overset{V_p}{E_q} \Phi_{iq} \circ \sigma_p^V$ ,  $i \in I$  are unitarily equivalent, too. On the other hand, Corollary 1 implies that the representations  $\overset{V_p}{E_q} \Phi_q$  and  $\oplus_{i \in I} \overset{V_p}{E_q} \Phi_{iq}$  of  $V_p$  are unitarily equivalent. Consequently, the representations  $\overset{V_p}{E_q} \Phi_q \circ \sigma_p^V$  and  $\oplus_{i \in I} (\overset{V_p}{E_q} \Phi_{iq} \circ \sigma_p^V)$  of  $V$  are unitarily equivalent.  $\square$

#### 4. The imprimitivity theorem for Hilbert modules

In this section, we introduce the concept of Morita equivalence between Hilbert modules over locally  $C^*$ -algebras and give a module version of the imprimitivity theorem.

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be locally  $C^*$ -algebras. We say that  $A$  and  $B$  are *strongly Morita equivalent*, written  $A \sim_M B$ , if there is a full Hilbert  $A$  module  $E$  such that locally  $C^*$ -algebras  $B$  and  $K_A(E)$  are isomorphic. Joita [10, Proposition 4.4] showed that strong Morita equivalence is an equivalence relation in the set of all locally  $C^*$ -algebras. The vector space  $\tilde{E} := K_A(E, A)$  is a full Hilbert  $K_A(E)$ -module with the following action and inner product

$$\begin{aligned} (T, S) &\rightarrow TS, \quad S \in K_A(E), \quad T \in K_A(E, A), \\ \langle T, S \rangle &= T^*S, \quad T, S \in K_A(E, A). \end{aligned}$$

Since locally  $C^*$ -algebras  $B$  and  $K_A(E)$  are isomorphic,  $\tilde{E}$  may be regarded as a Hilbert  $B$ -module. Moreover, the linear map  $\alpha$  from  $A$  to  $K_B(\tilde{E})$  defined by  $\alpha(a)(\theta_{b,x}) = \theta_{ab,x}$  is an isomorphism of locally  $C^*$ -algebras by [10, Lemma 4.2 and Remark 4.3]. It is easy to see that for each  $p \in S(A)$ , the linear map  $U_p : (\tilde{E})_p \rightarrow \tilde{E}_p$  defined by  $U_p(T + N_p^{\tilde{E}}) = (\pi_p)_*(T)$  is unitary and so the Hilbert  $K_{A_p}(E_p)$ -modules  $(\tilde{E})_p$  and  $\tilde{E}_p$  are the same.

**Definition 2.** *Suppose  $V$  and  $W$  are Hilbert modules over locally  $C^*$ -algebras  $A$  and  $B$ , respectively. The Hilbert modules  $V$  and  $W$  are called Morita equivalent if  $K_A(V)$  and  $K_B(W)$  are strong Morita equivalent as locally  $C^*$ -algebras. In this case, we write  $V \sim_M W$ .*

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $V$  be a full Hilbert module over locally  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ . Then  $K_A(V)$  is strong Morita equivalent to  $\overline{\langle V, V \rangle}$ .*

**Proof.** The module  $\tilde{V} = K_A(V, A)$  is a full Hilbert  $K_A(V)$ -module by [10, Corollary 3.3]. Then locally  $C^*$ -algebras  $K_{K_A(V)}(\tilde{V})$  and  $K_A(A)$  are isomorphic by Lemma 4.2 in [10]. Since  $\overline{\langle \tilde{V}, \tilde{V} \rangle} = A \simeq K_A(A)$ , locally  $C^*$ -algebras  $K_A(V)$  and  $\overline{\langle V, V \rangle}$  are strong Morita equivalent.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.** *Two full Hilbert modules over locally  $C^*$ -algebras are Morita equivalent if and only if their underlying locally  $C^*$ -algebras are strong Morita equivalent.*

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $V$  and  $W$  be two full Hilbert modules over locally  $C^*$ -algebras  $A$  and  $B$ , respectively, such that  $V \sim_M W$ . If  $E$  is a Hilbert  $A$ -module which gives the strong Morita equivalence between  $A$  and  $B$ , and  $\Phi$  is a non-degenerate representation of  $V$ , then  $\Phi$  is unitarily equivalent to  $\overline{V}_E^V(W_E \Phi)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $p \in S(A)$  and  $\Phi_p$  be a non-degenerate representation of  $V_p$  associated to  $\Phi$ . Using [11, Lemma 4.1], there is  $q \in S(B)$  such that  $A_p \sim_M B_q$  and  $E_p$  gives the strong Morita equivalent between  $A_p$  and  $B_q$ . The representations  $\varphi_p$  and  $\overline{A}_p^{B_q}(\varphi_p)$  of  $A_p$  are unitarily equivalent by [15, Theorem 3.29]. Then the representations  $\Phi_p$  and  $\overline{V}_p^{W_q}(\Phi_p)$  of  $V_p$  are unitarily equivalent by Lemma 2 and consequently, the representations  $\overline{V}_p^{W_q}(\Phi_p) \circ \sigma_p^V$  and  $\Phi_p \circ \sigma_p^V = \Phi$  of  $V$  are unitarily equivalent. In view of Theorems 3 and 4, we have

- the representations  $\overline{W}_E^W \Phi$  and  $\overline{W}_E^{W_q} \Phi_p \circ \sigma_q^W$  of  $W$  are unitarily equivalent,

- the representations  $\frac{V}{E}(^W_E \Phi)$  and  $\frac{V}{E}(^W_{E_p} \Phi_p \circ \sigma_q^W)$  of  $V$  are unitarily equivalent, and
- the representations  $\frac{V}{E}(^W_{E_p} \Phi_p \circ \sigma_q^W)$  and  $\frac{V_p}{E_p}(^W_{E_p} \Phi_p \circ \sigma_q^W)_q \circ \sigma_p^V$  of  $V$  are unitarily equivalent.

The assertion now follows from the fact that  $(^W_{E_p} \Phi_p \circ \sigma_q^W)_q = ^W_{E_p} \Phi_p$ .  $\square$

We now reformulate the imprimitivity theorem within the framework of Hilbert modules as follows.

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $V$  and  $W$  be two Hilbert modules over locally  $C^*$ -algebras  $A$  and  $B$ , respectively. If  $V \sim_M W$ , then there is a bijective correspondence between equivalence classes of non-degenerate representations of  $V$  and  $W$ .*

**Proof.** By replacing the underlying  $C^*$ -algebras  $A$  and  $B$ , we may assume that  $V$  and  $W$  are full Hilbert modules over  $A$  and  $B$ , respectively. Let  $E$  be a Hilbert  $A$ -module which gives strong Morita equivalence between  $A$  and  $B$ . Then, by Theorems 4 and 5, the map  $\Phi \mapsto \frac{W}{E} \Phi$  from the set of all non-degenerate representations of  $V$  to the set of all non-degenerate representations of  $W$  induces a bijective correspondence between equivalence classes of non-degenerate representations of  $V$  and  $W$ .  $\square$

**Acknowledgement:** This research was done while the second author stayed at the Mathematisches Institut of the Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität in Münster, Germany, in 2015. He would like to express his thanks to Wend Werner and his colleagues in Functional Analysis and Operator Algebras Group for warm hospitality and a great scientific atmosphere. The second author gratefully acknowledges financial support from the Alexander von Humboldt-Foundation. He also would like to thank the referee for his/her careful reading and useful comments.

## References

- [1] GH. ABBASPOUR TABADKAN, S. FARHANGI, *Induced representations of Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules*, arXiv:1403.2256 [math.OA], 2014.
- [2] P. ARA, *Morita equivalence and Pedersen ideals*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **129**(2001), 1041–1049.
- [3] LJ. ARAMBAŠIĆ, *Irreducible representations of Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules*, Math. Proc. R. Ir. Acad. **105A**(2005), 11?–24.
- [4] W. BEER, *On Morita equivalence of nuclear  $C^*$ -algebras*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, **26**(1982), 249–267.
- [5] M. FRAGULOPOULOU, *Topological algebras with involution*, North Holland, Amsterdam, 2005.
- [6] A. INOUE, *Locally  $C^*$ -algebras*, Mem. Faculty Sci. Kyushu Univ. Ser. A **25**(1971), 197–235.
- [7] M. JOITA, *On Hilbert modules over locally  $C^*$ -algebras*, An. Univ. Bucuresti, Mat. **49**(2000), 41–51.
- [8] M. JOITA, *Hilbert modules over locally  $C^*$ -algebras*, University of Bucharest Press, Bucharest, 2006.
- [9] M. JOITA, *Tensor products of Hilbert modules over locally  $C^*$ -algebras*, Czech. Math. J. **54**(2004), 727–737.

- [10] M. JOITA, *Morita equivalence for locally  $C^*$ -algebras*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **36**(2004), 802–810.
- [11] M. JOITA, *Induced representations of locally  $C^*$ -algebras*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **35**(2005), 1923–1934.
- [12] M. JOITA, M. S. MOSLEHIAN, *A Morita equivalence for Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules*, Stud. Math. **209**(2012), 11–19.
- [13] G. J. MURPHY, *Positive definite kernels and Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. **40**(1997), 367–374.
- [14] N. C. PHILLIPS, *Inverse limit of  $C^*$ -algebras*, J. Operator Theory **19**(1988), 159–195.
- [15] I. RAEBURN, D. P. WILLIAMS, *Morita equivalence and continuous-trace  $C^*$ -algebras*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 60. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998.
- [16] M. A. RIEFFEL, *Induced representations of  $C^*$ -algebras*, Adv. Math. **13**(1974), 176–257.
- [17] M. A. RIEFFEL, *Morita equivalence for  $C^*$ -algebras and  $W^*$ -algebras*, J. Pure Appl. Alg. **5**(1974), 51–96.
- [18] M. SKEIDE, *Unit vectors, Morita equivalence and endomorphisms*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **45**(2009), 475–518.
- [19] M. SKEIDE, *Generalised matrix  $C^*$ -algebras and representations of Hilbert modules*, Math. Proc. R. Ir. Acad. **100A**(2000), 11–38.
- [20] K. SHARIFI, *Generic properties of module maps and characterizing inverse limits of  $C^*$ -algebras of compact operators*, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. **36**(2013), 481–489.
- [21] H. ZETTL, *Strong Morita equivalence of  $C^*$ -algebras preserves nuclearity*, Arch. Math. **38**(1982), 448–452.