COPPER(II) AND MERCURY(II) COMPLEXES OF SULFAMETHAZINE, SUCINYL SULFATHIAZOLE AND PHthalylsulfathiazole
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Some new complexes of the types MLCl₂ (where M = Cu(II) or Hg(II) and L = sulfamethazine (SZ) or succinylsulfathiazole (SST) and ML₂Cl₂ [where M = Cu(II) or Hg(II) and L = phthalylsulfathiazole (PST) ] have been synthesized and their structures investigated by analyses, molar conductance, magnetic susceptibility, UV-visible and IR spectral measurements. Molar conductivities of the complexes indicate their non-electrolytic behaviour. Electronic, infrared and far IR-spectral studies suggest octahedral geometry for copper(II) complexes and tetrahedral geometry for mercury(II) complexes.

INTRODUCTION

Sulfadrazines, of general formula I, are of interest because of several donor atoms serving as potential sites for coordination with metal ions, and also because of their antimicrobial activity1. The antimicrobial activity of some metal complexes with sulfadrazines has also been reported2. Taking into account the increased antimicrobial action of many metal compounds of sulfadrazines, it was considered worthwhile to synthesize the complexes of copper(II) and mercury(II) chlorides with sulfamethazine, succinylsulfathiazole and phthalylsulfathiazole to investigate various physico-chemical characteristics of these complexes.

EXPERIMENTAL

Copper(II) and mercury(II) chlorides BDH, AR Grade) and sulfadrazines (May and Maker, England) were used as such for the preparation of the complexes. The purity of the sulfadrazines was judged from their melting points compared to the literature values.3

Copper(II) and mercury(II) complexes were prepared by mixing a hot methanol solution (10 ml) of the metal(II) chloride (10 mmol) with a hot methanol solution
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(100 ml) of the sulfadrug (10 mmol). The reaction mixtures were refluxed for 2—3 hrs. Concentration of the solution to 10 ml and addition of 50 ml of ether led to separation of a sticky mass on standing for a few hours in a fridge. The sticky mass was washed with ether to obtain solid products which were dried in a vacuum desiccator.

The metal content, chloride and sulphur in the complexes were determined by following the standard literature procedures and carbon microanalytically using a coleman C and H-analyzer. Molar conductances were measured in 10^-3 M methanol solution of the complexes using the Yoshimwai conductivity bridge at 25°C. Magnetic measurements were made on a Faraday balance (Cohn Magnetic Susceptibility Apparatus) using Hg[Co(NCS)_4] as the calibrant. Diamagnetic corrections were applied using Pascal’s constants. Electronic spectra were recorded in methanol solution of the compounds using a Unicam SP-700 spectrophotometer in the range 200—1000 nm. Infrared spectra were recorded as KBr pellets on a Unicam SP-1200 spectrophotometer in the range 4000—400 cm^-1 and far IR spectra were obtained using a Perkin-Elmer-580B spectrophotometer in the range 500—200 cm^-1. The analytical, magnetic and electronic spectral data are listed in Table I. Some important IR spectral bands of the sulfadrugs and their complexes are given in Table II.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The analytical data given in Table I show the formation of complexes having 1:1 and 1:2 (Metal:Sulfadrug) ratios. The Cu(II) complexes are dark green, light green or light brown, whereas the Hg(II) complexes are usually light yellow. All the complexes melt with decomposition in the temperature range 110—200°C, which is much below the melting points of the corresponding sulfadrugs. All the 1:1 and 1:2 complexes are soluble in methanol, acetone, acetonitrile and dimethylsulfoxide but insoluble in water, ether, carbon tetrachloride, benzene and chloroform. The molar conductivities of all the complexes in 10^-3 M methanol solution lie much below the reported range, suggesting their non-electrolytic nature.

Electronic Spectra

The simple sulfanilamides have one single intense band around 260 nm in neutral solution. The UV spectra of sulfadrugs studied presently reveal a single band at 260—265 nm in methanol solution. In the spectra of Cu(II) and Hg(II) complexes, there is a shifting and splitting in the bands of sulfadrugs indicating their coordination to the metal ion. An interesting feature of the electronic spectra of Cu(II) complexes is the appearance of a band in the range 425—450 nm (Table I). Although a high energy band in the blue region has been related to binuclear nature of copper complexes, a careful observation reveals that this band is of similar intensity (high) to those of the other UV bands of sulfadrugs. This blue band in Cu(II) complexes may be a ligand to metal charge transfer band indicating the coordination of ligand to metal. Besides the UV bands, Cu(II) complexes show one band around at 900 nm which corresponds to the octahedral structure for all of these complexes. Since Hg(II) is a d^10 metal ion, no d—d transition bands are expected in the visible region in the Hg(II) complexes. However, information about the ligand coordination and stereochemistry of Hg(II) complexes can be obtained from infrared spectra.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Colour</th>
<th>Yield/%</th>
<th>M. P. (dec.)/°C</th>
<th>Analyses: Found (Calc.)/%</th>
<th>(\mu_{\text{eff}})</th>
<th>Electronic spectral Bands in Methanol ((\lambda_{\text{max}}/\text{nm}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Cu(SZ)Cl₂</td>
<td>Dark</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>15.09</td>
<td>16.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>SZ-197</td>
<td>(15.39)</td>
<td>(17.21)</td>
<td>(34.90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Cu(SST)Cl₂</td>
<td>Light</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>13.26</td>
<td>13.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>SST-185</td>
<td>(12.97)</td>
<td>(14.50)</td>
<td>(31.86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Cu(PST)₂Cl₂</td>
<td>Light</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>7.02</td>
<td>7.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Brown</td>
<td>PST-200</td>
<td>(6.73)</td>
<td>(7.54)</td>
<td>(543.38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Hg(SZ)Cl₂</td>
<td>Light</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>36.08</td>
<td>13.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>SZ-197</td>
<td>(36.42)</td>
<td>(12.93)</td>
<td>(26.22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Hg(SST)Cl₂</td>
<td>Light</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>32.25</td>
<td>11.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>SST-185</td>
<td>(31.94)</td>
<td>(11.34)</td>
<td>(34.92)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Hg(PST)₂Cl₂</td>
<td>Light</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>18.88</td>
<td>6.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>PST-260</td>
<td>(18.57)</td>
<td>(6.59)</td>
<td>(37.58)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations:  
SZ = Sulfamethazine  
SST = Succinylsulfathiazole  
PST = Phthalylsulfathiazole
**TABLE II**

*Important IR Spectral Bands (4000–200 cm⁻¹) of Sulfadruugs and Their Cu(II) and Hg(II) Complexes*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>νC = 0</th>
<th>Phenyl Ring Vibrations</th>
<th>Substituent Sensitive Aromatic Mode</th>
<th>ν as and νs (SO₂ groups)</th>
<th>νS—N</th>
<th>R (Heterocyclic group)</th>
<th>νM—N (Heterocyclic Ring N)</th>
<th>νM—Cl</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SZ</td>
<td>1640m(a)</td>
<td>1595s 1510w</td>
<td>1090s</td>
<td>1330m</td>
<td>835m</td>
<td>1575m</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SST</td>
<td>1680m</td>
<td>1600s 1500w</td>
<td>1100s</td>
<td>1340m</td>
<td>840m</td>
<td>1580m</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PST</td>
<td>1720m</td>
<td>1590w 1590s</td>
<td>1090s</td>
<td>1320m</td>
<td>830m</td>
<td>1575m</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu(SZ)Cl₂</td>
<td>1620m(a)</td>
<td>1600s 1510w</td>
<td>1090s</td>
<td>1330m</td>
<td>835m</td>
<td>1555w</td>
<td>270m</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu(SST)Cl₂</td>
<td>1640m</td>
<td>1605s 1505w</td>
<td>1100s</td>
<td>1335m</td>
<td>840m</td>
<td>1560w</td>
<td>260m</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu(PST)₂Cl₂</td>
<td>1720m</td>
<td>1600s 1500w</td>
<td>1090s</td>
<td>1330m</td>
<td>830m</td>
<td>1555w</td>
<td>265m</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hg(SZ)Cl₂</td>
<td>1615m(a)</td>
<td>1600s 1510w</td>
<td>1090s</td>
<td>1330m</td>
<td>840m</td>
<td>1550w</td>
<td>270m</td>
<td>310m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hg(SST)Cl₂</td>
<td>1660m</td>
<td>1605s 1500w</td>
<td>1090s</td>
<td>1340m</td>
<td>840m</td>
<td>1545w</td>
<td>265m</td>
<td>300m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hg(PST)₂Cl₂</td>
<td>1725m</td>
<td>1605s 1500w</td>
<td>1090s</td>
<td>1320m</td>
<td>830m</td>
<td>1540w</td>
<td>260m</td>
<td>305m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: Same as in Table I(a) δNH₂ of the Drug/Complex.
Magnetic Measurements

All the copper(II) complexes of sulfadrugs are normal paramagnetic and the $\mu_{eff}$ values lie in the range 1.80—1.90 B.M. in agreement with the observed values for one unpaired electron in copper(II) complexes.\(^{14}\)

Infrared Spectra

Because of resonance contributions from NH$_3$ and NH groups in the sulfadrugs, the $\nu$ NH bands at 3500—3300 cm$^{-1}$ due to anilino NH$_2$ group$^{15}$ in sulfadrugs shifted to the lower or the higher frequency side in the complexes upon coordination cannot be assigned satisfactorily. Positive evidence of coordination through anilino NH$_3$/succinyl group, however, is provided by the $\delta$ NH/$\nu$C=O band, which shows a negative shift of about 20—40 cm$^{-1}$ in the Cu(II) and Hg(II) complexes of sulfamethazine and succinylsulfathiazole. But $\nu$C=O due to phthalyl group in the Cu(II) and Hg(II) complexes of phthalylsulfathiazole remains unshifted indicating that the phthalyl group does not take part in coordination.

The phenyl ring stretching vibrations$^{12}$ at $\sim$1600 and 1500 cm$^{-1}$ in sulfadrugs are unaffected or slightly shifted to higher frequencies in the complexes. The substituent-sensitive aromatic mode$^{14}$ appearing in sulfadrugs at 1100—1090 cm$^{-1}$ is observed unchanged in all the complexes which signifies that the phenyl group is not involved in bonding to the metal.

The asymmetric and symmetric $-\text{SO}_2$-group stretching vibrations in sulfadrugs are observed at 1340—1320 and 1180—1150 cm$^{-1}$, respectively$^{14,16}$. The $\nu_{as}(\text{SO}_2)$ and $\nu_{s}(\text{SO}_2)$ vibrations are not appreciably altered in the spectra of the complexes. The $\nu S-N$ band$^{14}$ observed at 840—830 cm$^{-1}$ in sulfadrugs remains unperturbed in the complexes. The above observations suggest that the sulphonyl group does not participate in coordination.

The heterocyclic ring stretching vibrations$^{17}$ appearing in sulfadrugs at 1580—1575 cm$^{-1}$ shift to 1560—1540 cm$^{-1}$ in all the complexes, showing coordination of heterocyclic ring nitrogen/sulphur to the metal. The C—S stretching frequency generally appears as a band of weak or moderate intensity in the 720—570 cm$^{-1}$ range. The $\nu C-S$ appearing in succinyl- and phthalylsulfathiazole at 730 cm$^{-1}$ is observed unshifted in the complexes, suggesting that only the heterocyclic ring nitrogen is involved in coordination.

The $\nu Cu-N^{19}$ and $\nu Hg-N^{20}$ bands in Cu(II) and Hg(II) complexes (where ligand is a heterocyclic base) have been reported at 270—240 and 280—220 cm$^{-1}$, respectively. In view of the above assignments for $\nu M-N$, the non-ligand bands in sulfadrug complexes occurring at 270—260 cm$^{-1}$ have been attributed to $\nu M-N$ (heterocyclic ring nitrogen).

The $\nu Cu-Cl$ serves to distinguish between tetrahedral and octahedral complexes of the same stoichiometry, the $\nu Cu-Cl$ in pseudo-tetrahedral copper(II) complexes occurs at 305—319 cm$^{-1}$,\(^{31}\) while for octahedral complexes it occurs below 200 cm$^{-1}$. In the present study, the absence of $\nu Cu-Cl$ in the range for pseudo-tetrahedral copper(II) complexes indicates a possibility of octahedral structure, which is further supported by the electronic spectra of the copper(II)-sulfadru complexes discussed previously. In Hg(II)-sulfadru complexes, the terminal $\nu Hg-Cl$ and bridging $\nu Hg-Cl$ are reported at 320—290 and 220 cm$^{-1}$, respectively$^{22,23}$. The $\nu Hg-Cl$ observed at 310—330 cm$^{-1}$ in the Hg(II)-sulfadru complexes are in good agreement with the
frequencies normally associated with terminal Hg—Cl stretching modes in tetrahedral structures. Thus, the structures of these Hg(II) complexes are tetrahedral.
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SAŽETAK

Kompleksi bakra(II) i žive(II) sa sulfametazinom, sukcinilsulfatiazolom i ftalilsulfatiazolom

J. K. Gupta i N. K. Jha

Pripravljeni su novi kompleksni spojevi tipa MLC12, gdje je M = Cu(II), Hg(II), a L = sulfametazin (SZ) ili sukcinilsulfatiazol (SST) kao i spojevi MLaCl2, gdje je M = Cu, Hg(II), a L = ftalilsulfatiazol (PST). Spojevi su karakterizirani kemijskom analizom, molarnom vodljivšću, UV-vidljivom i infracrvenom spektroskopijom. Molarna vodljivost upućuje na neelektrolitsku prirodu spojeva, a elektronski i infracrveni spekttri na oktaedarsku koordinaciju spojeva bakra(II) i tetraedarsku koordinaciju spojeva žive(II).