THE EFFECT OF TEMPERATURE-DEPENDENT VISCOSITY AND THERMAL CONDUCTIVITY ON MICROPOLAR FLUID OVER A STRETCHING SHEET
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In this paper, the flow and heat transfer characteristics of a micropolar fluid flow over a stretching sheet are studied. The effects of magnetic field, radiation heat flux and porous sheet are investigated, and the viscosity and thermal conductivity are supposed temperature-dependent. The governing equations are formulated by boundary layer approximation and the theory of micropolar fluids. To solve the problem, the partial differential equations are transformed into the ordinary differential equations by using similarity solutions, and the achieved equations are solved by shooting method and fourth-order Runge-Kutta. The results show that the effects of magnetic field and porousness result in decrease of the velocity values in the boundary layers, but the presence of radiation heat flux results in the growth of the boundary layer thickness. Furthermore, the thickness of thermal boundary layer declines by increase of suction parameter and reduction of radiation and magnetic field effects.
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1 Introduction

In the last few decades, many industrial processes, such as extrusion, glass-fiber and cooling the sheets, necessitate the research on heat transfer and boundary layer flow over a moving continuous sheet. On the other hand, a lot of fluids cannot be formulated as a Newtonian fluid, such as micropolar fluids which have microrotation as the extra degrees of freedom. Eringen [1] presented the theory of micropolar fluid to model these fluids. This theory can describe the flows with polar properties, for example the flows of liquid crystals, ferro-fluids, the colloidal fluid flows, bubbly liquids, the blood of animals, etc.

Prasad et al. [2] described a magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) viscoelastic fluid flow over a stretching sheet with variable viscosity. They solved the problem by similarity transformations, shooting method and fourth-order Runge-Kutta, and concluded that heat-sink parameter decreases the values of temperature profile in the boundary layer, but heat-source parameter increases it. In another research, Prasad et al. [3] studied the effects of temperature-dependent fluid properties in the presence of magnetic field, and concluded that the augmentation of variable viscosity parameter and variable thermal conductivity parameter leads to the increase of temperature values in thermal boundary layer. Chiam [4] considered a variable thermal conductivity flow in a stagnation-point flow and understood that the increment of variable thermal conductivity results in the growth of thermal boundary layer thickness while wall temperature could be constant or variable. Odda and Farhan [5] studied the effects of variable properties of micropolar fluid on heat transfer from a stretching sheet by Chebyshev finite difference method and showed that the suction effect leads to decrease of temperature values in the boundary layer, while blowing has an inverse influence. Mahmoud [6] investigated the effects of thermal radiation and MHD on micropolar fluid flow over a stretching sheet, and the results showed that the increase of magnetic parameter or thermal conductivity parameter thickens thermal boundary layer. Rahman et al. [7] considered the effects of variable surface temperature on heat transfer to a micropolar fluid along a stretching sheet while the viscosity is assumed temperature-dependent. They showed that variable wall temperature leads to the lower values of temperature in thermal boundary layer than the uniform wall temperature does. Bhattacharyya et al. [8] considered the influence of thermal radiation on micropolar fluid flow over a shrinking sheet and obtained a dual solution to the problem. Yacob et al. [9] studied a micropolar fluid in a steady stagnation-point flow towards a sheet. They observed that the thickness of thermal boundary layer for stretching sheet is lower than for shrinking sheet. In another work, micropolar fluid flow over a shrinking sheet as a stagnation-point flow is studied by Ishak et al. [10]. They used shooting method with two different initial guesses to obtain a dual solution. Miclavcic and Wang [11] presented an exact solution for viscous flow over a shrinking sheet. In addition, Wang [12] studied stagnation flow over a shrinking sheet and observed that the thickness of thermal boundary layer increases by convective heat transfer. Yacob and Ishak [13] showed that there is a dual solution for a micropolar fluid flow over a shrinking sheet, and the values of velocity profile increase for upper branch solution and
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increase of magnetic field parameter or porous medium parameter and reduction of material parameter. Nazar et al. reaction rate parameter increase the temperature values. Equations by Keller-box method and reported that the over a vertical porous surface. They solved the governing equations by similarity solution and Keller-box method to study the micropolar fluid flow towards a stretching/shrinking sheet, which led to a dual solution for the flow on the shrinking sheet and a unique solution for the stretching sheet. Hosssain et al. [16] investigated a viscous fluid passing through a wedge with variable viscosity and thermal conductivity and showed that the decline of variable thermal conductivity increases the local friction coefficient and Nusselt number. El-Kabeir et al. [17] presented an analysis to consider a viscous fluid passing along a moving plate in the presence of Soret and Dufour effects with variable viscosity and thermal conductivity. Ishak [18] presented a numerical analysis to study the velocity and temperature distributions in a micropolar fluid flow over a stretching sheet with thermal radiation effects, and expressed that the thermal boundary layer thickness is enhanced by addition of radiation parameter and reduction of material parameter. Nazar et al. [19] presented an analysis for a mixed convection micropolar fluid flow towards a heated/cooled horizontal circular cylinder by Keller-box method, and considered the separation point and other flow characteristics. Mahmoud and Waheed [20] studied the micropolar fluid film over a moving porous plate. They concluded that the increase of radiation parameter declines Nusselt number. Hussain et al. [21] reported the radiation effects on a micropolar fluid flow towards a permeable and isothermal sheet by homotopy analysis method and showed that temperature values are enhanced by diminution of radiation and material parameter, and suction effects cause the lower velocity values. Das [22] considered MHD micropolar fluid flow in the presence of radiation heat flux, thermophoresis and chemical reaction. They showed that both thermophoretic parameter and chemical reaction rate parameter increase the temperature values. Rashidi et al. [23] described a steady, two-dimensional and incompressible micropolar fluid flow over a permeable sheet and presented an analytical solution by homotopy analysis method to consider distributions of velocity, angular velocity and temperature by variations of contributing parameters. Rahman et al. [24] analyzed micropolar fluid over an inclined porous plate, and reported that heat generation leads to larger Nusselt number. Taklifi and Aghanajafi [25] analyzed the effect of MHD on steady two-dimensional laminar mixed flow over a vertical porous surface. They solved the governing equations by Keller-box method and reported that the increase of magnetic field parameter or porous medium parameter increases the dimensionless velocity.

Here, the flow is supposed laminar, two-dimensional, steady and incompressible, and the body forces are neglected. The applied magnetic field is considered uniform along the sheet and the thermal condition of the sheet is supposed isothermal. Furthermore, the sheet stretches linearly and it is assumed permeable. What distinguishes the problem in this research from other studies is the simultaneous presence of thermal radiation, magnetic field and micropolar fluid flow with variable viscosity and thermal conductivity over a permeable stretching sheet, and discussion about their influences on the variations of velocity and temperature profiles by physical reasons.

This study may provide the integral background to the improvement of efficiency and heat transfer rate from a porous insulation, applied in high-temperature furnaces under different conditions. The importance of magnetic field and thermal radiation, resulting from such a high temperature in many complex industrial uses, and the need for more efficient porous insulation necessitates the studying of new fluids and methods to improve the thermal function of insulation, which might be using micropolar fluid motion due to a stretching sheet.

2 Method of solution

The problem is a micropolar fluid flow due to a stretching sheet. In the physical infinity, the fluid is stationary and the stretching sheet leads to the fluid motion. The x-axis is chosen along the sheet, and the y-axis is taken normal to it (Fig. 1).

Supposing that microinertia is assumed fixed, the governing equations using theory of micropolar fluid [26] and boundary layer approximation are written as follows:

\[ \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = 0 \]  
\[ u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( \mu + S \right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{S}{\rho} \frac{\partial N}{\partial y} - \sigma B^2 u \]  
\[ u \frac{\partial N}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial N}{\partial y} = \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( \mu + S \right) \frac{\partial N}{\partial y} - \frac{S}{\rho} \left( \frac{2N + \partial u}{\partial y} \right) \]  
\[ u \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} = \frac{1}{\rho C_p} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( k \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \right) - \frac{1}{\rho C_p} \frac{\partial q_p}{\partial y} + \frac{B^2 u^2}{\rho C_p} \]

Figure 1 Physical schematic of the problem

In above equations, \( u, v, N, \) and \( T \) are horizontal velocity, vertical velocity, angular velocity and temperature, also \( \rho, \mu, S, j, k, C_p, \sigma, B \) represent density, viscosity, vortex viscosity, micro-inertia, thermal conductivity coefficient, specific heat capacity, electrical conductivity and magnetic field intensity, respectively.

In order, Eqs. (1)-(4) describe the conservation of mass, momentum, angular momentum and energy for this problem. Given the large optical thickness for a diffusion
medium, the Rosseland approximation could be applied to define radiation heat flux [27]:

$$q_r = -\frac{4\xi}{3k} \frac{\partial T^4}{\partial y}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)$$

In Eq. (5) $\xi$ and $k$ are known as Stefan-Boltzmann constant and absorption coefficient. The expansion of $T^4$ in Taylor series would be written as follows:

$$T^4 = T_{\infty}^4 + 4T_{\infty}^3 \left(T - T_{\infty}\right) + 6T_{\infty}^2 \left(T - T_{\infty}\right)^2 + \ldots$$

$$\approx -3T_{\infty}^4 + 4T_{\infty}^3 T$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)$$

So, the energy equation is transformed into:

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} = \frac{1}{\rho C_p} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( k \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \right) + \frac{16\xi T_{\infty}^3}{3\kappa \rho C_p} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2}$$

$$+ \sigma \frac{B^2 u^2}{\rho C_p}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)$$

According to the governing assumptions, the sheet is isothermal and stretching, defined by a linear function with constant $c$. Moreover, the effect of suction would be considered by constant velocity of fluid penetration into the porous sheet, so the boundary conditions at the wall ($y=0$) are written by:

$$T = T_w$$  \hspace{1cm} (8-a)$$
$$u = cx \epsilon, c > 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (8-b)$$
$$v = -v_w, v_w > 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (8-c)$$
$$N = -m \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8-d)$$

With respect to the theory of micropolar fluid and boundary layer approximation, the angular velocity is defined as Eq. (8-d). In this boundary condition, constant $m$ is microrotation parameter which could be a value between 0 and 1. When $m = 0$, then $N = 0$, which represents concentrated particle flow, in which the micro-elements are close to the wall and cannot rotate [28], this status is known by strong focus on micro-elements [29]; in addition, $m = 0.5$ represents vanishing the asymmetric part of stress tensor and it means weak focus on micro-elements [30]. When $m = 1$, turbulence boundary layer can be modeled [31]. This study solved the governing equations and extracted the results for $m = 0.5$.

Also, the boundary conditions in far from the wall ($y \to \infty$) are as follows:

$$T \to T_{\infty}$$  \hspace{1cm} (9-a)$$
$$u \to 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (9-b)$$
$$N \to 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (9-c)$$

Kays [32] observed that the thermal conductivity coefficient has a linear relationship with temperature in an extensive range of temperature. The present study applied such a linear relationship for thermal conductivity coefficient [4]:

$$k = k_{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{\eta}{T_w - T_{\infty}}\right), \quad \varepsilon = \frac{k_w - k_{\infty}}{k_{\infty}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)$$

In Eq. (10), $\varepsilon$ is variable thermal conductivity parameter. Despite the existence of several temperature-dependent relationships to define dynamic viscosity, such as Reynolds viscosity model [33] or Vogel’s viscosity model [34], the following relationship, which is more suitable for a wide range of temperature, is used [2]:

$$\frac{1}{\mu} = \frac{1}{\mu_{\infty}} [1 + \gamma (T - T_{\infty})]$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)$$

In Eq. (11), $\gamma$ is a thermal property of the fluid, which is a positive value for liquids and a negative value for gases, provided that the wall temperature is higher than the fluid’s [3]. Since the flow is supposed two-dimensional and incompressible, stream function could be used for similarity solution to transform PDEs to ODEs by using the following non-dimensional variables [18]:

$$u = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y}$$
$$v = -\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}$$
$$\eta = (c / v_w)^{1/2} y$$
$$\psi = \left(cv_{\infty}\right)^{1/2} y f(\eta)$$
$$N = cx (c / v_w)^{1/2} h(\eta)$$
$$T = T_{\infty} + (T_w - T_{\infty}) \theta(\eta)$$

In Eq. (12) $u$, $v$ are stream function and kinematic viscosity, and $f$, $h$, $\theta$, $\eta$ are dimensionless stream function, angular velocity, temperature and similarity variable, respectively.

By substituting above similarity transformation, Eq. (10) and Eq. (11) are transformed into:

$$k = k_{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{\eta}{T_w - T_{\infty}}\right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)$$
$$\mu = \mu_{\infty} \left(\frac{\theta_r \theta - \theta}{\theta_r - \theta}\right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)$$

In Eq. (14), $\theta_r$ is variable viscosity parameter which is defined as follows:

$$\theta_r = \frac{1}{\gamma (T_w - T_{\infty})}$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)$$

The governing equations are transformed into non-linear ODEs by substituting Eqs. (12)-(14), as follows:

$$\left[ \frac{\theta_r}{\theta_r - \theta(\eta)} + \Delta \right] f''(\eta) + \frac{\theta_r}{(\theta_r - \theta(\eta))} f'(\eta) \theta'(\eta)$$
$$+ f(\eta) f''(\eta) - f'(\eta)^2 + \Delta \theta'(\eta) -(Ha)^2 f'(\eta) = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)$$
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Viscosity which accelerates the fluid motion.

The effects of various parameters on Eq. (14), higher values of temperature result in lower wall and heat transfer rate from the sheet dwindle. Based on Eq. (14), higher values of temperature result in lower viscosity which accelerates the fluid motion.

\[
\left[\frac{\theta_r}{\theta_r - \partial(\theta_r)} + \frac{\Delta}{2}\right] h^2(\eta) + \frac{\partial_r}{(\theta_r - \theta(\eta))^2} h^2(\eta) \partial(\theta(\eta)) + f(\eta) h^2(\eta) - f'(\eta) h(\eta) - \Delta \left[2h(\eta) + f'(\eta)\right] = 0
\]

\[
(1 + \varepsilon \theta(\eta)) \vartheta' + \varepsilon \vartheta^2 + Pr_{ec} f(\eta) \partial(\theta(\eta)) = 0
\]

The boundary conditions are transformed, as well:

\[
\theta(\eta) = 1
\]

\[
f(\eta) = 1 \quad \text{at} \quad \eta = 0
\]

\[
f(\eta) = \frac{M}{\theta_0}
\]

\[
h(\eta) = -mf'(\eta)
\]

And

\[
\theta(\eta) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{at} \quad \eta \rightarrow \infty
\]

\[
f(\eta) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{at} \quad \eta \rightarrow \infty
\]

\[
h(\eta) \rightarrow 0
\]

In all equations, the subscripts \(w\) and \(\infty\) show the quantities on the wall and far from the surface. With regard to the definition of dimensionless angular velocity mentioned in Eq. (19), distribution of dimensionless angular velocity is completely influenced by gradient of dimensionless velocity. Therefore, the increase of absolute values of velocity gradient causes more values of angular velocity and vice versa. In the above equations, dimensionless parameters are as follows:

\[
Pr_{ec} = \frac{\mu_{ec} C_p}{k_w}, \quad Ec = \frac{\varepsilon^2 s^2}{C_p (T_w - T_\infty)}, \quad \Delta = \frac{S}{\mu_w}, \quad Ra = \frac{16 \xi T^3 w}{3 k_w \kappa},
\]

These dimensionless parameters are named as Prandtl number, Eckert number, material parameter, radiation parameter, Hartmann number and suction parameter, respectively.

Here, shooting method served to transform the boundary value problem into initial value problem. Finally, they are solved by fourth-order Runge-Kutta method in symbolic software Mathematica.

### 3 Results and discussion

In this paper the numerical results are obtained for every desired parameter, while the values of other dimensionless parameters are considered fixed and supposed unit, other than the variable viscosity parameter which is assumed minus two as the representative of liquid fluid.

The initial gradient conditions achieved by shooting method play a significant role in ultimate solution. Therefore, to validate the results, the values of \(-\vartheta'(0)\) are compared with \([35, 36, 37, 18]\) and brought in Tab. 1. The very close answers certify the present results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(Ra)</th>
<th>(\Delta)</th>
<th>(Pr)</th>
<th>(Grubka) and (Bobba) [35]</th>
<th>(Ali) [36]</th>
<th>(Chen) [37]</th>
<th>(Ishak) [18]</th>
<th>Present</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0,72</td>
<td>0,4631</td>
<td>0,4617</td>
<td>0,46315</td>
<td>0,4631</td>
<td>0,463592</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,5820</td>
<td>0,5801</td>
<td>0,58199</td>
<td>0,5820</td>
<td>0,582011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1,1652</td>
<td>1,1599</td>
<td>1,16523</td>
<td>1,1652</td>
<td>1,165240</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2,3080</td>
<td>2,2960</td>
<td>2,30796</td>
<td>2,3080</td>
<td>2,308000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,3547</td>
<td>0,35724</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,3893</td>
<td>0,39130</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A numerical analysis has been done to study the influences of different parameters on a micropolar fluid flow with temperature-dependent properties and heat transfer from a stretching sheet. The effects of various parameters on velocity profiles and temperature profiles are shown graphically in Fig. 2-15.

Fig. 2 and Fig. 3, show the effect of variable thermal conductivity parameter on temperature profile and velocity profile, respectively. According to Eq. (13), the increase of variable thermal conductivity parameter leads to more thermal conductivity coefficient, which results in further thermal diffusion through the fluid. Hence, the values of dimensionless temperature in the thermal boundary layer increase and temperature gradient on the wall and heat transfer rate from the sheet dwindle. Based on Eq. (14), higher values of temperature result in lower viscosity which accelerates the fluid motion.

Fig. 4 and Fig. 5 represent the effects of presence and increase of magnetic field intensity on velocity profiles and temperature profiles, respectively. Applying magnetic
field in an electrically conducting fluid flow creates a drag force called Lorentz force, which reduces the fluid velocity. Consequently, the increase of Hartmann number reduces the rate of transport, and the thickness of the momentum boundary layer decreases. On the other hand, the resistance against the flow, created by Lorentz force, causes more heat transport rate through the fluid known as Joule heating. Therefore, the values of temperature in the boundary layer increase and the absolute temperature gradients at the surface and heat transfer from the sheet decline.

Fig. 6 and Fig. 7, show the effects of the material parameter as an important characteristic of micropolar fluid, on velocity and temperature profiles, respectively. It is obvious that the increase of material parameter thickens the momentum boundary layer and reduces the values of temperature profile, so the absolute values of temperature gradient are lowered and heat transfer from the sheet is enhanced.

Fig. 8 and Fig. 9 depict the effects of Prandtl number on velocity and temperature profiles. Prandtl number is the ratio of viscous forces to thermal diffusion, so the addition of Pr number implies more resistant force in fluid motion and reduction of velocity values. Also, less thermal diffusion leads to the lower energy transport through the fluid and more absolute values of temperature gradient on the surface, which causes the enhancement of heat transfer rate from the sheet.

Fig. 10 shows the effects of radiation heat transfer on temperature profiles by variations of thermal radiation parameter. The presence and increase of thermal radiation parameter augment the temperature values in the thermal
boundary layer. According to the definition of radiation parameter, increase of Ra implies decrease of absorption coefficient; then more radiation heat flux defined by Eq. (5), so the rate of energy transport to the fluid and the values of temperature distribution increase. Finally, according to Eq. (14), more temperature values result in lower viscosity and higher velocity values (Fig. 11).

Fig. 12 displays the effect of suction parameter on velocity profiles. Augmentation of fluid penetration velocity into the sheet by enhancement of suction parameter, reduces the total momentum in the flow direction, and lowers dimensionless velocity values. On the other hand, Fig. 13 shows that more fluid penetration into the sheet causes thinner thermal boundary layer and
higher heat transfer rate due to the faster replacement of heated fluid with the cooler fluid.

Fig. 14 and Fig. 15 display the effects of various values of variable viscosity parameter on the velocity and temperature profiles, respectively. The augmentation of absolute values of $\theta_r$ results in increase of dynamic viscosity which leads to decrease of velocity values in momentum boundary layer. Also, the reduction of fluid velocity slows down the replacement of heated fluid with cooler fluid, so temperature values in thermal boundary layer increase and heat transfer rate from the sheet declines.

4 Conclusion

This research investigated the characteristics of micropolar fluid flow over a stretching sheet and heat transfer from the sheet to the fluid; also, the effects of the presence and intensity of radiation, magnetic field, porous sheet and Prandtl number are considered. To obtain more exact solution, viscosity and thermal conductivity are supposed temperature-dependent, and the influences of variable viscosity parameter and variable thermal conductivity parameter are studied. The results show that the presence of radiation and magnetic field and the increase of variable viscosity and variable thermal conductivity parameters reduce heat transfer rate while the increase of suction parameter and Prandtl number enhance the cooling rate. Besides, the results show that the increase of variable thermal conductivity, radiation and material parameters thicken the velocity boundary layer though other parameters have inverse effects on its thickness.

5 References

stagnation point towards a shrinking sheet. // Zeitschrift für Naturforschung. 64, 9-10(2009), pp. 575-582. DOI: 10.1515/zna-2009-9-1006


Authors' addresses

Reza Keimanesh, M.Sc
K. N. Toosi University of technology,
Department of Mechanical Engineering,
No. 15, 17, 19, Pardis Avenue, Vanak square, Tehran, Iran reza_keimanesh@yahoo.com

Cyrus Aghanajafi, Professor
K. N. Toosi University of technology,
Department of Mechanical Engineering
No. 15, 17, 19, Pardis Avenue, Vanak square, Tehran, Iran aghanajafi@kntu.ac.ir