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The paper is focused on analysis concerning Quality Management System (QMS) and innovation performance relationship in Montenegrin firms. In accordance with that we firstly present literature about innovations performance in ISO 9001 certified firms around the world. Some of these empirical studies prove a positive influence of ISO 9001 on innovation, while the others provide opposite results. In addition, we performed empirical analysis on data extracted from survey of Montenegrin firms. Using an Ordinary Least Square regression (OLS) we show that ISO 9000 certification influences innovation performance positively.
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1 Introduction

Improvement of organizational performances, in the conditions of markets and economies uniting, represents the primary objective of every successful organization with the aim of improving competition and financial stability. One of the models that ensures organisational sustainability and improvement of performances is the model ISO 9001. Analysis of literature sources indicates that numerous research works are focussed on the analysis of benefits of the ISO 9000 standard series [8, 19, 20], while the largest number of authors agree that when consistently implemented, this series of standards brings manifold benefits for the organisation and that it stimulates innovativeness as the key lever of organisational sustainability and competitiveness [8, 19, 20]. Also, this series of standards stimulates management of inconsistencies with the aim of prevention measures planning and implementation, which according to numerous authors is a prerequisite for sustainability, improvement of organisational performances and innovation 1, 4, 9, 23, 24, 29. Timely, the aim of this paper is to carry out an analysis of the influence of ISO 9001 standard on the development of innovativeness.

It is very important to adopt and interpret correctly the concept of innovation i.e. to deviate from the earlier understanding of innovation as a technical solution. In line with the new understanding of the concept of innovation, it can be concluded that besides the concept of an entirely new solution innovation also implies implementation of some well-known solution from the global level in the local environment in which it is an absolute novelty that brings benefits, even those of financial nature [4, 15]. Facts and circumstances defined in this manner are an incentive for authors of the paper to make a comprehensive research with the objectives to obtain new knowledge aimed at improving organisational performances and to ensure stimulation for development of innovations.

Thanks to data base, we provide the analysis of this relationship between QMS and innovation performance in developing country such as Montenegro. In this sense, finding tool that can further stimulate innovation performance is very important especially for developing countries since their innovation performance lags significantly behind developed countries.

2 Literature review

Innovativeness and organisational creativity must be the basic underlying principles for any business doing in any area and in the systems of any size. Improvement of business doing based on the implementation of entirely new solutions and adoption of contemporary technologies is the base for improvement of organisational performances and creating of additional value [17].

Knowledge management as an important segment of the overall management system is a special variable that has a key importance for organisational recognisability on the market [6]. This is also why numerous contemporary organisations recognize this system through implementation of the role of knowledge manager, and frequently the value of organisations on the market is recognized through the level of knowledge possessed by its employees. The procedure that promotes the principle of leadership, stronger interaction of employees and the management and the establishment of undivided responsibilities and joint action towards the achievement of the same goal, lead to innovativeness, improvement of organisational performances and ensure satisfaction and user loyalty [26, 28].

This kind of modern understanding of innovativeness is supported also by the principles and requirements of the ISO 9000 standard series, which precisely through development of this standard stimulate development of
measurable progressive objectives, whose achievement must be proved through this system audit, which in fact represents an improvement of organisational performances. In this manner it is ensured that besides the needed effectiveness the system also has the obligatory efficiency, i.e. that it can prove its development and improvements. Numerous researchers in this area, and the philosophy of the standard series indicate the need for continuous small improvements, and not occasional major technological undertakings, which usually imply huge investments and transformations, i.e. lead to the obligation of re-engineering as a very expensive, time-consuming procedure with an uncertain outcome [18, 27].

Deepening in this direction goes through adoption of the principle of Total Quality Management or development and assessment of the system according to some of the models of business excellence [11, 12, 14], as a guarantee of improvement of organisational performances and achievement of financial and other benefits [10].

There are frequently cases when quality management system is implemented for the needs of obtaining a certificate and frequently this system creates a huge amount of bureaucracy and documents. Still, most authors agree that this system, in particular if correctly implemented in line with the obligation of defining progressive and measurable objectives and periodic proving of their achievements, is a system which leads to innovativeness and is indeed an efficient system that improves organisational performances [10] and in particular so taking into account the fact that ISO 9000 series is a system based on the best world practices [3, 16].

According to the majority of authors innovations management and quality management system are two complementary systems ensuring organisational competitiveness and efficiency on the condition of consistent respect of the leadership principle, strictly defined responsibility and measurement of the level of achievement of progressive objectives [7].

Also, a smaller number of studies and researchers indicate the need for prudence when implementing norms for employees and adopting a uniform approach, as this could lead to reduction of inventiveness [13], which is still incompatible with the fact that it is precisely dedication of employees to the norms and procedures that represents the base for development of knowledge as the key prerequisite for organisational sustainability and development [5].

Similar results are reached by Baronien and Nevertauskas [2] analysing 194 organizations from Australia. Due to the conflicting conclusion concerning the relationship between QMS and innovation performance, we want to further understand their link. Therefore, we set up the following hypothesis:

**H1**: The adoption of QMS is associated with greater innovation performance.

3 Empirical analysis
3.1 The database

The database for this research was created based on a research of 119 organisations from all areas of Montenegro (north, seaside and the central part of the country). A survey including 31 questions was created with this objective, without specific time limit for filling it in. It was conceived so that answers and information are obtained with regard to the activities which organisations are conducting in the area of innovations development and stimulating creativity. The research was conducted in the period of four months by the end of 2011.

3.2 Dependent and Independent Variables

New or improved product/service. The dependent variable denoted New or improved product/service presents continuous variables indicating the number of firm’s new or improved products/services.

ISO 9000. To test our main hypothesis of the paper, namely that the quality standards are positively associated with innovation performance, we use the variable denoted ISO 9000, which is a binary variable, coded 1 if the firm has ISO 9000 certification.

3.3 Controls

In order to ensure the importance of variables that can influence the decision of the organisation to invest in innovations, the variables related to the following characteristics have been observed: ownership, financial resources, size, sector of activity, [20]. Additionally, as control variables we introduce information regarding the innovation meetings that are organized inside a firm as well as firm’s organization of decision making.

Tab. 1 shows variables used for estimation, their definitions and sample statistics. No problem of multicollinearity was detected (Appendix 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Mean*</th>
<th>Standard deviation **</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dependent variables</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New or improved product/service</td>
<td>Number of new or improved product/service (Continuous variable)</td>
<td>4,36</td>
<td>3,80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO 9000</td>
<td>Having ISO 9000 certification Dummy variable (=1 if certified)</td>
<td>0,50</td>
<td>0,50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent variables</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size</td>
<td>The firm’s size (Continuous variable)</td>
<td>156,49</td>
<td>240,38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturing, Construction, Trade, Service</td>
<td>The firm belongs to one of the following sectors: Manufacturing Construction Trade Service (ref)*** Dummy variables (=1 if yes)</td>
<td>0,33</td>
<td>0,47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0,11</td>
<td>0,31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0,21</td>
<td>0,41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0,35</td>
<td>0,48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private, Public, Mix</td>
<td>The firm is: Private Public (ref)*** Mix (ref)*** Dummy variables (=1 if yes)</td>
<td>0,75</td>
<td>0,43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0,17</td>
<td>0,37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0,08</td>
<td>0,28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.4 Estimation strategy

Using an Ordinary Least Square regression (OLS), we investigate the effect of ISO 9000 certification on the number of new or improved products/services. We now have:

\[ y_t = X_t \alpha + e_t, \]  

(1)

where: \( y_t \) – represents the number of new or improved products/services; \( X_t \) – the vectors of the following variables: ISO 9000 certification size, sector of activity, ownership, profit, profit from innovation, meeting and participation in decision making; \( e_t \) – error term.

4 Results

The results of the OLS estimation are presented in Tab. 2. In Tab. 2, we present R-square which is the coefficient of determination indicating goodness-of-fit of the regression. The R-square of the model is 38% (\( p < 0.001 \)), which can be considered, in terms of statistical fit of a model, as a satisfactory value (according to Berman, 2002).

The results show that the hypothesized positive relationship between ISO 9000 certification and innovation performance is supported since the coefficient of ISO standard on innovation activities is positive and statistically significant (\( p < 0.05 \)).

Our findings are thus consistent with previous studies concluding that firm’s quality orientation boosts firm innovation activities [20, 21, 22, 25]. Therefore, we may suggest that implementation of quality management standards creates a productive environment for innovation because it includes principles that are congruent with innovation [20]. As for control variables, estimation results show that profit obtained from innovation and having regular innovation meetings also influence positively improvement in innovation activities in terms of the number of new or improved products/services. It can be seen that the coefficients for both variables are significant at 1 %. Actually, as firm realizes the benefit that could be gained from innovation activities, it would be more motivated to improve its innovation performance. Moreover, the positive effect of innovation oriented meetings could be explained by the fact that attendance at meetings stimulates adoption of innovations through learning opportunities [19]. The negative effect of variable decision 1 (which indicates that only Top Management makes decision) confirms once again the importance that all levels of firm are necessary in order to improve innovation performance.

Furthermore, we may notice that other variables, such as size, sector of activity (including manufacturing,
construction trade), private ownership, profit, decision2, decision3 and decision4, are not significant in our model.

5 Conclusion

Development and investment into innovativeness and implementation of a system approach in this sense is by all means a prerequisite for development of organisational performances, a prerequisite for competitiveness and survival at the market. The overall organisational system of management as well as organisation strategy, as essential components must implement sub-systems of planning, development and innovation management. It is precisely the quality management system that represents the pillar or the base for stimulating innovative development and creative thinking, especially based on the promotion of importance and consistent respect of the principle of leadership, small incremental improvement and measuring of the level of achievement of particular objectives at the level of each process.

But empirical studies about link between ISO 9001 and innovations present conflicting results. Some empirical studies prove a positive influence of ISO 9001 on innovation, while the others prove opposite results. In accordance with that our research is focused to additional analysis relating this relationship based on Montenegrin firms.

The results show that the hypothesized positive relationship between ISO 9000 certification and innovation performance is supported since the coefficient of ISO standard on innovation activities is positive and statistically significant. Therefore, we may suggest that implementation of Quality Management System (ISO 9001) creates a productive environment for innovation because it includes principles that are congruent with innovation. These findings should stimulate further Montenegrin firms to adopt quality practices and at the same time become more innovative. However, focusing only on one country limits our conclusion and underlines the need to investigate whether other countries have the same experiences.

Note: The responsible translator for English language is Urška Letonja Grgeta, Videm, Slovenia.
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Appendix 1: Pearson correlation coefficients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>New or improved product/service</th>
<th>Quality</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Manufacturing</th>
<th>Construction</th>
<th>Trade</th>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Private</th>
<th>Public</th>
<th>Mix</th>
<th>Profit</th>
<th>Inno Profit</th>
<th>Meeting</th>
<th>Decision 1</th>
<th>Decision 2</th>
<th>Decision 3</th>
<th>Decision 4</th>
<th>Decision 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New or improved product/service</td>
<td>1,00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality</td>
<td>0,09</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size</td>
<td>0,08</td>
<td>0,12</td>
<td>1,00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturing</td>
<td>0,11</td>
<td>0,05</td>
<td>-0,01</td>
<td>1,00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>-0,01</td>
<td>0,02</td>
<td>-0,14</td>
<td>-0,24</td>
<td>1,00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade</td>
<td>0,06</td>
<td>-0,13</td>
<td>0,05</td>
<td>-0,35</td>
<td>-0,18</td>
<td>1,00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service</td>
<td>-0,13</td>
<td>-0,04</td>
<td>0,07</td>
<td>-0,52</td>
<td>-0,26</td>
<td>-0,37</td>
<td>1,00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private</td>
<td>0,05</td>
<td>-0,11</td>
<td>-0,31</td>
<td>0,24</td>
<td>0,14</td>
<td>0,19</td>
<td>-0,46</td>
<td>1,00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public</td>
<td>-0,16</td>
<td>0,13</td>
<td>0,19</td>
<td>-0,27</td>
<td>-0,16</td>
<td>-0,11</td>
<td>0,47</td>
<td>-0,77</td>
<td>1,00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mix</td>
<td>0,15</td>
<td>-0,00</td>
<td>0,22</td>
<td>-0,02</td>
<td>-0,01</td>
<td>-0,15</td>
<td>0,09</td>
<td>-0,52</td>
<td>-0,14</td>
<td>1,00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profit</td>
<td>0,06</td>
<td>-0,06</td>
<td>0,49</td>
<td>-0,18</td>
<td>0,05</td>
<td>0,18</td>
<td>-0,00</td>
<td>-0,11</td>
<td>0,01</td>
<td>0,15</td>
<td>1,00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inno Profit</td>
<td>0,50</td>
<td>-0,04</td>
<td>-0,04</td>
<td>0,05</td>
<td>0,10</td>
<td>0,12</td>
<td>-0,20</td>
<td>0,19</td>
<td>-0,16</td>
<td>-0,09</td>
<td>-0,12</td>
<td>1,00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeting</td>
<td>0,31</td>
<td>-0,19</td>
<td>0,06</td>
<td>0,05</td>
<td>-0,10</td>
<td>0,16</td>
<td>-0,10</td>
<td>0,20</td>
<td>-0,25</td>
<td>0,03</td>
<td>0,04</td>
<td>0,21</td>
<td>1,00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decision 1</td>
<td>-0,12</td>
<td>0,04</td>
<td>-0,20</td>
<td>0,17</td>
<td>-0,09</td>
<td>0,11</td>
<td>-0,19</td>
<td>0,21</td>
<td>-0,14</td>
<td>-0,14</td>
<td>-0,06</td>
<td>0,03</td>
<td>0,06</td>
<td>1,00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decision 2</td>
<td>0,14</td>
<td>0,05</td>
<td>0,23</td>
<td>-0,04</td>
<td>0,02</td>
<td>0,01</td>
<td>0,03</td>
<td>-0,05</td>
<td>-0,01</td>
<td>0,09</td>
<td>0,14</td>
<td>-0,05</td>
<td>0,11</td>
<td>-0,34</td>
<td>1,00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decision 3</td>
<td>0,09</td>
<td>0,05</td>
<td>-0,02</td>
<td>-0,01</td>
<td>-0,02</td>
<td>0,08</td>
<td>-0,04</td>
<td>0,08</td>
<td>-0,01</td>
<td>-0,11</td>
<td>-0,09</td>
<td>0,23</td>
<td>0,21</td>
<td>-0,27</td>
<td>-0,45</td>
<td>1,00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decision 4</td>
<td>0,02</td>
<td>-0,10</td>
<td>-0,08</td>
<td>-0,03</td>
<td>-0,06</td>
<td>-0,09</td>
<td>0,16</td>
<td>-0,21</td>
<td>0,17</td>
<td>0,11</td>
<td>-0,09</td>
<td>-0,03</td>
<td>-0,08</td>
<td>-0,14</td>
<td>-0,11</td>
<td>1,00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decision 5</td>
<td>-0,17</td>
<td>-0,11</td>
<td>-0,03</td>
<td>-0,09</td>
<td>0,12</td>
<td>-0,18</td>
<td>0,12</td>
<td>-0,14</td>
<td>0,09</td>
<td>0,10</td>
<td>0,02</td>
<td>-0,18</td>
<td>-0,41</td>
<td>-0,21</td>
<td>-0,35</td>
<td>-0,27</td>
<td>-0,09</td>
<td>1,00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>