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Disruptions can destroy the stability of a supply chain resulting in losses in business, thus, determining the influence of various disruptions on a supply chain is significant. However, current studies have mainly concentrated on the stability of a supply chain under normal conditions, exploring the effects of inventory level on the system state and omitting the influences of disruptions. With the lack of analysis on the characteristics of disruption when modelling switched system, disruptions were classified and the lead time of each disruption level was determined. Dual switch rules were proposed considering the stock dynamics and lead time delays. A switched system model of the supply chain with multiple delays was then constructed. System stability under different level disruptions was investigated using simulation method. Results show that the stability scope of inventory adjustment coefficients decreases as transport lead time and distance coefficients increase. Disruption classification has different effects on the supply chain. Under high level disruption, the inventory level of manufacture significantly changes, and the supply chain system loses its stability and encounters difficulty in recovering its stable state. However, under general disruption, the supply chain system recovers to stability gradually. The present exploration enriches the switched modelling method of the supply chain and helps its chain members prevent and respond to disruptions effectively.
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Introduction

Supply chain is a complex system with various types of uncertainties. Consequently, the rapid development of economic globalization and competition internationalization strengthens the dynamics and complexity of the supply chain environment, which threatens its stability. Recently, disruptions occur frequently. Disruptions can lead to cost increase, business interruption, enterprise bankruptcy, and even supply chain disintegration, which damages the stability of a supply chain [1]. Hence, supply chain members must understand the effect of disruptions on the performance of a supply chain and grasp the rules of evolution under disruptions to minimize the damage and loss of members. However, current studies on supply chain stability have mainly focused on stability judgment under normal conditions, such as internal production disturbance and change in the inventory level of enterprises. Moreover, studies on supply chain stability and evolution under disruptions remain lacking, and the different effects of disruption characteristics on a supply chain system are ignored. In recent years, switched system theory was introduced into supply chain area. In modelling switched system, current studies have extracted the single rule from stock dynamics, which ignored the characteristics of disruptions, as shown in References [2-5]. Hence, considering the switched characteristics of a supply chain under disruptions, a switched system model with dual switch rules of stock dynamics and disruption characteristics is built. System stability under different levels of disruptions is investigated using simulation, thereby significantly improving the modelling technique and related research areas, as well as being practically significant for supply chain members to efficiently prevent and react to disruptions and reduce costs and losses.

State of the art

Current studies on the stability of supply chain have focused on stability under normal conditions. Supply chain stability has been studied in the literature [6-9]. Stability judging methods include the Lyapunov stability principle, information entropy, network control, robust control, and simulation method [10-13]. However, stability has rarely been analysed under disruptions, and studies on the stability of supply chain switched system under disruptions are still lacking, especially the system of dual switch rules.

Theory of switched system was recently introduced into supply chain research. Liu et al. [2] analysed the stability and dynamic characteristics of some two-stage supply chains with the switched model and linear matrix inequality (LMI) tool in Matlab. The distributor stock was divided into sufficient and insufficient or 0, which was also the switch rule source. Hu [3] studied the stability of a three-stage supply chain with production capability and time delay constraints. Based on switched system
modelling, supply chain stability was analysed with Matlab simulation. Li et al. [4] investigated the problem of $H_\infty$ control for a closed-loop supply chain (CLSC) system. Delayed input control strategy was adopted, and sufficient conditions were provided to guarantee the stability as well as the $H_\infty$ performance for the CLSC system. In the model, switch rule was based on the stock level of the manufacturing and recycling warehouses, which is still under the single switch rule problem. Garcia et al. [5] proposed a switched control system for a serial supply chain under a decentralized control strategy. Moreover, stock conditions were divided into infinite supply and high stock, infinite supply and low stock, and limited supply. The switch rule was the stock dynamics of each echelon. Simulation results indicated that the switching control was more effective than the proportional and integral control policy on inventory tracking. The current study extracted single switched rule from the inventory dynamics and concentrated on how the inventory level would affect the stability of supply chain. Switched system modelling failed to consider disruption characteristics and excluded dual rules.

On the characteristics of disruptions, Wilson [14] divided the disruptions into natural disaster, labour dispute, dependence on a single supplier, supplier bankruptcy, terrorism, war, and political instability. Oke et al. [15] investigated the types and management of risks faced within the supply chain of retailer and categorized disruptions into inherent or highly frequent risks and disruption or infrequent risks. Disruptions are caused by either natural (e.g., earthquake, floods, fire, and tsunami) or man-made risks (e.g., terrorist attacks, accidents, and cyber-attacks) [16, 17]. In addition to these disruptions, supply chains face interruptions caused by several sources with inherent uncertainties, such as demand fluctuations, supply capacity changes, lead time variability, and exchange rate volatility [18]. Combined with the characteristics of the evolution of supply chain disruptions, Sun and Ji [19] divided evolution into three stages, such as the prevention phase, control phase, and response stage. On the impact of disruptions, Qin [20] studied how changing market demands and production costs of manufacturer caused by disruptions affected supply chains. Ji [21] discussed the relationship between the operation parameters of supply chain and the diffusion of disruptions and created a loss assessment system of disruptions. These studies analysed the characteristics of supply chain considering the cause, frequency, evolving stage, and impact. Considerably, exploring the impact of various disruptions on the supply chain and revealing the interactive relationship between supply chain and disruptions are new directions that premise the grasp on the stability under different levelled disruptions and provides sensitivity analysis. Finally, Section 5 presents conclusions and some ideas for further works.

3 Methodology
3.1 Classifications of disruptions

In this study, disruptions refer to incidents that directly affect road transport conditions and cause delays, such as earthquakes, floods, and snowstorms.

3.1.1 Disruption levels and their impact on transportation

Disruptions are divided into four categories, namely, highly serious, serious, relatively serious, and general. Tab. 1 shows the impact of disruptions of different levels on transportation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator Level</th>
<th>Disruption level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Damage degree of roads</td>
<td>All roads are damaged badly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connectivit y of road network</td>
<td>Poor connectivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed of road network (km/h)</td>
<td>[0, 19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remaining capacity of roads</td>
<td>&lt; 20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interruption</td>
<td>Serious congestion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General density and connectivity</td>
<td>[20%, 50%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average speed of road network (km/h)</td>
<td>[19, 22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slow traffic</td>
<td>[50%, 80%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High density of roads and excellent connectivity</td>
<td>[22, 28]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slow traffic</td>
<td>≥ 80%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.1.2 Disruption impact on transportation lead time

As shown in Tab. 1, the impact of disruptions can be expressed quantitatively by the speed. The disruption is more serious, so the speed is lower [22]. The median of average speed is regarded as the speed of roads. In level IV, the speed is set as 50 km/h. Assuming the distance is $S^*$ (km) and the lead time is $L$ (s), Tab. 2 shows the impact of different disruption levels on lead time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>I</th>
<th>II</th>
<th>III</th>
<th>IV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Speed of roads (km/h)</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport time (s)</td>
<td>S/9.5</td>
<td>S/20.5</td>
<td>S/25</td>
<td>S/50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delays of lead time (s)</td>
<td>S/9.5-L</td>
<td>S/20.5-L</td>
<td>S/25-L</td>
<td>S/50-L</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2 Switched model of the supply chain
3.2.1 Problem descriptions and assumptions

Fig. 1 shows the three-stage supply chain system composed of suppliers, manufacturers, and retailers.
The detailed assumptions in this study are as follows:
(1) When the inventory of manufacturers and retailers is insufficient, the inventory will be completely delivered to meet the orders, and the rest will have to wait for the next period.
(2) Manufacturers and retailers check the inventory at the end of each period.
(3) The inventory of suppliers can always meet the demand of manufacturers.
(4) The loss of in-transit inventory is 0.

The delivery quantity is shown in Eq. (4):

\[ S_i(t) = BL_i(t-1) + O_i(t-1), \quad i \in \{s, m, r\}, \quad j \in (m, r) \]

(4)

Given that the supplier can meet the needs of the manufacturer,

\[ S_s(t) = O_m(t-1) \]

(5)

The delivery quantities of the manufacturer and retailer are shown in Eqs. (6) and (7).

\[ S_m(t) = \begin{cases} I_m(t-1) - BL_m(t-1) & 0 \leq I_m(t-1) \leq O_m(t-1) - BL_m(t-1) \\ I_m(t-1) & 0 \leq I_m(t-1) \leq O_m(t-1) - BL_m(t-1) \\ 0 & I_m(t-1) \leq 0 \end{cases} \]

(6)

\[ S_r(t) = \begin{cases} D(t) - BL_r(t-1) & 0 \leq I_r(t-1) \leq D(t) - BL_r(t-1) \\ I_r(t-1) & I_r(t-1) \leq 0 \end{cases} \]

(7)

The inventory in transit is shown in Eq. (8).

\[ WIP_i(t) = WIP_i(t-1) + S_i(t) - R_i(t), \quad i \in \{m, r\}, \quad j \in (s, m) \]

(8)

The APIOBPCS strategy is adopted, where \(O_i(t)\) is expressed as Eq. (9).

\[ O_i(t) = F_i(t) + \alpha (I_i^0 - I_i(t)) + \beta \left( WIP_i(t) - WIP_i(t-1) \right), \quad i \in \{m, r\} \]

(9)
where: $\alpha$ is the adjustment coefficient of the inventory in the warehouse, $\beta$ is the adjustment coefficient of the inventory in transit. $I_i^0$ is the expected inventory level in the warehouse. $WIP_i^0$ is the expected inventory level in transit.

Given that the inventory in transit sufficiently meets all the demand in lead time, the following Eq. (10) is obtained:

$$WIP_i^0 = L \times F_i(t)$$

(10)

The variables of the system state are defined as $I_i(t)$, $F_i(t)$, $WIP_i(t)$, $BL_i(t)$ as the space state model is established. $I_i^0$ is the reference input, $D_i(t)$ is the external interference, and $I_i(t) + BL_i(t)$ is the system output. The state space equation is shown in Eq. (11).

$$\begin{align*}
I_m(t) & = I_m(t-1) + O_m(t-1) - S_m(t) \\
F_m(t) & = O_m(t-1) + (1-\theta)O_r(t-1) \\
WIP_m(t) & = WIP_m(t-1) + O_m(t-1) - O_m(t-2) \\
BL_m(t) & = BL_m(t-1) + S_m(t) - O_r(t-1) \\
I_i(t) & = I_i(t-1) + S_i(t) - D_i(t) \\
F_i(t) & = O_i(t-1) + (1-\theta)D_i(t) \\
WIP_i(t) & = WIP_i(t-1) + S_i(t) - S_m(t-1) \\
BL_i(t) & = BL_i(t-1) + S_i(t) - D_i(t)
\end{align*}$$

(11)

where $S_i(t)$ and $S_m(t-1) - 1$ are variables. Moreover, $I$ has four possibilities when the system encounters four levels of disruptions. Based on this, the switch rules are extracted.

### 3.2.3 Switch rules

First, we define

$$X(t) = [I_i(t), F_i(t), WIP_i(t), I_m(t), BL_m(t), F_m(t), WIP_m(t)]^T$$

and

$$r(t) = [I_i^0, I_m^0, D_i(t)]^T$$

(1) Manufacturer’s inventory is sufficient

We substitute

$$S_m(t) = O_r(t) - BL_m(t)$$

(11), and it can be expressed in Eq. (12).

$$X(t) = A_1 X(t-1) + B_1 X(t-l-2) + C_1 X(t-nl-2) + D_1 r(t)$$

(12)

where

$$A_1 = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\theta & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-\alpha & -(1+n\beta) & 1 & -\beta & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
\alpha & -(1+n\beta) & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-\alpha(\theta-1) & (1-\theta)(1+n\beta) & (\theta-1) & 0 & 0 & \theta & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -\alpha & 0 & -(1+7.4\beta) & 1 - \beta
\end{bmatrix}$$

$$B_1 = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\alpha(\theta-1) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \theta & 0
\end{bmatrix}$$
In summary, the model of the switched system is presented as Eq. (16).

\[ X(t) = A_4X(t-1) + B_4X(t-l-2) + C_4X(t-nl-2) + D_4r(t) \] (16)

In this manner, \( A_{14}, B_{14}, C_{14}, D_{14} \) is omitted.

(2) Manufacturer's inventory is insufficient

Lead time will also change when the system encounters four levels of disruptions. The detailed equations of four disruption levels will not be described due to the similar formula derivation process. In this study, the switched model is shown in Eq. (17).

\[ X(t) = A_3X(t-1) + B_3X(t-l-2) + C_3X(t-nl-2) + D_3r(t) \] (17)

(3) Manufacturer's inventory is zero

Similarly, a model of switched system is established, as shown in Eq. (18).

\[ X(t) = A_2X(t-1) + B_2X(t-l-2) + C_2X(t-nl-2) + D_2r(t) \] (18)

In summary, the model of the switched system is established based on the inventory dynamics and disruptions of different levels, as shown in Eq. (19).

\[ X(t) = A_{\sigma_2}X(t-1) + B_{\sigma_2}X(t-l-2) + C_{\sigma_2}X(t-nl-2) + D_{\sigma_2}r(t) \] (19)

The switch rules are as follows:

\[ \sigma_{1j} = \text{sign}(I_m(t-1) - O_j(t-1) + B L_m(t-1)) \]
\[ \sigma_{2j} = \text{sign}(I_m(t-1)) \]
\[ \sigma_{3j} = \text{sign}(-I_m(t-1)) \]

The switch rules are summarized in Tab. 3.

\[ \sigma_{11} = \text{sign}(I_m(t-1) - O_1(t-1) + B L_m(t-1)) \]
\[ \sigma_{12} = \text{sign}(I_m(t-1) - O_2(t-1) + B L_m(t-1)) \]
\[ \sigma_{13} = \text{sign}(I_m(t-1) - O_3(t-1) + B L_m(t-1)) \]
\[ \sigma_{14} = \text{sign}(I_m(t-1) - O_4(t-1) + B L_m(t-1)) \]

4 Result analysis and discussion

Judging the stability of the triple-delay discrete state space model established in this study is difficult with traditional methods. Thus, we utilize Matlab simulation to study the effect of disruptions on the supply chain.

4.1 Sensitivity analysis of \( \alpha, \beta \) under normal inventory fluctuation

4.1.1 When \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are constants \((n = 1, \theta = 0.001)\)

When \( l = 1 \), the threshold of stability is \( \alpha \leq 0.29, \beta \leq 0.003 \), and when \( l = 2 \) and \( l = 3 \), the threshold is \( \alpha \leq 0.20, \beta \leq 0.002 \) and \( \alpha \leq 0.16, \beta \leq 0.0009 \), respectively. The simulation figures when \( l = 1, \alpha \leq 0.29, \beta \leq 0.003, l = 2, \alpha \leq 0.20, \beta \leq 0.002, \) and \( l = 3, \alpha \leq 0.16, \beta \leq 0.0009 \) are shown in Figs. 3, 4, and 5, respectively.

![Figure 3 Simulation result when l = 1, alpha <= 0.29, beta <= 0.003](image-url)
The stability threshold of $\alpha$, $\beta$ decreases as $l$ increases. Moreover, $\alpha$ affects the system stability more than $\beta$, but $\beta$ is more sensitive.

$\text{Figure 4} \quad \text{Simulation result when } l = 2, \alpha \leq 0.20, \beta \leq 0.002$

$\text{Figure 5} \quad \text{Simulation result when } l = 3, \alpha \leq 0.16, \beta \leq 0.0009$

4.1.2 When $n$ and $\theta$ are constants ($n = 2, \theta = 0.001$)

When $n = 1$, the threshold of stability is $\alpha \leq 0.20, \beta \leq 0.002$, and when $n = 2$ and $n = 3$, the threshold is $\alpha \leq 0.14, \beta \leq 0.001$ and $\alpha \leq 0.09, \beta \leq 0.0009$, respectively. The simulation figures when $n = 1, \alpha \leq 0.20, \beta \leq 0.002$, $n = 2, \alpha \leq 0.14, \beta \leq 0.001$ and $n = 3, \alpha \leq 0.09, \beta \leq 0.0009$ are in Figs. 6, 7 and 8, respectively.

$\text{Figure 6} \quad \text{Simulation result when } n = 1, \alpha \leq 0.20, \beta \leq 0.002$

$\text{Figure 7} \quad \text{Simulation result when } n = 2, \alpha \leq 0.14, \beta \leq 0.001$

$\text{Figure 8} \quad \text{Simulation result when } n = 3, \alpha \leq 0.09, \beta \leq 0.0009$

We can find that the threshold of $\alpha$, $\beta$ decreases as $n$ increases.

4.2 Simulation of the stability under different disruption levels

We assume $\alpha = 0.3, \beta = 0.001, \theta = 0.1, n = 1, l = 3$, and the system will suffer a disruption at the beginning of the 50th period.

4.2.1 Highly serious

In Fig. 9, the manufacturers’ inventories in transit and stock in the warehouses both fluctuate dramatically; their maximum amplitudes are 43 and 58, and the gaps between peaks and valleys are 65.4 and 70.2, respectively. The system becomes more unstable and cannot recover to stability within the period ($T = 100$).

4.2.2 Serious

Similarly, in Fig. 10, the manufacturers’ inventories fluctuate considerably, and the system is unstable within the period ($T = 100$). Compared with Fig. 9, the fluctuation reduces, which indicates that the interference weakens when disruption level decreases.
4.2.3 Relatively serious

In Fig. 11, although the manufacturers’ inventories fluctuate, the system tends to recover within the period \((T = 100)\). Research shows that under this level of disruptions, the supply chain can respond efficiently and recover stability by adjusting its operation ultimately.

4.2.4 General

In Fig. 12, the manufacturers’ inventories stabilize with time. Finally, the system recovers stability in the 80th period, showing that the supply chain can remove the inference of disruptions within a short period.

In conclusion, disruptions weakly affect the retailers’ inventory but significantly affect the manufacturers’ inventory, including inventories in transit and stock in the warehouses. Meanwhile, different disruption levels influence the stability of the system in varying degrees. Particularly, highly serious and serious disruptions significantly make the system fluctuate within the research period. This fluctuation is characterized by large amplitudes, long recovery time, and apparent fluctuations in quantity. The relatively serious and general disruptions also certainly affect system stability, but the system can recover by itself in a short time.

4.3 Simulation of the stability under disruptions of whole process

The whole process of disruptions from the occurrence to ending is complex. In similar instances, the system will bifurcate when it suffers disruption signals at various intensities.
4.3.1 Recover to stability

When an interference signal of low intensity is imposed on the supply chain system, the state evolution of the supply chain is illustrated in Fig. 13. The entire process includes incubating, bursting, spreading, and eventually recovering stability by itself.

After the system restores stability, the manufacturers’ inventory increases by 38 units. Although the supply chain can stabilize itself, irreversible negative impact still occurs afterwards.

4.3.2 Crash

The system will crash when disruptions are too strong to tolerate. As shown in Fig. 14, the inventories fluctuate more obviously during the research period ($T = 700$), which means that it is impossible for the system to restabilize through self-regulation.

![Figure 14 System crash](image)

5 Conclusion

To address the lack of analysis on disruption characteristics in the stability research on supply chain under disruptions, switched theory was introduced to build the system model. The supply chain discrete linear switched model was established considering stock dynamics and lead times delays. Matlab/SIMULINK simulation was then utilized to identify the influence of various disruptions on the supply chain. The following conclusions are obtained:

1. To establish the association between disruptions and supply chain stability, disruptions are classified into four categories according to the effect on transportation. Matlab/SIMULINK simulation was then utilized to identify the influence of various disruptions on the supply chain. The following conclusions are obtained:
2. In the sensitivity analysis, the stability threshold range of the inventory adjustment coefficient lessens as lead time and distance coefficient increases. In reality, increases in lead time and distance lengthen the reaction time of the system, thereby reducing the inventory adjustment for the system and order quantity.
3. We find that different disruption levels influence system stability in varying degrees by conducting simulation. Moreover, the stability thresholds of the inventory in transit and the adjustment coefficient are sharply reduced, indicating that high disruption levels increase the vulnerability of the supply chain system and seriously impact system stability. During general disruptions, the system recovers stability by itself so the threshold range does not change obviously.
4. By simulating disruptions throughout the life cycle, the supply chain is found to bifurcate under different levels of disruptions. In addition, the system stabilizes itself when the intensity of disruptions does not exceed the stable threshold, which is consistent with the practical performance and current analysis on the supply chain.

Moreover, the switched system model of supply chain is based on the inventory dynamics of members and level of disruptions, which discusses the relationship between the characteristics of disruptions and the evolution of the supply chain system and provides an idea for a new model of supply chain under disruptions. However, disruptions are complex and their characteristics change with the evolution stages, thus, comprehensive extraction of the dynamic characteristics should be considered in future studies. In comparison with the methods of Lyapunov, stability principle, and information entropy, simulation can only evaluate stability approximately from the trend of system evolution. Therefore, this study can be extended to clearly identify the border of stability and the threshold values of the switched model.
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