Single Platinum Atoms Electrocatalysts: Oxygen Reduction and Hydrogen Oxidation Reactions
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Abstract: Atomically dispersed catalyst consisting of Pt atoms arranged in a c(2\times2) array on RuO\(_2\)(110) substrate was prepared. A large interatomic distance of Pt atoms in a c(2\times2) phase precludes the reactants to interact with more than one Pt atoms. A strong bond of Pt atoms with RuO\(_2\) prevents agglomeration of Pt atoms to form 2D-islands or 3D-clusters. Activities of single Pt atom catalyst for the oxygen reduction and hydrogen oxidation reactions were determined and compared with those of bulk Pt. It has lower catalytic activity for the oxygen reduction reaction and similar activity for hydrogen oxidation reaction compared to Pt(111). This was explained by a large calculated up-shift of the d-band center of Pt atoms and larger Pt-Pt interatomic distance than that of Pt(111). This information is of considerable interest for further development of electrocatalysis.
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INTRODUCTION

T H E  a p p r o a c h i n g  e r a  o f  d e p l e t e d  f o s s i l  r e s o u r c e s  d r i v e s  a u t o m o b i l e  i n d u s t r y  t o  f i n d  s o l u t i o n  t h a t  w i l l  n o t  o n l y  r e p l a c e  i n t e r n a l  c o m b u s t i o n  e n g i n e s  (I C E)  b u t  a l s o  t o  b e  e n v i r o n m e n t a l l y  f r i e n d l y.  P r o t o n  E x c h a n g e  M e m b r a n e  F u e l  C e l l s  (P E M F C s)  a r e  s t r o n g  c a n d i d a t e s  f o r  a u t o m o b i l e  a p p l i c a t i o n s  h a v i n g  m a n y  a d v a n t a g e s  c o m p a r e d  t o  o t h e r  m e a n s  o f  e n e r g y  c o n v e r s i o n.  I n  c o n t r a s t  t o  I C E,  f u e l  c e l l s  i n  g e n e r a l  a r e  n o t  b o u n d  b y  t h e  C a r n o t  c y c l e  l a w s.  T h e y  d i r e c t l y  c o n v e r t  c h e m i c a l  e n e r g y  o f  f u e l  t o  e l e c t r i c a l  e n e r g y  w i t h  e f f i c i e n c y  w h i c h  i s  c o n s i d e r a b l y  h i g h e r  t h a n  t h a t  o f  I C E s.  I n  a d d i t i o n  t o  s e v e r a l  o t h e r  u s e f u l  f e a t u r e s,  a  m a j o r  e n v i r o n m e n t a l  b e n e f i t  i s  e x p e c t e d  i f  r e n e w a b l e  f u e l s,  l i k e  h y d r o g e n,  a r e  u s e d.  T h e  h y d r o g e n  c a n  b e  p r o d u c e d  b y  w a t e r  e l e c t r o l y s i s  u s i n g  e n e r g y  f r o m  r e n e w a b l e  s o u r c e s  l i k e  s u n  o r  w i n d.  T h i s  c o m p l e t e s  e n e r g y  c y c l e  w h i c h  c a n  r e d u c e  o u r  d e p e n d e n c e  o n  f o s s i l - f u e l s  a n d  e l i m i n a t e  t h e  d e t r i m e n t a l  e f f e c t s  o f  f o s s i l - f u e l  c o n s u m p t i o n  o n  e n v i r o n m e n t.

Pt is the major component of both anode and cathode fuel cell catalysts. Its price is high, it has low natural abundance, and although it is the best catalyst, it suffers from performance limitations. The sluggishness of electrocatalytic oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) is main loss of performance of PEMFCs. Additional performance loss comes if reformate hydrogen is used as a fuel. Catalysts poisoning, that reduces the rate of the hydrogen oxidation reaction (HOR), is caused by CO adsorption,[1] which is a common contaminant in H\(_2\) produced by steam reforming of hydrocarbons or small alcohols.

The reduction of the amount of Pt with simultaneous improvements in performance is usually achieved by alloying Pt with less noble metals.[2-4] A common approach for better utilization of Pt is by increasing its dispersion, i.e. reduction of Pt particle size. For the ORR[5-8] and HOR[9] activity dependence on particle size is well documented in numerous publications. This is usually explained by changing in electronic (smaller nanoparticles become more non-metal-like) and geometric (ensemble effect) properties of nanoparticles with the reduction of their size. A novel approach to address the large Pt content, insufficient activity and durability drawbacks of existing catalysts represents the so-called Pt monolayer catalysts (single Pt atoms shell on a metal, alloy or oxide core), and other core-shell catalysts.[10-14]
Although a 100 % Pt utilization can be achieved with specific procedure of electrodepositing a Pt monolayer, a maximum utilization of the expensive and scarce Pt can be also achieved by atomically dispersing Pt on catalysts’ supports. Such catalysts reflect the reaction kinetics on single Pt atoms. These make a system of uniform active sites, each having the same geometry and same electronic properties since every metal atom is in the same chemical environment. As such, they represent an ideal system for investigating electrochemical reactions mechanisms with the possibility to eliminate some reaction steps that simplifies determining the mechanism. One possibility for synthesizing single atom catalysts we mentioned in 2007. Interest for these catalysts is growing. Their synthesis is a complex procedure and making them safe from agglomeration during chemical reaction is another level of difficulties.

In this paper, we describe one method to obtain atomically dispersed catalyst consisting of Pt atoms on RuO₂(110) substrate and its characterization. Furthermore, we determined the activities of single Pt atom catalyst for the ORR and HOR and compared them with those of bulk Pt. This information is of considerable interest for further development of electrocatalysis.

EXPERIMENTAL

Preparation of RuO₂(110) single-crystal surface, obtained by the gas-phase oxidation of Ru(0001), was described elsewhere. Following mechanical polishing with 1 µm diamond paste, disk-shaped Ru(0001) single crystal (8 mm in diameter and 2 mm thick) was cleaned and placed inside a quartz tube. The surface oxidation was carried out in mixture of Ar and ~ 100 ppm O₂ gases by inductive heating for 2 min at temperatures between 600 and 800 K.

Atomically dispersed Pt deposition on RuO₂(110) was described elsewhere. The method involves the following steps: (i) adsorption of Pb cations on RuO₂(110) surface by immersing the sample in 0.1 mM Pb(ClO₄)₂ + 0.1 M NaOH, (ii) electrochemical reduction of adsorbed Pb cations in 0.1 M NaOH solution, and (iii) galvanic displacement of Pb adatoms with Pt by immersion in a 1 mM K₂PtCl₄ + 50 mM H₂SO₄. All solutions were deaerated and all these steps were carried out in an enclosure containing glassware with appropriate solution and regular electrochemical cell. The enclosure was filled with Ar to prevent the oxidation of Pb adatoms in contact with O₂.

An in situ electrochemical scanning tunneling microscopy (ECSTM) study was performed using a Molecular Imaging Pico STM with a 3005 scanner, 3005 Pico Bipotentiostat, and a PicoScan 2500 controller (Keysight Technologies model 5500, controller model N9605A). The tunneling tips were made of a polycrystalline Pt-20%Ir wire, coated with Apiezon wax. The cell was made of Teflon, and Pt wires served as the reference and counter electrodes. The ECSTM pictures were obtained in 50 mM H₂SO₄ under open circuit conditions (~ 0.95 V).

The voltammetry experiments were carried out in a standard three-electrode electrochemical cell with a Ag/AgCl/KCl (3 M) reference electrode and a Pt foil counter electrode. All the potentials in the text are reported with respect to a reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE). All the solutions were made with high-purity grade chemicals and Millipore Q UV plus water.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Pt Atoms on RuO₂(110) Substrate (Ptₐ / RuO₂(110))

The RuO₂(110) surface was chosen as a model system because of its unique suitability for atomic scale surface chemistry, its electrical conductivity and stability under the oxidizing conditions typical in oxygen cathodes. It was obtained by oxidation of Ru(0001) by O₂ (100 ppm) in Ar at elevated temperatures. ECSTM images reveal that oxide grows as long parallel stripes (Figure 1a). The step height of these stripes is about 3 Å, corresponding to one monolayer of Ru oxide. The structure of the oxide stripes was unveiled by atomically resolved ECSTM pictures (Figure 1b). It consists of array of bright spots with a rectangular 6.3 Å × 3.1 Å unit cell. Within the limits of accuracy of the ECSTM technique, this unit cell corresponds to the rutile structure of RuO₂ with the (110) orientation (6.38 Å × 3.11 Å). The coverage of Ru oxide was examined by comparing voltammetry curves of Ru(0001) and RuO₂(110) in 0.1 M NaOH. The absence of characteristic hydrogen adsorption Ru peaks shown in Figure 2 is an indication that the Ru surface is fully covered with RuO₂(110).

Atomically dispersed Pt layer deposited on RuO₂(110) was obtained by procedure described in Experimental section. The atomically resolved ECSTM image (Figure 3a) of Pt deposit reveals that it consists of bright spots (Pt atoms) arraged in a c(2 × 2) array (6.0 Å × 13.3 Å). The deposit is equivalent to the 0.25 monolayer Pt coverage (Pt coverage was calculated in relation to Ru atoms). This structure, seen in Figure 3b, was predicted by density functional theory (DFT) calculations showing that Pt atoms lie ~ 1 Å above the plane of bridging O of RuO₂(110). This is understandable since Pt atoms cannot lie in the plane of Ru atoms because the Pt-Ru distance would be too short, making such configurations energetically unfavorable.

Hydrogen Oxidation Reaction

Figure 4a shows voltammetry curves for Ptₐ / RuO₂(110) and RuO₂(110) in deaerated 0.1 M HClO₄. Comparison of these
two curves reveals some differences between them in potential range 0.05–0.6 V. Since there is no H underpotential deposition (UPD) on RuO₂(110), the feature in 0.05–0.2 V potential range could be ascribed to the H UPD on Pt₆hex / RuO₂(110). Theoretical value for H UPD charge for Pt(111) is 240 µC cm⁻², while experimentally observed charge is 160 µC cm⁻² due to overlap of H UPD and hydrogen evolution.[23] Since there are 6 times more Pt atoms on a Pt(111) surface than on the Pt₆hex / RuO₂(110), to make comparison on the same basis of H UPD charge of these two surfaces, the measured H UPD charge for Pt₆hex / RuO₂(110) is multiplied by 6 and the value of 50 µC cm⁻² is obtained. Although

**Figure 1.** (a) ECSTM image of the RuO₂(110) oxide obtained by chemical oxidation of Ru(0001); size 200 nm × 200 nm, Z range 1 nm. (b) Atomically resolved ECSTM image of RuO₂ stripes and its Fourier transform shown in the inset; size 5.8 nm × 5.8 nm. Images were obtained in 50 mM H₂SO₄ at open circuit condition (0.95 V). Reprinted (adapted) with permission from Ref. [16]. Copyright (2007) American Chemical Society.

**Figure 2.** Voltammetry curves for the Ru(0001) and RuO₂(110) in deoxygenated 0.1 M NaOH, sweep rate 20 mV s⁻¹. Reprinted (adapted) with permission from Ref. [20]. Copyright (2016) John Wiley & Sons Inc.

**Figure 3.** (a) ECSTM image (3.9 nm × 3.9 nm) of the Pt₆hex deposit on RuO₂(110) obtained in 50 mM H₂SO₄ at open circuit condition (0.95 V). Reprinted (adapted) with permission from Ref. [16]. Copyright (2007) American Chemical Society. (b) Optimized geometry for 0.25 monolayer Pt coverage on RuO₂(110) in perspective view obtained by DFT calculations.
its value is lower than expected one, for which we do not have an explanation, it still supports our assertion about identity of the feature in 0.05–0.2 V potential range. In contrast to H UPD on Pt(111),\(^{24}\) where H(ad) occupies multi-fold adsorption site (three-fold symmetry hollow site), this observation suggest that H(ad) can adsorb on a single Pt atom. It is interesting that for Pd, H adsorption requires at least dimers.\(^{25}\)

The feature in 0.2–0.6 V potential range could be assigned to the oxidation of Pt (Pt–OH). The distance between Pt atoms in Pt adlayer (Figure 3b) is considerably larger than the one in Pt(111). However, atoms are close enough to electronically interact with each other. That causes an up-shift in energy of the d-band center of a Pt\(_{ad}\) / RuO\(_2\)(110)\(^{22}\) making Pt easier to be oxidized. This is additionally supported by the observation that HOR current starts to decrease at the beginning of Pt oxidation process.

Voltammetry curves for the HOR on Pt\(_{ad}\) / RuO\(_2\)(110) and RuO\(_2\)(110) stationary electrodes are displayed in Figure 4b. The voltammetry curve for Pt\(_{ad}\) / RuO\(_2\)(110) in deaerated 0.1 M HClO\(_4\) indicates that HOR does not proceed on RuO\(_2\)(110) and that only Pt overlayer is active for HOR. According to the Tafel-Heyrovsky-Volmer mechanism, that describes the HOR on Pt, there are three elementary reaction steps for HOR (H\(_2\) → 2H\(^+\) + 2e\(^-\)) on Pt catalysts.\(^{26}\)

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{H}_2 + 2\text{Pt} &\rightarrow 2\text{H}^- + 2\text{Pt}^2+ \quad \text{(Tafel)} \\
\text{H}_2 + \text{Pt} &\rightarrow \text{H} - \text{Pt} + \text{H}^+ + \text{e}^- \quad \text{(Heyrovsky)} \\
\text{H}^- &\rightarrow \text{Pt} + \text{H}^+ + \text{e}^- \quad \text{(Volmer)}
\end{align*}
\]

Tafel step, which represents the dissociative adsorption of a hydrogen molecule, is not possible due to large Pt-Pt interatomic distance in the Pt overlayer. Consequently, only Heyrovsky-Volmer pathway is possible requiring one Pt site. In addition, the limiting current density is reached above 80 mV indicating fast kinetics. This is unexpected result, since it is known that rapid increase in current at low overpotentials (< 50 mV) is due to Tafel-Volmer pathway while Heyrovsky-Volmer pathway is operative at larger overpotentials (> 50 mV) enabling a more gradual increase in HOR current on bulk Pt.\(^{26}\) This result can be explained by the up-shift of the d-band center of a Pt\(_{ad}\) / RuO\(_2\)(110)\(^{22}\) which leads to an increase in hydrogen binding energy.\(^{27}\) This increase in hydrogen binding energy will boost the slower kinetics of the Heyrovsky-Volmer mechanism thus resulting in the fast increase in current at low overpotentials. The validity of this assertion is tested by comparing HOR currents per atom for Pt\(_{ad}\) / RuO\(_2\)(110) and Pt(111) samples. The current per atom at potential of 15 mV for Pt\(_{ad}\) / RuO\(_2\)(110) is 0.86 \(10^{-18}\) A / atom. This value is similar to the one for Pt(111) (0.55 \(10^{-18}\) A / atom). That indicates that single Pt atom is sufficient for HOR to occur and that can maintain similar hydrogen oxidation rate like Pt atoms in Pt(111). It is interesting to note that H(ad) as a HOR intermediate and H from H UPD compete for the same active sites. Since kinetics of Heyrovsky-Volmer mechanism is increased rather than decreased, our results indicate that there is no site-blocking effect of H UPD as discussed in literature.\(^{28}\)

**Oxygen Reduction Reaction**

Figure 5a compares voltammetry curves for the ORR on Pt\(_{ad}\) / RuO\(_2\)(110), RuO\(_2\)(110) and Pt(111) stationary electrodes in

---

**Figure 4.** (a) Voltammetry curves for Pt\(_{ad}\) / RuO\(_2\)(110) and RuO\(_2\)(110) in deaerated 0.1 M HClO\(_4\). (b) Voltammetry curves for the HOR on Pt\(_{ad}\) / RuO\(_2\)(110) and RuO\(_2\)(110) stationary electrodes in H\(_2\) saturated 0.1 M HClO\(_4\). The voltammetry curve for Pt\(_{ad}\) / RuO\(_2\)(110) in deaerated 0.1 M HClO\(_4\) is added for comparisons. Sweep rate 20 mV s\(^{-1}\).
Oxygenated 0.1 M HClO₄ and voltammetry curve for Ptₐt / RuO₂(110) displayed lower ORR activity compared to Pt(111) as seen by the negative shift of onset potential and smaller kinetic current. The lower ORR activity of Ptₐt / RuO₂(110) compared to Pt(111) is due to observation that Pt in Ptₐt / RuO₂(110) oxidizes at lower potentials compared to Pt(111).[29,30] From Figure 5a, it can be seen that ORR current of Ptₐt / RuO₂(110) increases concurrently with Pt–OH reduction.

Besides this, such activity could be due to the fact that active site consists of single atom thus restricting possible geometries of O₂ adsorption. The distance between these single Pt atoms is larger than the one on Pt(111) surface. Therefore bonding of O₂ with two nearby Pt atoms (bridge model - Yeager) is impossible and a simple splitting of the O – O bond does not take place. So only possibility is that O₂ bonds to a single Pt atom either by two bonds (Griffith model) or by end-on adsorption through a single bond (Pauling model), Figure 5b.[31] Although O₂ molecule can adsorb in a bridge configuration between a Pt atom and a Ru atom, such adsorption, if it happens, does not appear to improve the activity. A possible reason for this is that the presence of an oxygen-containing species at a RuO₂ surface in a broad potential range will interfere with the ORR.

CONCLUSIONS

We describe the method to form a Pt adlayer, c(2 × 2) phase on RuO₂(110) substrate. A large interatomic distance of Pt atoms in a c(2 × 2) phase precludes the reactants to interact with more than one Pt atom. A strong bond of Pt atoms with RuO₂(110) and a rigid adlayer structure prevent agglomeration of Pt atoms. With such a surface, we determined the activities of single Pt atom catalyst for the oxygen reduction and hydrogen oxidation reactions. Single Pt atoms have lower catalytic activity for the oxygen reduction reaction and similar activity for hydrogen oxidation reaction as the atoms in Pt(111). This was explained by a large calculated up-shift of the d-band center of Pt atoms and larger Pt-Pt interatomic distance than that of Pt(111). This effect increases the kinetics of HOR, but decreases the ORR by increased PtOH coverage above 0.4 V. This information is of considerable interest for further development of electrocatalysis.
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