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#### Abstract

We study the $p$-groups all of whose nonabelian maximal subgroups are decomposable in direct or central product of two groups with specific structures.


## 1. Introduction

Let $\Theta$ be a group theoretical property inherited by subgroups. There are a lot of papers where the finite non $\Theta$-groups all of whose proper subgroups are $\Theta$-groups are investigated (such groups we call $\Theta_{1}$-groups). However, if the property $\Theta$ is not inherited by subgroups, $\Theta_{1}$-groups, as a rule, do not exist. In that case, however, one can try to classify non $\Theta$-groups $G$ all of whose maximal subgroups are $\Theta$-groups.

As Janko has reported [J1], he has classified the 2-groups all of whose minimal nonabelian subgroups ( $=\mathcal{A}_{1}$-subgroups) are $\cong \mathrm{Q}_{8} ;$ this coincides with Theorem 2.4 (in fact, in [J2] the 2 -groups all of whose $\mathcal{A}_{1}$-subgroups have the same order 8 are classified). He also noticed that his result implies the classification of minimal non Dedekindian 2-groups (this coincides with Lemma 2.1). Theorem 2.4 follows from Lemma 2.3, below. Our proof of Lemma 2.3 uses Lemma 2.1. ${ }^{1}$

Recall that a group is said to be Dedekindian if all its subgroups are normal. If $G$ is a nonabelian Dedekindian group, then $G=Q \times E \times A$,

[^0]where $Q \cong \mathrm{Q}_{8}, E$ is elementary abelian 2-group and $A$ is abelian of odd order (Dedekind). As follows from general definition, a $p$-group $G$ is said to be minimal nonabelian $\left(=\mathcal{A}_{1}\right.$-group $)$, if it is nonabelian but all its proper subgroups are abelian. In this paper $G$ is a $p$-group, where $p$ is a prime.

A $p$-group $M \times E$ is said to be an $M^{\times}$-group if $M$ is of maximal class and $E$ elementary abelian (we consider the group $\{1\}$ as elementary abelian $p$-group for every prime $p$ ). The above group is said to be an $M_{3}^{\times}$-group if, in addition, $|M|=p^{3}$. All nonabelian epimorphic images of $M^{\times}$-groups are $M^{\times}$-groups. Every nonabelian subgroup of $M_{3}^{\times}$-group is also an $M_{3}^{\times}$-group. All nonabelian maximal subgroups of an $M^{\times}$-group $G$ are $M^{\times}$-groups if and only if $G$ has an abelian subgroup of index $p$.

It follows from Lemma $\mathrm{J}(\mathrm{i})$ that, if $G$ is a 2 -group of maximal class and order $2^{m}$, then it is one of the following groups: dihedral $\mathrm{D}_{2^{m}}$, generalized quaternion $\mathrm{Q}_{2^{m}}$ or semidihedral $\mathrm{SD}_{2^{m}}(m>3)$. These three groups together with $\mathrm{M}_{2^{m}}=\left\langle a, b \mid o(a)=2^{m}, o(b)=2, a^{b}=a^{1+2^{m-2}}, m>3\right\rangle$ present the complete list of nonabelian 2-groups of order $2^{m}$ with cyclic subgroup of index 2. By $\Gamma_{1}$ we denote the set of maximal subgroups of $G$.

Remark 1.1. Let a $p$-group $G=M \times E$, where $M$ is a nonabelian group with cyclic center and $E>\{1\}$ is elementary abelian and let $M_{1}<G$ have no direct factor of order $p$ and $\left|M_{1}\right|>p$. We claim that $M_{1}$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $M$. It suffices to prove that $M_{1} \cap E=\{1\}$. Assume that $X \leq M_{1} \cap E$ is of order $p$. Then $G=X \times G_{0}$ so, by the modular law, $M_{1}=X \times\left(M_{1} \cap G_{0}\right)$, a contradiction. In particular, if $M_{1}<G$ is minimal nonabelian, then $M_{1}$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $G / E \cong M$.

A nonabelian 2-group $G$ is said to be generalized dihedral if it is nonabelian and contains a subgroup $A$ such that all elements of the set $G-A$ are involutions. Then $A$ is abelian of exponent $>2,|G: A|=2$, all subgroups of $A$ are $G$-invariant, $\Omega_{1}(A)=\mathrm{Z}(G)$ and $G / G^{\prime}$ is elementary abelian since $\Omega_{1}(G)=G$ (Burnside). Clearly, $A$ is characteristic in $G$.

We use notation which is standard for finite $p$-group theory (see references [B1, B2, B3]). In Lemma J some elementary results which we use in what follows, are gathered.

Lemma J. Let $G$ be a nonabelian p-group.
(a) [B2, Proposition 19(a)] Let $B<G$ be nonabelian of order $p^{3}$. If $\mathrm{C}_{G}(B)<B$, then $G$ is of maximal class.
(b) $\left[\mathrm{B} 1\right.$, Lemma 5.3] Suppose that $E<G$ is such that $\left|E^{\prime}\right|=p, \mathrm{Z}(E)=$ $\Phi(E)$ and $[G, E]=E^{\prime}$. Then $G=E * \mathrm{C}_{G}(E)$. The last equality holds whenever $E<G$ is either minimal nonabelian or extraspecial and $[G, E]=E^{\prime}$.
(c) (O. Schreier) If $\mathrm{d}(G)=2$ and $|G: H|=2$, then $\mathrm{d}(H) \leq 3$.
(d) (L. Redei [R]; see also [BJ2, Lemma 3.1.]) If $G$ is minimal nonabelian, then $\left|G^{\prime}\right|=p, \mathrm{~d}(G)=2,\left|\Omega_{1}(G)\right| \leq p^{3}$ so all proper subgroups of $G$ are of rank $\leq 3$. If $\Omega_{1}(G)=G$, then either $p>2$ and $G$ is of order $p^{3}$ and exponent $p$ or $p=2$ and $G \cong \mathrm{D}_{8}$. If $\left|\Omega_{1}(G)\right| \leq p^{2}$, then $G$ is metacyclic.
(e) (Z. Janko; see [B5, Theorem 10.28, 10.32, 10.33] and [J3]) All $\mathcal{A}_{1}$ subgroups of a 2-group $G$ are generated by involutions if and only if $G$ is generalized dihedral.
(f) [B3, Theorem 7.4(c)] If $|G|>p^{3}$ and $G$ is not of maximal class, then the number of subgroups of maximal class and index $p$ in $G$ is a multiple of $p^{2}$.
(g) (Kazarin-Mann; see also [BJ2, Lemma 3.2(d)]) If $\left|H^{\prime}\right| \leq p$ for all $H \in \Gamma_{1}$, then $\left|G^{\prime}\right| \leq p^{3}$. If, in addition, $G$ has an abelian subgroup of index $p$, then $\left|G^{\prime}\right| \leq p^{2}$.
(h) (Tuan; see [I, Lemma 12.12]) If $G$ has an abelian maximal subgroup, then $\left|G^{\prime}\right|=\frac{1}{p}|G: \mathrm{Z}(G)|$. If $G$ has two distinct abelian maximal subgroups, then $\left|G^{\prime}\right|=p$.
(i) (O. Taussky) If $p=2$ and $\left|G: G^{\prime}\right|=4$, then $G$ is of maximal class.
(j) [B4, Remark 6.2] If $G$ is neither cyclic nor a 2-group of maximal class, then the number of cyclic subgroups of order $p^{k}>p$ in $G$ is a multiple of $p .^{2}$
(k) [BJ2, Lemma 3.2(a)] If $G^{\prime} \leq \mathrm{Z}(G)$ is of exponent $p$ and $\mathrm{d}\left(G / G^{\prime}\right)=2$, then $G$ is an $\mathcal{A}_{1}$-group.
(1) [B1, Theorem 6] If $p>2$ and $\Phi(G)$ is cyclic, then $\Phi(G) \leq \mathrm{Z}(G)$.
(m) If $\left|G^{\prime}\right|=|\mathrm{Z}(G)|=p$, then $G$ is extraspecial.
(n) The number of abelian members in the set $\Gamma_{1}$ is 0,1 or $p+1$. In particular, the number of nonabelian members in the set $\Gamma_{1}$ is $\geq p$, unless $G$ is an $\mathcal{A}_{1}$-group.

Remark 1.2. Let a $p$-group $G=M \times C$, where $M$ is of maximal class and $C=\langle c\rangle \cong \mathrm{C}_{p^{n}}, n>1$. We claim that $G$ contains an $\mathcal{A}_{1}$-subgroup $H$ of order $p^{n+2}$ with $|H \cap M|=p^{2}$. Indeed, by Blackburn's Theorem (see [B5, Theorem 9.6]), $G$ contains a nonabelian subgroup $D=\langle R, a\rangle$ of order $p^{3}$, where $|R|=p^{2}$ and $o(a) \leq p^{2}$. Set $u=a c$; then $R \cap\langle u\rangle=\{1\}, o(u)=o(c)=p^{n}$. We claim that $L=\langle u, R\rangle$ is an $\mathcal{A}_{1}$-subgroup. Indeed, $L$ is nonabelian so $\left|L^{\prime}\right|=p$ since $L^{\prime}<R$, and $\mathrm{d}\left(L / L^{\prime}\right)=2$ so $L$ is an $\mathcal{A}_{1}$-subgroup of order $p^{n+2}$, by Lemma $\mathrm{J}(\mathrm{k})$. We also have $|L \cap M|=R$ since $\langle u\rangle \cap M=\{1\}$. If $M$ is not generalized quaternion, one can take from the start $R \cong \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}^{2}}$; in that case, $L$ is not metacyclic since $\Omega_{1}(L) \cong \mathrm{E}_{p^{3}}$. If $M$ is generalized quaternion, then $\left|\Omega_{1}(G)\right|=4$, so all $\mathcal{A}_{1}$-subgroups of $G$ are metacyclic (Lemma $\mathrm{J}(\mathrm{d})$ ).

[^1]Similarly, if $2 \leq k<n$, then $G$ contains an $\mathcal{A}_{1}$-subgroup of order $p^{k+2}$ not contained in $M$.

Remark 1.3. Suppose that a group $G$ of order $2^{m}>2^{4}$ is not of maximal class. Let $H \in \Gamma_{1}$ be of maximal class. Then the set $\Gamma_{1}$ has exactly four members of maximal class (Lemma $J(f)$ ). Suppose that all nonabelian members of the set $\Gamma_{1}$ are $M^{\times}$-groups. We claim that then $G$ itself is an $M^{\times}$-group. Assume that our claim is false. Let $Z<H$ be cyclic of index 2; then, since $|H| \geq 16, Z$ is characteristic in $H$ so normal in $G$. Next, $G$ contains a normal abelian subgroup $R$ of type (2,2) (Lemma $J(j))$; then $R \cap H=\Omega_{1}(Z)$. Since $A=R Z \in \Gamma_{1}$ is not an $M^{\times}$-group and $|A|>8$, it must be abelian. Let $F$ be a nonabelian maximal subgroup of $H$. Then $R F \in \Gamma_{1}$ since $|R F|=|H|$, and, by hypothesis, $R F$ is an $M^{\times}$-group which is not of maximal class since $|R F| \geq 16$. It follows that $R=\mathrm{Z}(R F)$ (indeed, $R \not \leq F$ since $R \not \leq H)$. Since $R<A$, we get $\mathrm{C}_{G}(R) \geq A(R F)=A F=G$ so $R=\mathrm{Z}(G)$. If $L<R$ is of order 2 and $L \not \leq H$, then $G=H L=H \times L$ is an $M^{\times}$-group.

The following lemma is known.
Lemma 1.4. Suppose that a group $G$ is of order $p^{2 m+1}$ and $\left|G^{\prime}\right|=p$. Then the following assertions are equivalent:
(a) $G$ is extraspecial.
(b) $G$ has no abelian subgroup of index $p^{m-1}$.

Proof. Let $G$ be extraspecial and let $A$ be an abelian subgroup of $G$ of maximal order; then $A \triangleleft G$ since $G^{\prime}=\mathrm{Z}(G)<A$. It follows from decomposition of $G$ in the central product of nonabelian subgroups of order $p^{3}$ that $|G: A| \leq$ $p^{m}$. We want to show that there we have equality. The class number of $G$ equals $\left|G / G^{\prime}\right|+p-1=p^{2 m}+p-1$ so that $G$ has exactly $p-1$ nonlinear irreducibles. Since the sum of squares of degrees of nonlinear irreducibles equals $|G|-\left|G / G^{\prime}\right|=p^{2 m}(p-1)$, it follows that the degrees of all irreducibles equal $p^{m}$. By Ito's theorem on degrees [BZ, Theorem 7.2.7], $|G: A| \geq \chi(1)=$ $p^{m}$ so (a) $\Rightarrow(\mathrm{b})$.

Now assume that (b) is true. Let $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}_{1}(G)$. Then $\chi=\lambda^{G}$, where $\lambda$ is a linear character of some subgroup $H$ of index $\chi(1)$ in $G$. We have $G^{\prime} \not \leq \operatorname{ker}(\chi)=\operatorname{core}_{G}\left(\operatorname{ker}\left(\lambda^{G}\right)\right)$. Assuming that $H$ is nonabelian, we get $G^{\prime}=H^{\prime} \leq \operatorname{ker}(\lambda)$, a contradiction. Thus, $H$ is abelian. Then, by (b), we get $\chi(1)=|G: H| \geq p^{m}$. We have

$$
p^{2 m+1}=|G|=\left|G: G^{\prime}\right|+\sum_{\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}_{1}(G)} \chi(1)^{2} \geq p^{2 m}+\left|\operatorname{Irr}_{1}(\mathrm{G})\right| \mathrm{p}^{2 \mathrm{~m}}
$$

so $\left|\operatorname{Irr}_{1}(G)\right| \leq p-1$ and, by [BZ, Lemma 3.35], $G$ is extraspecial so (b) $\Rightarrow$ (a).

Lemma 1.5. Let $G$ be an extraspecial group of order $p^{2 m+1}, m>1$, and let $M \in \Gamma_{1}$. Then $M=E Z(M)$, where $E$ is an extraspecial maximal subgroup
of $M$ and $|\mathrm{Z}(M)|=p^{2}$. If $L \triangleleft G$ is of order $p^{2}$, then $N=\mathrm{C}_{G}(L)=L * E$, where $E$ is extraspecial.

Proof. By Lemma 1.4, $M$ is nonabelian. Since $|M|=p^{2 m}$, the subgroup $M$ is not extraspecial. It follows from Lemma $\mathrm{J}(\mathrm{m})$ that $|\mathrm{Z}(M)|>p$. Let $R \leq \mathrm{Z}(M)$ be $G$-invariant of order $p^{2}$; then $\mathrm{C}_{G}(R)=M$ since $R \not \leq \mathrm{Z}(G)$. On the other hand, $R \not \leq \Phi(G)=\Phi(M)$ so there is a maximal subgroup $E$ of $M$ such that $M=E R$. But $M$ is nonabelian so is $E$. We have $|E|=$ $p^{2 m-1}=p^{2(m-1)+1}$. Assume that $E$ has an abelian subgroup, say $A$, of index $p^{m-2}$; then $A R$ is an abelian subgroup of index $p^{m-1}$ in $G$, contrary to Lemma 1.4. Thus, $E$ has no abelian subgroup of index $p^{m-2}$ so $E$ is extraspecial (Lemma 1.4). It follows from $M=E Z(M)$ that $|Z(M)|=p^{2}$.

Definition 1.6. A nonabelian p-group $G$ is said to be

1. a $\mathcal{Z}$-group provided $|\mathrm{Z}(G)|=p$ and $G^{\prime}$ is cyclic.
2. a $\mathcal{Z}^{\times}$-group ( $M^{\times}$-group) provided $G=U \times E$, where $U$ is a $\mathcal{Z}$-group (group of maximal class) and $E$ is elementary abelian.
3. $(\mathcal{Z} * \mathcal{C})$-group $((\mathcal{M} * \mathcal{C})$-group $)$ provided $G=A * Z$, a central product, where $A$ is a $\mathcal{Z}$-group (group of maximal class), $Z=\mathrm{Z}(G)$ is cyclic.

The center of $\mathcal{Z}^{\times}$-group ( $M^{\times}$-group) is elementary abelian. The center of $\mathcal{Z} * \mathcal{C}$-group ( $\mathcal{M} * \mathcal{C}$-group) is cyclic. Extraspecial $p$-groups and 2 -groups of maximal class are $\mathcal{Z}$-groups. A $\mathcal{Z}$-group $G$ with $\left|G^{\prime}\right|=p$ is extraspecial (Lemma $\mathrm{J}(\mathrm{m})$ ). If $A$ is a cyclic $p$-group of order $p^{n}>p$ and $G$ the Sylow $p$ subgroup of the holomorph of $A$, then $G$ is a $\mathcal{Z}$-group (if, in addition, $p>2$, then $G$ is metacyclic). If $p$-group $G=E * L$, where $E$ is extraspecial and $L$ is a $\mathcal{Z}$-group, $E \cap L=\mathrm{Z}(E)$, then $G$ is a $\mathcal{Z}$-group. If a $\mathcal{Z}$-group $G$ is minimal nonabelian, then $|G|=p^{3}$. If a $\mathcal{Z}$-group $G$ of order $>p^{3}$ is of maximal class, then $p=2$. Clearly, the property $(\mathcal{M} * \mathcal{C})$ is not inherited by subgroups and epimorphic images.

REMARK 1.7. Suppose that all nonabelian maximal subgroups of a $\mathcal{Z}$ group $G$ are $\mathcal{Z}^{\times}$-groups. We claim that if $\left|G^{\prime}\right|>p$, then $G$ is a 2-group of maximal class, and if $\left|G^{\prime}\right|=p$, then $G$ is extraspecial of exponent $p$, unless $G$ is of order $p^{3}$ and exponent $p^{2}$. (i) Assume that $\left|G^{\prime}\right|>p$; then $R=\Omega_{2}\left(G^{\prime}\right) \cong \mathrm{C}_{p^{2}}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{G}(R) \in \Gamma_{1}$ is abelian. Then, by Lemma $\mathrm{J}(\mathrm{h}),\left|G: G^{\prime}\right|=p|\mathrm{Z}(G)|=p^{2}$. If $p=2$, then $G$ is of maximal class (Lemma $\mathrm{J}(\mathrm{i})$ ). Now let $p>2$. Then $G^{\prime}=\Phi(G)$ is cyclic and so $\Phi(G)=\mathrm{Z}(G)$ (Lemma $\left.\mathrm{J}(\mathrm{l})\right)$ hence $G$ is an $\mathcal{A}_{1^{-}}$ group and $\left|G^{\prime}\right|=p$, contrary to the assumption. (ii) Let $\left|G^{\prime}\right|=p$; then $G^{\prime}=\mathrm{Z}(G)$ so $G$ is extraspecial (Lemma $\mathrm{J}(\mathrm{m})$ ). However, if $\exp (G)>p$ and $|G|>p^{3}$, then the set $\Gamma_{1}$ contains a nonabelian member which is not a $\mathcal{Z}^{\times}$group (Lemma 1.5). Thus, if $|G|>p^{3}$, then $G$ is extraspecial of exponent $p$, and every such $G$ satisfies the hypothesis, by the same lemma.

Remark 1.8. Suppose that all nonabelian maximal subgroups of a $\mathcal{Z}$ group $G$ are $(\mathcal{Z} * \mathcal{C})$-groups. Let $G$ be not a 2 -group of maximal class; then $G$ contains a normal subgroup $R \cong \mathrm{E}_{p^{2}}($ Lemma $\mathrm{J}(\mathrm{j}))$. Since the center of the $\mathcal{Z}$ group $G$ is of order $p, \mathrm{C}_{G}(R) \in \Gamma_{1}$ must be abelian so $\left|G: G^{\prime}\right|=p|\mathrm{Z}(G)|=p^{2}$ (Lemma $\mathrm{J}(\mathrm{h})$ ), and we conclude that $G^{\prime}=\Phi(G)$. If $p>2$, then $\Phi(G) \leq \mathrm{Z}(G)$ (Lemma $\mathrm{J}(\mathrm{l}))$ so $|G|=p^{3}$. If $p=2$, then $G$ is a 2 -group of maximal class (Lemma $J(1)$ ), contrary to the assumption.

Lemma 1.9. Let $G$ be neither abelian nor an $\mathcal{A}_{1}$-group. Suppose that all nonabelian members of the set $\Gamma_{1}$ are $\mathcal{Z}^{\times}$-groups. Then one of the following holds:
(a) The set $\Gamma_{1}$ has an abelian member. Then all nonabelian members of the set $\Gamma_{1}$ are $M^{\times}$-groups for $p=2$ and $M_{3}^{\times}$-groups for $p>2$.
(b) The set $\Gamma_{1}$ has no abelian member. Then nonabelian members of the set $\Gamma_{1}$ are of the form $E_{1} \times E_{2}$, where $E_{2}$ is elementary abelian and $E_{1}$ is extraspecial. If, in addition, $G$ itself is a $\mathcal{Z}^{\times}$-group of the form $E_{1} \times E_{2}$, where $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ are as above, then $p>2$ and $\exp \left(E_{1}\right)=p$, $\left|E_{1}\right| \geq p^{5}$.
Proof. Take a nonabelian $H=M \times E \in \Gamma_{1}$, where $M$ is a $\mathcal{Z}$-group and $E$ is elementary abelian; then $M^{\prime}=H^{\prime} \triangleleft G$ is cyclic. Let $A \in \Gamma_{1}$ be abelian. In that case, $M \cap A$ is a maximal abelian subgroup of $M$. Then $\left|M: M^{\prime}\right|=p|\mathrm{Z}(M)|=p^{2}($ Lemma $\mathrm{J}(\mathrm{h}))$ so $M^{\prime}=\Phi(M)$ is cyclic. If $p=2$, then $M$ is of maximal class (Lemma $\mathrm{J}(\mathrm{i})$ ). If $p>2$, then $M^{\prime}=\mathrm{Z}(M)$ (Lemma $\mathrm{J}(\mathrm{l})$ ) so $|M|=p^{3}$.

Suppose that $\left|M^{\prime}\right|>p$; then $\mathrm{C}_{G}\left(\Omega_{2}\left(M^{\prime}\right)\right)=A \in \Gamma_{1}$ is abelian since its center has exponent $>p$. In that case, $M \cap A$ is a maximal abelian subgroup of $M$. Arguing, as in the previous paragraph, we conclude that $p=2$ and $M$ is of maximal class. This completes the proof of (a).

Now assume that the set $\Gamma_{1}$ has no abelian member. Then $\left|H^{\prime}\right|=\left|M^{\prime}\right|=p$ for all nonabelian $H \in \Gamma_{1}$ so $M$ is extraspecial (Lemma $\mathrm{J}(\mathrm{m})$ ).

Now, in addition, let $G$ be a $\mathcal{Z}^{\times}$-group and the set $\Gamma_{1}$ has no abelian member. Then $G=M \times E$, where $M$ is extraspecial of order $\geq p^{5}$ and $E$ elementary abelian. Let $U<M$ be maximal; then $U \times E \in \Gamma_{1}$ so $U$ is a $\mathcal{Z}^{\times}$-group. Then $\exp (M)=p>2$ (Remark 1.7).

Lemma 1.10. Suppose that a nonabelian p-group $G$ has an abelian subgroup of index $p$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:
(a) $|\mathrm{Z}(G)|=p$.
(b) $\left|G: G^{\prime}\right|=p^{2}$.
(c) $G$ is of maximal class.

Proof. By Lemma J(h), (a) and (b) are equivalent and follow from (c). Now let (a) hold and prove (c) using induction on $|G|$. We have $\mathrm{Z}(G) \leq G^{\prime}$ and $\left|G: G^{\prime}\right|=p^{2}$ (Lemma $\left.\mathrm{J}(\mathrm{h})\right)$. One may assume that $|G|>p^{3}$. Set
$\bar{G}=G / \mathrm{Z}(G)$. Then $\left|\bar{G}: \bar{G}^{\prime}\right|=p^{2}$ hence $|\mathrm{Z}(\bar{G})|=p($ Lemma $\mathrm{J}(\mathrm{h}))$ and $\bar{G}$ is of maximal class so is $G$ since $|\mathrm{Z}(G)|=p$. (It is easy to show that if $G$ is as in Lemma 1.10, then all nonabelian subgroups of $G$ are of maximal class; in particular, all $\mathcal{A}_{1}$-subgroups of $G$ are of order $p^{3}$.)

Remark 1.11. Let $G$ be a nonabelian $p$-group of order $>p^{3}$ and suppose that, whenever $H \leq G$ is nonabelian, then $\left|H: H^{\prime}\right|=p^{2}$. We claim that then $G$ is of maximal class with abelian subgroup of index $p$. Indeed, let $N \triangleleft G$ be of index $p^{4}$. Then $G / N$ has an abelian subgroup $A / N$, of index $p$ so $A$ is abelian, and we are done (Lemma 1.10).

Lemma 1.12. Suppose that a p-group $G$, which is a $\mathcal{Z}$-group, contains an abelian subgroup of index $p$. Then one and only one of the following holds:
(a) If $p=2$, then $G$ is of maximal class.
(b) If $p>2$, then $|G|=p^{3}$.

Proof. By Lemma $\mathrm{J}(\mathrm{h}),\left|G: G^{\prime}\right|=p|\mathrm{Z}(G)|=p^{2}$ so $\mathrm{d}(G)=2$. Then $G$ is of maximal class if $p=2$ (Lemma $\mathrm{J}(\mathrm{i}))$. Let $p>2$. Then $\Phi(G)=G^{\prime}$ is cyclic so $\Phi(G) \leq \mathrm{Z}(G)$ (Lemma $\mathrm{J}(\mathrm{l})$ ), and we conclude that $G$ is an $\mathcal{A}_{1}$-group since $\mathrm{d}(G)=2$. Since $|\mathrm{Z}(G)|=p$, we get $|G|=p^{3}$.

Lemma 1.13. Let $G$ be a p-group which is not of maximal class and A, $H \in \Gamma_{1}$, where $A$ is abelian and $H$ is of maximal class. Then $|\mathrm{Z}(G)|=p^{2}$ and $G=H Z(G)$.

Proof. By Lemma $J(f), G^{\prime}=H^{\prime}$ is of index $p^{3}$ in $G$. By Lemma $\mathrm{J}((\mathrm{h})$, $|\mathrm{Z}(G)|=\frac{1}{p}\left|G: G^{\prime}\right|=p^{2}$ so $G=H \mathrm{Z}(G)$, by the product formula.

Our main results are the following five theorems.
Theorem A. Suppose that all maximal subgroups of a nonabelian 2-group $G$ are $\mathcal{Z}^{\times}$-groups. Then one of the following holds:
(a) $G$ is an $M^{\times}$-group.
(b) $G$ is minimal nonabelian.
(c) $G=D * C$ is of order 16, where $D$ is nonabelian of order 8 and $C$ is cyclic of order 4.
(d) $G$ is a generalized dihedral group of order $2^{5}$ with abelian Hughes subgroup subgroup of type $(4,4)$.
Theorem B. Suppose that all nonabelian maximal subgroups of a nonabelian $p$-group $G, p>2$, are $\mathcal{Z}^{\times}$-groups. Then one of the following holds:
(a) $G$ is an $M_{3}^{\times}$-group.
(b) $G$ is minimal nonabelian.
(c) $G$ is of maximal class and order $p^{4}$.
(d) $G=M * C$ is of order $p^{4}$, where $M$ is nonabelian of order $p^{3}$ and $C$ is cyclic of order $p^{2}$. We also have $G=M_{1} * C$ where a nonabelian subgroup $M_{1}$ of order $p^{3}$ is not isomorphic with $M$.
(e) $G$ is of order $p^{5}$ without abelian subgroup of index $p,\left|G^{\prime}\right|=p^{3}, \mathrm{Z}(G)<$ $G^{\prime}$ is abelian of type $(p, p)$. If $R<\mathrm{Z}(G)$ is of order $p$, then $G / R$ is of maximal class.
(f) $G$ is special of order $p^{5}, d(G)=3$.
(g) $G$ is special of order $p^{6}$ and exponent $p, d(G)=3$.
(h) $G=E \times E_{0}$, where $E_{0}$ is elementary abelian and $E$ is extraspecial; if $|E| \geq p^{5}$, then $\exp (E)=p$.

Theorem C. Suppose that all nonabelian maximal subgroups of a 2-group $G$ are $(\mathcal{Z} * \mathcal{C})$-groups but $G$ is not an $(\mathcal{Z} * \mathcal{C})$-group. Then one of the following holds:
(a) $G$ is minimal nonabelian.
(b) $G=F \times D$, where $F$ is nonabelian of order 8 and $|D|=2$.

Theorem D. Suppose that $p>2$ and all nonabelian maximal subgroups of a nonabelian p-group $G$ are $(\mathcal{Z} * \mathcal{C})$-groups. Then one of the following holds:
(a) $G$ is minimal nonabelian.
(b) $|G|=p^{4}$.

THEOREM E. Let $G$ be a nonabelian $p$-group of order $>p^{4}, p>2$, which is not an $\mathcal{A}_{1}$-group. Suppose that all nonabelian maximal subgroups of $G$ are $(\mathcal{M} * \mathcal{C})$-groups. Then $G$ has an abelian subgroup of index $p$ and one of the following holds:
(a) $G=M * C$ is an $(\mathcal{M} * \mathcal{C})$-group, where $M$ of order $>p^{3}$ is of maximal class with abelian subgroup of index $p$ and $C=\mathrm{Z}(G)$ is cyclic of order $\leq p^{2}$.
(b) $\bar{G}=M \times L$, where $M$ is nonabelian of order $p^{3}$ and $|L|=p$.
(c) $\mathrm{Z}(G)$ is cyclic of order $>p, \mathrm{Z}(G)<\Phi(G), G / \mathrm{Z}(G)$ is either of maximal class or of order $p^{4}$ and class 2 .

## 2. Proof of Theorem A

We begin with the following partial case of Theorem 2.4.
Lemma 2.1 (Miller [M1]). If $G$ is a minimal non Dedekindian 2-group, then $G$ is either minimal nonabelian or $\cong \mathrm{Q}_{16}$.

Proof. Assume that $G$ is not an $\mathcal{A}_{1}$-group so $|G|=2^{m}>2^{3}$. Let $H=Q \times E \in \Gamma_{1}$, where $Q \cong \mathrm{Q}_{8}$ and $\exp (E) \leq 2$. Suppose that $E=\{1\} ;$ then $m=4$. If $\mathrm{C}_{G}(Q) \not \leq Q$, then $G=Q \mathrm{Z}(\mathrm{G})$ so $\mathrm{Z}(G)$ is cyclic of order 4 since $G$ is not Dedekindian. Then $G=Q * \mathrm{Z}(G)=D * \mathrm{Z}(G)$, a contradiction since $D \cong \mathrm{D}_{8}$ is non Dedekindian. Thus, $\mathrm{C}_{G}(Q)<Q$ so $G$ is of maximal class (Lemma $\mathrm{J}(\mathrm{a})$ ); then $G \cong \mathrm{Q}_{16}$. Next assume that $|G|>2^{4}$ so $E>\{1\}$ for arbitrary choice of nonabelian $H \in \Gamma_{1}$. We have $H^{\prime}=Q^{\prime} \triangleleft G$ and $H / Q^{\prime}$ is elementary abelian maximal subgroup of $G / Q^{\prime}$. Assume that $G / Q^{\prime}$ has a nonabelian maximal subgroup $F / Q^{\prime}=\left(Q_{1} / Q^{\prime}\right) \times\left(E_{1} / Q^{\prime}\right)$. where $Q_{1} / Q^{\prime} \cong \mathrm{Q}_{8}$
and $\exp \left(E_{1} / Q^{\prime}\right) \leq 2$. Then $\left(Q_{1} / Q^{\prime}\right) \cap\left(H / Q^{\prime}\right)$ is maximal in $Q_{1} / Q^{\prime}$ so cyclic of order 4 and elementary abelian as a subgroup of $H / Q^{\prime}$, a contradiction. Thus, $\bar{G}=G / Q^{\prime}$ is either abelian or minimal nonabelian.
(i) Let $\bar{G}$ be minimal nonabelian; then $\left|G^{\prime}\right|=4$. Since $\exp (\bar{H})=2$, we get $\exp (\bar{G})=4$ and $|\bar{H}| \leq 8($ Lemma $J(d))$. Since $m>4$, we get $\bar{H} \cong \mathrm{E}_{8}$. Since $\Omega_{1}(\bar{G})=\bar{H}($ Lemma $\mathrm{J}(\mathrm{d})), \bar{G}$ is generated by elements of order 4 so it has two distinct maximal subgroups $\bar{A}$ and $\bar{B}$ of exponent 4 . Then $A$ and $B$ are abelian (if, for example, $\bar{A}$ is nonabelian, then $A^{\prime}=Q^{\prime}$ and $\exp \left(A / A^{\prime}\right)=2$, a contradiction). In that case, $A \cap B=\mathrm{Z}(G)$ so $\left|G^{\prime}\right|=2($ Lemma $J(\mathrm{~h}))$, a contradiction.
(ii) Let $\bar{G}$ be abelian; then $G^{\prime}=Q^{\prime}$ is of order 2 so $G=Q * \mathrm{C}_{G}(Q)$ (Lemma $\mathrm{J}(\mathrm{b})$ ). If $\mathrm{C}_{G}(Q)$ has a cyclic subgroup $L$ of order 4 , then $Q * L$ is not Dedekindian so $Q * L=G$. If $Q \cap L=\mathrm{Z}(Q)$, then $G$ contains a proper subgroup $\cong \mathrm{D}_{8}$, a contradiction. If $Q \cap L=\{1\}$, then $G=Q \times L$ contains an $\mathcal{A}_{1}$-subgroup $B$ of order 16 (Remark 1.2); since $B<G$ and $B$ is not Dedekindian, we get a contradiction. Thus, $\exp \left(\mathrm{C}_{G}(Q)\right)=2$ so $\mathrm{C}_{G}(Q)=\mathrm{Z}(G)$. If $\mathrm{Z}(G)=Q^{\prime} \times E_{1}$, then $G=Q \times E_{1}$ is Dedekindian, a final contradiction.

A 2-group $G$ is said to be a $Q^{\times}$-group if $G=Q \times E$, where $Q$ is generalized quaternion and $E$ is elementary abelian. The center of every $Q^{\times}$-group is elementary abelian.

Remark 2.2. Let us show that if a 2 -group $G=Q \times E$, where $Q$ is generalized quaternion and $\exp (E)=2$, and $A<G$ is nonabelian, then $A$ is a $Q^{\times}$-group. We use induction on $|G|$. Obviously, $K \in \Gamma_{1}$ such that $G=K \times L$, where $L \leq E$, is a $Q^{\times}$-group. One may assume that $A \cap E>\{1\}$. Let $X \leq A \cap E$ be of order 2 . Then $G=X \times G_{0}$ since $X \not 又 \Phi(G)$. In that case, by the modular law, $A=X \times\left(A \cap G_{0}\right)$. Since $G_{0}$ is a $Q^{\times}$-group, it follows, by induction in $G_{0}$, that $A \cap G_{0}$ is also a $Q^{\times}$-group. Then $A=\left(A \cap G_{0}\right) \times X$ is a $Q^{\times}$-group, as desired. Similarly, if a 2 -group $G$ is an $M^{\times}$-group, then all its nonabelian subgroups are $M^{\times}$-groups. In particular, all $\mathcal{A}_{1}$-subgroups of $G$ have the same order 8 .

Lemma 2.3. Suppose that all nonabelian maximal subgroups of a nonabelian 2 -group $G$ are $Q^{\times}$-groups. Then $G$ is either a $Q^{\times}$- or $\mathcal{A}_{1}$-group.

Proof. Assume that $G$ is neither minimal nonabelian nor of maximal class (if $G$ is of maximal class, it is generalized quaternion so a $Q^{\times}$-group). We also may assume, in view of Lemma 2.1, that $m>4$. Then all proper nonabelian subgroups of $G$ are $Q^{\times}$-groups, by Remark 2.2. There is a nonabelian $H=Q \times E \in \Gamma_{1}$, where $Q$ is generalized quaternion and $E$ elementary abelian. If $E=\{1\}$, then, by Remark 1.3, $G$ is a $Q^{\times}$-group. Next we assume that $E>\{1\}$ for arbitrary choice of nonabelian $H \in \Gamma_{1}$.

In view of Lemma 2.1, one may assume that the subgroup $H$ of the previous paragraph is chosen so that $|Q|>2^{3}$. Then $H^{\prime}=Q^{\prime} \triangleleft G$ is cyclic of order $>2$. In that case, $A=\mathrm{C}_{G}\left(\Omega_{2}\left(Q^{\prime}\right)\right) \in \Gamma_{1}$ is abelian since $\exp (\mathrm{Z}(A))>2$. Since $E<A$, we get $\mathrm{C}_{G}(E) \geq H A=G$ so that $E<\mathrm{Z}(\mathrm{G})(<$, since $\mathrm{Z}(Q)<$ $\mathrm{Z}(G)$ and $\mathrm{Z}(Q) \not \leq E)$. It follows from $\left|G^{\prime}\right|>2$ that $A$ is the unique abelian member of the set $\Gamma_{1}($ Lemma $J(h))$. Take a nonabelian $F \in \Gamma_{1}-\{H\}(F$ exists, by Lemma $J(\mathrm{n})$ ) and assume that $E \not \leq F$. Then there is $X \leq E$ of order 2 such that $X \not 又 F$. In that case, $G=F \times X$ is a $Q^{\times}$-group, and we are done. Therefore, one may assume that $E<\Phi(G)$. Write $\bar{G}=G / E$; then $\bar{G}=2|\bar{H}|=2|Q|>2^{4}$. Therefore, if $L \in \Gamma_{1}$ is nonabelian, then $\bar{L}$ is an $M^{\times}$-group since, generally speaking, $E$ is not a direct factor of $L$. By the above, $\bar{G}$ contains a maximal subgroup $\bar{H}$, which is generalized quaternion of order $>8$. In view of Lemma 1.13, the following two possibilities for $\bar{G}$ must be considered.
(i) Let $\bar{G}$ be not of maximal class. Then $\bar{G}=\bar{H} \times \bar{C}=\bar{Q} \times \bar{C}$, where $|\bar{C}|=2$ so that $\bar{G}$ is a $Q^{\times}$-group. Since $E<\mathrm{Z}(G)$ and $\bar{C}=C / E$ is of order 2, the subgroup $C \triangleleft G$ is abelian and $C \cap H \leq E \cap H=\{1\}$ so $G=Q \cdot C$ is a semidirect product with kernel $C$. If $F<Q$ is nonabelian maximal, then $F \cdot C \in \Gamma_{1}$ is a $Q^{\times}$-group so $F C=C \times F$ hence $\exp (C)=2$. Since $Q$ is generated by its nonabelian maximal subgroups, we get $G=Q \times C$ so that $G$ is a $Q^{\times}$-group.
(ii) Now let $\bar{G}$ be of maximal class. Then $\mathrm{d}(G)=2$ since $E<\Phi(G)$, and hence, by Lemma $\mathrm{J}(\mathrm{c})$, we get $\mathrm{d}(F) \leq 3$ for all $F \in \Gamma_{1}$. It follows that $|E|=2$. Since $E \not \leq G^{\prime}$ (otherwise, by Lemma J(i), $G$ is of maximal class), we get $E \cap G^{\prime}=\{1\}$; then $G^{\prime}$ is cyclic of index 8 in $G$ and $G / G^{\prime}$ is abelian of type $(4,2)$ since $\mathrm{d}(G)=2$. Let $A / G^{\prime}$ and $B / G^{\prime}$ be two distinct cyclic subgroup of order 4 in $G / G^{\prime}$. Since abelian epimorphic images of $Q^{\times}$-groups have exponent 2 , it follows that $A$ and $B$ are abelian maximal subgroups of $G$ so $A \cap B=\mathrm{Z}(G)$. In that case, $\left|G^{\prime}\right|=2<\left|H^{\prime}\right|$, a final contradiction.

Theorem 2.4 (Janko [J2]). Suppose that every $\mathcal{A}_{1}$-subgroup of a nonabelian 2 -group $G$ is $\cong \mathrm{Q}_{8}$. Then $G$ is a $Q^{\times}$-group.

Proof. We use induction on $|G|$. By induction, every proper nonabelian subgroup of $G$ is a $Q^{\times}$-group. Then, by Lemma $2.3, G$ is either an $\mathcal{A}_{1^{-}}$or $Q^{\times}$-group. In the first case, however, $G \cong \mathrm{Q}_{8}$.

A 2-group $G$ is said to be a $D^{\times}$-group if $G=D \times E$, where $D$ is dihedral and $\exp (E) \leq 2$.

Proposition 2.5 (Compare with [M2]). Suppose that all nonabelian maximal subgroups of a nonabelian 2 -group $G$ are $D^{\times}$-groups. Then one of the following holds:
(a) $G$ is minimal nonabelian.
(b) $G$ is a $D^{\times}$-group.
(c) $G$ is a generalized dihedral group of order $2^{5}$ with abelian subgroup of type $(4,4)$. The group $G$ is special, $\mathrm{d}(G)=3$.

Proof. Suppose that $G$ is neither an $\mathcal{A}_{1^{-}}$nor a $D^{\times}$-group. All $\mathcal{A}_{1^{-}}$ subgroups of $G$ are $\cong \mathrm{D}_{8}$ (Remark 1.1) so, by Lemma $\mathrm{J}(\mathrm{e}), G=C \cdot A$ is a generalized dihedral group; here $|C|=2$ and $A$ is abelian of exponent $>2$ and all elements of the set $G-A$ are involutions inverting $A$. Since $G$ is not dihedral, $\mathrm{d}(A)>1$. Let $A_{2} \leq A$ be of type $(4,4)$; then the nonabelian subgroup $B=C \cdot A_{2} \leq G$ is not a $D^{\times}$-group so $B=G, A_{2}=A$, and $G$ is as stated in (c). Thus, $A$ has no proper subgroup of type $(4,4)$. Thus, assuming that all invariants of $A$ are $>2$, we conclude that $A$ is abelian of type $(4,4)$. Assume that $A$ is not of type $(4,4)$. Then $A=L \times A_{0}$, where $|L|=2,\left|A_{0}\right|>2$. In that case, $G=L \times G_{0}$, where $G_{0}=C \cdot A_{0} \in \Gamma_{1}$; then $G_{0}$ is a $D^{\times}$-group, by the above and hypothesis, so $G$ is also $D^{\times}$-group. We have $\mathrm{Z}(G)=\Omega_{1}(A) \leq G^{\prime}$ (indeed, if $K<A$ is of order 2 , then $K<C \cdot U$, where $\mathrm{C}_{4} \cong U<A$ and $C \cdot U \cong \mathrm{D}_{8}$ so $\left.K=(C \cdot U)^{\prime}<G^{\prime}\right)$. By Lemma $\mathrm{J}(\mathrm{i})$, $\left|G: G^{\prime}\right|>4$ so $\mathrm{Z}(G)=G^{\prime}$ (compare orders!). It follows from $\Omega_{1}(G)=G$ that $G^{\prime}=\Phi(G)$, so $G$ is special and $\mathrm{d}(G)=3$.

Lemma 2.6. Suppose that all nonabelian maximal subgroups of a nonabelian 2-group $G$ of order $2^{m}$ are $M_{3}^{\times}$-groups. Then one of the following holds:
(a) $G$ is minimal nonabelian.
(b) $G$ is of maximal class and order 16 .
(c) $G=M * C$ is the central product, where $M$ is nonabelian of order 8 and $C$ is cyclic of order $4, m=4$.
(d) $G$ is generalized dihedral, $m=5$, with abelian subgroup $A$ of type $(4,4)$ (as in Proposition 2.5(c)).
(e) $G$ is an $M_{3}^{\times}$-group.

Proof. Groups (a-e) satisfy the hypothesis. Since the lemma is true for $m \leq 4$, we assume that $m>4$ and $G$ is neither minimal nonabelian nor of maximal class.

Let $M<G$ be an $\mathcal{A}_{1}$-subgroup; then $|M|=8$ (Remark 1.1). In that case, $M<H \in \Gamma_{1}$, where $H=M \times E$ and $\exp (E)=2$ since $m>4$. Set $D=\left\langle H^{\prime} \mid H \in \Gamma_{1}\right\rangle$. Then $D \leq G^{\prime} \cap \Omega_{1}(\mathrm{Z}(G))(\leq \Phi(G))$ so all maximal subgroups of $G / D$ are abelian. Set $\bar{G}=G / D$. By Lemma $J(\mathrm{n}), \Omega_{1}(\bar{G})=\bar{G}$. Thus, either $\exp (\bar{G})=2$ or $G$ is an $\mathcal{A}_{1}$-group so $\cong \mathrm{D}_{8}$ (Lemma J(d)).

Assume that $|D|=2$; then $\exp (\bar{G})=2$ since $m>4$, so $G^{\prime}=D$ and all $\mathcal{A}_{1}$-subgroups of $G$ are normal. Let $M<G$ be an $\mathcal{A}_{1}$-subgroup. Then $G=M * \mathrm{C}_{G}(M)(\operatorname{Lemma} \mathrm{J}(\mathrm{b}))$. If $C \leq \mathrm{C}_{G}(M)$ is cyclic of order 4 , then $M * C$ is not an $M^{\times}$-group so $G=M * C$. Since $m>4$, we get $M \cap C=\{1\}$ so $G=M \times C$. Then, by Remark $1.2, G$ has an $\mathcal{A}_{1}$-subgroup $K$ of order $2^{4}$ and $K \in \Gamma_{1}$ is not an $M^{\times}$-group, a contradiction. Thus, $\exp \left(\mathrm{C}_{G}(M)\right)=2$ so
$\mathrm{C}_{G}(M)=\mathrm{Z}(G)$. If $\mathrm{Z}(G)=\mathrm{Z}(M) \times E$, then $G=M \times E$ is an $M^{\times}$-group. In what follows we assume that $|D|>2$.

By the above, if $U<G$ is nonabelian of order $2^{n}$, then $\mathrm{d}(U)=n-1$.
Suppose that $\exp (\bar{G})=2$. Let $M<G$ be minimal nonabelian; then there is $H=M \times E \in \Gamma_{1}$, where $\exp (E)=2$. Since $|D|>2$, there is an $\mathcal{A}_{1}$-subgroup $M_{1}<G$ such that $M_{1}^{\prime} \neq M^{\prime}$. In view of Theorem 2.4 and Proposition 2.5, one may assume from the start that $M \cong \mathrm{Q}_{8}$. Then $M \cap M_{1}=\{1\}$ so $\left|\left\langle M, M_{1}\right\rangle\right| \geq$ $\left|M M_{1}\right|=2^{6}$. Set $U=\left\langle M, M_{1}\right\rangle ;$ then $\mathrm{d}(U) \leq \mathrm{d}(M)+\mathrm{d}\left(M_{1}\right)=4<6-1$ so $U=G$. We have $\left[M, M_{1}\right]>\{1\}$ (otherwise, $U=M \times M_{1}$ contains an $\mathcal{A}_{1}$-subgroup of order $2^{4}$, by Remark 1.2). Therefore, one of subgroups $M, M_{1}$ is not normal in $U$. Let $M$ is not normal in $U$. Then some cyclic subgroup $C_{1}<M_{1}$ does not normalize some cyclic subgroup $C<M$ (of order 4). Since $U_{1}=\left\langle C, C_{1}\right\rangle$ of order $\geq 2^{4}$ is generated by two elements and $2<4-1$, we get $U_{1}=G$. It follows that $G$ is minimal nonabelian (Lemma $\mathrm{J}(\mathrm{k})$ ), a contradiction. Now let $M_{1}$ is not normal in $U$. Then some subgroup $Z<M$ of order 4 does not normalize some cyclic subgroup $Z_{1}<M_{1}$. Since $V=\left\langle Z, Z_{1}\right\rangle$ of order $\geq 16$ is two-generator, we get $V=G$ so $G$ is an $\mathcal{A}_{1}$-subgroup, a contradiction.

Now we let $\bar{G} \cong \mathrm{D}_{8}$. Since $D<G^{\prime}$, we get $\left|G: G^{\prime}\right|=\left|\bar{G}: \bar{G}^{\prime}\right|=4$ so $G$ is of maximal class (Lemma J(i), a contradiction since $|\mathrm{Z}(G)| \geq|D|>2$.

REMARK 2.7. Suppose that a nonabelian $p$-group $G$ is neither minimal nonabelian nor of maximal class and all nonabelian members of the set $\Gamma_{1}$ are of maximal class. Since $G$ has a subgroup $A$ with center of order $>p, A$ is abelian. By Lemma $\mathrm{J}(\mathrm{f})$, the set $\Gamma_{1}$ has exactly $p+1$ abelian members. In that case, $\left|G^{\prime}\right|=p(\operatorname{Lemma} \mathrm{~J}(\mathrm{~h}))$ so $\operatorname{cl}(G)=2$ and $G=M \mathrm{Z}(G)$ is of order $p^{4}$, where $M$ is nonabelian of order $p^{3}$.

For $p=2$, we get the following stronger result.
Lemma 2.8. Suppose that all nonabelian maximal subgroups of a nonabelian 2-group $G$ are $M^{\times}$-groups. Then one of the following holds:
(a) $G$ is minimal nonabelian.
(b) The central product $G=M * C$ is of order $16, M$ is nonabelian of order 8 and $C$ is cyclic of order 4 .
(c) $G$ is generalized dihedral of order $2^{5}$ with abelian subgroup $A$ of type $(4,4)$.
(d) $G$ is an $M^{\times}$-group.

Proof. Groups (a-d) satisfy the hypothesis. All nonabelian members of the set $\Gamma_{1}$ are $\mathcal{Z}^{\times}$-groups. By Lemma 1.9, either the set $\Gamma_{1}$ has an abelian member or else all its members are $M_{3}^{\times}$-groups. In the second case, however, the set $\Gamma_{1}$ also has an abelian member, by Lemma 2.6. Thus, in any case, there is abelian $A \in \Gamma_{1}$. Assume that $G$ is not an $\mathcal{A}_{1}$-group. Take a nonabelian $H=M \times E \in \Gamma_{1}$, where $M$ is of maximal class and $\exp (E) \leq 2$. Set $|G|=2^{m}$.

Suppose that $E=\{1\}$ and $G$ is not of maximal class. Then, by Lemma 1.13, $G=H \mathrm{Z}(G)$, where $\mathrm{Z}(G)$ is of order 4. If $m=4$, then $G$ is as in (b) or (d). Let $m>4$. If $F<H$ is nonabelian maximal, then $F Z(G)$ is an $M^{\times}$-group so $\mathrm{Z}(G)$ is noncyclic, and we conclude that $H$ is a direct factor of $G$ so $G$ is an $M^{\times}$-group. In what follows we assume that $E>\{1\}$ for every choice of nonabelian $H \in \Gamma_{1}$; then $m>4$.

In view of Lemma 2.6, one may assume that $H(=M \times E)$ is chosen so that $|M| \geq 16$. Obviously, $H$ has only one abelian maximal subgroup, say $A_{1}$, and $E<\mathrm{Z}(H)<A_{1}$. It follows that $A \cap H=A_{1}$ so $\mathrm{C}_{G}(E) \geq H A=G$, and we get $E<\mathrm{Z}(G)(<$ since $\mathrm{Z}(M)<\mathrm{Z}(G)$ and $\mathrm{Z}(M) \not \leq E)$. If $E \not \leq \Phi(G)$, then $G=X \times G_{0}$, where $X \leq E$ is of order 2 and a nonabelian $G_{0} \in \Gamma_{1}$. However, $G_{0}$ is an $M^{\times}$-group so is $G$. Next we assume that $E<\Phi(G)$.

Suppose that $\bar{G}=G / E$ is not of maximal class. Since $M \cong \bar{M}=\bar{H}<\bar{G}$, we get $\exp (\bar{G})=\exp (\bar{M})=\exp (M) \geq 8$. By Remark 1.3, we get $\bar{G}=\bar{H} \times \bar{C}=$ $\bar{M} \times \bar{C}$, where $|\bar{C}|=2$. Also, $C \triangleleft G$ is abelian and $C \cap H=E \cap H=\{1\}$ so $G=M \cdot C$, a semidirect product with kernel $C$. As in part (i) of the proof of Lemma 2.3, we prove that $G=M \times C$ so $G$ is an $M^{\times}$-group.

Next we assume that $\bar{G}$ is of maximal class. Then $\mathrm{d}(G)=2$ since $E<$ $\Phi(G)$, and hence, by Lemma $\mathrm{J}(\mathrm{c})$, we get $\mathrm{d}(F) \leq 3$ for all $F \in \Gamma_{1}$ so $|E|=2$. Since $E \not \leq G^{\prime}$ (otherwise, by Lemma J(i), $G$ is of maximal class), we get $E \cap G^{\prime}=\{1\}$ and so $G / G^{\prime}$ is abelian of type $(4,2)$ since $\mathrm{d}(G)=2$ and $4<\left|G / G^{\prime}\right| \leq 8$. Let $U / G^{\prime}, V / G^{\prime}<G / G^{\prime}$ be distinct cyclic of order 4 . Then $U, V$ are abelian since $\exp \left(X / X^{\prime}\right)=2$ for every $M^{\times}$-group $X$. We have $U \cap V=\mathrm{Z}(G)$ so $\left|G^{\prime}\right|=2(\operatorname{Lemma} \mathrm{~J}(\mathrm{~h}))$ so $G$ is an $\mathcal{A}_{1}$-group (Lemma $\mathrm{J}(\mathrm{k})$ ), a final contradiction.

Proof of Theorem A. Set $|G|=2^{m}$. As above, we may assume that $m>4$ and $G$ is not an $\mathcal{A}_{1}$-group.
(A) Suppose that the set $\Gamma_{1}$ has no abelian member. Take $H=M \times E \in$ $\Gamma_{1}$, where $M$ is a $\mathcal{Z}$-group and $\exp (E) \leq 2$. Then, by Lemma $1.9, M$ is extraspecial. Write $D=\left\langle F^{\prime} \mid F \in \Gamma_{1}\right\rangle$; then $D \leq G^{\prime} \cap \Omega_{1}(\mathrm{Z}(G))$ and all maximal subgroups of $\bar{G}=G / D$ are elementary abelian so $\exp (\bar{G})=2$.
(i) Suppose that $|D|=2$ so $D=G^{\prime}=\Phi(G)$. Then, by Lemma $1.5, G$ is not extraspecial so that $|\mathrm{Z}(G)|>2$. If $\mathrm{Z}(G)$ is noncyclic, then $G=G_{0} \times L$, where $L<\mathrm{Z}(G)$ is of order 2 and $L \not \leq D$. However, $G_{0} \in \Gamma_{1}$ is a $\mathcal{Z}^{\times}$-group so is $G$. Now assume that $\mathrm{Z}(G)$ is cyclic; then $\mathrm{Z}(G) \cong \mathrm{C}_{4}$. In that case, all members of the set $\Gamma_{1}$, containing $\mathrm{Z}(G)$, must be abelian, contrary to the assumption.
(ii) Now suppose that $|D|>2$. Then there are nonabelian $F, H \in \Gamma_{1}$ such that $F^{\prime} \neq H^{\prime}$. In that case, $\exp \left(F / F^{\prime}\right)=2=\exp \left(H / H^{\prime}\right)$. Let $H=M \times E$ be as above; then $F^{\prime} \not \leq M$ so $M F^{\prime} / F^{\prime} \cong M$. The intersection $\left(M F^{\prime} / F^{\prime}\right) \cap\left(F / F^{\prime}\right)$ is an abelian maximal subgroup of the extraspecial group $M F^{\prime} / F^{\prime}$ so $|M|=$ $\left|M F^{\prime} / F^{\prime}\right|=8$ (Lemma 1.4). Since a nonabelian $H \in \Gamma_{1}$ is arbitrary, $G$
satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 2.6 so there is an abelian $A \in \Gamma_{1}$, contrary to the assumption.
(B) Now let $A \in \Gamma_{1}$ be abelian. Let a nonabelian $H=M \times E$ be as above. Then $M \cap A$ is an abelian maximal subgroup of $M$ so, by Lemma 1.12(a), $M$ is of maximal class, and the result follows from Lemma 2.8.

## 3. Proof of Theorem B

In this section $p>2$. We begin with the following
Lemma 3.1. Suppose that $p>2$ and all nonabelian maximal subgroups of a nonabelian p-group $G$ are $M_{3}^{\times}$-groups. Then either $G$ is an $M_{3}^{\times}$-group or one of the following holds:
(a) $G$ is minimal nonabelian.
(b) $G$ is of maximal class and order $p^{4}$.
(c) $G=M * C=N * C$ is of order $p^{4}$, where $M$ is nonabelian of order $p^{3}$ and exponent $p, N \cong \mathrm{M}_{p^{3}}$ and $C$ is cyclic of order $p^{2}$.
(d) $G$ is extraspecial of order $p^{5}$ and exponent $p$.
(e) $G$ is special of order $p^{5}, d(G)=3$.
(f) $G$ is special of order $p^{6}$ and exponent $p, d(G)=3$.
(g) $G$ is of order $p^{5}$ without abelian subgroup of index $p,\left|G^{\prime}\right|=p^{3}, \mathrm{Z}(G)<$ $G^{\prime}$ is abelian of type $(p, p)$. If $R<\mathrm{Z}(G)$ is of order $p$, then $G / R$ is of maximal class.

Proof. Groups (a-d), (f) and also groups of exponent $p$ from parts (e) and (g) satisfy the hypothesis (if the group of (e) is of exponent $p^{2}$, it may be an $\mathcal{A}_{2}$-group [BJ2, §5] and so does not satisfy the hypothesis). Set $|G|=p^{m}$. One may assume that $G$ is not an $\mathcal{A}_{1}$-group so $m>3$. In view of Lemma J J (a), one may also assume that $m>4$. All proper nonabelian subgroups of $G$ are $M_{3}^{\times}$-groups (Remark 1.1).

Let $M<G$ be an $\mathcal{A}_{1}$-subgroup and let $M<H \in \Gamma_{1}$. Then $H \leq M * C$, where $C=\mathrm{C}_{G}(M)$. Suppose that $M * C=G$. If $U \leq C$ is cyclic of order $p^{2}$, then $M * U$ is not an $M^{\times}$-group. By Remark 1.2, $M \cap C=\Omega_{1}(C)$ so $G=M * C$, a contradiction since $m>4$. Now let $\exp (C)=p$. Since $m>4$, then $C \not \leq M$ (Lemma J(a)).

Suppose that $G=M * C$. By modular law and Remark 1.1, all maximal subgroups of $C$ are elementary abelian so $C$ is either elementary abelian or nonabelian of order $p^{3}$ and exponent $p$. If $C$ is elementary abelian, then $\mathrm{Z}(G)=C=\mathrm{Z}(M) \times E$, and then $G=M \times E$ is an $M_{3}^{\times}$-group. If $C$ is nonabelian, then $G=M * C$ is extraspecial of order $p^{5}$ and exponent $p$ (Lemma 1.5). Next we assume that $M * C<G$; then $M * C \in \Gamma_{1}$ is an $M_{3}^{\times}$-group.

Set $D=\left\langle H^{\prime} \mid H \in \Gamma_{1}\right\rangle ;$ then $D \leq G^{\prime} \cap \mathrm{Z}(G) \leq \Phi(G)$. If $M<G$ is minimal nonabelian and $M<H \in \Gamma_{1}$, then $M^{\prime}=H^{\prime} \triangleleft G$ and $H / H^{\prime}$ is
elementary abelian. It follows that all maximal subgroups of $\bar{G}=G / D$ are abelian and $\Omega_{1}(\bar{G})=\bar{G}($ Lemma $\mathrm{J}(\mathrm{n}))$ so $\bar{G}$ is either elementary abelian or minimal nonabelian of order $p^{3}$ and exponent $p$ since $p>2$ (Lemma $\mathrm{J}(\mathrm{d})$ ). By Lemma $\mathrm{J}(\mathrm{g}),|D| \leq\left|G^{\prime}\right| \leq p^{3}$.
(i) Suppose that $|D|=p$; then $\bar{G}$ is elementary abelian since $m>4$ so $D=G^{\prime}$ and all $\mathcal{A}_{1}$-subgroups are normal in $G$. Let $M<G$ be minimal nonabelian. Then, by Lemma $\mathrm{J}(\mathrm{b}), G=M \mathrm{C}_{G}(M)$ and $\exp \left(\mathrm{C}_{G}(M)\right)=p$ (Remark 1.2). In that case, as we have proved, $G$ is either $M_{3}^{\times}$-group or extraspecial of order $p^{5}$ and exponent $p$.
(ii) Now let $|D|>p$. Then there are two distinct $F, H \in \Gamma_{1}$ such that $H^{\prime} \neq F^{\prime}$. The set $\Gamma_{1}$ has at most one abelian member since $\left|G^{\prime}\right| \geq|D|>p$ (Lemma $\mathrm{J}(\mathrm{h})$ ). In that case, $H / H^{\prime}$ and $F / F^{\prime}$ are distinct elementary abelian so $\Omega_{1}(\bar{G})=\Omega_{1}(\bar{F} \bar{H})=\bar{F} \bar{H}=\bar{G}$. Since $p>2$ and $\operatorname{cl}(\bar{G}) \leq 2$, we get $\exp (\bar{G})=$ $p$. It follows that if $\bar{G}$ is minimal nonabelian, then $|\bar{G}|=p^{3}((\operatorname{Lemma} \mathrm{~J}(\mathrm{~d}))$.
(ii1) Assume that $\bar{G}$ is an $\mathcal{A}_{1}$-group of order $p^{3}$ and exponent $p$; then $\mathrm{d}(G)=\mathrm{d}(\bar{G})=2$. Since $\left|G^{\prime}: D\right|=p$, we get $|D|=p^{2}$ and $\left|G^{\prime}\right|=p^{3}$ so $|G|=|D||\bar{G}|=p^{5}$. Let $F$ and $H$ be such as in the previous paragraph. Then $F=M \times H^{\prime}=M \times M_{1}^{\prime}$ and $H=M_{1} \times F^{\prime}=M_{1} \times M^{\prime}$, where $M$ and $M_{1}$ are nonabelian of order $p^{3}$ (note that $\left.F^{\prime} H^{\prime} \leq \Phi(G) \leq F \cap H\right)$. Since $F / H^{\prime}<$ $G / H^{\prime}$ is nonabelian of order $p^{3}$ and $\mathrm{d}\left(G / H^{\prime}\right)=2$, it follows from Lemma $\mathrm{J}(\mathrm{a})$ that $G / H^{\prime}$ is of maximal class. Similarly, $G / F^{\prime}$ is of maximal class. If $G$ has an abelian subgroup of index $p$, then $p^{5}=|G|=p\left|G^{\prime}\right||\mathrm{Z}(G)|=p^{6}($ Lemma $\mathrm{J}(\mathrm{h}))$, a contradiction. Thus, all members of the set $\Gamma_{1}$ are nonabelian and $G$ is from part $(\mathrm{g})$. It is easy to check that if, in addition, $\exp (G)=p$, then indeed $G$ satisfies the hypothesis, by Lemma $\mathrm{J}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{a})$ ).
(ii2) Now let $\bar{G}$ be elementary abelian; then $G^{\prime}=D=\Phi(G)$ and $\operatorname{cl}(G)=2$.

Assume that $\exp (\mathrm{Z}(\mathrm{G}))>\mathrm{p}$ and let $C \leq \mathrm{Z}(G)$ by cyclic of order $p^{2}$. Then all members of the set $\Gamma_{1}$ containing $C$, are abelian so $\left|G^{\prime}\right|=p<|D|$ (Lemma $J(h)$ ), a contradiction.

Thus, $\exp (\mathrm{Z}(G))=p$. As above, $\mathrm{Z}(G) \leq \Phi(G)$ (otherwise, $G$ is an $M_{3}^{\times}$group). In that case, $D \leq \mathrm{Z}(G) \leq \Phi(G) \leq D$ so $G$ is special. If $M<G$ is minimal nonabelian, then $M \Phi(G) / \Phi(G)=M D / D \cong M /(M \cap D) \cong \mathrm{E}_{p^{2}}$ so $\mathrm{d}(G)>2$.

Suppose that $\mathrm{d}(G)>3$. Then there exist distinct $\bar{F}, \bar{H}>\bar{M}$, where $F, H \in \Gamma_{1}$. Since $M$ is a direct factor in $F$ and $H$ (Remark 1.1), we get $\mathrm{N}_{G}(M) \geq F H=G$ so $M \triangleleft G$ whence all $\mathcal{A}_{1}$-subgroups are normal in $G$. We have $G=M \mathrm{C}_{G}(M)$ since $M \mathrm{C}_{G}(M) \geq F H=G$. Assume that $\mathrm{C}_{G}(M)$ has an $\mathcal{A}_{1}$-subgroup $N$ and let $M \cap N=\{1\}$. It follows from Remark 1.2 that $\exp (M)=p=\exp (N)$ so $M \cong N$. Let $T<M \times N$ be the diagonal subgroup; then $T \cong M$ is an $\mathcal{A}_{1}$-subgroup so $T \triangleleft G$. Since $T \cap M=\{1\}=T \cap N$, we get $\mathrm{C}_{M N}(T) \geq M N$, a contradiction since $T$ is nonabelian. Now let $M \cap N>\{1\}$; then $M \cap N=\mathrm{Z}(M)=\mathrm{Z}(N)$. In that case, $M * N$ is extraspecial so it is
not a subgroup of any $M_{3}^{\times}$-group, and we conclude that $G=M * N$. Then $\left|G^{\prime}\right|=p<p^{2} \leq|D|$, a contradiction. Thus, $N$ does not exist so $\mathrm{C}_{G}(M)$ is elementary abelian whence coincides with $\mathrm{Z}(G)$. Since $G=M \mathrm{C}_{G}(M)$, we get $\left|G^{\prime}\right|=p<|D|$, a contradiction.

Thus, $\mathrm{d}(G)=3$. In that case, $|G|=\left|G^{\prime}\right|\left|G / G^{\prime}\right| \leq p^{6}$. Suppose that $\left|G^{\prime}\right|=p^{3}$. Then $|G|=p^{6}$ and $G^{\prime}=D=F^{\prime} \times H^{\prime} \times L^{\prime}$, where $F, H, L$ are $\mathcal{A}_{1}$-subgroups of $G$. Then $\exp \left(G / F^{\prime} H^{\prime}\right)=\exp \left(G / H^{\prime} L^{\prime}\right)=\exp \left(G / L^{\prime} F^{\prime}\right)=p$ so, since $F^{\prime} H^{\prime} \cap H^{\prime} L^{\prime} \cap L^{\prime} F^{\prime}=\{1\}$, we conclude that $\exp (G)=p$.

Now let $G$ be (special) of order $p^{5}$ or $p^{6}, \exp (G)=p,\left|G^{\prime}\right|=p^{2}$ or $p^{3}$, respectively, and $\mathrm{d}(G)=3$. If $M<G$ is an $\mathcal{A}_{1}$-subgroup (of order $p^{3}$ ), then the $M_{3}^{\times}$-group $M G^{\prime}=M \times E$ (here $G^{\prime}=M^{\prime} \times E$ ) is the unique member of the set $\Gamma_{1}$ containing $M$. It follows that $G$ satisfies the hypothesis.

Proof of Theorem B. Set $|G|=p^{m}$. As above, assume that $G$ is not an $\mathcal{A}_{1}$-group and $m>4$. By Lemma 1.5, if $G$ is extraspecial, then $\exp (G)=p$ and all such $G$ satisfy the hypothesis. Next we assume that $G$ is not extraspecial. Since $m>4$ and $p>2, G$ is not of maximal class.
(A) Let the set $\Gamma_{1}$ have no abelian member. Then, by Lemma 1.9, each nonabelian member $H \in \Gamma_{1}$ is of the form $E_{1} \times E_{2}$, where $E_{1}$ is extraspecial and $E_{2}$ is elementary abelian so $\left|K^{\prime}\right| \leq p$ for all $K \in \Gamma_{1}$, and we get $\left|G^{\prime}\right| \leq p^{3}$ (Lemma J(g)). Put

$$
D=\left\langle H^{\prime} \mid H \in \Gamma_{1}\right\rangle\left(\leq G^{\prime} \cap \Omega_{1}(\mathrm{Z}(G))\right)
$$

As above in similar situation, $\bar{G}=G / D$ is either elementary abelian or nonabelian of order $p^{3}$ and exponent $p$.
(i) Suppose that $|D|=p$, then $\bar{G}$ is elementary abelian since $m>4$, and we conclude that $D=G^{\prime}$. If $\mathrm{Z}(G)=G^{\prime}$, then $G$ is extraspecial (Lemma $\mathrm{J}(\mathrm{m})$, and so $\exp (G)=p$. Now assume that $\mathrm{Z}(G)>G^{\prime}$. If $\mathrm{Z}(G)$ contains a cyclic subgroup of order $p^{2}$, then all members of the set $\Gamma_{1}$, containing $\mathrm{Z}(G)$, are abelian, contrary to assumption. Thus, $\exp (\mathrm{Z}(G))=p$. If $L<\mathrm{Z}(G)$ is of order $p$ and $L \neq G^{\prime}(=\Phi(G))$, then $G=L \times G_{0}$; then $G$ is an $\mathcal{Z}^{\times}$-group since $G_{0}$ is.
(ii) Suppose that $|D|>p$. Then there are nonabelian $F, H \in \Gamma_{1}$ such that $F^{\prime} \neq H^{\prime}$ and $F / F^{\prime}$ is elementary abelian maximal subgroup of $G / F^{\prime}$. Let $H=M \times E$, where $M$ is extraspecial and $E$ is elementary abelian; then $F^{\prime} \not \leq M$ so $M F^{\prime} / F^{\prime} \cong M$. The intersection $\left(M F^{\prime} / F^{\prime}\right) \cap\left(F / F^{\prime}\right)$ is an abelian maximal subgroup of the extraspecial group $M F^{\prime} / F^{\prime}$ so $|M|=\left|M F^{\prime} / F^{\prime}\right|=$ $p^{3}$ (Lemma 1.13). Since a nonabelian $H \in \Gamma_{1}$ is arbitrary, $G$ satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 3.1, and we are done.
(B) Now suppose that there is abelian $F \in \Gamma_{1}$. Let a nonabelian $H=$ $M \times E \in \Gamma_{1}$ be as above. Then $M \cap F$ is an abelian maximal subgroup of $M$ so, by Lemma 1.12, $|M|=p^{3}$. Thus, all nonabelian members of the set $\Gamma_{1}$ are $M_{3}^{\times}$-groups so result follows from Lemma 3.1.

## 4. Proof of Theorem C

In this section we classify the nonabelian 2-groups, all of whose nonabelian maximal subgroups are $(\mathcal{Z} * \mathcal{C})$-groups.

The proof of the following lemma is straightforward (see also [BJ1, Appendix 16]).

Lemma 4.1. Suppose that $m>1$ and $G=Q * C$, where

$$
Q=\left\langle a, b \mid a^{4}=1, a^{2}=b^{2}, a^{b}=a^{-1}\right\rangle \cong \mathrm{Q}_{8}
$$

and $C=\left\langle c_{0}\right\rangle \cong \mathrm{C}_{2^{m}}, Q \cap C=\mathrm{Z}(Q)=\Omega_{1}(C)$. Write $d=a b, c=c_{0}^{2^{m-2}}$. Then
(a) $\Omega_{1}(G)=Q \Omega_{2}(C), G$ has exactly seven involutions ( $a c, a c^{3}, b c, b c^{3}, d c$, $\left.d c^{3}, a^{2}\right)$ so exactly four cyclic subgroups of order 4 .
(b) $G$ has exactly four proper nonabelian subgroups of order 8 , namely $Q$, $D_{1}=\langle a, b c\rangle \cong \mathrm{D}_{8}, D_{2}=\langle d, b c\rangle \cong \mathrm{D}_{8}, D_{3}=\langle b, d c\rangle \cong \mathrm{D}_{8}$. It follows that $Q$ is characteristic in $G$ and $G=\mathrm{D}_{i} * C(i=1,2,3)$.

Lemma 4.2 ([BJ1, Appendix 16]). Suppose that $n>3$ and $G=Q * C$, where
$Q=\left\langle a, b \mid a^{2^{n-1}}=1, b^{2}=a^{2^{n-2}}, a^{b}=a^{-1}\right\rangle \cong \mathrm{Q}_{2^{n}}, C=\langle c\rangle \cong \mathrm{C}_{4} \cdot|G|=2^{n+1}$
Then $\Omega_{1}(G)=G$ and the set $\Gamma_{1}$ contains exactly four members of maximal class, namely $Q, D=\langle a, b a\rangle \cong \mathrm{D}_{2^{n}}, S_{1}=\langle a c, a b c\rangle \cong \mathrm{SD}_{2^{n}}, S_{2}=\langle a c, b c\rangle \cong$ $\mathrm{SD}_{2^{n}}$.

Proof. Since $(b c)^{2}=b^{2} c^{2}=b^{2} b^{2}=1$, we get $o(b c)=2$. It follows from $a^{b c}=a^{b}=a^{-1}$ that $D=\langle a, b c\rangle \cong \mathrm{D}_{2^{n}}$. Next,

$$
\begin{gathered}
(a b c)^{2}=a b a b c^{2}=a b^{2} a^{-1} b^{2}=1, o(a c)=2^{n-1}, \\
(a c)^{a b c}=a^{b} c=a^{-1} c^{2} c^{-1}=a^{-1+2^{n-2}} c^{-1+2^{n-2}}=(a c)^{-1+2^{n-2}},
\end{gathered}
$$

so that $S_{1}=\langle a c, a b c\rangle \cong \mathrm{SD}_{2^{n}}$. It follows from $o(b c)=2$ and

$$
(a c)^{b c}=(a c)^{a b c}=(a c)^{-1+2^{n-2}}
$$

that $S_{2}=\langle a c, b c\rangle \cong \mathrm{SD}_{2^{n}}$. We have $Q, D, S_{1}, S_{2} \in \Gamma_{1}$ and these subgroups are all members of maximal class in the set $\Gamma_{1}$ (Lemma $\left.J(f)\right)$. Since, by Lemma $\mathrm{J}(\mathrm{j})$, the set $G-D$ contains an involution $x$, we get $\Omega_{1}(G) \geq\langle x, D\rangle=$ $G$.

Lemma 4.3 ([BJ1, Appendix 16]). Suppose that $n>3, m>2$ and $G=$ $Q * C$, where $|G|=2^{m+n-1}$ and

$$
Q=\left\langle a, b \mid a^{2^{n-1}}=1, b^{2}=a^{2^{n-2}}, a^{b}=a^{-1}\right\rangle \cong \mathrm{Q}_{2^{n}}, C=\langle c\rangle \cong \mathrm{C}_{2^{m}}
$$

Then
(a) $\Omega_{1}(G)=Q * \Omega_{2}(C)$ is of order $2^{n+1}$ and contains all subgroups of $G$ of maximal class.
(b) $G$ contains exactly one subgroup, namely $Q$, that is $\cong \mathrm{Q}_{2^{n}}$, exactly one subgroup $D \cong \mathrm{D}_{2^{n}}$, and exactly two subgroups, say $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$, that are isomorphic to $\mathrm{SD}_{2^{n}}$. If $M<G$ is of maximal class and order $2^{n}$, then $G=M * C$. The intersections $D \cap Q$ and $S_{1} \cap S_{2}$ are cyclic, $S_{1} \cap D \neq S_{2} \cap D$ are isomorphic to $\mathrm{D}_{2^{n-1}}, \quad S_{1} \cap Q \neq S_{2} \cap Q$ are isomorphic to $\mathrm{Q}_{2^{n-1}}$. Next, $G$ has no subgroup of maximal class and order $2^{n+1}$.

Proof. Since $G / Q$ is cyclic, we get $\Omega_{1}(G) \leq Q * \Omega_{2}(C) \leq \Omega_{1}(G)$ (Lemma 4.2(a)). Let $T<Q$ be nonabelian of order 8. Then $T^{\prime}=\Omega_{1}\left(Q^{\prime}\right)=$ $\Omega_{1}(C)$. Since $\Omega_{1}\left(T * \Omega_{2}(C)\right)=T * \Omega_{2}(C)$ and every 2-group of maximal class, say $U$, is generated by its nonabelian subgroups of order 8 , we get $U \leq \Omega_{1}(G)$. Next, by Lemma $4.2(\mathrm{~b}), \Omega_{1}(G)$ contains exactly one subgroup $\cong \mathrm{D}_{2^{n}}$, exactly one subgroup $Q \cong \mathrm{Q}_{2^{n}}$, and exactly two subgroups $\cong \mathrm{SD}_{2^{n}}$. The last assertion is true since $\operatorname{cl}(G)=n-1$. The rest of (b) follows from Lemma 4.2 applied to $\Omega_{1}(G)$.

Lemma 4.4. Suppose that a 2-group $G=U * Z$, where $U$ is of maximal class, $Z=\mathrm{Z}(G)=\langle c\rangle$ is cyclic of order $2^{n}>2$. Then
(a) All $\mathcal{A}_{1}$-subgroups of $G$ are metacyclic and have orders $\leq 2^{n+1}$.
(b) The group $G$ contains an $\mathcal{A}_{1}$-subgroup $\cong \mathrm{M}_{2^{n+1}}$.
(c) If $M<G$ is minimal nonabelian and $M \nsubseteq U$, then $M \cap U \cong \mathrm{C}_{4}$ and $M /(M \cap U)$ is cyclic.
(d) $G$ has no subgroup $\cong \mathrm{E}_{8}$.

Proof. To prove that $G$ contains an $\mathcal{A}_{1}$-subgroup of order $2^{n+1}$, one may assume that $|U|=8$ and $n>2$. Let $U=\langle a, R\rangle$, where $R<U$ is of order $4, a \in U-R, b=a c, H=\langle b, R\rangle$. Then $R \cap\langle b\rangle=\Omega_{1}(Z)$ is of order $2, o(b)=o(c)=2^{n}$ so $|H|=2^{n+1}$ and $H \cong \mathrm{M}_{2^{n+1}}$ since $\operatorname{cl}(H) \leq \operatorname{cl}(G)=2$, $n>2$ and $H$ is nonabelian.

Let $H<G$ be an $\mathcal{A}_{1}$-subgroup such that $H \not \approx U$. To describe the structure of $H$, one may assume, in view of Lemma 4.3(b), that $U$ is generalized quaternion. Then $H U / U$ is cyclic as a subgroup of $G / U \cong Z /(Z \cap U)$ so $|H \cap U|>2$ since $H$ is nonabelian. Since $H \cap U$ is abelian, it is cyclic so $H$ is metacyclic. Assume that $|H \cap U|>4$. Then $\mho_{1}(H \cap U)=\Phi(H \cap U) \leq$ $\Phi(H)=\mathrm{Z}(H)$ so $\mathrm{C}_{G}\left(\mho_{1}(H \cap U)\right) \geq H$ is nonabelian, a contradiction. Thus, $|H \cap U|=4$. Since $|H /(H \cap U)|=|H U / U| \leq|G / U|=2^{n-1}$ we get $|H|=|H \cap U||H U / U| \leq 4 \cdot 2^{n-1}=2^{n+1}$.

Assume that $G$ has a subgroup $E \cong \mathrm{E}_{8}$. As above, let $U$ be a generalized quaternion group. Then $E<\Omega_{1}(G)=U * \Omega_{2}(Z)$ so one may assume that $|Z|=4$. In that case, $E \cap U$ is of exponent 2 and order 4, a contradiction since $U$ has no abelian subgroup of type $(2,2)$.

Thus, the property $(\mathcal{M} * \mathcal{C})$ is not inherited by nonabelian subgroups.

Lemma 4.5. Suppose that every nonabelian maximal subgroup of a 2-group $G,|G|=2^{m}>2^{3}$, is an $(\mathcal{M} * \mathcal{C})$-group. If $G$ is neither $\mathcal{A}_{1}$ - $\operatorname{nor}(\mathcal{M} * \mathcal{C})$ group, then $G=M \times D$, where $M$ is nonabelian of order 8 and $|D|=2$.

Proof. In view of Remark 2.7, one may choose a nonabelian $M * Z=$ $H \in \Gamma_{1}$ so that $M$ is of maximal class and $\mathrm{Z}(H)=Z$ is cyclic of order $>2$. Assume that $\mathrm{Z}(G)$ is noncyclic. Then $\mathrm{Z}(G)$ contains a subgroup $L$ of order 2 such that $L \not \leq H$ so $G=H \times L$. Since $\left(M * \mho_{1}(Z)\right) \times L \in \Gamma_{1}$ is neither abelian nor $(\mathcal{M} * \mathcal{C})$-group, we get a contradiction. Thus, $\mathrm{Z}(G)$ is cyclic.

We claim that $\mathrm{Z}(G)=Z$. Indeed, by Lemma $\mathrm{J}(\mathrm{j}), H$ contains a $G$ invariant abelian subgroup $R$ of type $(2,2)$. Then $A=\mathrm{C}_{G}(R) \in \Gamma_{1}$ is abelian since $\mathrm{Z}(A)$ is noncyclic. In that case, $\mathrm{C}_{G}(Z) \geq A H=G$ so $Z \leq \mathrm{Z}(G)$. If $Z<\mathrm{Z}(G)$, then $G=M \mathrm{Z}(G)$ is an $(\mathcal{M} * \mathcal{C})$-group, contrary to the hypothesis. Assume that $F \in \Gamma_{1}$ is of maximal class. Then $G=F * \mathrm{Z}(G)$ is an $(\mathcal{M} * \mathcal{C})$ group, a contradiction. Thus, $\mathrm{Z}(G)=\mathrm{Z}(H)$ for all nonabelian $H \in \Gamma_{1}$. As above, we write $\mathrm{Z}(G)=Z$. We have also proved that $Z \leq \Phi(G)$.

If $F=B * Z, K=L * Z \in \Gamma_{1}$ are nonabelian, then $|B|=|L|$. Write $\bar{G}=G / Z$. Then, for nonabelian $F, H \in \Gamma_{1}, \bar{F} \cong \bar{H}$ is either $\cong \mathrm{E}_{4}$ or dihedral. Thus, either $\bar{G}$ has at least two maximal subgroups $\cong \mathrm{E}_{4}($ Lemma $\mathrm{J}(\mathrm{n}))$ or all nonabelian maximal subgroups of $G$ are dihedral. In that case, $\Omega_{1}(\bar{G})=\bar{G}$ (of order $\geq 8$ ) is one of the following groups: (i) $\mathrm{D}_{8}$, (ii) $\mathrm{E}_{8}$, (iii) $\mathrm{D}_{8} \times \mathrm{C}_{2}$, (iv) $\mathrm{D}_{2^{n}}, n>3$ (Proposition 2.5).
(i) Suppose that $\bar{G}=\mathrm{D}_{8}$. We have $\mathrm{d}(G)=2$ since $Z<\Phi(G)$ and, if $\bar{U}<\bar{G}$ is cyclic of order 4, then $U$ is abelian. Two other members of the set $\Gamma_{1}$, say $F$ and $H$, are nonabelian. Let $F=B * Z$ be as above. By Lemma 4.1, $F$ contains exactly one subgroup $\cong \mathrm{Q}_{8}$ and exactly three subgroups $\cong \mathrm{D}_{8}$ so one may assume from the start that $B \cong \mathrm{Q}_{8}$; then $B \triangleleft G$. If $G / B$ is noncyclic, then $B \leq \Phi(G)$ since $\mathrm{d}(G)=2$ so $F=B * Z \leq \Phi(G)$, a contradiction. Thus, $G / B$ is cyclic so $G=B Z_{1}$, where $Z_{1}<G$ is cyclic. We get $G^{\prime}<B$. Since $G$ is not an $\mathcal{A}_{1}$-group, we get $G^{\prime} \cong \mathrm{C}_{4}$ (Lemma $\mathrm{J}(\mathrm{k})$ ). Thus, $G / G^{\prime}$ is abelian of type $\left(2^{n}, 2\right)$, where $n>1$ since $m>4$. In that case, $G / G^{\prime}$ contains two distinct cyclic subgroups $Z_{1} / G^{\prime}$ and $Z_{2} / G^{\prime}$ of index 2 . Then the metacyclic subgroups $Z_{1}, Z_{2} \in \Gamma_{1}$ must be abelian since all nonabelian members of the set $\Gamma_{1}$ are not metacyclic, a contradiction since the set $\Gamma_{1}$ has only one abelian member in view of $\left|G^{\prime}\right|=4>2($ Lemma $J(h))$.
(ii) Suppose that $\bar{G} \cong \mathrm{E}_{8}$. Then $G^{\prime} \leq Z=\mathrm{Z}(G)$ is cyclic and $\operatorname{cl}(G)=2$. If $x, y \in G$, then $[x, y]^{2}=\left[x, y^{2}\right]=1$ so $\left|G^{\prime}\right|=2$ since $G^{\prime}$ is cyclic. If $F \in \Gamma_{1}$ is nonabelian, then $F=B * Z$, where $B$ is nonabelian of order 8 . Then $B^{\prime}=G^{\prime}$. By Lemma $\mathrm{J}(\mathrm{b}), G=B * \mathrm{C}_{G}(B)$. We have $\left|\mathrm{C}_{G}(B): Z\right|=2$ so $\mathrm{C}_{G}(B)$ is abelian. Then $\mathrm{C}_{G}(B)=\mathrm{Z}(G)=Z$, a contradiction.
(iii) Suppose that $\bar{G} \cong \bar{D} \times \bar{L}$, where $\bar{D} \cong \mathrm{D}_{8}$ and $|\bar{L}|=2$. In that case, $\bar{G}$ has exactly three abelian maximal subgroups: $\bar{T}_{1}$ of type $(4,2)$ and $\bar{T}_{2}, \bar{T}_{3}$
of type $(2,2,2)$. Then $T_{i}, i=1,2,3$, are abelian since they are $\operatorname{not}(\mathcal{M} * \mathcal{C})$ groups (indeed, if $X$ is an $(\mathcal{M} * \mathcal{C})$-group, then $\left.X / Z \not \equiv \bar{T}_{i}, i=1,2,3\right)$. In that case, $Z=\mathrm{Z}(G)=T_{1} \cap T_{2}$ has index 4 in $G$, a contradiction since $|G: Z|=|\bar{G}|=16$.
(iv) Suppose that $\bar{G}=G / Z \cong \mathrm{D}_{2^{n}}, n>3$, and let $|Z|=2^{m}, m>1$. Then $\mathrm{d}(G)=2$ since $Z<\Phi(G)$. If $T / Z<G / Z$ is cyclic of index 2 , then $T \in \Gamma_{1}$ is abelian. Therefore, by Lemma $\mathrm{J}(\mathrm{h}),\left|G^{\prime}\right|=\frac{1}{2}|G / Z|=2^{n-1} \geq 8$ so $T$ is the unique abelian member of the set $\Gamma_{1}$ (Lemma J(h)). If $F=A * Z \in \Gamma_{1}$ is nonabelian, then one may assume that $A \triangleleft G$ (Lemma 4.3). Since the set $\Gamma_{1}$ has exactly three members and one of them is abelian, the quotient group $G / A$ must be cyclic, and we conclude that $G / A \cong \mathrm{C}_{2^{m}}$ since $F / A \cong \mathrm{C}_{2^{m-1}}$ is maximal in $G / A$. But $G^{\prime}<A$ so $G^{\prime}$ is cyclic, by Burnside (recall that $\left.\left|G^{\prime}\right| \geq 8\right)$. Since $G$ is not of maximal class, we get $\left|G: G^{\prime}\right| \geq 8$ (Lemma J(i)). We have $|G|=|Z||G / Z|=2^{m+n}$ so $\left|G / G^{\prime}\right|=2^{m+1}$ since $\left|G^{\prime}\right|=2^{n-1}$. Since $G / A \cong \mathrm{C}_{2^{m}}$, it follows that $G / G^{\prime}$ has a cyclic subgroup of index 2. Let $U / G^{\prime}, V / G^{\prime}<G / G^{\prime}$ be distinct cyclic subgroups of index 2 . Since $U, V$ being metacyclic, are not $(\mathcal{M} * \mathcal{C})$-groups, a contradiction: $G$ has only one abelian maximal subgroup.

Proof of Theorem C. Assume that $G$ is not minimal nonabelian.
Let a nonabelian $H \in \Gamma_{1}$ be not of maximal class (if such $H$ does not exist, we are done, by Remark 2.7). Then $H$ has a $G$-invariant four-subgroup $R$. In that case, $A=\mathrm{C}_{G}(R) \in \Gamma_{1}$ since $R \not 又 \mathrm{Z}(H)$, and $A$ is abelian since $\mathrm{Z}(A)$ is noncyclic. Let $F=B * Z \in \Gamma_{1}$ be a $(\mathcal{Z} * \mathcal{C})$-subgroup. Then $B \cap A$ is an abelian maximal subgroup of $B$ so $\left|B: B^{\prime}\right|=2|\mathrm{Z}(B)|=4($ Lemma $\mathrm{J}(\mathrm{h}))$ whence $B$ is of maximal class, by Lemma $J(i)$. Thus, all nonabelian members of the set $\Gamma_{1}$ are $(\mathcal{M} * \mathcal{C})$-groups, and the theorem follows from Lemma 4.5.

Let a 2-group $G=M * C$ be an $\mathcal{M}_{3} * \mathcal{C}$-group, where $M$ is nonabelian of order 8 and $C$ is cyclic of order $2^{n}>2^{2}$; then $|G|=2^{n+2}$. By Lemma 4.4(b), there is in $G$ an $\mathcal{A}_{1}$-subgroup $H \cong \mathrm{M}_{2^{n+1}}$. Then $H \in \Gamma_{1}$ is not an $\left(\mathcal{M}_{3} * \mathcal{C}\right)$ group.

## 5. Proof of Theorem D

In this section we classify the nonabelian $p$-groups, $p>2$, all of whose nonabelian maximal subgroups are $(\mathcal{Z} * \mathcal{C})$-groups.

A $p$-group $G=A * Z$, where $A$ is nonabelian of order $p^{3}$ and $Z=\mathrm{Z}(G)$ is cyclic, is said to be $\left(\mathcal{M}_{3} * \mathcal{C}\right)$-group.

Lemma 5.1. If $p>2$ and $G$ is an $\left(\mathcal{M}_{3} * \mathcal{C}\right)$-group and $|\mathrm{Z}(G)|>p$, then $G=\Omega_{1}(G) * \mathrm{Z}(G)$, where $\Omega_{1}(G)$ is nonabelian of order $p^{3}$ and exponent $p$.

Proof. Since $\operatorname{cl}(G)=2, G$ is regular so we get

$$
\left|\Omega_{1}(G)\right|=\left|G / \mho_{1}(G)\right|=\left|G / \mho_{1}(\mathrm{Z}(G))\right|=p^{3}, \exp \left(\Omega_{1}(G) \mid=p\right.
$$

By the product formula, $G=\Omega_{1}(G) \mathrm{Z}(G)$ so $\Omega_{1}(G)$ is nonabelian.
Lemma 5.2. Suppose that $p>2$ and all nonabelian maximal subgroups of a nonabelian p-group $G, p>2$, are $\left(\mathcal{M}_{3} * \mathcal{C}\right)$-groups. Then $G$ is either minimal nonabelian or of order $p^{4}$.

Proof. Set $|G|=p^{m}$. As above, assume that $G$ is not an $\mathcal{A}_{1}$-group and $m>4$.

Assume that $G=U * Z$ is an $\left(\mathcal{M}_{3} * \mathcal{C}\right)$-group, where $U=\Omega_{1}(G)$ is nonabelian of order $p^{3}$ and exponent $p$ (Lemma 5.1) and $Z=\mathrm{Z}(G)$ is cyclic of order $>p^{2}$. Let $F \in \Gamma_{1}$. If $U \not \leq F$, then $\left|\Omega_{1}(F)\right|=p^{2}$ so $F$ is metacyclic so it is not an $\left(\mathcal{M}_{3} * \mathcal{C}\right)$-group; then $F$ is abelian. If $U \leq F$, then $F$ is an $\left(\mathcal{M}_{3} * \mathcal{C}\right)$-group, by the modular law. Since $\mathrm{d}(G)=3$, the set $\Gamma_{1}$ contains $\left|\Gamma_{1}\right|-1=p^{2}+p$ abelian members, which is impossible. Thus, $G$ is not an $\left(\mathcal{M}_{3} * \mathcal{C}\right)$-group.

Assume that $G$ is of maximal class. In that case, there is $H \in \Gamma_{1}$ of maximal class [Bla]. Then $H$ is not an $\left(\mathcal{M}_{3} * \mathcal{C}\right)$-group since $|H|>p^{3}$, a contradiction.

Let $H=M * Z \in \Gamma_{1}$, where $M$ is nonabelian of order $p^{3}$ and exponent $p$ and $Z$ is cyclic of order $>p$ (Lemma 5.1). Then $H$ has a $G$-invariant subgroup $R$ of type $(p, p)$ (Lemma $\mathrm{J}(\mathrm{j})$ ). Since $R \not \leq \mathrm{Z}(H)$, we get $A=\mathrm{C}_{G}(R) \in \Gamma_{1}$ so $A$ is abelian since $\mathrm{Z}(A)$ is noncyclic. Then $\mathrm{C}_{G}(Z) \geq A H=G$ so $Z \leq \mathrm{Z}(G)$.

Suppose that $Z<\mathrm{Z}(G)$; then $|\mathrm{Z}(G): Z|=p$, by the product formula. If $\mathrm{Z}(G)$ is cyclic, then $G=M * \mathrm{Z}(G)$ is an $\left(\mathcal{M}_{3} * \mathcal{C}\right)$-group, a contradiction. Now assume that $\mathrm{Z}(G)$ is noncyclic. Then $\mathrm{Z}(G)=Z \times L$, where $|L|=p$. In that case, $G=H \times L=(M * Z) \times L$, and $\left(M * \mho_{1}(Z)\right) \times L \in \Gamma_{1}$ is not an $\left(\mathcal{M}_{3} * \mathcal{C}\right)$-group, a contradiction. Thus, $\mathrm{Z}(H)=Z$ for every choice of $H$. Since, in addition, $Z<A$ for every abelian $A \in \Gamma_{1}$, it follows that $\mathrm{Z}(G)=Z \leq \Phi(G)$.

Let distinct nonabelian $F, H \in \Gamma_{1}$ (Lemma $\mathrm{J}(\mathrm{n})$ ), where $H$ is as above and $F=M_{1} * Z$, where $M_{1}=\Omega_{1}(F)$ is nonabelian of order $p^{3}$ and exponent $p$ (Lemma 5.1); then $M, M_{1} \triangleleft G$. Since $Z \leq \Phi(G)<H$ and $M_{1} Z=F \neq H$, it follows that $M_{1} \neq M$. Since $M_{1} \cap M=M_{1} \cap H$, we get $M_{1} \cap M \cong \mathrm{E}_{p^{2}}$ so $M M_{1}$ is of order $p^{4}$, by the product formula. Let $M M_{1} \leq W \in \Gamma_{1}$; then $\left|\Omega_{1}(W)\right| \geq p^{4}$ so $W$ is not an $\left(\mathcal{M}_{3} * \mathcal{C}\right)$-group, a contradiction.

Proof of Theorem D. In view of Lemma 5.2, one may assume that $|G|=p^{m}>p^{4}$; we also assume that $G$ is not an $\mathcal{A}_{1}$-group. Assume that there exist $H=B * Z$, where $B$ is a $\mathcal{Z}$-group of order $>p^{3}$ and $Z=\mathrm{Z}(H)$ is cyclic. In that case, there is in $H$ a $G$-invariant subgroup $R \cong \mathrm{E}_{p^{2}}(\operatorname{Lemma} \mathrm{~J}(\mathrm{j}))$; then $R \not 又 Z=\mathrm{Z}(H)$ so $A=\mathrm{C}_{G}(R) \in \Gamma_{1}$ is abelian. In that case, $B \cap A$ is an abelian maximal subgroup of $B$; then $|B|=p^{3}$ (Lemma 1.12(b)), contrary
to the assumption. Thus, all nonabelian members of the set $\Gamma_{1}$ are $\left(\mathcal{M}_{3} * \mathcal{C}\right)$ groups, and the result now follows from Lemma 5.2.

## 6. Proof of Theorem E

If $p=2$, then an $(\mathcal{M} * \mathcal{C})$-group $G=M * C$ is a $(\mathcal{Z} * \mathcal{C})$-group but this is not the case for $p>2$ and $|M|>p^{3}$. In this section we consider the nonabelian $p$-groups, $p>2$, all of whose nonabelian maximal subgroups are $(\mathcal{M} * \mathcal{C})$-groups.

Proof of Theorem E. In view of Lemma 5.2, one may assume that $\operatorname{cl}(X)>2$ for some $X \in \Gamma_{1}$; then $|G|>p^{4}$.

Suppose that $G$ is of maximal class. Let $\mathrm{E}_{p^{2}} \cong R \triangleleft G$; then $\mathrm{C}_{G}(R) \in \Gamma_{1}$ is abelian. Conversely, every $p$-group of maximal class with abelian subgroup of index $p$ satisfies the hypothesis (this follows immediately from Fitting's Lemma). In what follows we assume that $G$ is neither an $\mathcal{A}_{1}$-group nor of maximal class.

Now let $G=M * \mathrm{Z}(G)$ be an $(\mathcal{M} * \mathcal{C})$-group. Then, as in the previous paragraph, $M$ has an abelian subgroup of index $p$. Assume that $|\mathrm{Z}(G)|=$ $p^{n}, n>2$, and $|M|>p^{3}$. Let $S$ be a $G$-invariant subgroup of index $p$ in $M^{\prime}\left(=G^{\prime}\right)$. Then $G / S \cong(M / S) \times\left(\mathrm{Z}(G) / \Omega_{1}(\mathrm{Z}(S))\right.$ so $G / S$ contains a maximal subgroup $U / S$ of order $p^{n+1}$ which is an $\mathcal{A}_{1}$-group (Remark 1.2). Then $U \in \Gamma_{1}$ is not an $(\mathcal{M} * \mathcal{C})$-group, a contradiction. Thus, if $|M|>p^{3}$, then $|\mathrm{Z}(G)| \leq p^{2}$. Let $\mathrm{Z}(G) \cong \mathrm{C}_{p^{2}}$. Then every member of the set $\Gamma_{1}$, not containing $\mathrm{Z}(G)$, is of the same class as $G$ so of maximal class. If $\mathrm{Z}(G)<H \in \Gamma_{1}$ and $H$ is nonabelian, then $H=\mathrm{Z}(G) *(H \cap M)$ is an $(\mathcal{M} * \mathcal{C})$-group. If $|M|=p^{3}$ (then $|\mathrm{Z}(G)|>p^{2}$ ), then $G$ does not satisfy the hypothesis (see the second paragraph of the proof of Lemma 5.2). In what follows we assume that $G$ is not an $(\mathcal{M} * \mathcal{C})$-group.

Assume that $H \in \Gamma_{1}$ is of maximal class. Let $\mathrm{E}_{p^{2}} \cong R<H$ be $G$-invariant ( $R$ exists, by Lemma $\mathrm{J}(\mathrm{j})$ ). Then $A=\mathrm{C}_{G}(R) \in \Gamma_{1}$ is abelian since the center of $(\mathcal{M} * \mathcal{C})$-group must be cyclic. In that case, either $G$ is of maximal class or $|\mathrm{Z}(G)|=p^{2}$ (Lemma 1.13). In the last case, as easily seen, $\mathrm{Z}(G)$ is cyclic and $G=H Z(G)$ is an $(\mathcal{M} * \mathcal{C})$-group, contrary to the assumption. Thus, the set $\Gamma_{1}$ has no member of maximal class.

Let $X=K * Z \in \Gamma_{1}$, where $K$ is of maximal class and order $>p^{3}$ and $Z=\mathrm{Z}(X)$ is cyclic of order $>p$ (in view of Lemma 5.2 and the previous paragraph, such $X$ exists); then $X^{\prime}=K^{\prime} \triangleleft G$ is noncyclic of order $\geq p^{2}$ so it contains a $G$-invariant subgroup $R \cong \mathrm{E}_{p^{2}}($ Lemma $\mathrm{J}(\mathrm{j}))$. In that case, $A=\mathrm{C}_{G}(R) \in \Gamma_{1}$ is abelian. Since $Z<A$, we get $\mathrm{C}_{G}(Z) \geq A X=G$ so $Z \leq \mathrm{Z}(G)$. As in the proof of Lemma $5 \cdot 2, \mathrm{Z}(G)=Z$ is cyclic and $|Z| \geq p^{2}$.

Take a nonabelian $Y \in \Gamma_{1}$. By the previous paragraph, $\mathrm{Z}(Y)=Z$. Thus, $\mathrm{Z}(G)<\Phi(G)$. Since the set $\Gamma_{1}$ has an abelian member, we get $\left|G^{\prime}\right| \leq p\left|K^{\prime}\right|$ (Lemma J(h)).

Write $\bar{G}=G / Z$; then $|\bar{G}| \geq p^{4}$ and $\bar{G}$ is neither abelian nor $\mathcal{A}_{1}$-group (indeed, $X / Z$ is nonabelian). In that case, all nonabelian maximal subgroups of $\bar{G}$ are of maximal class so, by Remark $2.7, \bar{G}$ is either of maximal class or $\bar{G}=\bar{K} \mathrm{Z}(\bar{G})$ is of order $p^{4}$ with $|\mathrm{Z}(G)|=p^{2}$ (Remark 2.7).

## 7. Problems

1. Classify the $p$-groups $G, p>2$, all of whose $\mathcal{A}_{1}$-subgroups have the same order $p^{3}$. (For the case where $\exp (G)>p>2$ and all $\mathcal{A}_{1}$-subgroups of $G$ are of order $p^{3}$ and exponent $p$, Mann showed that then the Hughes subgroup of $G$ is abelian and maximal in $G$; see item 115 in [B5, Research Problems and Themes I].)
2. Find the types of $\mathcal{A}_{1}$-subgroups in a group $G=M_{1} \times \cdots \times M_{n}(G=$ $M_{1} * \cdots * M_{n}$ ), where all $M_{i}$ are 2-groups of maximal class.
3. Classify the 2 -groups $G$, all of whose nonabelian maximal subgroups are either generalized dihedral or $M^{\times}$-groups or $(\mathcal{M} * \mathcal{C})$-groups.
4. Classify the nonabelian $p$-groups, $p>2$, all of whose maximal subgroups are $M^{\times}$-groups.
5. Describe all $\mathcal{A}_{1}$-subgroups of a $p$-group $G=M \times C(G=M * C$ with $\left.M \cap C=\Omega_{1}(C)\right)$, where $M$ is minimal nonabelian and $C$ is cyclic.
6. Does there exist a $p$-group all of whose maximal subgroups are of the form $A \times B$, where $A$ and $B$ are (i) of maximal class, (ii) extraspecial?
7. Classify the $p$-groups $G$ such that, whenever $H \in \Gamma_{1}$, then $H \in$ $\{M \times C, M * C\}$, where $M$ is minimal nonabelian and $C$ is cyclic.
8. Study the nonabelian $p$-groups all of whose nonabelian maximal subgroups have cyclic centers.
9. Classify the $p$-groups all of whose maximal subgroups (nonabelian maximal subgroups) are special.
10. Classify the $p$-groups all of whose maximal subgroups are nontrivial direct (central) products.
11. Classify the 2-groups with odd number of dihedral subgroups of order 8.
12. Classify the nonabelian 2 -groups $G$ such that, whenever $H \in \Gamma_{1}$ is nonabelian, then $H=M \mathrm{Z}(H)$, where $M$ is of maximal class.
13. Classify the 2 -groups $G$ containing an $\mathcal{A}_{1}$-subgroup $M$ of order 16 such that $\mathrm{C}_{G}(M)<M$.
14. Classify the $p$-groups $G$ containing a nonabelian subgroup $M$ of order $p^{3}$ such that (i) $\left|\mathrm{C}_{G}(M)\right|=p^{2}$, (ii) $\mathrm{C}_{G}(M)$ is cyclic.
15. Study the $p$-groups all of whose $\mathcal{A}_{1}$-subgroups are isomorphic.
16. Classify the 2-groups all of whose nonabelian subgroups have a section $\cong \mathrm{Q}_{8}$ (compare with Lemma 2.1).
17. Study the $p$-groups all of whose $\mathcal{A}_{1}$-subgroups of minimal order are conjugate.
18. Study the $p$-groups $G$ such that $\left|G: H^{G}\right|=p$ for all $\mathcal{A}_{1}$-subgroups $H<G$.
19. Study the $p$-groups all of whose $\mathcal{A}_{1}$-subgroups are metacyclic. (See [J2]. See also [BJ3] where the 2-groups all of whose $\mathcal{A}_{1}$-subgroups are isomorphic with $\mathrm{M}_{16}$, are classified.)
20. Classify the 2 -groups all of whose subgroups of index 4 are (i) $M^{\times}$groups, (ii) Dedekindian.
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    ${ }^{1}$ It appears that Lemma 2.1 was proved by G.A. Miller [M1] in 1907 (I learned about this from Internet, after completing this paper). Janko's proof of Theorem 2.4 is independent of Lemma 2.1.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ As I knew from Internet, this result was proved by G.A. Miller many years ago; see also the part written by Miller, in [MBD]. However, in the existing literature I did not see references on this result.

