Abstract
Managers in the travel industry are keen to know what influences customer decision-making and how customers make their decisions. Travel motivation reflects one's needs and wants and can be viewed as a critical variable in relation to their purchase decisions. Travel motives for joining group travel may be different from those of free independent travelers. This study examined travel motives as well as travel decision-making of Taiwanese travellers with a group package tour abroad. The qualitative approach - participant observation first and telephone interviews with tour participants later - was conducted for data collection. It was found that socio-psychological needs were an important motivation for travel, and socio-economic considerations were regarded as a crucial motivation for travel decision-making. Furthermore, their decision-makings are also strongly influenced by their social relationships - friends/relatives' recommendations. The results also revealed that Taiwanese travelers' travel motivations are considerably related to the price paid. The 'push factor' appeared to have much more impact than the 'pull factor' for impelling Taiwanese travelers in the pursuit of a holiday. Since the source of information for taking a vacation plays an important role for decision-making, this research suggested that the travel agency should put more efforts on nurturing a positive corporate image in order to gain consumers' trust. Other recommendations were proposed for the travel service providers to enhance their marketing strategies, particularly for female travelers.
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Introduction
Tourism is an industry for people who are looking for something different and they want to be treated as valuable customers (Jung, 1993). Hughes (2000) indicates that holidays provide a strong fantasy element: there is often a hope that something exciting or romantic will happen while on holiday. Since taking a holiday is likely to be one of the largest single expenditures in a year and one of the greatest sources of satisfaction and fulfillment, it is important for service providers to understand travelers' desires and their psychological and sociological needs in order to accomplish their dreams.

Travel motivation reflects one's needs and wants and can be viewed as a critical variable in relation to purchase decision and the outcome of satisfaction. Mill & Alastair (1998) consider that tourists undertaking pleasure travel perceive travel as a satisfier of their needs and wants. Motivation emerges when an individual wants to satisfy a need.
They go on holiday in the hope that they can wholly or at least partially fulfill their needs and wants. Much research has shown (Cha, McCleary, & Uysal, 1995; Oppermann & Chon, 1997; Kim & Prideaux, 2005) that people travel because they are driven by their own inner forces, such as the desire for escape, rest and relaxation, adventure, prestige, health and fitness, and social interaction. And that their desires are ultimately fulfilled by the features and attractions of the destination.

Studying travel motivation helps one to understand customers’ needs, thus enhancing service providers’ knowledge about what kinds of experiences travelers seek, especially for certain groups of people. Different modes of travel - independent travel, package tours, and escorted tours (Weaver, Weber, & McCleary, 2007) – provide travelers with different perspectives. Independent travelers are seeking adventure, hedonic inspiration, and exhibit a higher degree of involvement with local residents through travel (see Alvarex & Asugman, 2005). In contrast, guided tours provide desires for pleasure tourists with adventure, novelty, escape, and cultural experiences, all within margins of safety (Schmidt, 1979). Quiroga (1990) reveals that the reasons of people for choosing package tours are based on the itinerary offered, price, friends’ recommendations, and the convenience of the departure dates. In many Asian countries and areas, the group package tour is one of the main modes of outbound travel (Wang, Hsieh, & Chen, 2002). The group tour is widely accepted and has a significant market share in Taiwan. Taiwanese people are passive and reserved and are initially afraid to show their travel needs. Their travel motivations and the determinants of travel decision-making might be different from other guided package tour travelers or independent travelers. While much of the previous research on travel motivation has focused on Western tourists, the significance of motivational factors on Asian tourists has yet to be described in detail (Kim & Prideaux, 2005). Little study has been done on Taiwanese guided package tourists’ motivations with a mixed-aged segment. This study investigated travel motivations of Taiwanese travelers with a group tour abroad. The determinants of travel decision-making and the influential factors would also be examined since they are related to the travel motivations. Understanding of travelers’ motivations is critical to predict future travel patterns (Jang & Wu, 2006). The results will significantly contribute to the travel decision behavior of Taiwanese tourists and might help travel agencies to establish appropriate tactics in order to satisfy customers’ needs.

**THEORIES OF MOTIVATION**

Motivational theory is usually operationalised as a set of needs. Some of our needs are innate and some arise from the environment. According to Kotler’s (1982) ‘need arousal’ theory, external and internal stimulations trigger one’s desire to travel. Once this need is recognized, want is activated and this can be satisfied only by purchasing the object of desire.

Human needs can be divided into different categories. Maslow’s five-level hierarchy need theory (1943) has been broadly accepted and used to explain travel behavior. Self-actualization, the highest need in Maslow’s hierarchy, generates internal satisfaction and explains the necessity of acquiring knowledge and learning about different cultures. Fulfilling higher-order needs might also be associated with lower-order needs to which multiple motives contribute. Still, it is arguable that not all travelers are able to fulfill the highest need of self-actualization since some people may not consider it to be so significant. Pearce & Caltabiano (1983) consider the theory to lack empirical support and Witt & Wright (1992) doubt that the theory is applicable to tourist motivation.
Based on Maslow’s hierarchy of needs, Pearce (1988) proposes a model, the ‘Travel Careers Ladder’, which uses a five-hierarchical ranking system to demonstrate tourists’ learning experiences. The model addresses the concept of tourists learning through experience and indicates that an individual does not need to start a career from the same position or move at the same rate. For example, tourists initially are motivated by physiological needs, but different experienced travelers may use their travel experience to develop different higher-level motives. The travel careers ladder also highlights that people have multiple motives for seeking out holiday experiences. The theory is partially supported by Gartner (1996) who considers that people may have more than one motive in participating in a particular type of tour. Their travel motive usually relates to their socio-economic and psychological circumstances. Previous experiences are commonly used when exploring motivations for travel. However, this model has received several criticisms. Ryan (1997) considers that the model fails to recognize the importance of socialization in holiday taking. And in a mature market, an individual traveler might be able to move upward to higher order motives without experiencing lower order needs.

However, exploring human needs is challenging because many people may actually be unaware of their needs or may be reluctant to reveal them (Holloway, 1998). It is difficult to determine which stimulation triggers one’s needs in the first place. Besides, one person’s needs may not be the same as another’s, even though they are in the same life cycle. Nevertheless, theories of motivation have played an important role in the development of tourism psychology (Pearce, 1982).

REASONS FOR TRAVEL

Motivations are imprecise, evolving and extremely complex and are heavily influenced by personality characteristics (Jefferson & Lickorish, 1988). One’s travel motives can be separated into primary and secondary motivations operating within the parameters of social and economic determinants. Primary motivations refer to one’s roots or origin, whereas secondary motivations refer to peripheral influences such as value for money or the attributes of a destination. Oppermann & Chon (1997) state that there are several travel reasons, such as, escaping from unfavorable seasonal climates, exploration, adventure, health, and pilgrimages, that are considered to be primary travel motives. In addition to those reasons, Iso-Ahola (1982) considers that leisure motivations change during one’s lifespan depending on different places and social occasions. One should realize that tourists seek different levels of travel to avoid either over stimulation (mental and physical exhaustion) or too little stimulation (boredom).

Large-scale research on tourism motivation is available. Dann (1977) addresses two factors in ‘why do people travel?’ He states that escape motives (push factors) represent the individual’s inner motivation to travel and compensation motives (pull factors) signify the individual’s outer motivation for travel. Many researchers develop their motivational framework in line with push and pull factors. Crompton (1979) proposes nine tourism motives (escape, exploration, relaxation, prestige, regression, enhancement of kinship relationships, facilitation of social interaction, novelty, education) and attempts to use socio-psychological views to explain the initial motivation to take a vacation as well as the choice of destination. He also reports that these motives are classified mostly as push motives and finds that interviewed respondents initially have some difficulty in identifying their motives clearly. Crompton (1979) agrees with a multi-motive view of the determinants of travel behavior and suggests to tourist practitioners that travelers are not motivated by the qualities of the destination, but rather by their particular psychological needs. Mayo & Jarvis (1981) utilize four categories to identify travel motivations: physical motivators, cultural motivators, interpersonal
motivators, and status and prestige motivators. They suggest that intrinsic motivation plays an essential role in triggering travel desire. Krippendorf (1987) identifies eight travel motives as to why people travel: recuperation and regeneration, compensation and social integration, escape, communication, to broaden the mind, freedom and self-determination, self-realization, and happiness. Krippendorf summarizes various theories and concludes that travel is motivated by ‘going away from’ rather than ‘going towards’ something or somewhere, and travelers’ motives and behavior are markedly self-oriented.

Oppermann & Chon (1997) go one step further and separate tourist motivation into five categories: relaxation/recuperation, education/exploration, adventure/sport, social contacts, and nature. And Swarbrooke & Horner (1999) conclude that tourist motivation can be divided into six distinct categories, namely: physical, emotional, personal, personal development, status, and cultural. Lastly, in a review of the motivation literature, Kim & Prideaux (2005) identify that escape from everyday environment, novelty, cultural experience, social interaction, and prestige motivation factors are commonly cited in the literature. Among them, ‘cultural experience’ and ‘novelty seeking’ are the most important motivational factors for traveling to foreign countries.

It is clear that the above motivations identified by researchers are not identical to each other due to the nature of focus subjects and the type of travelers they investigate. On the other hand, they share some similarities; it depends on how researchers categorize them or the significance of the sub-category. For example, Crompton & Krippendorf categorize travel motives in much more detail than Mayo & Jarvis. Although Dann’s framework does not provide specific categories, it is simple and easy to approach and flexible in use. It also includes the important influential factors of destination which other models do not cover.

On the whole, among the various travel motives, ‘escape’ or ‘getting away from home’ seems to have played a critical role although active holidays are increasing in popularity in contrast to passive holidays. Iso-Ahola (1984) finds that the desire to escape from personal or impersonal environments is greater than the desire to seek personal or impersonal reward (actually many companies offer travel as an incentive). A study in 1992 on German individual pleasure travelers finds that ‘escape from daily life’ is the highest ranked travel motive followed by ‘relaxation’, ‘gaining new experiences’, ‘time for each other’, and ‘gather new energy’ (Oppermann & Chon, 1997). Hughes (2000) indicates that among the reasons for going on holiday, travelers’ motivations refer to the desire to ‘get away’ rather than the attraction of particular places. The ‘push’ is more important than the ‘pull’ factor for impelling a person in the pursuit of a holiday. For example, in a study of Taiwanese seniors’ travel motivation, Jang & Wu (2006) identify five push and three pull motivation factors. Among them, cleanliness & safety, natural & historical sights, and knowledge-seeking appeared to be the most important pull and push motivations. However, this opinion is not supported unanimously by researchers. Researchers tend to focus on ‘push’ factors and overlook the fact that the destination could arouse customers’ interests and travel needs. For example, in Huang & Tsai’s (2003) study, they indicate that natural & historical sights appear to be an important motivational factor in Taiwanese seniors for overseas travel. Hong Kong features global shopping which appeals to most tourists. Taiwanese travelers, in particular, are attracted by its good shopping opportunities, products and reasonable prices (Law & Au, 2001). As Goeldner & Ritchie (2003) state, different groups of individuals place different values on the composition of travel motives. The success of a travel experience should be measured by the attractions and activities offered by the destination. Klenosky (2002) considers pull factors to be the higher-level force which influences the
decision to travel in the first place; the transformation of social relationships, the increasing number of experienced travelers, as well as marketing promotion arouses the need for travel and creates a higher demand.

It should be noted, however, that there is a relationship between the source of information and the travel motivation. The source of information may trigger one’s travel motives and has an impact on travel decision making which is commonly related to personal and travel characteristics, such as socio-economic characteristics and the marketing mix (Sirakaya & Woodside, 2005). Fodness & Murray (1999) point out that features of each travel product clearly affect information search by consumers and travelers normally have different purchasing strategies for the different travel products (March & Woodside, 2005), for example online or offline travel product purchase. Consumers tend to conduct an extensive research for high-risk products (Morrison, Jing, O'Leary, & Cai, 2001). Information received may be categorized into marketer or non-marketer. Information from marketers has lower credibility compared with non-marketers. Friends and other acquaintances are credible sources of information (Sheth & Mittal, 2004). Theoretical and empirical evidence shows that advertising may be particularly important in forming customer expectations if the customer has no other information sources or previous experience (Oliver, 1997). However, due to the nature of advertisement, a vague and unclear message may cause more personal communication (Kotler, Bowen, & Makens, 2003). The results indicate that a proper channel of voice-to-voice or face-to-face communication may be more authentic. In examining the degree of impact of received information on customer travel decision making, the attribute of source of information plays a crucial role.

A number of deterrents may constrain people’s travel. Oppermann & Chon (1997) state that frequently tourists’ motivations are overridden by deterrents (life-style, time, age, physical ability, affordability, weather, politics, fashion). Money is recognized as the most common barrier to travel, especially pleasure travel which is demand-elastic (Gartner, 1996). Jung (1993) considers that price, convenience, status or prestige, dreams, destination, excitement, customer service, and need-fulfillment have an important role in decision-making about travel and argues that nowadays the greatest motivating factor in a customer’s purchase is price. Jang & Wu (2006) indicate that Asian travelers view ‘cost’ as the most critical factor for travel. It appears that price consciousness has a certain degree of influence. Many purchasers just cannot resist the temptation of something perceived as ‘too good to pass up’. According to Jung, some travelers just want to experience different cultures and knowledge, and witness how people differ from them. The destination may not be important, but if it interests them and the price is reasonable, their experience can be even more satisfying.

**REASONS FOR JOINING GUIDED PACKAGE TOURS**

Travel motives for joining group travel may be different from those of free independent travelers, since the guided tour combines adventure, novelty, escape, and cultural experience, all within margins of safety. There are a number of distinct advantages for the guided package tour (Schmidt, 1979; Quiroga, 1990; Enoch, 1996; Mancini, 1996). First, it offers companionship as travel is with a group and thus offers more opportunities for developing friendships or romance. Second, it is usually less expensive than an individual trip using the same itinerary. Third, many services are included which are more convenient and which reduce worry for travelers. Fourth, travelers save time and hassle through the tour leader’s (TL) escort, and gain learning advantages by being conducted by the tour guides. Fifth, tourists get the feeling of safety. Many researchers believe that economy and overall convenience are the most important motives for purchasing a package tour.
Guided package tours are popular for certain market segments and especially for tourists who are advanced in age, or lack language skills, or travel alone, and for first time travelers (Mancini, 1996). Quiroga (1990), in studying the characteristics of package tours in Europe, concludes that tourists (from Latin America) from age 46 are more likely to choose the guided package tour. For example, widowed people and people who travel alone in a group may travel for reasons of companionship. Sheldon and Mak (1987), in studying the demand for package tours, find that American travelers are inclined to choose package tours when traveling to an unfamiliar destination. Furthermore, Lang, O’Leary, & Morrison (1997) point out that those Taiwanese guided package tour travelers tend to be female, older, with lower incomes, and less well educated. They usually look for a destination that has ‘show and tell’, ‘cost’, and ‘environment and scenery’ benefits. They spend more money on trips and travel in larger groups.

It appears that the dimensions of travel motivations identified above are not much different from country to country. However, their overseas travel motivations are different in relative importance due to various circumstances. It is clear that guided package tours provide several benefits which attract travelers who are price sensitive, less independent and look for social interaction. People taking guided package tours are doing so because they are pushed initially by their internal motives and pulled by the external forces of a destination. This study examined travel motives as well as travel decision-making of Taiwanese travellers with a group tour abroad. Understanding customers’ needs helps managers establish appropriate tactics to avoid possible confusion and disappointment in service operations (Shoemaker, 1996). Furthermore, the marketing message that is received by potential clients can be formulated to develop a realistic cognitive script in order to satisfy customers’ needs.

Methodology

This study examined travel motives and the determinants of travel decision-making of Taiwanese travelers with a group tour abroad. A case study approach with phenomenological studies was employed to discover new themes and to provide insight into the customers’ travel motives, needs and wants on the tour and their reasons for travel. The qualitative approach was considered an appropriate method given its exploratory nature and the limited source of information on this particular ethnic group. The approach of participant observation was used first for accurately capturing tour participants’ motivational processes. Bowen (2001) employed the approach of participant observation on a long-haul inclusive tour and considered that this research technique is flexible and presents opportunities for observing human interactions, experiences and reactions. In participating in a mixed international guided package tour, Chang & Bowie (2005) were able to observe and listen to tourists’ emotional reactions to problems which occurred on the tour through frequent contacts in natural settings. In traditional views of experimental design, participant observation sounds subjective. Judd, Smith, & Kidder (1991) argued that observation can become scientific for the purpose of uncovering a general principle of human behavior when it is planned deliberately and the data gathered systematically. Jorgensen (1989) considered that participant observation can provide theories and practical facts regarding human existence, or be valuable when the phenomenon is hidden from public view, or is involved with human meanings and interactions. Although participant observation has been utilized in guided coach tours with different contexts (Holloway, 1981; Bowen, 2001; Seaton, 2002; Chang & Bowie, 2005), there are still comparatively few participant observational studies in tourism (Seaton, 2002). This study investigated a single tour with its specific destination and characteristics. The findings will not be used for generalizations leading to prediction since this study focused on individual opinions and
social phenomena which are unsuitable to represent a wide range of general voices. Instead, it will be used to explain the current market phenomena and used as a comparison with previous studies to generate a theoretical proposition.

In order to explore travelers’ travel motives, a period of participant observation in the field and telephone interviews with tour participants after the tour were undertaken. A convenient sample of a ten-day Taiwanese guided package tour to Italy was selected. The tour operator is a mid-size travel agency (T/A). The company focuses on special tours and offers mid-price tours. The researcher obtained explicit authorization from the collaborating T/A before undertaking the observation. To avoid unnecessary bias, the participants were not told that they were being observed. The study group had 39 participants (including 6 couples, two big families) composed of 10 males and 29 females, including one little girl (excluding the TL and the researcher) with an age range of 20 to 75 and comprised only of Taiwanese people. Among them, 9 had retired from work. The majority of participants were married (27 out of 39) and had a college or higher degree. Many of them were extensive travelers who traveled twice a year or more. All the group members were Taiwanese with variations of the language spoken throughout the tour – Mandarin being the official language and Taiwanese being the dialect. The researcher was familiar with both and had no problem in understanding the meanings of the conversations. It seemed to be common for the tour participants to ask about each other’s backgrounds when they first met. The researcher has (and still) worked as a university lecturer in Taiwan in a tourism department. This information circulated among group members and put the researcher at an advantage to record data after an observation or a conversation with the participants - without arousing suspicion. The tourists thought that the note taking procedures were to be used for future teaching. A few of the participants even suggested that the researcher record the tour scenario for teaching purposes. Collected data was based on the researchers’ observation of and conversation with the other participants. The observation was carried out from time to time during daily activities. Most of the conversations and observations took place on the coach, at meal times, and on walking tours. The data collection was based on notes taken either on the coach or in the hotel room and were written in English to avoid unnecessary embarrassment.

To enhance the data collection and gain deeper views of the customers’ travel motives and their travel decision-making, a series of semi-structured telephone interviews after the tour with tour participants were also employed. A set of open-ended questions included:

- Why and how did you join this Italian tour?
- What were your purposes or motivations for joining this tour?
- What did you expect or want from this tour?
- What were your opinions in general? What were your feelings about this tour?

There were no problems for the researcher to collect the phone numbers from each participant since an exchange of addresses for further contact is common for tour members and is considered part of Chinese culture: many believe that it is destiny for people to meet each other. Telephone interviews save time and reduce costs. But the lack of visual interaction causes problems in interpretation (Robson, 1993). However, this could be compensated for by interviewees’ tone and voice. In addition, due to Chinese culture, it would be impolite for the respondents not to talk to an interviewer whom they had met before. A telephone interview has the advantage of speed for the substitution of refusals and not-at-homes (Oppenheim, 1992). Face-to-face interviews were impossible with the tour members since they lived in different areas of Taiwan. A
pilot telephone interview was conducted prior to the interviews of the tour participants. The researcher found that timing was crucial for effective interviews; respondents would talk more freely in off-duty hours. There were no problems for note-taking during the telephone interviews since the researcher had known the participants well and the time of the interviews was limited to 15 to 20 minutes. Based on the name list provided from the collaborating T/A, a total of 23 out of 33 adult participants were interviewed (each family member was treated as an individual). Many participants were called more than once. Attempts to contact the participants were given up if a third call failed to reach them.

Qualitative analysis involves coding data and categorizing the evidence. The field notes made throughout the observations were restructured/added immediately at the end of the tour which enabled the researcher to recall daily on-tour activities including verbal and non-verbal aspects. The collected data were presented in a workable format before proceeding with the data analysis. During the transcribing of data from written notes to computer version, the collected data were relocated in the same category since very often the interviewees answered a question in a different time frame. The researcher finally became intimately familiar with the data after transcribing and rewriting them several times. The primary data gathered from interview transcripts and field notes were analyzed using NUDIST software - a process of sorting, coding, categorizing, grouping, and regrouping data by computer into piles of meaningful and readable material. Coding was the first step and a major task for data analysis. Frequently, if the new immersed codes were against the original examples then the original codes were modified, refined or merged. Some passages were coded with more than one code since they related to more than one theme identified by the researcher. At the end, the results of coding were verified through Node Search to ensure that all the documents were properly coded.

Findings

Since people have multiple motives in participating in a particular type of tour, and not all of them should be considered as the determinant for travel decision-making. The findings were divided into two sections – determinants of travel decision-making and reasons for travel. Each section consists of the findings from both participant observation and interviews with tour participants.

DETERMINANTS OF TRAVEL DECISION-MAKING

The determinant of travel decision-making refers to the key factor which influences a traveler taking the trip. Based on telephone interviews it was found that tour participants received travel information from four different sources – friends, colleagues, relatives, and the tour organizer’s promotional pamphlets. It showed that only 4 tour participants joined this tour as a result of information from the organizer’s promotional pamphlets, the others were based on word-of-mouth suggestions. It also revealed that more tour participants got their travel information from family members or relatives.

In mass tourism, most tour products arranged by T/As are homogeneous in nature. Travelers’ decisions in the selection of a T/A seems to be more important than selection of a tour product. Table 1 showed the reasons for tour participants joining this company’s Italian tour. Travel partners - including friends, colleagues, or relatives – greatly influenced the travel decision-making. It was considered that traveling with people they know makes it more enjoyable. The data revealed that for many of the tour participants the decision to join this package tour was based on more than one reason (SC, TC, CI, EDM1, ChC, EDF2, C3). SC, a married career lady who seldom traveled with her husband, indicated that she participated in this tour for multiple reasons – a friend’s
recommendation, the price, the good performance of the TL on a previous trip, and the
good reputation of the T/A. In fact, the right timing and the reasonable price (lower
than the general current market price) of the tour was a major reason for joining the
tour since most participants received the tour information from friends or relatives.
Only one tour participant was attracted by the itinerary of the tour, however; even so, it
was her colleagues’ recommendations that motivated her to join the tour. In contrast,
the good itinerary seemed not so significant.

Table 1
REASONS FOR JOINING THE COMPANY’S TOUR (based on telephone interviews)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reasons</th>
<th>Respondents</th>
<th>Interview transcript extract</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Friends, colleagues, &amp; relatives</td>
<td>1. TC</td>
<td>1. “Based on my colleague’s suggestion, we decided to participate together. It is important to travel with someone you know.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. SC</td>
<td>2. “A friend convinced me to come since the T/A has a good reputation.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. EDM1</td>
<td>3. “This was my third time travelling with a group tour. I usually travel with my colleagues.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. EDF3</td>
<td>4. “Destinations are not so important for me. My friend suggested this tour, and the timing was convenient. It is more important to travel with someone whom you know.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5. CoH</td>
<td>5. “Based on my daughters’ recommendation.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Price</td>
<td>1. PG</td>
<td>1. “The price of the tour was inexpensive.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. TC</td>
<td>2. “It was worth joining the tour in terms of the price I paid.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. TG</td>
<td>3. “I had never been to Italy and the T/A offered a very good price.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. SC</td>
<td>4. “Originally, I planned to go to Canada but a friend suggested I change. Besides, the price of tour was quite reasonable.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5. EDM1</td>
<td>5. “I got a discount from the T/A.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7. C1</td>
<td>7. “The price of tour was reasonable and the quality of tour was good.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8. CoH; C3; Miw; GrW; ChD; JaH</td>
<td>8. “The price of the tour was inexpensive.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good performance of TL</td>
<td>1. SC</td>
<td>1. “My friend convinced me to join the tour. Actually the TL, Peter, did a good job last time. I trust this T/A.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. EDF2</td>
<td>2. “Peter, the TL in Coach A, did a good job last time. He told us we would encounter the carnival in Venice on this tour.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good itinerary</td>
<td>1. ChC</td>
<td>1. “My colleagues joined this kind of tour last year and told me that it was a good itinerary.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good reputation of the T/A</td>
<td>1. SC</td>
<td>“The T/A did a good job last year.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. EDM1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. HH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. C1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5. EDF1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right timing</td>
<td>1. EDF2</td>
<td>“The timing of this tour was right.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. EDF3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. ChC &amp; ChD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. JaH &amp; JaW</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
An essential element which permits travel is the timing: being free of constraints and being able to take a holiday, or having a chance to travel with family members, being temporarily without a job, or being retired. The timing indicates that most Taiwanese tourists do not plan their overseas travel far in advance. A T/A’s good reputation attracts either repeat customers or new customers. Five tour participants indicated that this was an important reason for joining the tour. Two participants said the reason they joined was because they knew the TL who would lead this tour and had confidence in him.

REASONS FOR TRAVEL

The travel motive refers to the reason participants intend to make this journey. Based on participant observation, two indicated that they had been to Italy before – SL took the trip to have a break and also to look for friendship. She said ‘I did not mind coming again since the travel partners are different and I had different fun’, and MiW said ‘my parents are getting old and taking a holiday is a good opportunity to spend time with them.’ Most tour participants indicated that they just wanted to get away from home or from the stress of routine work. Relaxation could be their travel reasons since many of them indicated that they had no particular expectations. PG said “I did not feel excited about the trip; it could be because I have traveled a lot.” EDM1 said “when I travel with a group, I have no particular expectations.” GrH indicated that she would accept whatever outcome came about and did not have particular desires. Actually many indicated that they did not have a specific travel motivation – they were encouraged to join the holiday by friends or relatives. TC, a single lady who worked for a trade company, said that ‘I preferred to join a group tour since the T/A arranged it and I did not have to worry too much……… My friend asked me to come along and the opportunity was good (tim ing and price) but actually, Italy is not my favorite place.’ In addition, several of them indicated that they did not have a specific destination in mind; it was their friends who wanted them to come along for companionship. The participant observation also found that many married tour members seemed to prefer to travel with their friends rather than their spouses; perhaps their spouses had no free time for vacations. For example, SL, SC and PG are married and like to travel. They indicated that they always traveled with friends. A few indicated that notification or recommendation by their friends or relatives was the initial reason, but the price and the image of the collaborating company were key motivations for travel (in general, the company offers mid-price tour products). They stated that the price was inexpensive compared with similar itineraries offered by competitors.

Based on the interviews with tour members (see Table 2), their reasons for traveling could be categorized into five motives: to get way from home, to see a different culture, to see Italian attractions, to go shopping abroad, and a family reunion. Many indicated that they wanted to get away from work pressure or the daily routine and experience different culture and scenery. They traveled for the relaxation, and the destination was not so important to them.

By contrast, almost half of the tour participants interviewed indicated that they liked to experience ancient cities: historical architecture, arts, and the various attractions of Italy. They considered the country to be a well-known tourist destination which would enlighten them and broaden their views. Two tour participants pointed out that Italy is well known for clothes. Part of their travel purposes was for brand name shopping. In addition, there were two families (5 members in each of the MiW and the ChC families) who indicated that they joined the tour because it was a chance for family members to get together.
The approach of participant observation identified a clear picture of tour participants’ travel intentions and behavior when on the tour. The need for shopping seemed to be important for many tour members on this particular tour. Many tour members wanted to shop to either gratify themselves or show their affection to their relatives and friends.

Table 2
TRAVEL REASONS (based on telephone interviews)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Motives</th>
<th>Respondents</th>
<th>Interview transcript extract</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Get way from home</td>
<td>1. PG</td>
<td>1. “After working for a period of time, I like to take a vacation break.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. BG</td>
<td>2. “I work for a bank and have much pressure, taking a vacation can actually release it.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. SL</td>
<td>3. “I just want to take a break and relax.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. JaH &amp; JaW</td>
<td>4. “We live in Japan and are retired. We just want to take a vacation and do not care where we go.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5. PaS</td>
<td>5. “I am busy on my job and just want to relax by seeing different things.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>See the differences</td>
<td>1. TC</td>
<td>1. “I just want to take a vacation to see something different.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. SC</td>
<td>2. “I just want to relax, to enjoy the local scenery, culture, and history.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. C1</td>
<td>3. “I am used to travelling a lot and like to see different things and make new friends.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. CoH</td>
<td>4. “Seeing different attractions can broaden my view and make me better informed.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>See Italian</td>
<td>1. BB</td>
<td>1. “Italy has so many churches, museums, and much heritage. Some of them are famous. I want to take a look at them and wish to have some fun.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>attractions</td>
<td>2. GrH</td>
<td>2. “I am interested in arts and cultural kinds of things. Italy is an old country and possesses many historical attractions. It is a good chance to visit the country.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. HH</td>
<td>3. “I always wanted to visit this country.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. CoH</td>
<td>4. “Italy is well known throughout the world for its various beautiful attractions. I have longed to visit these beautiful sceneries.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 EDF1</td>
<td>5. “Italy is a beautiful place and I want to see it.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6. MiW</td>
<td>6. “I like ancient Italian culture, arts, and architecture. I want to see them.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7. GrW</td>
<td>7. “I am interested in Italy; it is an interesting place.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8. ChC</td>
<td>8. “Italy is an attractive tourist destination; it is my first priority.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9. TG</td>
<td>9. “Italy has lots of historical cities.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shopping</td>
<td>1. TG</td>
<td>1. “I like to shop and Italy is an ideal place for shopping.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. EDF2</td>
<td>2. “I have never been to Italy, it is good to visit and shop as well.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family reunion</td>
<td>1. MiW</td>
<td>1. “My parents are getting old and I like to spend time with them.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. ChC</td>
<td>2. “My son just got married and my daughter lost her job recently, this was a good chance to have a vacation with them.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. CoW</td>
<td>3. “We were retired. My husband wanted to join this tour and he did not like to be alone so I joined the tour with him.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. ChD</td>
<td>4. “My mother and brother-in-law as well as other two relatives decided to travel together, I was temporarily out of job, it was good to join them.”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
On the plane back to Taiwan, I asked GrW how much money she had spent on shopping. She said about US$3,000 on her family and relatives but none for herself. She also mentioned that her husband was unhappy with her spending so much money (Day 9). The findings indicate that tourists' needs are perhaps influenced by the characteristics of a destination. In comparison with other destinations, the price of famous brand names is much lower in Italy. In fact, shopping became a major activity of tour members during their free time. This was particularly true of the female tour members.

Joining a tour means a person will travel with many strangers and has a good chance to make friends, particularly for those who have few opportunities to meet other people. Tour members thought it was fun to travel with different people with whom they could get along. A few actually wanted to make new friends and to have a good time, particularly tour participants who were unmarried (C3, BB). Group photographs were taken and the possibility of a future exchange of photographs provided an opportunity for future connections. Excitement was developed through friendship and many other activities. Loud and excited conversations during the meals and on the coach suggested that the majority of tour members were enjoying each other's company.

Many participants indicated that this was the first time they had traveled to Europe. They were keen on experiencing a different culture, seeing different scenery, and learning new things. The couple (JaH and JaW) did not want to miss the beautiful night view of Venice and were standing on the deck of the ferry in spite of the low temperature outside the cabin. Even though some additional activities cost money, many tour members were willing to pay for them. Some regarded travel activities as a once in a lifetime experience; once missed, one might not have a second chance to experience them. Based on this, many tour members participated in all kinds of activities proposed by the TL or suggested in the tourist books. However, Italian cuisine was not so attractive to Taiwanese travelers, even though the atmosphere in the arranged Italian restaurants was quite exotic for the tour members. Many tour members indicated that they could not get used to the Italian cuisine.

Travelers' decision-making involves two aspects: the selection of a T/A and the tour itself. They are closely related to each other and it is difficult to tell which precedes the other. For first-time travelers, it seems that the selection of a T/A is much more significant than the selection of a tour product. Although the reviewed literature indicates that travelers' decision-making involves many elements and steps, it can also be a very simple process. Travelers' decision-making is more likely to be dependent on three key factors: (1) sources of information, (2) travel motives, and (3) price. The poor image of the travel industry in Taiwan might lead the customer to disregard sources from the media, but rely on word-of-mouth communication or the recommendations of friends/relatives.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

Travelers’ decision-making involves two aspects: the selection of a T/A and the tour itself. They are closely related to each other and it is difficult to tell which precedes the other. For first-time travelers, it seems that the selection of a T/A is much more significant than the selection of a tour product. Although the reviewed literature indicates that travelers’ decision-making involves many elements and steps, it can also be a very simple process. Travelers’ decision-making is more likely to be dependent on three key factors: (1) sources of information, (2) travel motives, and (3) price. The poor image of the travel industry in Taiwan might lead the customer to disregard sources from the media, but rely on word-of-mouth communication or the recommendations of friends/relatives.

**SOURCES OF INFORMATION**

The source of customers’ information - particularly from non-marketers - plays a vital role in decision-making in this study since many tour participants indicated that notification or recommendation by their friends or relatives was the initial reason for travel. Mill & Alastair (1998) suggest that the commercial environment and social environment are two major sources of information. Commercial information comes mainly from suppliers and promoters (destinations and tour operators/travel agents) who purposely promote their products to consumers. Social information refers to the information sought from friends/relatives, and reference groups who receive no finan-
cial benefits from the purchasers. Although recommendations from friends or relatives may be distorted, since these are based on value judgments in comparison with commercial information, the social environment is less subject to perceptual bias and has more influence on consumers’ decision-making (Mill & Alastair, 1998). This was further confirmed by Lam & Hsu (2005) who indicated that social influence from referent members was to be an important factor influencing Taiwanese travel intention. The results were partially similar to Kim & Prideaux’s (2005) study. They found that Japanese tourist tended to use friends/relatives as information sources while Chinese tourists tended to use travel agencies, TV and radio as references.

Due to the intangible nature and characteristics of the tourism product, first-time purchasers are more likely to depend on secondary sources of information since they lack experience. In a collectivist and feminist society such as Chinese culture (Chen, 2000), the people are group-oriented and are concerned about the welfare of their friends or colleagues. Personal relationships and kinship relations play an important role in daily life. For those passive travelers who are flexible in decision-making, they are less likely to plan their travel far in advance. In the social environment – relatives/friends or colleagues’ recommendations became important source of information. Taking a vacation overseas involves a large amount of money which is commonly perceived as high-risk by travelers. Naturally, word-of-mouth communication is seen as a reliable source of information for the assessment of tour products and T/As.

TRAVEL MOTIVES
When discussing travel decision-making one cannot rule out travelers’ motives for travel, since they involve the selection of a destination and the reason for travel. When discussing travel motives, looking at customers’ travel needs and wants is a good starting point. It is found that travelers’ needs and wants are related to the T/As’ selection, since very often their needs and wants are influenced by the advertisements of T/As or recommendations of their salespeople, particularly when promoted with a low price strategy. The findings suggest that customers do not travel immediately to fulfill their needs and wants. Instead, they restrain their travel needs until the right time comes – a combination of free time to travel, reasonable price, and friends and relatives’ recommendations.

Nowadays people take vacations more frequently and with more convenience than before but their travel motives have become much more complicated. An international comparative study shows that the habits of holiday-makers do not differ essentially from country to country (Krippendorf, 1987) but their travel motivations to a destination are differences in the relative importance (Kim & Lee, 2000; Kozak, 2002; Kim & Prideaux, 2005). For example, in a comparative study of international pleasure tourist motivations, Kim & Lee (2000) found that Japanese tourists displayed more interest on the prestige/status and the kinship motivations compared to ‘Anglo-American tourists. Conversely, Anglo-American tourist placed more significance on the novelty seeking factors compared to Japanese respondents. Furthermore, Kim & Prideaux (2005) found that for the dimension ‘escaping from everyday routine’, Chinese tourists were more likely to be motivated to travel to Korea than tourists from the USA, Australia, and Japan. In addition, Chinese tourists placed more importance on ‘social status’ factors compared to US visitors. Many researchers believe that travelers take vacations for many reasons and some are more prominent than others. This study found that ‘escape’ or ‘getting away from home’ motives play a dominant role in triggering travel intentions. In addition, it supports Dann (1977), Mayo & Jarvis (1981), Cha et al. (1995), and Pearce & Lee’s (2005) suggestion that the intrinsic motivation – the ‘push’ factor –
plays an essential role in determining the desire for travel. However, pull factors should not be viewed as un-relevant factors since both push and pull factors might be related (Klenosky, 2002), particularly when traveling to foreign countries. Furthermore, it is important to realize that travel motives are not necessarily formed instantaneously. They might be shaped gradually by different incidents, or be triggered by some form of stimulation.

According to numerous tour participants' statements, their reasons for travel are to get 'away from home' and to 'see different attractions'. This is consistent with the results of Kozak's (2002) study who found that relaxation and pleasure types of motivations were ranked as the most significant motivation for both British and German tourists in taking a vacation to Mallorca and Turkey. It seems that travelers' travel motives can simply be just to get 'away from the daily routine' or to 'see different things'. However, participant observation revealed that 'hidden motives' might exist which which inspired them to respond to their friends or relatives' encouragement. Therefore, their travel intentions seem to be more complicated than we might have thought. Krippendorf (1987) points out that many things remain hidden subconsciously in customers' minds and cannot be brought to light by simply asking questions about their travel motives.

Social need has been recognized as one of the travel reasons for vacation travelers in many studies (Gilbert & Abdullah, 2002; Mill & Alastair, 1998), especially for those who join group package tours. Quiroga (1990) indicates that the guided package tour makes human contact and learning experiences available for those on tour. Interpersonal friendship is usually developed gradually through on-bus activities, taking group photographs, or group activities at dinnertime organized by the TL. The Chinese style of dining – around a round table – provides a great opportunity for social interaction. In a sense, tour participants gain from the experience of social interaction on the tour, and in the post-tour stage. The researcher joined post-tour gatherings twice. The evidence from the post-tour gatherings in restaurants organized by tour participants and the activity of exchanging photos showed that some tour participants had developed good relationships with others during tour interactions. Many tour participants actually indicated that the companionship developed on the tour and the enjoyment of group activities had made them feel that it was worth joining the tour.

Crompton (1979) and Fisher & Price (1991) identified the motive of enhancement of kinship relationships as one travel motive and showed that taking a vacation provided a great occasion for a family reunion. Krippendorf (1987) identified the travel motive of 'communication', and believed that a package tour provided the opportunity for people to communicate with each other. In participating in a mixed international guided package tour, Chang & Bowie (2005) found that among the tour members the couples seemed to have more interactions with other couples and tended to enjoy each other's companionship and the companionship of their tour members than the solo participants. On the field study, family group participants indicated that they did not often have the chance to do things together and hoped that traveling together would enhance family affiliation and affection. In fact, there is an advantage to family members traveling together with a group tour. For example, the price a family pays for all the members on a guided package tour is much more economical than traveling independently when using the same quality of travel product. In addition, pre-arranged services prevent family members from needing to organize anything which in turn offers plenty of time for family members to enhance their relationships.
Cultural tourism is becoming mainstream for mass tourism and people want to seek change and undergo novel experiences when on tour (McKercher & Chow, 2001). Gilbert & Terrata (2001) who studied Japanese tourism demand for travel in the UK found that experiencing a different culture is a very important pull factor. Cohen (1979) indicated that cultural distance attracts people’s attention but only to the extent that the change remains non-threatening. From the Taiwanese travelers’ viewpoints, they considered Italy to be well known for its rich heritage attractions and museums. The romantic atmosphere and brand name merchandisers in Italy offered the tour participants great opportunities for sightseeing and shopping, particularly to the female travelers who were brand name hunters. In fact, a tour to Italy offered cultural advantages and status (learning, style, and prestigious destination), even though traveling with caution had been advised when staying in the major cities of Italy. Chi & Desombre (1998) indicated that the Chinese are reserved and less flexible and are unenthusiastic about exploring new cultures and lifestyles. They tend to visit places which have a similar culture such as Mainland China and Hong Kong. This may be true for the older generation or during the early stage of the development of tourism, but may not always be applicable to the new generation of Taiwanese travelers who are, in fact, actually looking for novelty and adventure. In fact, the statistics from the Taiwan Tourism Bureau show that the number of Taiwanese travelers going to long-haul destinations has been increasing steadily and that the average age of travelers is going down.

Apart from traveling for secondary motives, Gilbert & Terrata (2001) indicated that when travelers’ primary motives of travel are to broaden their horizons and to have novel experiences, it could be perceived as fulfilling ‘self-actualization’ needs. The results of this study indicated that the need of broadening one’s experience was only a partial need for many Taiwanese travelers - including both experienced and inexperienced ones. Instead, they had multiple motives. In terms of Pearce’s (1988) Travel Careers Ladder, people have a combination of ‘physiological’ needs, ‘love and belongingness’ needs, and ‘self-esteem’ needs. The results also indicated that an inexperienced tourist does not need to start a travel career from the lower-level motive. This study suggests that the motivators of ‘escape’, ‘culture’, ‘novelty’, and ‘social interaction’ are important for travelers who participated in the package tour but those motives may not be equally significant for each individual. The findings support the theory that people travel for social reasons and psychological needs but this is not to ignore the important motives of the ‘pull’ factors – the attributes of a destination - and the price. In conclusion, the typologies of Taiwanese tourists’ motivations do not show significantly different from other international tourists in taking overseas vacations. However, they place more significance on the socio-psychological needs compared to other motivations for travel.

PRICE CONSCIOUSNESS

The findings of this study revealed that Taiwanese travelers’ value judgments of their vacation experience varied greatly. They were sensitive to the price paid for a tour product, but on the other hand, they spent a considerable amount on shopping for brand names. This is not so surprising since many of them are also price sensitive in buying brand names and the price of famous brand names is much lower in Italy than in Taiwan. Taiwanese travelers would tend to buy more when they found a good deal. In addition, they were far away from home and were more willing than usual to spend more on shopping (Lang et al., 1997). In fact, they established a notion of balancing the cost against other aspects in making their value judgment (Monroe, 1990; Sweeney, 1994), for example, sacrificing tour quality for a lower price in order to engage in shopping activities. In fact, Wang (2004) pointed out that shopping motives have been
regarded as leisure activities and have become one of the most popular tourist activities in global tourism (Law & Au, 2000). Their levels of satisfaction showed that even if they were not satisfied with the quality of the tour product, they might still be happy if their shopping demands or other needs were fulfilled. In a sense, price can be seen as a crucial determinant of the levels of expectation and the satisfaction judgments of Taiwanese travelers.

Having never been to Italy before, and considering Italy as an ideal destination might serve as a major travel intention, it was the reasonable price which triggered their decision-making and decision to buy the product. This was supported by Jung (1993) who showed that the price of a tour is a crucial factor for customers in choosing travel destinations. The Taiwan Tourism Bureau suggested that T/As should carefully consider the travel destination and price when planning a tour (Taiwan Tourism Bureau, 1999). Gilbert & Tsao (2000) pointed out that Chinese customers are much more price-sensitive than Western customers. Chinese buyers are initially more concerned about the price than the quality of the product. Therefore when marketing to Chinese markets, T/As should realize that the price is the customers’ crucial concern.

One reason to explain travelers’ sensitivity to the price of a travel product might be related to the nature of the tourism product and the value of non-physical goods. Since the tourism product only provides a short-term physical experience and is perishable in the long run (it doesn’t exist physically after consuming), the only consequence that lasts for consumers is the ‘memory’ rather than physically owning the product. So consumers feel less secure about spending a large amount of money buying an invisible product (Holloway, 1998; Middleton & Clarke, 2001; Westwood, Morgan, Pritchard, & Ineson, 1999). In addition, customers’ past experiences also influence their selection of a travel product. Based on previous knowledge (Laws, 2002), if customers have paid a reasonable price and have received a positive impression from the experience of a tour, they may believe that they can pay less again and achieve the same or better results from the next purchase. Certainly, these expectations might not always be fulfilled and the results may disappoint them.

The major objective of this research is to investigate Taiwanese travelers’ travel motivations and the determinants of their travel decision-making. There were some similarities and differences between the participant observation and the telephone interviews regarding tour participants’ reasons for travel. For example, a strong desire to go shopping has been identified by the participant observation, but little mention was made of their shopping desire during the telephone interviews. Both sets of data showed that going away from the daily routine was an important motive for travel but the telephone interviews also indicated that seeing the Italian attractions or seeing the differences of culture and scenery were also important motives. This illustrates that for certain destinations the ‘pull factor’ may equally as important as the ‘push factor’ in terms of travel motivation. However, the researcher believes that for this specific tour, the ‘push factor’ seemed to have much more impact than the ‘pull factor’ for two reasons. Firstly, the reasonable price of the tour triggered tour participants’ desire to travel. Secondly, although the tour participants did not originally have a strong desire to visit Italy, their good holiday experience recalled their desire to travel to this particular destination.

Conclusions and implications

The finding supports the notions that ‘escape’ or ‘getting away from home’ motives play a dominant role in triggering overseas travel intentions but the attributes of a destina-
tion are also crucial. This study suggests that socio-psychological needs are an important motivation for travel, and socio-economic considerations are regarded as a crucial motivation for travel decision-making. Furthermore, travellers’ decision-making may be strongly influenced by their social relationships - friends/relatives’ recommendations. A few significant results were uncovered and proposed the following recommendations to the travel industry:

• This study identified that the source of information for taking a vacation plays an important role for decision-making, and it also found that Taiwanese travelers are very much dependent on word-of-mouth communication for decision-making which implies that Taiwanese consumers have less confidence in media communication, particularly the advertisements of travel agencies in Taiwan (Chang, 2004). In service marketing, management may regard communication as an important tool for service recovery and image improvement. This suggests that the T/A should put more efforts on nurturing a positive corporate image in order to gain consumers’ trust.

• Shopping is seen as a leisure and functional activity by tourists (Wang, 2004) and women are more likely to visit a destination for shopping or to visit friends and relatives (McGehee, Loker-Murphy, & Uysal, 1996). Shopping is a main activity for Taiwanese travelers and many respondents have shown their intentions to shop, particularly for brand names. It seems that females, particularly single females, tend to have a stronger desire than others for shopping or other needs. This demonstrates that the female traveler is a great potential market for suppliers in the travel industry. The T/A could plan special tours with specially designed features suitable for female travelers. As Kim & Prideaux (2005) suggest, a destination should identify travelers’ demand from specific nations in order to develop appropriate travel products in a manner that maximizes the destination’s appeal.

• It seems that Taiwanese travelers tend to travel with friends/colleagues and are significantly influenced by friends/colleagues in terms of travel decision-making. Many married tour participants travel with their friends rather than their spouses. This may imply that traveling with friends/colleagues is more interesting than traveling with a spouse for a certain demographic group. This study proposes a hypothesis mentioned above for a further study.

• A large number of Taiwanese travelers do not plan their vacation far in advance which forms a big hindrance for T/As those need to organize and obtain travel documents for customers. Since price is a crucial motivator which can be considered an intervened factor apart from push and pull factors, it would seem sensible for T/As to offer an early booking discount as an incentive to encourage more early bookings.

• Clearly, travel is becoming more and more fashionable in the modern world. To a small island country like Taiwan, attractions and resources in country may not meet travelers’ needs and wanting to see the outside world is understandable. Furthermore, although social interaction is one of the key travel motives for people taking a vacation, it appears that travelers’ needs for social contact during the tour is actually more crucial than previously thought. Travelers have the need for affiliation but they do not recognize it.

Both approaches of data collection – participant observation and telephone interviews – have their limitations when applied to this topic of study. Customers’ hidden motives and travel behavior are difficult to uncover simply through telephone interviews. However, merely using participant observation does not identify the determinants of participants’ travel decision-making. The combination of two approaches – the participant observation first and interviews later – generates in more precise data mining since respondents know the researcher and are willing to provide deeper information, and the
results of respondents’ consumption behavior on the tour lead the researcher to ask more explicit questions.

This research has its limitations. The results of this study should not be freely used for generalization within un-similar settings as the participants and the circumstances of the tour are specific to European destinations, and the tour was offered within mid-price range. Furthermore, Taiwanese travelers are reserved; their expression of travel motivations might not fully reflect their true anticipations of this tour. In addition, telephone interviews with tour participants were conducted after the tour in which respondents’ travel motivations might have been affected by their experiences during the tour.
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