Precipitation of Uranium(VI) Peroxide (UO₄) in Sodium Perchlorate Solution
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Precipitation diagrams were constructed using the data obtained in experiments with direct mixing of uranyl ion ([UO₂]²⁺) and hydrogen peroxide. Three days after mixing the system, equilibrium was established in the 

\[ \text{H}_2\text{O}_2^{\text{tot}} > \text{UO}_2^{\text{tot}} \]

concentration region, resulting in stability of the concentration boundary. From this boundary condition, the solubility product \( K_{\text{sp}} \) was defined. The mean value of the solubility product was \( (1.32 \pm 0.02) \times 10^{-36} \text{ mol dm}^{-3} \).

From the solubility border, where equilibrium was established, \( i.e., \) at \( [\text{UO}_2]^{2+} = 1.4 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol dm}^{-3} \), the heterogeneous distribution diagrams of uranyl species, including the solid phase, were calculated.
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INTRODUCTION

The high level of dissolved uranyl, \( i.e., 3.3 \mu g \text{ dm}^{-3} \) in the ocean\(^1\) is most probably due to its residence time\(^2\) of about \( 3 \times 10^6 \) years, which may be explained in terms of high uranyl solubility and generally conservative behaviour of this element\(^3\) in the marine environment. This fact is supported, apart from carbonate species of dissolved uranyl in sea water,\(^4\) also by the confirmed presence of uranyl peroxy species in seawater.\(^5\) Namely, enhanced concentrations of hydrogen peroxide were found in the upper layer of the oceans, the euphotic zone.\(^6\) The strong uranyl ion affinity for peroxy\(^7,8\) prompted us to pursue this experimental verification.\(^5\)

However, in freshwater and in more acidic media, where salinity and CO₃²⁻ are depleted, sparingly soluble compounds can be formed, resulting in a lower total uranyl ion concentration.\(^9\) The high stability of peroxy (O₂²⁻) species with uranyl ion (UO₂²⁺) has been studied extensively.\(^10-12\) Different uranyl-peroxo complexes in various O₂²⁻ : UO₂²⁺ ratios are formed. The richest uranyl complex in peroxy is UO₂O₂²⁻, which is stable at pH > 13.\(^13,14\) From the solubility product of UO₄ · 4H₂O, the formation constant of UO₂O₂²⁻ complex has been calculated\(^15\) to be \( K = 1.1 \times 10^{32} \). The exact composition of the complex has been confirmed spectrophotometrically (by the mole-ratio method), and the apparent concentration stability constant \( K_{\text{UO}_2\text{O}_2} = 2 \times 10^6 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ dm}^3 \) has been calculated.\(^16\)

Mechanisms of the solubility of the uranium solid phase, such as schoepite UO₃ · 2H₂O,\(^17,18\) contribute to a better understanding of the behaviour of uranium in the environment. The effect of silica and phosphate on the transformation of schoepite into bequerelite Ca(UO₂)₆O₄(OH)₆ · 8H₂O was examined as well.\(^19\)

Used nuclear fuel, largely U(IV) oxide, is considered to be directly geologically disposed. Although re-
ducing conditions generally prevail at disposal sites, conditions could become oxidizing through dissolution of oxygen trapped within the vault while sealing the containers. Therefore, oxidation and dissolution of nuclear fuel by the products of alpha radiolysis of water have been measured.\textsuperscript{20}

The obtained results pertaining to the behaviour of solid U(IV) oxide surfaces in different aqueous media, which should be of relevance for the solubility characteristics of the nuclear fuel waste matrix in groundwater environments, led to different reaction mechanisms proposed.\textsuperscript{21}

Counioux \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{22} used pH measurements and isoplethic thermal analysis to obtain hydrated uranium(VI) peroxide (UO\textsubscript{4} · \(n\)H\textsubscript{2}O with \(n = 2, 4\)) while working in nitric medium. This compound is formed as an intermediate in the uranium purification procedure and is the most frequently cited and the best-defined sparingly soluble species.

Moreover, with the aim to provide the knowledge needed for the potential development of some purification processes of nuclear materials,\textsuperscript{23} it was interesting to study precipitation of UO\textsubscript{4}. Because of poorly soluble UO\textsubscript{4} species, the precipitation diagram was constructed to determine the boundary of the homogeneous system.

EXPERIMENTAL

Solutions were prepared from reagent grade chemicals. The uranyl perchlorate stock solution was prepared from uranyl nitrate and was standardized gravimetrically.\textsuperscript{24} Suprapur\textsuperscript{30} 30\% hydrogen peroxide (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) was used to prepare the hydrogen peroxide solution. Content of H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} (1 mol dm\textsuperscript{-3}) in the stock solution was standardized manganometrically.\textsuperscript{25} All experiments were performed at 22±1 °C.

In all solutions, the initial pH was adjusted to 4.8 by addition of HClO\textsubscript{4} and was measured using the glass electrode connected to the ATI Orion PerpHecT Meter, model 320 (Cambridge, MA, USA). pH was also measured at the end of the experiment, when it decreased by about 0.2 pH units (to 4.6) due to hydrolysis and precipitation. All solutions were prepared in 0.7 mol dm\textsuperscript{-3} LiClO\textsubscript{4}, so the ionic strength was constant, \(I = 0.7\) mol dm\textsuperscript{-3}.

For the detection of the solid phase, a tyndallometer (Zeiss, Jena, Germany) in combination with a Pulfrich photometer (Zeiss) were used.

Structural properties of the precipitate were examined by X-ray diffraction, using a counter diffractometer with monochromatized Cu-K\(\alpha\) radiation \([\lambda (\alpha\text{,} \lambda = 1.54051 \text{ Å}, \lambda (\alpha\text{,} \lambda =1.54433 \text{ Å}].

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Precipitation Diagrams

Precipitation diagrams were constructed using the data from experiments with direct mixing (stirring) of uranyl perchlorate and hydrogen peroxide solutions. Cation component concentration varied in the range of total concentrations 10\textsuperscript{–5} < [UO\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{2+}]\text{tot} < 10\textsuperscript{–3} mol dm\textsuperscript{-3}, while total anionic concentrations varied in the range of 10\textsuperscript{–5} < [H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}]\text{tot} < 10\textsuperscript{–2} mol dm\textsuperscript{-3}.

Precipitation diagrams of this system, 1 and 3 days after mixing the solutions, are presented in Figures 1 and 2, respectively. In 10 systems, the precipitate was identified by X-ray diffraction analyses, but only two most informative are given in Figure 2. Based on diffraction data, as presented in Table No. 16 – 206,\textsuperscript{26} it was confirmed that the solid phase is pure hydrated uranium(VI) peroxide (UO\textsubscript{4} · 4H\textsubscript{2}O), when dried at room temperature (Figure 3a). The X-ray diffraction pattern when the solid phase was dried at 85 °C for 1 hour is shown in Figure 3b, and is identified from the Table No. 16–207\textsuperscript{26} as uranium(VI) peroxide dihydrate (UO\textsubscript{4} · 2H\textsubscript{2}O).

Precipitation boundary divides the system in which the solid phase appears from the system in which the precipitate was not observed (clear solutions). Thus, the precipitation boundary is actually the concentration boundary, [UO\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{2+}]\text{tot} and [H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}]\text{tot} at this boundary present the equilibrium concentrations at which supersaturations are identical to those in suspensions at which the solid/liquid equilibrium is achieved. Precipitation boundary in the concentration region where [H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}]\text{tot} > [UO\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{2+}]\text{tot} shifts a little toward lower [UO\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{2+}]\text{tot} during a period from 1 to 3 days. Due to this fact, we used the three days boundary after mixing the systems in further considerations. It was highly possible that the equilibrium was
reached. Moreover, after 10 days this boundary was still stable. However, the boundary where $[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]_{\text{tot}} < [\text{UO}_2^{2+}]_{\text{tot}}$ changed towards lower $\text{UO}_2^{2+}$ concentrations with time.

Among the anions that form stable complexes with the uranyl ion under the above mentioned conditions, peroxo and hydroxo ligands were taken into account. Thus, the total soluble uranyl concentration is equal to the sum of the following hydroxo and peroxo species concentrations:

$$[\text{UO}_2^{2+}]_{\text{sol}} = [\text{UO}_2^{2+}] + [\text{UO}_2\text{OH}^+] + [\text{UO}_2(\text{OH})_2^{0}] + [\text{UO}_2(\text{OH})_3^{+}] + + [\text{UO}_2(\text{OH})_4^{2-}] + [\text{UO}_2(\text{O}_2)_2^{2-}] + + [\text{UO}_2(\text{O}_2)_3^{2-}] + [\text{UO}_2(\text{O}_2)_4^{2-}] + [\text{UO}_2(\text{O}_2)_5^{2-}] + [\text{UO}_2(\text{O}_2)_6^{2-}] + + [\text{UO}_2(\text{O}_2)_7^{2-}] + + [\text{UO}_2(\text{O}_2)_8^{2-}] + + [\text{UO}_2(\text{O}_2)_9^{2-}] + + [\text{UO}_2(\text{O}_2)_{10}^{2-}] + + [\text{UO}_2(O_2O_2)^{2-}] + + [\text{UO}_2(O_2O_2)_2^{2-}] + + [\text{UO}_2(O_2O_2)_3^{2-}] + (1)

and peroxide species under our experimental conditions are as follows:

$$[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]_{\text{sol}} = [\text{H}_2\text{O}_2] + [\text{HO}_2^-] + [\text{O}_2^{2-}] + [\text{UO}_2\text{O}_2^{0}] + + 2[\text{UO}_2(\text{O}_2)_{2}^{2-}] + 3[\text{UO}_2(\text{O}_2)_{3}^{3+}]$$

(2)

where $K$ values have been taken from Table I.

At uranyl ions concentration of $1.4 \times 10^{-3}$ mol dm$^{-3}$ and pH of the system in the range from 4.8 to 4.6, polyhydroxo species are present in negligible concentrations (see Figure 4). To determine the solubility product ($K_{sp}$), the uranyl/peroxide concentration ratio was restricted to the higher concentrations of $[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]_{\text{tot}}$ where the solubility boundary coincides with that achieved after one and three days. At lower $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ concentrations (see Figures 1 and 2), the boundary shifts significantly with time towards lower $[\text{UO}_2^{2+}]_{\text{tot}}$. Therefore, this part of the solid/liquid boundary was not taken into account.
In Table II, the 
\[ \text{UO}_2^{2+} \text{tot} \times 10^4 \] 
\[ \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \text{tot} \times 10^3 \] 
\[ \text{UO}_2^{2+} \text{free} \times 10^6 \] 
\[ \text{O}_2^{2-} \text{free} \times 10^{30} \] 
\( K_{sp} \times 10^{-16} \)

\[ \text{mol dm}^{-3} \]
\[ \text{mol dm}^{-3} \]
\[ \text{mol dm}^{-3} \]
\[ \text{mol dm}^{-3} \]

which are given in subsequent columns of Table II and in the graphical presentation (Figure 5).

Solubility product is defined as the product of \( \text{UO}_2^{2+} \) and \( \text{O}_2^{2-} \) free concentrations:

\[ K_{sp} = [\text{UO}_2^{2+}]_{\text{free}} \times [\text{O}_2^{2-}]_{\text{free}}. \] (3)

The solubility product \( (K_{sp}) \) mean value was found to be \((1.32\pm0.02) \times 10^{-36} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}\).

Calculated Distribution Curves

Calculated distribution curves for the solubility boundary \((1.4 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol dm}^{-3} \text{ UO}_2^{2+})\) at different pH values and \(10^{-2} > [\text{H}_2\text{O}_2] > 10^{-5}\) are presented in Figures 6a, 6b, and 6c. For this calculation, the commercial program MINEQL+ was used.\(^{29}\) The equilibrium constants for the calculations were taken from Table I, and those for polyhydroxo species \((\text{UO}_2)_{2} \text{OH}^{2+}\) and \((\text{UO}_2)_{3} \text{OH}^{-}\) from the cited paper.\(^{4}\) The solubility product \( K_{sp} \) determined in this work was included into calculations. At lower peroxide concentrations, the distribution is affected by the polyhydroxo species (Figure 6a). However, at higher concentrations of \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\), the peroxo species are predominant (Figure 6b) and the precipitate of \(\text{UO}_4\text{(s)}\) is formed (Figure 6c).
DISCUSSION

Uranium(VI) peroxide is precipitated when the solutions containing uranyl ions are in contact with H₂O₂ in sufficiently high concentrations. This mechanism is also known from a natural analogue in Africa where uranium peroxides formed the minerals studtite (UO₄ · 4H₂O) and meta studtite (UO₄ · 2H₂O) close to uranium ore bodies, obviously under highly oxidizing conditions.

In the literature, only a few papers deal with the solubility product of UO₄ · 4H₂O, so our results were compared with the values cited in the paper of Moskvin. Although Gayer and Thompson examined solubility of UO₂O₂ in acid and base media, their results are semiqualitative, because they determined only the total uranyl concentration. As the measurements were performed in the solutions at pH < 2 and pH > 12, they imply transformation of the precipitate UO₂O₂ · 4H₂O in UO₃(OH)₂ · H₂O or UO₂(OH)₂ · H₂O, so that the solubility data of the initial compound were not given.

The value of \( K_{sp} \) determined by Moskvin was found to be 1.8 × 10⁻³⁹ mol dm⁻³. That value is approximately three orders of magnitude lower than the value of \( K_{sp} \) determined in this work (\( I = 0.7 \) mol dm⁻³). Moskvin determined the value of \( K_{sp} \) indirectly, without determining concentrations of the present UO₂²⁺ free and O₂²⁻ free at equilibrium concentrations. He defined \( K_{sp} \) as follows:

\[
K_{sp} = K' K''
\]

where \( K' \) and \( K'' \) are the dissociation constants of hydrogen peroxide. One of the possible sources of this discrepancy is the fact that we determined the constant without empirical equations used for calculations of activity coefficients. In other words, the error in the values of \( K' \) and \( K'' \) can significantly change the value of \( K_{sp} \).

In the region where \([\text{UO}_2^{2+}] > [\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]_\text{tot} > 10^{-5}\) calculated by MINEQL⁺: a) only hydroxide species; b) only peroxide species, and c) mole fractions of \( \text{UO}_2^{2+} \) in solid phase \( \text{UO}_4(\text{s}) \).

Figure 6. Distribution curves of 1.4 × 10⁻⁴ mol dm⁻³ UO₂²⁺ at different pH values and 10⁻² > [H₂O₂]_tot > 10⁻⁵ calculated by MINEQL⁺: a) only hydroxide species; b) only peroxide species, and c) mole fractions of UO₂²⁺ in solid phase UO₄(\text{s}).
CONCLUSIONS

Precipitation diagrams were constructed by direct mixing of uranyl perchlorate and hydrogen peroxide solutions. The concentration boundary was stabilized three days after mixing the uranyl peroxide solutions.

From the newly established concentration boundary, the uranium(VI) peroxide solubility product was calculated:

\[
K_{sp} = [\text{UO}_2^{2+}]_{\text{free}} \times [\text{O}_2^{2-}]_{\text{free}}.
\]

The mean value of the solubility product \((K_{sp})\) was found to be \((1.32 \pm 0.02) \times 10^{-36}\) mol dm\(^{-3}\).
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SAŽETAK

Taloženje uranijeva(VI) peroksida (\(\text{UO}_2^2+\)) u otopini natrijeva perklorata

Renata Djogić, Vlado Cuculić i Marko Branica

Taložni sustavi prirođeni su direktnim miješanjem otopina uranil iona i vodikova peroksida. Ravnoteža je uspostavljena 3 dana nakon miješanja sustava pri \([\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]_{\text{tot}} > [\text{UO}_2^{2+}]_{\text{tot}}\), gdje se granica taloženja stabilizirala. Dobivena je koncentracijska granica taloženja, iz koje je izračunana produkt topljivosti. Srednja vrijednost produkta topljivosti \((K_{sp})\) je \((1.32 \pm 0.02) \times 10^{-36}\) mol dm\(^{-3}\).

Pomoću granice taloženja, gdje je uspostavljena ravnoteža, tj. pri \([\text{UO}_2^{2+}] = 1.4 \times 10^{-4}\) mol dm\(^{-3}\) izračunana je heterogena raspodjela uranijevih vrsta, koja uključuje i krutu fazu.