Is Laparoscopic Nephropexy Improving the Quality of Life

Nado Vodopija, Ljubo Koršič, Marko Zupančič, Franc Kramer, Zoran Krstanoski and Ivan Parać

Department of Urology, General Hospital »Slovenj Gradec«, Slovenj Gradec, Slovenia

ABSTRACT

Short- and long-term effectiveness of laparoscopic nephropexy was evaluated in patients with symptomatic nephroptosis especially quality of life of the patients and repositioning of the ptotic kidney. In 87 patients with symptomatic nephroptosis laparoscopic nephropexy was performed from 1994 to 2003. In 86 patients trans-abdominal approach was used and retroperitoneal in one patient. Visual pain scale was used for pain evaluation before surgery and six month after surgery. At the same period creatinine serum concentration, urine examination, i.v. urography and ultrasound in supine and erect position was made. There was statistical significant decrease of pain from 6.5 ± 1.055 (SD) to 2.4 ± 1.577 (SD) (p=0.000), according to visual scale, and decrease of urinary tract infections (p=0.000) in patients after laparoscopic nephropexy. Average operative time was 45.9 ± 8 (SD) min., and hospital stay 3.4 ± 0.7 (SD) days. Reposition of the kidney was successful in 70 of 80 patients. Laparoscopic nephropexy importantly improved the quality of life in patients with symptomatic nephroptosis. Surgical procedure was safe and successful in most of the patients.

Key words: nephroptosis, nephropexy, laparoscopic surgery, quality of life, pain, urinary tract infection

Introduction

At the beginning of the 20th Century nephroptosis, often referred also as floating kidney or movable kidney, was familiar and frequent diagnosis among urologists, general practitioners, other specialist and also patients¹. Nephropexy was commonly performed operation in women. Nearly 200 different surgical procedures were described^{2,3}. Association between nephroptosis and »neurasthenia« clouded objectivity of the data prior to 1920. Floated kidney was assumed as imaginary disease⁴⁻⁶.

In last 20 to 30 years the disease practically eclipsed in the United States and the physicians became unfamiliar with nephroptosis⁷.

Recently, in last 12 years, laparoscopic surgical techniques have been applied to the treatment of symptomatic nephroptosis and new interest arise for the study of the condition and the results of contemporary surgical therapy^{8,9}.

Only symptomatic nephroptosis is relevant for the patient and the physician. Displacement of the kidney has to be more than 5 cm down-ward in erect position as definition of nephroptosis¹⁰. Flank or back pain in the erect position is most frequent manifestation of the condition,

urinary tract infections, proteinuria, erythrocituria, even hypertension, nephrosclerosis, and Fraley's syndrome may be present and may be a consequence of nephroptosis^{11–14}. Nephrosclerosis, nephrolithiasis and renal hypertension are not proven consequences of nephroptosis. The most severe manifestation of the condition is Dietl's crisis (severe colicky, flank pain, nausea, chills, tachycardia, oliguria, and transient hematuria or proteinuria)¹⁵.

First laparoscopic nephropexy was reported by Urban et al. ¹⁶.

Quality of life was only marginally studied, especially in quantitative fashion, in the reported series of patients with symptomatic nephroptosis after nephropexy.

In Department of Urology, General Hospital Slovenj Gradec, Slovenia the first laparoscopic nephropexy was performed in March 1994.

Hypothesis of our study was that laparoscopic nephropexy is improving the quality of life of the patients, especially pain and even decreasing urinary tract infections.

From March 1994 till December 2003 all patients undergoing laparoscopic trans-abdominal nephropexy due to symptomatic nephroptosis were prospectively followed looking operation, recovery after surgery, complications of the procedure, mobility of the kidney after surgery, patients' well being, pain scale and frequency of urinary tract infections.

Patients and Methods

Patients

From March 1994 till the end of December 2003 a laparoscopic trans-abdominal nephropexy was performed in 87 patients with symptomatic nephroptosis in General Hospital Slovenj Gradec, Slovenia. All the 87 patients were females. Average age was 48 ± 7.3 (SD) years (range: 35–70). Average body weight was 59.1 ± 8.5 (SD) kg (range: 46–85). In 10 patients there was left side nephroptosis and in 79 right side. Two patients have nephroptosis on both, right and left side.

Average duration of clinical symptoms of nephroptosis was 3.1±1.4 (SD) years (range: 1–13). There were 7 patients without urinary tract infection, 35 with 1 urinary tract infection per year and 45 patients with more than 1 urinary tract infection per year. 17 patients had arterial hypertension. According to the classification of hydronephrosis from Peters¹⁷ there were 10 patients in class 0, 63 patients in class 1, and 14 patients in class 2. Orthostatic proteniuria was observed in 48 patients, and orthostatic erythrocituria in 35 patients.

37 from 87 female patients had other urological conditions, 13 cystitis, 8 nephrolithiasis, 1 pyelonephritis, and 15 urinary stress-incontinence.

Surgery

Indication for operating procedure was symptomatic nephroptosis for at least one year with descent of the kidney for 5 cm or more during posture changes from supine to erect position for 15 cm or more.

Laparoscopic trans-abdominal nephropexy was accomplished in 86 patients and retroperitoneal one in 1 patient.

Laparoscopic nephropexy was made in all the patients. Standard equipment for laparoscopic procedure was used⁷.

General endotracheal anaesthesia has been induced in all the patients.

Retroperitoneal approach was used according to the description of Rassweiler¹⁸ in one case. In 86 patients trans-abdominal approach was used.

The patients were placed in a lateral decubitus position (45 degrees angle) with the operative side elevated. Three laparoscopic ports were placed: 10 mm along the umbilicus for telescope, 10 mm trocar paramedially below the costal margin, 5 mm trocar in the anterior axillary line at the level of iliac spine anterior superior.

When it was necessary, an additional 5 mm trocar was placed in a medial axillary line below the costal margin. We changed the ports' positions in case of adhesion in the part of cekum and ascendent colon. The peritoneum was incised in the line of Toldt approximately 10–15 cm down from the lower edge. The colon was mobilized medially, the operative preparation of the retroperitoneal musculature was done. Gerota's fascia was incised and the surgical preparation of the only lower pole of the kidney was performed. The kidney was fixed in its normal anatomic position using subcapsular suture with two 0 Vicryl (Ethicon) thread on the retroperitoneal muscles below the costal margin. We did not place drain after procedure. The peritoneum was closed by using the running suture with 4-0 Monocryl on cutting needle (Ethicon).

Outcome measures

Ultrasound with measuring of resistance index was performed preoperatively in supine and erect position. Intravenous urography was made preoperatively and postoperatively. Mobility of the kidney was measured quantitatively.

Serum creatinine concentration was lower than 120 umol/L in all the patients.

Routine postoperative control was performed 4 weeks, 3 months and 6 months after surgery, ultrasound and i.v. urography was performed during the last control.

Pain was classified according to visual pain scale from 0 to 10 before surgery and six months after surgery ^{19,20}.

Urinary tract infections were diagnosed according to the criteria of Stamm and Hooton²¹, and Brumfitt and Hamilton-Miller²².

Statistics

In the statistical analysis the following descriptive statistics were calculated: minimum, maximum, mean, standard deviation, and the following statistical methods were used: paired t-test to estimate the difference between two means, and the sign test of paired data.

Microsoft Excel 2000 was used for computational part of the analysis. This report was written by use Microsoft Word 2000.

Results

In evaluating the possible changes of quality of life of patients with laparoscopic nephropexy 87 female patients with symptomatic nephroptosis were included in the study. Before surgical procedure average pain according to visual pain scale was 6.5 ± 1.055 (SD). Most of the patients had at least 1 urinary tract infection per year (80 from 87), even 45 of them had more than one, and only 7 didn't have urinary tract infection before laparoscopic nephropexy. Average blood pressure before surgery was $133\pm13/86\pm11$ mmHg (SD).

Average operative time of laparoscopic nephropexy was 45.9 ± 8 (SD) min. Patients have been hospitalized for average 3.4 ± 0.7 (SD) days. In 12 patients small

TABLE 1

AVERAGE TIME OF LAPAROSCOPIC NEPHROPEXY, TIME TO RESUME NORMAL ACTIVITIES AND SUBJECTIVE AND OBJECTIVE EVALUATION OF OPERATIVE PROCEDURE

Operative time	45.9±8 (SD)	(31–67) min
Hospital stay	$3.4 \pm 0.7 \text{ (SD)}$	(2-5) days
Time to resume every day activities	4–6 weeks	
Evaluation of success of surgery	Improvement	No changes
Subjective	68 patients	19 patients
Objective	79 patients	8 patients

TABLE 2
PAIN, ACCORDING TO VISUAL PAIN SCALE (0–10) AND URINARY
TRACT INFECTIONS PER YEAR BEFORE AND AFTER SURGICAL
PROCEDURE

Pain	Before surgery	After surgery
	6.5 ± 1.055	2.4±1.577*
Urinary tract infections	per year:	
0	7	55**
1	35	30
>1	45	2

^{*}p=0.000; **p=0.000, compared year before surgery with the year after surgery

haematomas have been found in the surrounding of trocar placement. 9 patients experienced moderate pain along right costal margin during first 2 days after surgery. It was possible to evaluate difference between the position of the kidney before and after laparoscopic nephropexy in 80 patients. Kidney was moved upwards on average 4.1±1.5 cm, maximally 6 cm. After the procedure we were classifying of the operated kidneys as non-mobile in 72 (83%), lightly mobile in 12 (14%), and moderately mobile in 3 (3%) patients. Patients needed 4-6 weeks to resume normal professional and domestic activities. Patient's subjective evaluation, based upon the pain showed improvement in 68 and no changes in 19 of them. Objective evaluation based on kidney position showed improvement in 79 and no changes in 8 patients (Table 1). After laparoscopic nephropexy average pain was reduced according to visual pain scale from 6.5± 1.055 (SD) to 2.4±1.577 (SD) after surgery. The difference is highly statistically significant (p=0.000).

After laparoscopic nephropexy there were no urinary tract infections in 55 patients, 1 urinary tract infection in 30 patients, and more than one in 2 patients (Table 2). There was significant reduction of urinary tract infections after surgery (p=0.000).

Discussion

Quality of life of our patients with symptomatic nephroptosis improved significantly after laparoscopic nephropexy if compared with pre-surgical period. There was

improvement of general health-related quality of life (subjective evaluation), especially decrease of pain and also significant decrease of urinary tract infections.

Our results are in accordance with results of Matsui et al.²³ and Wyler et al.²⁴. McDougall et al.⁸ reported average 80 % improvement in the pain among 14 patients. Among 23 patients of Fornara et al.²⁵ pain intensity had improved in 21 patients 13 months after laparoscopic nephropexy.

Urinary tract infections are not generally followed in the biggest reported series of patients with nephroptosis and also after laparoscopic nephropexy. We have observed important decrease of thus infections when we have compared one year period before and after surgery. Urinary tract infections do importantly affect quality of life of female patients.

Fornara et al. 1997 observed the urinary tract infections only in one of 9 patients²⁵. Rassweiller et al. 2001 didn't find pyelonephritis among 11 patients after surgery²⁶. McDougall et al. 2000 were treated recurrent urinary tract infection only in one of 14 patients⁸. Plas et al. 2001 didn't observe post-operative urinary tract infection among 17 patients¹¹.

Surgical reposition of the ptotic kidney was completely successful in 70 from 80 patients. Results are in accordance with the data of McDougall et al. and others, generally reporting about 80 % success rate^{7,8,18}.

In most articles describing the laparoscopic nephropexy in symptomatic nephroptosis a similar technique was used, namely trans-abdominal approach^{27,28}. Because of modification of the technique, using preparation only the lower pole of the kidney, time of surgery is shorter (mean 45.9 min.) than in most of the published series (range: 60–167 min.)^{25,29,30}. Some authors are using foreign material and others fascial flap or muscle bands for fixation of the kidney^{31,32}. Retroperitoneal approach seems to be much more difficult technique because of limited space during the surgery. The average operating time is at least 103 minutes²⁵.

With the exception of some small haematomas and pain we didn't experience considerable complications among our patients. Although we did not use drainage, we didn't observe clinically manifested retroperitoneal haematomas, pneumothorax and surgical site infection. These results are comparable to the bigger series of similar operations^{7, 8}.

All our patients had normal kidney function already before surgery if we had serum creatinine concentration as a marker. There was no hypertension among our patients.

Decrease of urinary tract infections among our patients was a consequence of surgery, but probably also of better medical care and prevention.

24-hour proteinuria and erythrocituria, average blood pressure in standing position, possibly improved kidney histology and renal blood flow, and psychological indices of well-being are topics which were not adequately studied after laparoscopic nephropexy.

Acknowledgement

The authors are indebted to Prof. Dr. Roman Drnovšek for statistical analysis and to Prof. Dr. Jože Drinovec, MD for helpful support in preparing the manuscript.

REFERENCES

1. MOSS SW, J Urol, 158 (1997) 699. — 2. MURPHY LJT, The history of urology (Springfield, Charles C. Thomas, 1972). — 3. MATHE CP, Urol Cutan Rev, 41 (1937) 772. — 4. O'DEA MJ, FURLOW WL, Urology, 8 (1976) 9. — 5. McWHINNIE DL, HAMILTON DN, Brit Med J, 288 (1984) 845. — 6. KELLY HA, Trans Amer Surg Assn, 28 (1910) 513. — 7. REH-MAN J, HOENIG DM, J Endourol, 14 (2000) 881. — 8. McDOUGALL EM, AFANE JS, DUNN MD, COLLYER WC, CLAYMAN RV, J Endourol, 14 (2000) 247. — 9. BRUHL P, SCHAEFER M, Nephroptose. In: JO-CHAM D, MILLER K (Eds), Praxis der Urologie, Vol. 1 (Thieme, New York, 1994).— 10. SMART WR, Surgical correction of hydronephrosis. In: HARRISON JH, GITTES RF, PERLMUTTER AD, STAMEY TA, WALSH PC (Eds), Campbell's Urology, Fourth edition. (Saunders, Philadelphia, 1979). — 11. PLAS E, DAHA K, RIEDL CR, HÜBNER WA, PFLÜGER H, J Urol, 166 (2001) 449. — 12. TEMIZKAN M, WIJMENGA LFA, YPMA AFG, HAZENBERG HJA, Netherlands J Med, 47 (1995) 61. D'AMICO A, LUSUARDI L, FICARRA V, BELTRAMI P, MALOSSINI G, TALLARIGO C, MOBILIO G, Eur Urol, 38 (2000) 410. — 14. GHANEM A, Saudi Med J, 23 (2002) 197. — 15. DIETEL J, Przegl Lek, 3 (1964) 225. 16. URBAN DA, CLAYMAN RV, KERBL K, FIGERSHAU RS, Mc-DOUGALL EM, J Endourol, 7 (1993) 27. — 17. PETERS AC, Pertinatal urology. In: WALSH PC, RETIK AB, VAUGHAN ED, WEIN AJ (Eds), Campbell's Urology, Eight edition. (Saunders, Philadelphia, 2002). — 18. RASSWEILER JJ, FREDE T, SEEMAN O, Chirurg, 69 (1998) 604. — 19. ABRAM SE, HADDOX JD, The pain clinic manual. Second edition (Philadelphia: Lippincot Williams&Wilkins, 2000). — 20. MATERSON RS, Techniques for assessing and diagnosing pain. In: WEINER RS (Eds), Pain management, Fifth edition, Volume 1 (Roca Raton, St. Lucie Press, 1998). — 21. STAMM WE, HOOTON TM, N Engl J Med, 329 (1993) 1328. — 22. BRUMFITT W, HAMILTON-MILLER JMT, Epidemiological issues in the study of urinary tract infections. In: BRUMFITT W, HAMIL-TON-MILLER JMT, BAILEY RR (Eds), Urinary tract infections (Champman & Hall Medical, London, 1998). — 23. MATSUI Y, MATSUTA Y, OKUBO K, YOSHIMURA K, TERAI A, ARAI Y, Int J Urol, 11 (2004) 1. 24. WYLER SF, SULSER T, CASELLA R, HAURI D, BACHMANN A, Urology, 66 (2005) 644. — 25. FORNARA P, DOEHN C, JOCHAM D, J Urol, 158 (1997) 1679. — 26. RASSWEILER JJ, FREDE T, RECKER F, STOCK C, SEEMANN O, ALKEN P, Urol Clin North Am, 28 (2001) 137. 27. HÜBNER WA, SCHRAMEK P, PFLÜGER H, J Urol, 152 (1994) 1184. - 28. CHUEH SC, HSIEH JT, CHEN J, YOUNG YL, CHEN SC, TU YP, Surg Endosc, 16 (2002) 1603. — 29. ICHIKAWA T, YAMADA D, TAKAO A, SAEGUSA M, ARAMAKI K, KUMON H, J Endourol, 17 (2003) 767. — 30. ELASHRY OM, NAKADA SY, McDOUGALL EM, CLAYMAN RV, J Urol, 154 (1995) 1655. — 31. GYFTOPOULOS KI, FO-KAEFS E, BARBALIAS GA, Urol Int, 69 (2002) 313. — 32. HÜBNER WA, SCHLARP O, RIEDL C, PLAS E, REITER WJ, Urology, 64 (2004)

N. Vodopija

Department of Urology, General Hospital »Slovenj Gradec«, Gosposvetska 1, 2380 Slovenj Gradec, Slovenia e-mail: nado.vodopija@siol.net

DA LI LAPARASKOPSKA NEFROPEKSIJA POBOLJŠAVA KVALITETU ŽIVOTA?

SAŽETAK

U istraživanjima su proučavani kratkoročni i dugoročni efekti laparoskopske nefropeksije, na pacijentima oboljelim od nefroptoze. Uzorak je sadržavao 87 pacijenata, koji su tretirani laparoskopskom nefropeksijom u razdoblju od 1994. do 2003. godine. Kod 86 pacjenata je korišten trans abdominalan pristup, a kod jednog retroperitonialan. Vizualna ljestvici boli korištena je prije operacije i šest mjeseci nakon. U istom periodu je praćen serumski kreatinin, rađene su pretrage urina, urografija te ultrazvuk sprijeda i straga. Kod pacijenata nakon laparaskopske nefropeksije pronađene su statistički značajne razlike u boli prema vizualnoj ljestvici $6.5\pm1.055~(\mathrm{SD})$ do $2.4\pm1.577~(\mathrm{SD})~(\mathrm{p}=0.000)$ i razlike u trans urinarnim infekcijama (p=0.000). Svakodnevno operativno vrijeme bilo je $45.9\pm8~(\mathrm{SD})$ min., a ostanak u bolnici $3.4\pm0.7~(\mathrm{SD})$ dana. Transplatacija bubrega bila je uspješna u 70 do 80 pacijenata. Laroskopska nefropeksija potvrdila se kao bitna u osiguranju kvalitete života kod pacijenata sa simptomatičnom nefroptozom. Kirurške metode bile su sigurne i uspješne kod većine pacijenata.