AN IMPROVED INEQUALITY FOR k-TH DERIVATIVE OF A POLYNOMIAL

V. K. Jain I. I. T., Khavagpur, India

ABSTRACT. For a polynomial p(z) of degree n, we have obtained

$$\begin{split} |p^{(k)}(\beta)| & \leq \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)....(n-k+1)}{|\beta|^k} \Big[\frac{l}{2^k} \{ |p(\beta)| + \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |p(\beta z_i)| \} + \\ & (1 - \frac{l}{2^{k-1}}) \max_{1 \leq t \leq 2n} |p(\beta b_t)| \Big], \beta \neq 0 \ \& \ k \geq 1, \end{split}$$

a refinement of the well known Bernstein's inequatily

$$\max_{|z|=1} |p^{(k)}(z)| \le n(n-1)(n-2)\cdots(n-k+1) \max_{|z|=1} |p(z)|,$$

 z_1,z_2,\ldots,z_n being the zeros of z^n+1 and b_1,b_2,\ldots,b_{2n} the zeros of $z^{2n}-1$. The inequality is sharp.

1. Introduction and statement of results

Let p(z) be a polynomial of degree n. It easily follows from the well known Bernstein's theorem [3] that

(1.1)
$$\max_{|z|=R} |p'(z)| \le \frac{n}{R} \max_{|z|=R} |p(z)|, R > 0,$$

with equality in (1.1) for $p(z) = \alpha z^n$. On applying inequality (1.1) again and again, we get

$$(1.2) \quad \max_{|z|=R} |p^{(k)}(z)| \leq \frac{n(n-1)...(n-k+1)}{R^k} \max_{|z|=R} |p(z)|, \quad R>0 \ \& \ k\geq 1,$$

with equality in (1.2) for $p(z) = \alpha z^n$.

We have been able to improve the inequality (1.2) and obtain a new inequality, which is sharp. More precisely, we prove

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 30C10, 30A10. Key words and phrases. inequality, zeroes, k-th derivative.

216 V. K. JAIN

Theorem 1.1. Let p(z) be a polynomial of degree n. Then

$$|p^{(k)}(\beta)| \leq \frac{n(n-1)...(n-k+1)}{|\beta|^k} \left[\frac{1}{2^k} \{|p(\beta)| + \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |p(\beta z_i)| \} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{k-1}}\right) \max_{1 \leq i \leq 2n} |p(\beta b_i)| \right], \beta \neq 0, \& k \geq 1,$$

$$(1.3)$$

where $z_1, z_2, ..., z_n$ are the zeros of $z^n + 1$ and $b_1, b_2, ..., b_{2n}$ are the zeros of $z^{2n} - 1$. The inequality is sharp and the extremal polynomial is $p(z) = \alpha z^n$.

2. Lemmas

For the proof of Theorem 1.1, we require the following lemmas.

LEMMA 2.1. Let p(z) be a polynomial of degree n and $z''_1, z''_2, ..., z''_n$ be the zeros of $z^n + a$, $a \neq 0$. Then for any complex number β such that $\beta^n + a \neq 0$, we have

(2.4)
$$p'(\beta) = \frac{n\beta^{n-1}}{a+\beta^n}p(\beta) + \frac{a+\beta^n}{na} \sum_{i=1}^n p(z_i'') \frac{z_i''}{(z_i''-\beta)^2}$$

(2.5)
$$\frac{1}{na} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{z_i''\beta}{(z_i'' - \beta)^2} = -\frac{n\beta^n}{(\beta^n + a)^2}.$$

This lemma is due to Aziz [1].

LEMMA 2.2. Let p(z) be a polynomial of degree n. Then

(2.6)
$$\max_{|z|=1} |p'(z)| \le n \max_{1 \le t \le 2n} |p(b_t)|,$$

where b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{2n} are as in Theorem 1.1.

This lemma is due to Frappier, Rahman and Ruscheweyh [2].

LEMMA 2.3. Let p(z) be a polynomial of degree n. Then for $s \ge 1$ and $|\beta| = 1$,

$$(2.7) |p^{(s)}(\beta)| \le \frac{n-s+1}{2} \{ |p^{(s-1)}(\beta)| + \max_{1 \le m \le n-s+1} |p^{(s-1)}(u'_m)| \},$$

where $u'_1, u'_2, ..., u'_{n-s+1}$ are the roots of

$$(2.8) z^{n-s+1} + a = 0$$

$$(2.9) a = e^{i\gamma(n-s+1)}$$

and

$$\gamma = \arg \beta$$

Proof of Lemma 2.3. As

$$\beta^{n-s+1} + a \neq 0.$$

we have on applying Lemma 2.1 to the polynomial $p^{(s-1)}(z)$,

$$p^{(s)}(\beta) = \frac{(n-s+1)\beta^{n-s}}{\beta^{n-s+1} + a} p^{(s-1)}(\beta) + \frac{\beta^{n-s+1} + a}{(n-s+1)a} \sum_{m=1}^{n-s+1} p^{(s-1)}(u'_m) \frac{u'_m}{(u'_m - \beta)^2},$$

which implies

$$(2.10) \quad |p^{(s)}(\beta)| \leq \frac{n-s+1}{2} |p^{(s-1)}(\beta)| + \frac{2}{n-s+1} \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^{n-s+1} \left| \frac{u'_m}{(u'_m - \beta)^2} \right| \right\}.$$

$$\max_{1 \leq m \leq n-s+1} |p^{(s-1)}(u'_m)| = \frac{n-s+1}{2} |p^{(s-1)}(\beta)|$$

$$+ \frac{2}{n-s+1} \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^{n-s+1} \left| \frac{u'_m \beta}{(u'_m - \beta)^2} \right| \right\} \max_{1 \leq m \leq n-s+1} |p^{(s-1)}(u'_m)|$$

$$= \frac{n-s+1}{2} |p^{(s-1)}(\beta)| + \frac{2}{n-s+1} \left\{ -\sum_{m=1}^{n-s+1} \frac{u'_m \beta}{(u'_m - \beta)^2} \right\}.$$

$$\max_{1 \leq m \leq n-s+1} |p^{(s-1)}(u'_m)|,$$

as $\frac{u_m'\beta}{(u_m'-\beta)^2}$ is a negative real number for m=1,2,...,n-s+1. Now by the second part of Lemma 2.1, (2.10) can be written as

$$\begin{split} |p^{(s)}(\beta)| \leq & \frac{n-s+1}{2} |p^{(s-1)}(\beta)| + \frac{2}{n-s+1} \{ \frac{(n-s+1)^2 \beta^{n-s+1} a}{(\beta^{n-s+1}+a)^2} \}. \\ & \max_{1 \leq m \leq n-s+1} |p^{(s-1)}(u_m')| = \frac{n-s+1}{2} |p^{(s-1)}(\beta)| \\ & + \frac{2}{n-s+1} (n-s+1)^2 \frac{1}{4} \max_{1 \leq m \leq n-s+1} |p^{(s-1)}(u_m')| \end{split}$$

and this completes the proof of Lemma 2.3.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let

$$(3.11) T(z) = p(\beta z).$$

Then

(3.12)
$$|p^{(k)}(\beta)| = \frac{1}{|\beta|^k} |T^{(k)}(1)|$$

218 V. K. JAIN

Now by Lemma 2.3, we have for $k \geq 2$.

$$(3.13) |T^{(k)}(1)| \le \frac{n-k+2}{2} \{ |T^{(k-1)}(1)| + \max_{1 \le m \le n-k+1} |T^{(k-1)}(u_m)| \}$$

where $u_1, u_2, ..., u_{n-k+1}$ are the roots of $z^{n-k+1} + 1 = 0$. Again by Lemma 2.3, we have

$$(3.14) \qquad |T^{(k-1)}(1) \leq \frac{n-k+2}{2} \{ |T^{(k-2)}(1)| + \max_{1 \leq j \leq n-k+2} |T^{(k-2)}(w_j)| \},$$

where $w_1, w_2, ..., w_{n-k+2}$ are the roots of $z^{n-k+2} + 1 = 0$. Combining (3.13) and (3.14), we obtain

$$|T^{(k)}(1)| \le \frac{n-k+1}{2} \frac{n-k+2}{2} |T^{(k-2)}(1)| + \frac{n-k+1}{2} \frac{n-k+2}{2} \max_{1 \le j \le n-k+2} |T^{(k-2)}(w_j)| + \frac{n-k+1}{2} \max_{1 \le m \le n-k+1} |T^{(k-1)}(u_m)|.$$

Continuing similarly, we obtain for $k \geq 2$

$$|T^{(k)}(1)| \leq \frac{n-k+1}{2} \frac{n-k+2}{2} \cdots \frac{n}{2} |T(1)|$$

$$+ \frac{n-k+1}{2} \frac{n-k+2}{2} \cdots \frac{n}{2} \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |T(z_i)|$$

$$+ \frac{n-k+1}{2} \frac{n-k+2}{2} \cdots \frac{n-1}{2} \max_{1 \leq h \leq n-1} |T'(x_h)|$$

$$+ \frac{n-k+1}{2} \frac{n-k+2}{2} \cdots \frac{n-2}{2} \max_{1 \leq g \leq n-2} |T''(d_g)| + \cdots$$

$$\cdots + \frac{n-k+1}{2} \frac{n-k+2}{2} \max_{1 \leq j \leq n-k+2} |T^{(k-2)}(w_j)|$$

$$(3.15) \qquad + \frac{n-k+1}{2} \max_{1 \leq m \leq n-k+1} |T^{(k-1)}(u_m)|,$$

where $z_1, z_2, ..., z_n$ are the roots of $z^n + 1 = 0, x_1, x_2, ..., x_{n-1}$ are the roots of $z^{n-1} + 1 = 0, d_1, d_2, ..., d_{n-2}$ are the roots of $z^{n-2} + 1 = 0$, and so on.

Now, by Lemma 2.2 and Bernstein's theorem [3], we have from (3.15), for $k \geq 2$

$$|T^{(k)}(1)| \le \frac{n-k+1}{2} \frac{n-k+2}{2} \cdots \frac{n}{2} |T(1)| + \frac{n-k+1}{2} \frac{n-k+2}{2} \cdots \frac{n}{2} \max_{1 \le i \le n} |T(z_i)|$$

$$+ \frac{n-k+1}{2} \frac{n-k+2}{2} \cdots \frac{n-1}{2} n \max_{1 \le t \le 2n} |T(b_t)|$$

$$+ \frac{n-k+1}{2} \frac{n-k+2}{2} \cdots \frac{n-2}{2} (n-1) n \max_{1 \le t \le 2n} |T(b_t)|$$

$$\cdots + \frac{n-k+1}{2} \frac{n-k+2}{2} (n-k+3) \cdots n \max_{1 \le t \le 2n} |T(b_t)|$$

$$+ \frac{n-k+1}{2} (n-k+2) (n-k+3) \cdots n \max_{1 \le t \le 2n} |T(b_t)|$$

$$= (n-k+1) (n-k+2) \cdots (n-1) n \left[\frac{1}{2^k} \{ |T(1)| + \max_{1 \le t \le n} |T(z_t)| \} \right]$$

$$(3.16) + (1 - \frac{1}{2^{k-1}}) \max_{1 \le t \le 2n} |T(b_t)|$$

(3.16)

Further, for k = 1, we have by Lemma 2.3

$$(3.17) |T'(1)| \le \frac{n}{2} \{ |T(1)| + \max_{1 \le i \le n} |T(z_i)| \},$$

On combining (3.16) and (3.17), we get for $k \geq 1$

$$|T^{(k)}(1)| \le (n-k+1)(n-k+2)...n[\frac{1}{2^k}\{|T(1)|$$

$$(3.19) + \max_{1 \le i \le n} |T(z_i)| + (1 - \frac{1}{2^{k-1}}) \max_{1 \le t \le 2n} |T(b_t)|$$

which, by (3.11) & (3.12), implies

$$|p^{(k)}(\beta)| \le \frac{1}{|\beta|^k} (n-k+1)(n-k+2)...n[\frac{1}{2^k} \{|p(\beta)|\}]$$

$$(3.21) + \max_{1 \le i \le n} |p(\beta z_i)| + (1 - \frac{1}{2^{k-1}}) \max_{1 \le t \le 2n} |p(\beta b_t)|$$

thereby proving Theorem 1.1.

References

- [1] A. Aziz, Inequalities for polynomials with a prescribed zero, J. Approximation Theory 41 (1984), 15-20.
- [2] C. Frappier, Q.I. Rahman and St. Ruscheweyh, New inequalities for polynomials, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 288 (1985), 69 - 99.
- [3] A.C. Schaeffer, Inequalities of A. Markoff and S. Bernstein for polynomials and related functions, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 47 (1941), 565-579.

Mathematics Department I.I.T., Kharagpur 721302

Received: 25.09.98. Revised: 1.03.99.