Genetic characterization of broodstock brown trout from Bled fish-farm, Slovenia

Abstract

**Background and Purpose:** Due to environmental and economic concerns, Bled fish-farm is interested in establishing broodstocks of native brown trout (*Salmo trutta* L.). Progeny would be reared and released into rivers managed by the Fishing Club Bled. In this study was performed genetic characterization of broodstock from Bled fish-farm in order to assess hybridization of native brown trout of Danubian phylogeographic lineage with trout of the allochthonous Atlantic lineage.

**Material and Methods:** DNA was isolated from fin clips of 20 males and 20 females from broodstock. PCR-RFLP technique was used for distinguishing between Atlantic and Danubian lineages on the basis of control region of the mitochondrial DNA (CR mtDNA) and lactate dehydrogenase gene (LDH).

**Results:** Results show a high percentage of allochthonous genetic markers especially among females and confirm hybridization between native and introduced brown trout.

**Conclusions:** Because of low percentage of native trout markers, Bled fish-farm decided to continue with collecting and genotyping fish to establish a broodstock with higher percentage of genetic markers characteristic for native trout of Danubian lineage.

**INTRODUCTION**

Brown trout (*Salmo trutta* L.) has been domesticated and adapted to breeding demands on fish farms. The domesticated strain has been subjected to powerful selective pressure and bottleneck resulting in low genetic variability (1). While these trout thrive in hatchery conditions their adaptive success in nature is severely reduced. Investigations have shown that stocking waters with domesticated brown trout is often ineffective, even harmful: the majority of stocked fish fail to adapt and reproduce (2, 3), whereas those that manage to spawn decrease genetic diversity of the autochthonous population and may cause extinction of local trout phenotype (4, 5). Despite the negative effects, the widely available domesticated strain of brown trout is still used for stocking. In most cases the purpose of stocking is to increase population sizes to the benefit of fisheries, mainly angling (6).

A study of the mtDNA of various brown trout populations in Europe, North Africa and parts of Asia revealed five main phylogeographic lineages: Danubian, Atlantic, Adriatic, Mediterranean and *marmoratus* (7). In addition, sequence polymorphism of the nuclear gene coding for lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) is also used for distinguishing...
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trout of Atlantic and Danubian lineages (8). It was established that in Slovenia, brown trout of Danubian lineage is native to the Danubian drainage (9). Atlantic lineage is allochthonous in Slovenian waters, with stocking history dating back to 1920 (10). Recent data suggest that trout of Atlantic lineage is widespread in Slovenian rivers and in fish-farms that rear fish for stocking. In addition, a majority of autochthonous populations hybridized with domesticated trout, especially those in the main waters. In the Danubian drainage only a few streams were found with no sign of hybridization with domesticated trout (9).

The Angling Club of Tolmin (Slovenia) faced a similar problem of hybridization of native marble trout with allochthonous Atlantic brown trout in the Adriatic drainage. Fish stocks from headwaters in the uppermost reaches of rivers that had no contact with the domesticated Atlantic brown trout were used for broodstock. Progeny was used to restore downstream populations of native marble trout (11, 12). The Angling Club was motivated to use native trout populations for restocking because of environmental concern (improving genetic diversity, avoiding introduction of allochthonous organisms) and economic reasons (marketing trout phenotype of their water, less fish need to be stocked). Following their example, the Bled Fishing Club established broodstock of presumably native trout of Danubian lineage in order to rear trout for release in the rivers they manage.

The object of this study was to determine the genetic composition of broodstock from Bled fish-farm on the basis of Atlantic lineage specific genetic markers of the control region of mtDNA and LDH gene, using the Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism (RFLP) analysis. Fish with genetic markers characteristic of Atlantic lineage were excluded from the broodstock.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Samples and DNA isolation

In 2005 was collected a sample of 40 brown trout (20 ♂ and 20 ♀) from broodstock, maintained in a hatchery of the Bled Fishing Club, Slovenia. Total DNA was isolated from fin clips using the Wizard Genomic DNA Purification (Promega).

DNA amplification and Restriction fragment length polymorphism – RFLP

PCR amplification was performed of the entire control region (CR mtDNA) (1088 bp) using primers 28RIBa (13) and HN20 (14). Partial LDH gene was amplified (428 bp) using primers Ldhxon3F and Ldhxon4F (8). The PCR conditions for CR mtDNA were: initial denaturation (95°C, 3 min) followed by 30 cycles of strand denaturation (94°C, 45 s), primer annealing (52°C, 45 s) and DNA extension (72°C, 2 min). The program for LDH differed from the mtDNA program only in primer annealing (62°C, 1 min) and DNA extension (72°C, 1 min) steps. All PCR amplifications were performed in a programmable thermocycler GeneAmp® PCR System 9700 (AB Applied Biosystems). The total PCR volume of 30 μL contained 1 μM of each primer, 0.2 μM dNTPs, 1.5 μM MgCl2, 1 x PCR buffer, 1 U Taq polymerase (PE Applied Biosystems) and 100 ng of genomic DNA. Amplified DNA fragments were checked on 1.5% agarose gel.

Polymorphisms of the amplified fragments were detected using SatI endonuclease for CR mtDNA and BseI for LDH. Restriction reaction contained: PCR product (5 μL), digestion buffer (2 μL), restriction enzyme (5 U) and autoclaved distilled water (12.5 μL). The samples were digested for 3h at the appropriate incubation temperature (37°C for SatI and 55°C for BseI). The total restriction reaction was loaded onto 1.5% agarose gel with 0.5xTBE electrophoresis buffer, stained with ethidium bromide. After 15 min on 120 V the gel was observed and photographically documented under UV light (302 nm).

RESULTS

Endonuclease SatI generated a single cut on haplotypes of Atlantic lineages at the polymorphic site G434 of the amplified CR mtDNA fragment. Endonuclease BseI generated a single cut on LDH-C1*90 allele, specific for Atlantic lineage at polymorphic site G353 of the amplified fragment. Analysis of the CR mtDNA and LDH gene revealed that broodstock was a mixture of both autochthonous Danubian and allochthonous Atlantic lineage of brown trout (Figures 1, 2).

Analysis of the CR mtDNA showed that 3 out of 20 males (15%) and 14 out of 20 females (70%) from the broodstock sample were of Atlantic lineage. In total, 42.5% of broodstock fish had mtDNA of allochthonous Atlantic lineage (Table 1). The high frequency (42.5%) of Atlantic lineage in the broodstock recorded, using the mtDNA as a marker, came from the dominance (70%) of that lineage in females. Since mtDNA is inherited exclusively maternally we used the nuclear LDH gene to assess the introgression of allochthonous trout. There were 80% of individuals with the allochthonous LDH-C1*90 allele in the broodstock (genotypes LDH-C1*90/90 and LDH-C1*90/100). The frequency of the autochthonous Danubian genotype (LDH-C1*100/100) in females of the broodstock was 0%, whereas in males it was 40%. As in the case of the mtDNA marker, higher frequency of occurrence (52.5%) of allochthonous LDH-C1*90 allele

Figure 1. Restriction of mtDNA control region (1088 bp) with SatI enzyme. Control region of Danubian (Da) lineage remains uncut while Atlantic (At) lineage is cut into two fragments (654 and 434 bp). The marker used is a 1kb.
came from the dominance (72.5%) of that allele in females. Seven males (Danubian mtDNA and LDH-C1*100/100) had no genetic markers characteristic of domesticated trout and eight females were 100% domesticated trout (Atlantic mtDNA and LDH-C1*90/90).

Opposing results were observed in some fish. Thus, we found in one male and female the Danubian mtDNA marker, whereas the same individuals were both homozygotes for the LDH-C1*90 allele (Atlantic). Likewise, one male was of Danubian lineage at nuclear DNA (LDH-C1*100/100) and had mtDNA of Atlantic lineage.

**DISCUSSION**

The results suggest the remarkable occurrence of allochthonous Atlantic lineage in the broodstock of brown trout in the Bled fish-farm.

Both mtDNA and LDH markers revealed greater contamination of females in the broodstock by the allochthonous material, probably due to the greater number of females reared for artificial spawning. The male part of the broodstock was also contaminated, though notably less than in females, probably due to less need for males than for females in the hatcheries, which resulted in a relatively small number of allochthonous males used for stocking.

The crossing between individuals of Atlantic and Danubian phylogenetic lineages can result in F2 individuals that hold maternally inherited mtDNA from one lineage and a major part of nuclear genetic markers from another phylogenetic lineage. Individuals, which were LDH-C1*90/90 homozygotes with the mtDNA of Danubian lineage, as well as those which were LDH-C1*100/100 homozygotes with the mtDNA of Atlantic lineage can appear after only two generations. The intensity of hybridization between Atlantic and Danubian lineage could be assessed more accurately if more nuclear markers were used. However, even with just mtDNA and one nuclear marker we can conclude that broodstock from the Bled fish-farm contains a high proportion of genetic markers characteristic of allochthonous trout.

Since fish stocking is considered the main conservation activity in the restoration of populations that are in immediate danger of extinction due to demographic factors (6, 15, 16, 17), special care should be taken to ensure aboriginality of the broodstock. In the case of the Bled fish-farm, one possibility is to improve existing broodstock through selection of individuals with a higher proportion of autochthonous genetic markers. Due to the danger of reducing genetic diversity, particularly with regard to the low proportion of autochthonous genetic markers found, this option was not used.

Instead, the Bled fish-farm has decided to continue collecting fish from remote and presumably unstocked locations. New fish will be tested for allochthonous genetic markers and a new broodstock will be formed from a sufficient number of autochthonous fish found.
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