

EQUIVARIANT FIBRANT SPACES

ALEXANDER BYKOV AND MARCELINO TEXIS

Benemérita Universidad Autónoma de Puebla, México

ABSTRACT. In this paper the concept of a G -fibrant space is introduced. It is shown that any compact metrizable group G is a G -fibrant.

1. INTRODUCTION

The general approach to the concept of a *fibrant object* is the following (c.f.[5]): if in a category \mathcal{C} some class Σ of morphisms is specified then an object Y of \mathcal{C} is called Σ -fibrant if for every morphism $s \in \Sigma$, $s : A \rightarrow X$, and every morphism $f : A \rightarrow Y$ there is a morphism $F : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $F \circ s = f$. The classical fibrant objects appear in [9] for the closed model categories where Σ is the class of trivial cofibrations. A *fibrant space* in the sense of F. Cathey is a Σ -fibrant object, where Σ is the class of *SSDR*-maps in the category of metrizable spaces ([6]). In the present paper we provide an equivariant version of a fibrant space.

It is well-known (see [8]) that every compact metrizable group can be represented as an inverse limit of a sequence of Lie groups bonded by fibrations (Proposition 3.3), and therefore it is already a fibrant space in the sense of F. Cathey. On the other hand, due to R. Palais ([7]), every compact Lie group G is a G -ANR (Proposition 3.2) and hence it is a G -fibrant space. These are the basic facts utilized in the proof of our main theorem (Theorem 3.1): every compact metrizable group G is a G -fibrant space. This result justifies the consideration of equivariant fibrant spaces. Also it is clear that equivariant fibrant spaces as well as equivariant *SSDR*-maps can be used in the construction of the equivariant strong shape category following the way of F. Cathey, which is given in [6] for the “non-equivariant” case.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 54C55, 54C56, 54H15, 54B15.

Key words and phrases. Fibration, fibrant space, G -ANR.

Supported in part by CONACYT Grant 32132-E.

2. THE BASIC NOTIONS

The basic definitions and facts of the equivariant theory, that is the theory of G -spaces and G -maps, can be found in [4]. Throughout the paper the letter G will denote a compact Hausdorff group. By $G\text{-}A(N)R$, it is denoted the class of G -equivariant absolute (neighborhood) retracts for all G -metrizable spaces (see, for instance, [2] for the equivariant theory of retracts). In this paper all G -spaces are assumed to be metrizable.

A closed invariant subspace A of a G -space X is called a G -shape strong deformation retract of X if there exists a G -equivariant embedding $i : X \hookrightarrow Y$ for some $G\text{-}AR$ space Y such that for any pair of invariant neighborhoods U and V of $i(X)$ and $i(A)$ respectively in Y , there is a G -homotopy $H : X \times I \rightarrow U$ rel. A such that $H(x, 0) = i(x)$ and $H(x, 1) \in V$ for any $x \in X$.

Note that if for a G -pair (X, A) an embedding $i : X \hookrightarrow M$ satisfies the conditions of the above definition then these conditions hold for any other closed G -equivariant embedding $j : X \hookrightarrow Z$ where Z is a $G\text{-}AR$ space.

A closed G -equivariant embedding $s : A \hookrightarrow X$ is called a $G\text{-SSDR-map}$ if s embeds A in X as a G -shape strong deformation retract of X .

A G -space Y is called a G -fibrant if for every $G\text{-SSDR-map}$ $s : A \hookrightarrow X$ and every G -map $f : A \rightarrow Y$, there exists a G -map $F : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $F \circ s = f$.

Recall that a map $p : E \rightarrow B$ is a G -fibration if for every G -space X and every commutative diagram of G -maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{h} & E \\
 \delta_0 \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\
 X \times I & \xrightarrow{H} & B
 \end{array}$$

where $\delta_0(x) = (x, 0)$, there exists $\tilde{H} : X \times I \rightarrow E$ such that $\tilde{H} \circ \delta_0 = h$ and $p \circ \tilde{H} = H$.

For example, the G -fibrations naturally appear in the following situation. Let U be a G -space. The space U^I of paths $\omega : I \rightarrow U$, provided with the compact-open topology, can be treated as a G -space with the action: $(g \star \omega)(t) = g\omega(t)$. Then the projection $p : U^I \rightarrow U \times U$, given by $p(\omega) = (\omega(0), \omega(1))$, is a G -fibration.

The following theorem is an equivariant version of Theorem 1.2 of [6].

THEOREM 2.1. *Let $s : A \hookrightarrow X$ be a closed G -embedding. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) s is a $G\text{-SSDR-map}$;

- (b) for any G -equivariant map $f : A \rightarrow Y$, where Y is G -ANR, there is a G -equivariant extension $\tilde{f} : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $\tilde{f} \circ s = f$, and if $\tilde{f}_1, \tilde{f}_2 : X \rightarrow Y$ are any two such extensions, then $\tilde{f}_1 \simeq_G \tilde{f}_2$ rel. $s(A)$;
- (c) For any G -fibration $p : E \rightarrow B$, where E and B are G -ANR-spaces and any commutative diagram of G -equivariant maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A & \xrightarrow{\quad f \quad} & E \\
 \downarrow s & & \downarrow p \\
 X & \xrightarrow{\quad F \quad} & B
 \end{array}$$

there exists a G -equivariant map $\tilde{F} : X \rightarrow E$ such that $\tilde{F} \circ s = f$ and $p \circ \tilde{F} = F$.

We shall give the proof of the theorem though it is quite analogous to the proof of its “non-equivariant” case.

PROOF. (a) \Rightarrow (b). Clearly, we can assume that $A \subset X$ and $s(a) = a$. Let $X \hookrightarrow M$ be an equivariant closed embedding of X in some G -AR space M (See [3], Proposition 1). Since each G -AR space for metrizable spaces is a G -AE ([3], Proposition 2), there is a G -extension $\hat{f} : V \rightarrow Y$ of f on some invariant open neighborhood V of A in M . By the definition of a G -SSDR-map, we can find a G -homotopy $H : X \times I \rightarrow M$ such that $H(x, 0) = x$, $H(x, 1) \in V$ and $H(a, t) = a$ for $x \in X$, $a \in A$, $t \in I$. The required extension $\tilde{f} : X \rightarrow Y$ can be given by $\tilde{f}(x) = \hat{f}(H(x, 1))$.

Let $\tilde{f}_1, \tilde{f}_2 : X \rightarrow Y$ be two G -extensions of f . Define a G -equivariant map $F : X \times 0 \cup A \times I \cup X \times 1 \rightarrow Y$ by $F(x, 0) = \tilde{f}_1(x)$, $F(x, 1) = \tilde{f}_2(x)$, $F(a, t) = f(a)$ for $x \in X$, $a \in A$, $t \in I$. Considering X as a closed invariant subset of a G -AR space M , and therefore $X \times I$ as a closed invariant subset of the G -AR space $M \times I$, we find a G -extension $\overline{F} : W \rightarrow Y$ of F on some invariant neighborhood W of $X \times 0 \cup A \times I \cup X \times 1$ in $M \times I$. Clearly, one can choose an invariant neighborhood U of X in M such that $U \times \{0\} \subset W$ and $U \times \{1\} \subset W$. Besides, a standard compactness argument guarantees the existence of an invariant neighborhood V of A in M such that $V \times I \subset W$. Taking a G -homotopy $D : X \times I \rightarrow U$ such that $D(x, 0) = x$, $D(x, 1) \in V$ and $D(a, t) = a$ for $x \in X$, $a \in A$, $t \in I$, we can establish G -homotopies $F' : \tilde{f}_1 \simeq_G h_1$ rel. A , $F'' : \tilde{f}_2 \simeq_G h_2$ rel. A and $H : h_1 \simeq_G h_2$ rel. A by $F'(x, t) = \overline{F}(D(x, t), 0)$, $F''(x, t) = \overline{F}(D(x, t), 1)$ and $H(x, t) = \overline{F}(D(x, 1), t)$. Thus $\tilde{f}_1 \simeq_G \tilde{f}_2$ rel. A .

(b) \Rightarrow (c). Since E is a G -ANR there exists a G -extension $\overline{F} : X \rightarrow E$ such that $\overline{F} \circ s = f$. We have $F \circ s = p \circ \overline{F} \circ s$ and by the second part of (b) there is a G -homotopy $H : F \simeq_G p\overline{F}$ rel. $s(A)$. Applying the covering

homotopy property we get a G -homotopy $\tilde{H} : X \times I \rightarrow E$, $\tilde{H} : \tilde{F} \simeq_G \tilde{F}$ rel. $s(A)$, such that $p \circ \tilde{H} = H$. So $\tilde{F} \circ s = f$ and $p \circ \tilde{F} = F$ as required.

(c) \Rightarrow (a). As above, we can assume that X is an invariant closed subset of some G -AR space M and that A is an invariant closed subset of X , so $s(a) = a$ for $a \in A$. Let U and V be invariant open neighborhoods of X and A respectively in M . First applying (c) to the G -fibration $V \rightarrow *$ and the inclusion $i : A \rightarrow V$ we get a G -map $r : X \rightarrow V$ such that $r \circ s = i$. Afterwards applying (c) to the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A & \xrightarrow{f} & U^I \\
 \downarrow s & & \downarrow p \\
 X & \xrightarrow{F} & U \times U
 \end{array}$$

where $p(\omega) = (\omega(0), \omega(1))$, $f(a)(t) = a$, $F(x) = (x, r(x))$, we obtain a G -map $\overline{F} : X \rightarrow U^I$ such that $\overline{F} \circ s = f$, $p \circ \overline{F} = F$. Now observe that the map $D : X \times I \rightarrow U$ defined by $D(x, t) = \overline{F}(x)(t)$ satisfies the conditions of the definition of a G -SSDR-map. \square

COROLLARY 2.2. *Every G -ANR is a G -fibrant space.*

3. MAIN RESULT

The main result of this paper is the following

THEOREM 3.1. *Every compact metrizable group G is a G -fibrant space.*

In the proof of this theorem, we shall use the propositions given below.

PROPOSITION 3.2. ([7], Proposition 1.6.6) *Let G be a compact Lie group and H be its closed subgroup. Then G/H is a G -ANR space.*

The following result is actually proved in the classical book of Pontrjagin [8]. Note that it can be easily obtained from Corollary 4.4 of [4]: for every neighborhood U of the unit e of a compact group G , there exists a group morphism $\varphi : G \rightarrow \mathbf{O}(n)$ such that $\ker \varphi \subseteq U$.

PROPOSITION 3.3. *Let G be a compact metrizable group. Then there exists a decreasing sequence $\{N_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of its normal closed subgroups such that the quotient groups G/N_i are Lie groups, $\bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} N_i = \{e\}$ and*

$$\varprojlim \{G/N_i, q_i^j\} = G$$

where $q_i^j : G/N_j \rightarrow G/N_i$, $j \geq i$, are the natural projections.

We omit a routine proof of the following statement.

PROPOSITION 3.4. *Let G be a compact metrizable group and $\{N_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of its closed normal subgroups satisfying Proposition 3.3.*

(a) *If X is a G -space, then*

$$X = \varprojlim \{X/N_i, p_i^j\}$$

where $p_i^j : X/N_j \rightarrow X/N_i$, $j \geq i$, are the natural projections.

(b) *Let X and Y be G -spaces represented according to (a) as*

$$X = \varprojlim \{X/N_i, p_i^j\} \text{ and } Y = \varprojlim \{Y/N_i, q_i^j\}.$$

If the G/N_i -maps $f_i : X/N_i \rightarrow Y/N_i$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, are such that $q_i^{i+1} f_{i+1} = f_i p_i^{i+1}$, i.e. the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X/N_{i+1} & \xrightarrow{f_{i+1}} & Y/N_{i+1} \\ \downarrow p_i^{i+1} & & \downarrow q_i^{i+1} \\ X/N_i & \xrightarrow{f_i} & Y/N_i \end{array}$$

commutes for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, then there exists a unique G -map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $q_i f = f_i p_i$ for each i , where $p_i : X \rightarrow X/N_i$, $q_i : Y \rightarrow Y/N_i$ are N_i -orbit projections.

PROPOSITION 3.5. *Let G be a compact group and N be a closed normal subgroup of G . If $s : A \rightarrow X$ is a G -SSDR-map, then the induced map $s/N : A/N \rightarrow X/N$ is a G/N -SSDR-map.*

PROOF. Let $j_0 : X/N \hookrightarrow Y$ be a closed G/N -embedding of X/N in a G/N -ANR space Y . By Lemma 1 of [1] there exist a G -space Z and a closed G -embedding $\hat{j}_0 : X \hookrightarrow Z$ such that $Z/N = Y$ and $j_0 \circ p = q_1 \circ \hat{j}_0$, where $p : X \rightarrow X/N$, $q_1 : Z \rightarrow Y$ are the N -orbit maps. Let $\hat{j}_1 : Z \hookrightarrow M$ be a closed G -embedding of Z in a G -AR space M (See [3], Proposition 1). Then by Theorem 1 of [2] M/N is a G/N -ANR space and we get a closed G/N -embedding $j = j_1 \circ \hat{j}_0 : X/N \hookrightarrow M/N$, where the embedding $j_1 : Z/N \hookrightarrow M/N$ is induced by \hat{j}_1 . Moreover, for the closed G -embedding $\hat{j} = \hat{j}_1 \circ \hat{j}_0 : X \hookrightarrow M$ and the N -orbit map $q : M \rightarrow M/N$ we have $q \circ \hat{j} = j \circ p$.

Now let U and V be invariant neighborhoods of X/N and A/N respectively in M/N . Then $\hat{U} = q^{-1}(U)$ and $\hat{V} = q^{-1}(V)$ are invariant neighborhoods of X and A respectively in M . Since $s : A \hookrightarrow X$ is a G -SSDR-map there exists a G -homotopy $\hat{H} : X \times I \rightarrow \hat{U}$ rel. A such that $\hat{H}(x, 0) = x$ and $\hat{H}(x, 1) \in \hat{V}$. It is clear that the induced G/N -homotopy $H : X/N \times I \rightarrow U$, defined by $H(N(x), t) = N(\hat{H}(x, t))$, satisfies the analogous properties and it means that $s/N : A/N \hookrightarrow X/N$ is a G/N -SSDR-map. \square

We need the following version of the covering homotopy theorem (compare [4], Ch. II, Theorem 7.3).

PROPOSITION 3.6. *Let G be a compact Lie group and N be a normal closed subgroup of G . Suppose that for a G -space X , a G/N -homotopy $H : X/N \times I \rightarrow G/N$ and a G -map $h : X \rightarrow G$ are such that the following diagram commutes*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{h} & G \\ \partial_0 \downarrow & & \downarrow q \\ X/N \times I & \xrightarrow{H} & G/N \end{array}$$

where $\partial_0(x) = (p(x), 0)$ and $p : X \rightarrow X/N$, $q : G \rightarrow G/N$ are the N -orbit maps. Then there exists a G -homotopy $\tilde{H} : X \times I \rightarrow G$ such that $\tilde{H}(x, 0) = h(x)$ and $q \circ \tilde{H} = H \circ (p \times 1_I)$.

Moreover, if A is an invariant closed subset of X such that $H(p(a), t) = H(p(a), 0)$ for any $a \in A$, $t \in I$, then the covering homotopy \tilde{H} can be chosen so that $\tilde{H}(a, t) = h(a)$ for any $a \in A$, $t \in I$.

PROOF. Note that the existence of the G -map $h : X \rightarrow G$ implies that the action of the group G on X is of a quite simple structure. Indeed, let $S = h^{-1}(e)$, where e is the unit element of the group G , and let $\rho : X \rightarrow S$ be a map defined by $\rho(x) = (h(x))^{-1}x$. Then ρ is a retraction such that $\rho(gx) = \rho(x)$ for any $g \in G$, $x \in X$. Now consider the product $G \times S$ as a G -space endowed with the action $g(g', s) = (gg', s)$. It can be easily verified that the map $\varphi : X \rightarrow G \times S$, given by $\varphi(x) = (h(x), \rho(x))$, is a G -map and, moreover, it is a G -equivalence because the G -map $\psi : G \times S \rightarrow X$, where $\psi(g, s) = gs$, is the inverse map for φ . In fact, we shall use this G -equivalence in the construction of the covering homotopy \tilde{H} .

Let $F : S \times I \rightarrow G/N$ be a homotopy defined by $F(s, t) = H(p(s), t)$. For the given invariant closed subset $A \subseteq X$, let $U = A \cap S$, that is $U = \rho(A)$. Then, if $u \in U$, we have $F(u, t) = H(p(u), t) = H(p(u), 0) = F(u, 0)$ for any $t \in I$.

Since N is a Lie group, the projection $q : G \rightarrow G/N$ is a locally trivial fibration (see [4], Ch. II, Theorem 5.8) and hence it has the regular homotopy lifting property. In particular, considering the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S & \xrightarrow{f} & G \\ \delta_0 \downarrow & & \downarrow q \\ S \times I & \xrightarrow{F} & G/N \end{array}$$

where $f(s) = e$ and $\delta_0(s) = (s, 0)$ for $s \in S$, one can find a homotopy $\tilde{F} : S \times I \rightarrow G$ which preserves the commutativity of the diagram, that is to say, $q \circ \tilde{F} = F$, $\tilde{F} \circ \delta_0 = f$ and, moreover, $\tilde{F}(u, t) = e$ for any $u \in U$, $t \in I$.

Finally, the required covering homotopy $\tilde{H} : X \times I \rightarrow G$ can be defined by $\tilde{H}(x, t) = h(x)\tilde{F}(\rho(x), t)$. The verification of this fact is straightforward.

Indeed, we have $\tilde{H}(x, 0) = h(x)\tilde{F}(\rho(x), 0)$, but

$$\tilde{F}(\rho(x), 0) = \tilde{F} \circ \delta_0(\rho(x)) = f(\rho(x)) = e,$$

and hence $\tilde{H}(x, 0) = h(x)$.

Since $q : G \rightarrow G/N$ is a group morphism and $q \circ \tilde{F} = F$, we get

$$q(\tilde{H}(x, t)) = q(h(x))q(\tilde{F}(\rho(x), t)) = q(h(x))F(\rho(x), t).$$

By the definition of the homotopy F , we have $F(\rho(x), t) = H(p(\rho(x)), t)$, but H is a G/N -map, and therefore, $q(h(x))H(p(\rho(x)), t) = H(q(h(x))p(\rho(x)), t)$. Reminding that $q : G \rightarrow G/N$ and $p : X \rightarrow X/N$ are N -orbit maps, we obtain

$$q(h(x))p(\rho(x)) = p(h(x)\rho(x)) = p(x).$$

Thus $q(\tilde{H}(x, t)) = H(p(x), t)$, that is $q \circ \tilde{H} = H \circ (p \times 1_I)$.

Besides, if $a \in A$, we have $\rho(a) \in U$, and therefore,

$$\tilde{H}(a, t) = h(a)\tilde{F}(\rho(a), t) = h(a)e = h(a) \text{ for any } t \in I. \quad \square$$

PROOF OF THEOREM 3.1. Let $j : A \hookrightarrow X$ be a G -SSDR-map and $f : A \rightarrow G$ be a G -map. In order to show that G is a G -fibrant, we must find a G -map $F : X \rightarrow G$ such that $F \circ j = f$.

According to Proposition 3.3, we represent the group G as an inverse limit of Lie groups $G = \varprojlim \{G/N_i, q_i^j\}$.

The G -maps j and f induce for each k the G/N_k -maps $j_k = j/N_k : A/N_k \hookrightarrow X/N_k$, $f_k = f/N_k : A/N_k \rightarrow G/N_k$. Then for each k the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X/N_{k+1} & \xleftarrow{j_{k+1}} & A/N_{k+1} & \xrightarrow{f_{k+1}} & G/N_{k+1} \\ \downarrow p_k^{k+1} & & \downarrow r_k^{k+1} & & \downarrow q_k^{k+1} \\ X/N_k & \xleftarrow{j_k} & A/N_k & \xrightarrow{f_k} & G/N_k \end{array}$$

where p_k^{k+1} , q_k^{k+1} , r_k^{k+1} are the natural projections. It is clear that these projections can be treated as orbit projections with respect to the action of the closed subgroup N_i/N_{i+1} of the Lie group G/N_{i+1} on X/N_{i+1} , A/N_{i+1} and G/N_{i+1} respectively. For each k the map j_k is a G/N_k -SSDR-map by Proposition 3.5, and G/N_k is a G/N_k -ANR by Proposition 3.2, and therefore there exists a G/N_k -equivariant extension $F_k : X/N_k \rightarrow G/N_k$ of f_k such that

$F_k j_k = f_k$. Using these extensions we shall construct by induction G/N_k -maps $T_k : X/N_k \rightarrow G/N_k$ satisfying the conditions $T_k p_k^{k+1} = q_k^{k+1} T_{k+1}$ and $T_k j_k = f_k$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $T_1 = F_1$ and suppose that T_k is already found. We have to construct the map T_{k+1} .

One has

$$T_k j_k r_k^{k+1} = f_k r_k^{k+1} = q_k^{k+1} f_{k+1} = q_k^{k+1} F_{k+1} j_{k+1} = F_k^* p_k^{k+1} j_{k+1} = F_k^* j_k r_k^{k+1},$$

where $F_k^* : X/N_k \rightarrow G/N_k$ is the G/N_k -map induced by F_{k+1} . Hence $T_k j_k = F_k^* j_k$ because r_k^{k+1} is surjective. According to Theorem 2.1, there is a G/N_k -homotopy $H : F_k^* \simeq T_k \text{ rel. } j_k(A/N_k)$, $H : X/N_k \times I \rightarrow G/N_k$.

Now consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X/N_{k+1} & \xrightarrow{F_{k+1}} & G/N_{k+1} \\ \partial_0 \downarrow & & \downarrow q_k^{k+1} \\ X/N_k \times I & \xrightarrow{H} & G/N_k \end{array}$$

where $\partial_0([x]) = (p_k^{k+1}[x], 0)$ for $[x] = N_{k+1}(x) \in X/N_{k+1}$.

Taking into account this diagram, we are going to apply Proposition 3.6 to the Lie group G/N_{k+1} acting on X/N_{k+1} and to its closed normal subgroup N_k/N_{k+1} . Note that one can consider the G/N_{k+1} -space X/N_k as the orbit space $X/N_{k+1}/N_k/N_{k+1}$.

By Proposition 3.6, we get a G/N_{k+1} -homotopy

$$\tilde{H} : X/N_{k+1} \times I \rightarrow G/N_{k+1}$$

such that $\tilde{H}([x], 0) = F_{k+1}([x])$, $q_k^{k+1}(\tilde{H}([x], t)) = H(p_k^{k+1}([x]), t)$ and, for any $t \in I$, $\tilde{H}([a], t) = F_{k+1}([a])$ if $[a] \in j_{k+1}(A/N_{k+1})$. Putting $T_{k+1}([x]) = \tilde{H}([x], 1)$ we get the required G/N_{k+1} -map $T_{k+1} : X/N_{k+1} \rightarrow G/N_{k+1}$. This completes the inductive step.

The sequence $\{T_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, according to Proposition 3.4, determines a unique G -map $F : X \rightarrow G$ such that $q_k F = T_k p_k$ for each k . Since $T_k j_k = f_k$ for each k , we can state that $F \circ j = f$. □

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.

The authors wish to thank the referee for many helpful comments and suggestions.

REFERENCES

[1] S.A. Antonyan, *Preservation of k-connectedness by a symmetric n-th power functor*, Moscow Univ.Math. Bull. **49** (1994) 22-25.

- [2] S.A. Antonyan, *Extensorial properties of orbit spaces of proper group actions*, Topology and Appl. **98** (1999) 35-46.
- [3] S.A. Antonyan, S.Mardešić, *Equivariant Shape*, Fund. Math. **127** (1987), 213-224.
- [4] G.E.Bredon, *Introduction to Compact Transformation Groups*, Academic Press, New York, 1972.
- [5] A. I. Bykov and L. G. Zerkalov, *Cotelescopes and approximate lifting properties in shape theory*, Topology and Appl. **73** (1996), 197-212.
- [6] F. Cathey, *Strong shape theory*, in: Shape Theory and Geometric Topology, Lecture Notes in Math. **870**, Springer, Berlin, 1981, 216-239.
- [7] R.S.Palais, *The classification of G -spaces*, Memoirs AMS, 36, 1960.
- [8] L.S. Pontrjagin, *Topological groups*, Princeton Univ. Press, 1939.
- [9] D.G.Quillen, *Homotopical algebra*, Lecture Notes in Math. 43, Springer, 1967.

A. Bykov
Benemérita Universidad Autónoma de Puebla
Facultad de Ciencias Fisico Matematicas
Av. San Claudio y Rio Verde
Ciudad Universitaria, Colonia San Manuel
CP 72570, Puebla, Pue.
México
E-mail: abykov@fcfm.buap.mx

M. Taxis
Benemérita Universidad Autónoma de Puebla
Av. San Claudio y Rio Verde
Ciudad Universitaria, Colonia San Manuel
CP 72570, Puebla, Pue.
México

Received: 21.1.2004.

Revised: 2.3.2005.