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#### Abstract

Making use of a convolution structure, we introduce a new class of analytic functions $\mathbb{T}_{g}^{p}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta$,$) defined in the open unit disc and investigate its various characteris-$ tics. Further we obtained distortion bounds, extreme points and radii of close-to-convexity, starlikeness and convexity for functions belonging to the class $\mathbb{T}_{g}^{p}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$. AMS subject classifications: 30C45
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## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{A}(p)$ denote a class of functions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}, p \in N=\{1,2,3, \ldots\} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are analytic in the open disc $U=\{z: z \in \mathcal{C} ;|z|<1\}$. For functions $f \in \mathcal{A}(p)$ given by (1) and $g \in \mathcal{A}(p)$ given by

$$
g(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n}, p \in N=\{1,2,3, \ldots\}
$$

we define the Hadamard product (or convolution) of $f$ and $g$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z) * g(z)=(f * g)(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_{n} b_{n} z^{n}, \quad z \in U . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In terms of the Hadamard product (or convolution), we choose $g$ as a fixed function in $\mathcal{A}(p)$ such that $(f * g)(z)$ exists for any $f \in \mathcal{A}(p)$, and for various choices of $g$ we get different linear operators which have been studied in the recent past. To illustrate some of these cases which arise from the convolution structure (2), we consider the following examples.

[^0]1. For

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\alpha_{1}\right)_{n-p} \ldots\left(\alpha_{r}\right)_{n-p}}{\left(\beta_{1}\right)_{n-p} \ldots\left(\beta_{s}\right)_{n-p}} \frac{z^{n}}{(n-p)!} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

we get the Dziok-Srivastava operator

$$
\Lambda\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \cdots, \alpha_{r} ; \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \cdots, \beta_{s} ; z\right) f(z) \equiv H_{r, s}^{p} f(z):=(f * g)(z)
$$

introduced by Dziok and Srivastava [6]; where $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \cdots \alpha_{r}, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \ldots \ldots, \beta_{s}$ are complex parameters, $\beta_{j} \notin\{0,-1,-2, \cdots\}$ for $j=1,2, \cdots, s, r \leq s+$ $1, r, s \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$. Here $(a)_{\nu}$ denotes a well-known Pochhammer symbol (or shifted factorial).

Remark 1. When $\alpha_{1}=a, \beta_{1}=c s=1$ and $r=2$, Dizok-Srivastava operator reduces to Carlson-Shaffer operator [3], further when $r=1, s=0 ; \alpha_{1}=$ $\nu+1, \alpha_{2}=1 ; \beta_{1}=1$, then the above Dziok-Srivastava operator yields the Ruscheweyh derivative operator introduced by Patel and Cho [10].
2. For

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(z)=\phi_{p}(a, c, z):=z^{p}+\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{n-1}}{(c)_{n-1}} z^{n} \quad(c \neq 0,-1,-2, \cdots), \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

we get the multivalent Carlson-Shaffer operator $L_{p}(a, c) f(z):=(f * g)(z)$. The operator

$$
L(a, c) f(z) \equiv L_{1}(a, c) f(z) \equiv z F(a, 1 ; c ; z) * f(z)
$$

was introduced by Carlson-Shaffer [3] where $F(a, b ; c ; z)$ is the Gaussian hypergeometric function.
3. For $g(z)=\frac{z^{p}}{(1-z)^{\nu+p}}(\nu \geq-p)$, we obtain the multivalent Ruscheweyh operator defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{\nu+p-1} f(z):=(f * g)(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty}\binom{\nu+n-1}{n-p} a_{n} z^{n} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The operator $D^{\nu+p-1} f$ was introduced by Patel and Cho [10]. In particular, $D^{\nu} f: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ for $p=1$ and $\nu \geq-1$ was introduced by Ruschweyh [15]. Note that for $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$,

$$
D^{n} f(z)=\frac{z\left(z^{n-1} f(z)\right)^{(n)}}{n!}
$$

4. For

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{n}{p}\right)^{m} z^{n} \quad(m \geq 0) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

we get the multivalent Sălăgean operator $D_{p}^{m} f(z)$ introduced by Shenan et al. [14]. In particular, the differential operator $\mathcal{D}^{m} \equiv \mathcal{D}_{1}^{m}$ was initially studied by Sălăgean [12].
5. For

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} n\left(\frac{n+l}{p+l}\right)^{m} z^{n} \quad(l \geq 0 ; m \in \mathbb{Z}) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain the multiplier transformation $\mathcal{I}_{p}(l, m):=(f * g)(z)$ introduced by Ravichandran et al. [11]. In particular, $\mathcal{I}(l, m) \equiv \mathcal{I}_{1}(l, m)$ was studied by Cho and Kim [4] and Cho and Srivastava [5].
6. For

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{n+l}{p+l}\right)^{m} z^{n} \quad(l \geq 0 ; m \in \mathbb{Z}) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

we get multiplier transformation $\mathcal{J}_{p}(l, m):=(f * g)(z)$. In particular $\mathcal{J}(l, m) \equiv$ $\mathcal{J}_{1}(l, m)$ introduced by Cho and Srivastava [4].
Remark 2. We note that for $l=0$ the above operator reduces to the multivalent Sălăgean operator $D_{p}^{m} f(z)$ introduced by Shenan et al. [14].

Motivated by the earlier works of $[6,8,10,14,11,16]$ we introduced a new subclass of multivalent functions with negative coefficients and discuss some interesting properties of this generalized function class.

For $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1,0 \leq \alpha<1$ and $0<\beta \leq 1$, we let $\mathbb{P}_{g}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$ be the subclass of $\mathcal{A}(p)$ consisting of functions of the form (1) and satisfying the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{\mathbb{J}_{g, \lambda}(z)-1}{\mathbb{J}_{g, \lambda}(z)+(1-2 \alpha)}\right|<\beta \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{J}_{g, \lambda}(z)=(1-\lambda) \frac{(f * g)}{z^{p}}+\frac{\lambda(f * g)^{\prime}}{p z^{p-1}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$(f * g)(z)$ is given by (2) and $g$ is fixed function for all $z \in U$. We further let $\mathbb{T}_{g}^{p}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)=\mathbb{P}_{g}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta) \cap T(p)$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(p):=\left\{f \in \mathcal{A}(p): f(z)=z-\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty}\left|a_{n}\right| z^{n}, \quad z \in U\right\} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We deem it proper to mention below some of the function classes which emerge from the function class $\mathbb{T}_{g}^{p}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$ defined above. Indeed, we observe that if we specialize the function $g(z)$ by means of (3) to (8), and denote the corresponding reducible classes of functions of $\mathbb{T}_{g}^{p}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$, as listed below.

When $g(z)$ is as defined in $(3)$, the class $\mathbb{T}_{g}^{p}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$ reduces to the subclass $\mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$ with (9) and

$$
\mathbb{J}_{H, \lambda}(z)=(1-\lambda) \frac{H_{s, r}^{p}\left[\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}\right] f(z)}{z^{p}}+\lambda \frac{\left(H_{s, r}^{p}\left[\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}\right] f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{p z^{p-1}} .
$$

When $g(z)$ is as defined in (4), the class $\mathbb{T}_{g}^{p}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$ reduces to the subclass $\mathcal{L T}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$ with (9) and

$$
\mathbb{J}_{L, \lambda}(z)=(1-\lambda) \frac{L_{p}(a, c) f(z)}{z^{p}}+\frac{\lambda\left(L_{p}(a, c) f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{p z^{p-1}}
$$

When $g(z)$ is as defined in (5), the class $\mathbb{T}_{g}^{p}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$ reduces to the subclass $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{T}^{\nu}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$ with (9) and

$$
\mathbb{J}_{\nu, \lambda}(z)=(1-\lambda) \frac{D^{\nu+p-1} f(z)}{z^{p}}+\lambda \frac{\left(D^{\nu+p-1} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{p z^{p-1}} .
$$

When $g(z)$ is as defined in (7), the class $\mathbb{T}_{g}^{p}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$ reduces to the subclass $\mathcal{I} \mathcal{T}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$ with (9) and

$$
\mathbb{J}_{l, \lambda}(z)=(1-\lambda) \frac{\mathcal{I}_{p}(m, l) f(z)}{z^{p}}+\lambda \frac{\left(\mathcal{I}_{p}(m, l)\right)^{\prime}}{p z^{p-1}}
$$

When $g(z)$ is as defined in (8), the class $\mathbb{T}_{g}^{p}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$ reduces to the subclass $\mathcal{J} \mathcal{T}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$ with (9) and

$$
\mathbb{J}_{n, \lambda}(z)=(1-\lambda) \frac{\mathcal{J}_{p}(l, m) f(z)}{z^{p}}+\lambda \frac{\left(\mathcal{J}_{p}(l, m)\right)^{\prime}}{p z^{p-1}}
$$

When $g(z)$ is as defined in (6), the class $\mathbb{T}_{g}^{p}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$ reduces to the subclass $\mathcal{S T}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$ with (9) and

$$
\mathbb{J}_{m, \lambda}(z)=(1-\lambda) \frac{\mathcal{D}_{p}^{m} f(z)}{z^{p}}+\lambda \frac{\mathcal{D}_{p}^{m+1} f(z)}{p z^{p-1}}
$$

The purpose of the present paper is to investigate the various properties and characteristics of functions belonging to the above defined subclass $\mathbb{T}_{g}^{p}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$ of multivalent functions in the open unit disk $U$. Apart from deriving a set of coefficient bounds for this function class, we also establish distortion bounds and several inclusion relationships involving the multivalent functions with negative coefficients belonging to this subclass.

## 2. Coefficient bounds

In this section we obtain coefficient estimates and extreme points for the class $\mathbb{T}_{g}^{p}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$.

Theorem 1. Let the function $f$ be defined by (11). Then $f \in \mathbb{T}_{g}^{p}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty}(p+n \lambda)(1+\beta) a_{n} b_{n} \leq 2 p \beta(1-\alpha) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Suppose $f$ satisfies (12). Then for $|z|=r<1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\mathbb{J}_{g, \lambda}(z)-1\right|-\beta\left|\mathbb{J}_{g, \lambda}(z)+(1-2 \alpha)\right|= & \left|-\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{(p+n \lambda)}{p}(1+\beta) a_{n} b_{n} z^{n-p}\right| \\
& -\beta\left|2(1-\alpha)-\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{(p+n \lambda)}{p} a_{n} b_{n} z^{n-p}\right| \\
\leq & \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{(p+n \lambda)}{p} a_{n} b_{n}-2 \beta(1-\alpha) \\
& +\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{(p+n \lambda)}{p} \beta a_{n} b_{n} \\
= & \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{(p+n \lambda)}{p}[1+\beta] a_{n} b_{n}-2 \beta(1-\alpha) \\
\leq & 0, \quad \text { by }(12) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, by maximum modulus theorem and (9), $f \in \mathbb{T}_{g}^{p}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$. To prove the converse, assume that

$$
\left|\frac{\mathbb{J}_{g, \lambda}(z)-1}{\mathbb{J}_{g, \lambda}(z)+(1-2 \alpha)}\right|=\left|\frac{-\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{(p+n \lambda)}{p} a_{n} b_{n} z^{n-p}}{2(1-\alpha)-\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{(p+n \lambda)}{p} a_{n} b_{n} z^{n-p}}\right| \leq \beta, \quad z \in U .
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{(p+n \lambda)}{p} a_{n} b_{n} z^{n-p}}{2(1-\alpha)-\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{(p+n \lambda)}{p} a_{n} b_{n} z^{n-p}}\right\}<\beta . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choose values of $z$ on the real axis so that $\mathbb{J}_{g, \lambda}(z)$ is real. Upon clearing the denominator in (13) and letting $z \rightarrow 1^{-}$through real values, we obtain the desired inequality (12).

Corollary 1. If $f(z)$ of the form (11) is in $\mathbb{T}_{g}^{p}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{n} \leq \frac{2 p \beta(1-\alpha)}{(p+n \lambda)[1+\beta] b_{n}}, \quad n \geq p+1, \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the equality only for functions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z^{p}-\frac{2 p \beta(1-\alpha)}{(p+n \lambda)[1+\beta] b_{n}} z^{n}, \quad n \geq p+1 . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corresponding to the various subclasses which arise from the function class $\mathbb{T}_{g}^{p}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$, by suitably choosing the function $g(z)$ as mentioned in (3) to (8), we arrive at the following corollaries giving the coefficient bound inequalities for these subclasses of functions.

Corollary 2. A function $f \in \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$ if and only if

$$
\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty}(p+n \lambda)[1+\beta] a_{n} \Gamma_{n} \leq 2 p \beta(1-\alpha)
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{n}=\frac{1}{(n-p)!} \frac{\left(\alpha_{1}\right)_{n-p} \ldots\left(\alpha_{l}\right)_{n-p}}{\left(\beta_{1}\right)_{n-p} \ldots\left(\beta_{m}\right)_{n-p}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 3. For specific choices of parameters $\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}, s, r$ as stated in Remark 1, Corollary 2 would yield the coefficient bound inequalities for the subclasses of functions $\mathcal{L T}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$ and $\left.\mathcal{R} \mathcal{T}^{\nu}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)\right)$.
Corollary 3. A function $f \in \mathcal{I} \mathcal{T}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$ if and only if

$$
\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty}(p+n \lambda)[1+\beta] n\left(\frac{n+l}{p+l}\right)^{m} a_{n} \leq 2 p \beta(1-\alpha)
$$

Corollary 4. A function $f \in \mathcal{J T}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$ if and only if

$$
\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty}(p+n \lambda)[1+\beta]\left(\frac{n+l}{p+l}\right)^{m} a_{n} \leq 2 p \beta(1-\alpha)
$$

Remark 4. When $l=0$, Corollary 4 would give the coefficient bound inequality for the subclass of functions $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{T}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$.

Theorem 2 (Extreme points). Let

$$
f_{p}(z)=z^{p}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n}(z)=z^{p}-\frac{2 p \beta(1-\alpha)}{(p+n \lambda)[1+\beta] b_{n}} z^{n}, \quad n \geq p+1 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $0 \leq \alpha<1,0<\beta \leq 1,0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$. Then $f(z)$ is in the class $\mathbb{T}_{g}^{p}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$ if and only if it can be expressed in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=\mu_{p} z^{p}+\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \mu_{n} f_{n}(z), \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu_{n} \geq 0$ and $\sum_{n=p}^{\infty} \mu_{n}=1$.
Proof. Suppose $f(z)$ can be written as in (18). Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(z) & =\mu_{p} z^{p}-\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \mu_{n}\left[z^{p}-\frac{2 p \beta(1-\alpha)}{(p+n \lambda)[1+\beta] b_{n}} z^{n}\right] \\
& =z^{p}-\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \mu_{n} \frac{2 p \beta(1-\alpha)}{(p+n \lambda)[1+\beta] b_{n}} z^{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now,

$$
\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{(p+n \lambda)[1+\beta] b_{n}}{2 p \beta(1-\alpha)} \mu_{n} \frac{2 p \beta(1-\alpha)}{(p+n \lambda)[1+\beta] b_{n}}=\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \mu_{n}=1-\mu_{1} \leq 1
$$

Thus $f \in \mathbb{T}_{g}^{p}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$. Conversely, let us have $f \in \mathbb{T}_{g}^{p}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$. Then by using (14), we set

$$
\mu_{n}=\frac{(p+n \lambda)[1+\beta] b_{n}}{2 p \beta(1-\alpha)} a_{n}, \quad n \geq p+1
$$

and $\mu_{p}=1-\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \mu_{n}$. Then we have $f(z)=\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \mu_{n} f_{n}(z)$ and hence this completes the proof of Theorem 2.

Corollary 5. Let

$$
f_{p}(z)=z^{p}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n}(z)=z^{p}-\frac{2 p \beta(1-\alpha)}{\Gamma_{n}(p+n \lambda)[1+\beta]} z^{n}, \quad n \geq p+1 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $0 \leq \alpha<1,0<\beta \leq 1, \lambda \geq 0$. Then $f(z)$ is in the class $\mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$ if and only if it can be expressed in the form

$$
f(z)=\mu_{p} z^{p}+\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \mu_{n} f_{n}(z)
$$

where

$$
\mu_{n} \geq 0, \quad \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} \mu_{n}=1
$$

and $\Gamma_{n}$ is given by (16).
Remark 5. For specific choices of parameters $r, s, \alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}$ (as mentioned in the Remarks 1 and 2), Corollary 5 we can prove analogous results for the subclasses of functions $\mathcal{L T}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$ and $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{T}^{\nu}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$. Further on lines similar to the above theorem one can easily prove the extreme points results for the classes $\mathcal{I T}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$ and $\mathcal{J T}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$.

## 3. Distortion bounds

In this section we obtain distortion bounds for the class $\mathbb{T}_{g}^{p}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$.
Theorem 3. If $f \in \mathbb{T}_{g}^{p}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{p}-\frac{2 p \beta(1-\alpha)}{(p+p \lambda+\lambda)[1+\beta] b_{p+1}} r^{p+1} \leq|f(z)| \leq r^{p}+\frac{2 p \beta(1-\alpha)}{(p+p \lambda+\lambda)[1+\beta] b_{p+1}} r^{p+1} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds if the sequence $\left\{\sigma_{n}\right\}$ is non-increasing for $n>p$ and
$p r^{p-1}-\frac{2 p(p+1) \beta(1-\alpha)}{(p+p \lambda+\lambda)[1+\beta] b_{p+1}} r^{p} \leq\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq p r^{p-1}+\frac{2 p(p+1) \beta(1-\alpha)}{(p+p \lambda+\lambda)[1+\beta] b_{p+1}} r^{p}$
holds if the sequence $\left\{\sigma_{n} / n\right\}$ is non-increasing for $n>p$, where $\sigma_{n}=(p+n \lambda) b_{n},(n>$ p).

The bounds in (20) and (21) are sharp, since the equalities are attained by the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z^{p}-\frac{2 p \beta(1-\alpha)}{(p+p \lambda+\lambda)[1+\beta] b_{p+1}} z^{p+1} \quad|z|= \pm r . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. In view of Theorem 1, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_{n} \leq \frac{2 p \beta(1-\alpha)}{(p+p \lambda+\lambda)[1+\beta] b_{p+1}} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (11) and (23), we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
|z|^{p}-|z|^{p+1} \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_{n} \leq|f(z)| \leq|z|^{p}+|z|^{p+1} \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_{n} \\
r^{p}-r^{p+1} \frac{2 p \beta(1-\alpha)}{(p+p \lambda+\lambda)[1+\beta] b_{p+1}} \leq|f(z)| \leq r^{p}+r^{p+1} \frac{2 p \beta(1-\alpha)}{(p+p \lambda+\lambda)[1+\beta] b_{p+1}} . \tag{24}
\end{gather*}
$$

Hence (20) follows from (24).
Further, since

$$
\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} n a_{n} \leq \frac{2 p(p+1) \beta(1-\alpha)}{(p+p \lambda+\lambda)[1+\beta] b_{p+1}}
$$

Hence (21) follows from

$$
p r^{p-1}-(p+1) r^{p} \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_{n} \leq\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq p r^{p-1}+(p+1) r^{p} \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_{n}
$$

Corollary 6. If $f \in \mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{p}-\frac{2 p \beta(1-\alpha)}{(p+p \lambda+\lambda)[1+\beta] \Gamma_{p+1}} r^{p+1} \leq|f(z)| \leq r^{p}+\frac{2 p \beta(1-\alpha)}{(p+p \lambda+\lambda)[1+\beta] \Gamma_{p+1}} r^{p+1} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds if the sequence $\left\{\sigma_{n}\right\}$ is non-increasing for $n>p$ and
$p r^{p-1}-\frac{2 p(p+1) \beta(1-\alpha)}{(p+p \lambda+\lambda)[1+\beta] \Gamma_{p+1}} r^{p} \leq\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq p r^{p-1}+\frac{2 p(p+1) \beta(1-\alpha)}{(p+p \lambda+\lambda)[1+\beta] \Gamma_{p+1}} r^{p}$
holds if the sequence $\left\{\sigma_{n} / n\right\}$ is non-increasing for $n>p$, where $\sigma_{n}=(p+n \lambda) \Gamma_{n}$, $(n>p)$.

The bounds in (25) and (26) are sharp for

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z^{p}-\frac{2 p \beta(1-\alpha)}{(p+p \lambda+\lambda)[1+\beta] \Gamma_{p+1}} z^{p+1} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Gamma_{p+1}$ is given by (16).
Remark 6. For specific choices of parameters $r, s, \alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}$ (as mentioned in Remarks 1 and 2), Corollary 6 we can deduce analogous results for the subclasses of functions $\mathcal{L T}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$ and $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{T}^{\nu}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$. Further on lines similar to the distortion theorem one can easily prove the distortion bounds for functions in the classes $\mathcal{I T}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$ and $\mathcal{J} \mathcal{T}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$.

Corollary 7. If $f \in \mathcal{S T}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& r^{p}-\frac{p \beta(1-\alpha)}{2^{m-1}(p+p \lambda+\lambda)[1+\beta]} r^{p+1} \leq|f(z)| \leq r^{p}+\frac{p \beta(1-\alpha)}{2^{m-1}(p+p \lambda+\lambda)[1+\beta]} r^{p+1}  \tag{28}\\
& p r^{p-1}-\frac{p p+1 \beta(1-\alpha)}{2^{m-1}(p+p \lambda+\lambda)[1+\beta]} r^{p} \leq\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq r^{p}+\frac{p(p+1) \beta(1-\alpha)}{2^{m-1}(p+p \lambda+\lambda)[1+\beta]} r^{p} . \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

The bounds in (28) and (29) are sharp for

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z^{p}-\frac{p \beta(1-\alpha)}{2^{m-1}(p+p \lambda+\lambda)[1+\beta]} z^{p+1} . \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4. Radius of starlikeness and convexity

The radii of close-to-convexity, starlikeness and convexity for the class $\mathbb{T}_{g}^{p}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$ are given in this section.

Theorem 4. Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (11) belong to the class $\mathbb{T}_{g}^{p}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$. Then $f(z) p$-valently is close-to-convex of order $\delta(0 \leq \delta<p)$ in the disc $|z|<r_{1}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{1}:=\inf _{n \geq p+1}\left[\frac{(p-\delta)(p+n \lambda)[1+\beta] b_{n}}{2 p n \beta(1-\alpha)}\right]^{\frac{1}{n-p}} . \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Given $f \in T$ and $f$ is close-to-convex of order $\delta$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{z^{p-1}}-p\right|<p-\delta \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the left-hand side of (32) we have

$$
\left|\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{z^{p-1}}-p\right| \leq \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} n a_{n}|z|^{n-p}
$$

The last expression is less than $p-\delta$ if

$$
\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{n}{p-\delta} a_{n}|z|^{n-p}<1
$$

Using the fact, that $f \in \mathbb{T}_{g}^{p}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$ if and only if

$$
\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{(p+n \lambda)[1+\beta] a_{n} b_{n}}{2 p \beta(1-\alpha)} \leq 1
$$

We can say (32) is true if

$$
\frac{n}{p-\delta}|z|^{n-p} \leq \frac{(p+n \lambda)[1+\beta] b_{n}}{2 p \beta(1-\alpha)}
$$

Or, equivalently,

$$
|z|^{n-p}=\left[\frac{(p-\delta)(p+n \lambda)[1+\beta] b_{n}}{2 p n \beta(1-\alpha)}\right]
$$

which completes the proof.
Theorem 5. Let $f \in \mathbb{T}_{g}^{p}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$. Then

1. $f$ is $p$-valently starlike of order $\delta(0 \leq \delta<p)$ in the disc $|z|<r_{2}$; that is, $\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right\}>\delta, \quad\left(|z|<r_{2} ; 0 \leq \delta<p\right)$, where

$$
r_{2}=\inf _{n \geq p+1}\left\{\frac{(p-\delta)(p+n \lambda)[1+\beta] b_{n}}{2 p \beta(1-\alpha)(k+p-\delta)}\right\}^{\frac{1}{n}}
$$

2. $f$ is $p$-valently convex of order $\delta(0 \leq \delta<p)$ in the disc $|z|<r_{3}$, that is $\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right\}>\delta,\left(|z|<r_{3} ; 0 \leq \delta<p\right)$, where

$$
r_{3}=\inf _{n \geq p+1}\left\{\frac{(p-\delta)(p+n \lambda)[1+\beta] b_{n}}{2 n \beta(1-\alpha)(n-\delta)}\right\}^{\frac{1}{n}}
$$

Each of these results are sharp for the extremal function $f(z)$ given by (17).
Proof. Given $f \in T$ and $f$ is $p$-valently starlike of order $\delta$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-p\right|<p-\delta \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the left-hand side of (33) we have

$$
\left|\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-p\right| \leq \frac{\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty}(n-p) a_{n}|z|^{n}}{1-\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_{n}|z|^{n}}
$$

The last expression is less than $p-\delta$ if

$$
\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{n-\delta}{p-\delta} a_{n}|z|^{n}<1
$$

Using the fact, that $f \in \mathbb{T}_{g}^{p}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$ if and only if

$$
\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{(p+n \lambda)[1+\beta] a_{n} b_{n}}{2 p \beta(1-\alpha)}<1
$$

We can say (33) is true if

$$
\frac{n-\delta}{p-\delta}|z|^{n}<\frac{(p+n \lambda)[1+\beta] b_{n}}{2 p \beta(1-\alpha)}
$$

Or, equivalently,

$$
|z|^{n}<\frac{(p-\delta)(p+n \lambda)[1+\beta] b_{n}}{2 p \beta(1-\alpha)(n-\delta)}
$$

which yields the starlikeness of the family.
(ii) Using the fact that $f$ is convex if and only if $z f^{\prime}$ is starlike, we can prove (2), on lines similar the proof of (1).

Concluding remarks: Corresponding to the various subclasses which arise from the function class $\mathbb{T}_{g}^{p}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$, by suitably choosing the function $g(z)$ as mentioned in (3) to (8), and for specific choices of parameters $\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}, r, s$ we can deduce analogous results for the subclasses of functions introduced in this paper. Furthermore, by suitably choosing the values of $g, \alpha, \beta, \lambda$, and $p=1$ the class $\mathbb{T}_{g}^{p}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$ and the above subclasses reduce to the various subclasses introduced and studied in the literature (see $[1,2,7,9,13,17]$ ).
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