

## A note on generalized absolute summability factors

EKREM SAVAŞ<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> *Department of Mathematics, Istanbul Ticaret University, Üsküdar 36472, Istanbul, Turkey*

Received April 22, 2009; accepted November 15, 2009

---

**Abstract.** In this paper, a general theorem on  $|A, \delta|_k$ -summability factors of infinite series has been proved under weaker conditions.

**AMS subject classifications:** 40F05, 40D25

**Key words:** absolute summability, summability factors, almost increasing sequence

---

### 1. Introduction

Rhoades and Savas [4] recently have obtained sufficient conditions for the series  $\sum a_n \lambda_n$  to be absolutely summable of order  $k$  by a triangular matrix.

In this paper we generalize the result of Rhoades and Savas under weaker conditions for  $|A, \delta|_k, k \geq 1, 0 \leq \delta < 1/k$ .

A positive sequence  $\{b_n\}$  is said to be almost increasing if there exists an increasing sequence  $\{c_n\}$  and positive constants  $A$  and  $B$  such that  $Ac_n \leq b_n \leq Bc_n$ , (see, [1]). Obviously every increasing sequence is almost increasing. However, the converse need not be true as can be seen by taking the example, say  $b_n = e^{(-1)^n} n$ .

Let  $A$  be a lower triangular matrix,  $\{s_n\}$  a sequence. Then

$$A_n := \sum_{\nu=0}^n a_{n\nu} s_\nu.$$

A series  $\sum a_n$  is said to be summable  $|A|_k, k \geq 1$  if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{k-1} |A_n - A_{n-1}|^k < \infty. \quad (1)$$

and it is said to be summable  $|A, \delta|_k, k \geq 1$  and  $\delta \geq 0$  if (see, [2])

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\delta k + k - 1} |A_n - A_{n-1}|^k < \infty. \quad (2)$$

---

\*Corresponding author. *Email address:* ekremsavas@yahoo.com (E. Savas)

We may associate with  $A$  two lower triangular matrices  $\bar{A}$  and  $\hat{A}$  defined as follows:

$$\bar{a}_{n\nu} = \sum_{r=\nu}^n a_{nr}, \quad n, \nu = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

and

$$\hat{a}_{n\nu} = \bar{a}_{n\nu} - \bar{a}_{n-1,\nu}, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

With  $s_n := \sum_{i=0}^n \lambda_i a_i$ .

$$\begin{aligned} y_n &:= \sum_{i=0}^n a_{ni} s_i = \sum_{i=0}^n a_{ni} \sum_{\nu=0}^i \lambda_\nu a_\nu \\ &= \sum_{\nu=0}^n \lambda_\nu a_\nu \sum_{i=\nu}^n a_{ni} = \sum_{\nu=0}^n \bar{a}_{n\nu} \lambda_\nu a_\nu \end{aligned}$$

and

$$Y_n := y_n - y_{n-1} = \sum_{\nu=0}^n (\bar{a}_{n\nu} - \bar{a}_{n-1,\nu}) \lambda_\nu a_\nu = \sum_{\nu=0}^n \hat{a}_{n\nu} \lambda_\nu a_\nu. \tag{3}$$

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $A$  be a lower triangular matrix satisfying*

- (i)  $\bar{a}_{n0} = 1, n = 0, 1, \dots,$
- (ii)  $a_{n-1,\nu} \geq a_{n\nu}$  for  $n \geq \nu + 1$ , and
- (iii)  $na_{nn} \asymp O(1)$
- (iv)  $\sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} a_{\nu\nu} |\hat{a}_{n\nu+1}| = O(a_{nn}),$
- (v)  $\sum_{n=\nu+1}^{m+1} n^{\delta k} |\Delta_\nu \hat{a}_{n\nu}| = O(\nu^{\delta k} a_{\nu\nu})$  and
- (vi)  $\sum_{n=\nu+1}^{m+1} n^{\delta k} |\hat{a}_{n\nu+1}| = O(\nu^{\delta k}).$

If  $\{X_n\}$  is an almost increasing sequence such that

- (vii)  $\lambda_m X_m = O(1),$
- (viii)  $\sum_{n=1}^m (n X_n) |\Delta^2 \lambda_n| = O(1),$  and
- (ix)  $\sum_{n=1}^m n^{\delta k} a_{nn} |t_n|^k = O(X_m),$  where  $t_n := \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^n k a_k,$

then the series  $\sum a_n \lambda_n$  is summable  $|A, \delta|_k, k \geq 1, 0 \leq \delta < 1/k.$

**Lemma 1** (see [4]). *If  $(X_n)$  is an almost increasing sequence, then under the conditions of the theorem we have that*

$$(i) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n |\Delta \lambda_n| < \infty \quad \text{and}$$

$$(ii) nX_n |\Delta \lambda_n| = O(1).$$

**Proof.** From (3) we may write

$$\begin{aligned} Y_n &= \sum_{\nu=1}^n \left( \frac{\hat{a}_{n\nu} \lambda_{\nu}}{\nu} \right) \nu a_{\nu} \\ &= \sum_{\nu=1}^n \left( \frac{\hat{a}_{n\nu} \lambda_{\nu}}{\nu} \right) \left[ \sum_{r=1}^{\nu} r a_r - \sum_{r=1}^{\nu-1} r a_r \right] \\ &= \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} \Delta_{\nu} \left( \frac{\hat{a}_{n\nu} \lambda_{\nu}}{\nu} \right) \sum_{r=1}^{\nu} r a_r + \frac{\hat{a}_{nn} \lambda_n}{n} \sum_{\nu=1}^n \nu a_{\nu} \\ &= \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} (\Delta_{\nu} \hat{a}_{n\nu}) \lambda_{\nu} \frac{\nu+1}{\nu} t_{\nu} + \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} \hat{a}_{n,\nu+1} (\Delta \lambda_{\nu}) \frac{\nu+1}{\nu} t_{\nu} \\ &\quad + \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} \hat{a}_{n,\nu+1} \lambda_{\nu+1} \frac{1}{\nu} t_{\nu} + \frac{(n+1) a_{nn} \lambda_n t_n}{n} \\ &= T_{n1} + T_{n2} + T_{n3} + T_{n4}, \quad \text{say.} \end{aligned}$$

To finish the proof it is sufficient, by Minkowski's inequality, to show that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\delta k+k-1} |T_{nr}|^k < \infty, \quad \text{for } r = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

Using Hölder's inequality and (iii),

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &:= \sum_{n=1}^m n^{\delta k+k-1} |T_{n1}|^k = \sum_{n=1}^m n^{\delta k+k-1} \left| \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} \Delta_{\nu} \hat{a}_{n\nu} \lambda_{\nu} \frac{\nu+1}{\nu} t_{\nu} \right|^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m+1} n^{\delta k+k-1} \left( \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} |\Delta_{\nu} \hat{a}_{n\nu}| |\lambda_{\nu}| |t_{\nu}| \right)^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m+1} n^{\delta k+k-1} \left( \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} |\Delta_{\nu} \hat{a}_{n\nu}| |\lambda_{\nu}|^k |t_{\nu}|^k \right) \left( \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} |\Delta_{\nu} \hat{a}_{n\nu}| \right)^{k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that, from (vii),  $\{\lambda_n\}$  is bounded, and condition (i) of Lemma 1,

and (v)

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m+1} n^{\delta k} (na_{nn})^{k-1} \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} |\lambda_\nu|^k |t_\nu|^k |\Delta_\nu \hat{a}_{n\nu}| \\
&= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m+1} n^{\delta k} (na_{nn})^{k-1} \left( \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} |\lambda_\nu|^{k-1} |\lambda_\nu| |\Delta_\nu \hat{a}_{n\nu}| |t_\nu|^k \right) \\
&= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^m |\lambda_\nu| |t_\nu|^k \sum_{n=\nu+1}^{m+1} n^{\delta k} (na_{nn})^{k-1} |\Delta_\nu \hat{a}_{n\nu}| \\
&= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^m |\lambda_\nu| |t_\nu|^k \sum_{n=\nu+1}^{m+1} n^{\delta k} |\Delta_\nu \hat{a}_{n\nu}| \\
&= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^m \nu^{\delta k} |\lambda_\nu| a_{\nu\nu} |t_\nu|^k \\
&= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^m |\lambda_\nu| \left[ \sum_{r=1}^{\nu} a_{rr} |t_r|^k r^{\delta k} - \sum_{r=1}^{\nu-1} a_{rr} |t_r|^k r^{\delta k} \right] \\
&= O(1) \left[ \sum_{\nu=1}^{m-1} \Delta(|\lambda_\nu|) \sum_{r=1}^{\nu} a_{rr} |t_r|^k r^{\delta k} + |\lambda_m| \sum_{r=1}^m a_{rr} |t_r|^k r^{\delta k} \right] \\
&= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{m-1} |\Delta \lambda_\nu| X_\nu + O(1) |\lambda_m| X_m \\
&= O(1).
\end{aligned}$$

Using Hölder's inequality, (iii), and (iv),

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2 &:= \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} n^{\delta k+k-1} |T_{n2}|^k = \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} n^{\delta k+k-1} \left| \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} \hat{a}_{n,\nu+1} (\Delta \lambda_\nu) \frac{\nu+1}{\nu} t_\nu \right|^k \\
&\leq \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} n^{\delta k+k-1} \left[ \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} |\hat{a}_{n,\nu+1}| |\Delta \lambda_\nu| \frac{\nu+1}{\nu} |t_\nu| \right]^k \\
&= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} n^{\delta k+k-1} \left[ \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} |\hat{a}_{n,\nu+1}| |\Delta \lambda_\nu| |t_\nu| \right]^k \\
&= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} n^{\delta k+k-1} \left[ \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} (\nu) |\Delta \lambda_\nu| |t_\nu| a_{\nu\nu} |\hat{a}_{n,\nu+1}| \right]^k \\
&= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} n^{\delta k+k-1} \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} (\nu |\Delta \lambda_\nu|)^k |t_\nu|^k a_{\nu\nu} |\hat{a}_{n,\nu+1}| \\
&\quad \times \left[ \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} a_{\nu\nu} |\hat{a}_{n,\nu+1}| \right]^{k-1}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} n^{\delta k} (na_{nn})^{k-1} \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} (\nu|\Delta\lambda_\nu|)^k |t_\nu|^k a_{\nu\nu} |\hat{a}_{n,\nu+1}| \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} n^{\delta k} (na_{nn})^{k-1} \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} (\nu|\Delta\lambda_\nu|)^{k-1} (\nu|\Delta\lambda_\nu|) a_{\nu\nu} |\hat{a}_{n,\nu+1}| |t_\nu|^k
 \end{aligned}$$

Conclusion (ii) of Lemma 1 implies that  $\nu|\Delta\lambda_\nu| = O(1)$ . Therefore, using (iii), (v) and (vi)

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_2 &:= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^m \nu|\Delta\lambda_\nu| a_{\nu\nu} |t_\nu|^k \sum_{n=\nu+1}^{m+1} n^{\delta k} (na_{nn})^{k-1} |\hat{a}_{\nu\nu+1}| \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^m \nu|\Delta\lambda_\nu| a_{\nu\nu} |t_\nu|^k \sum_{n=\nu+1}^{m+1} n^{\delta k} |\hat{a}_{n,\nu+1}|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$I_2 := O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^m \nu^{\delta k} \nu|\Delta\lambda_\nu| a_{\nu\nu} |t_\nu|^k.$$

Using summation by parts and (ix),

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_2 &= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^m \nu|\Delta\lambda_\nu| \left[ \sum_{r=1}^{\nu} a_{rr} |t_r|^{k_r \delta k} - \sum_{r=1}^{\nu-1} a_{rr} |t_r|^{k_r \delta k} \right] \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{m-1} |\Delta(\nu\Delta\lambda_\nu)| X_\nu + O(1).
 \end{aligned}$$

But

$$\Delta(\nu\Delta\lambda_\nu) = \nu\Delta\lambda_\nu - (\nu + 1)\Delta\lambda_{\nu+1} = \nu\Delta^2\lambda_\nu - \Delta\lambda_{\nu+1}.$$

Using (viii) and property (i) from Lemma 1, and the fact that  $\{X_n\}$  is almost increasing,

$$I_2 = O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{m-1} \nu|\Delta^2\lambda_\nu| X_\nu + O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{m-1} |\Delta\lambda_{\nu+1}| X_{\nu+1} = O(1).$$

Using (iii), Hölder’s inequality, (iv), summation by parts, property (i) of Lemma 1, (vi), (vii) and (ix)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} n^{\delta k+k-1} |T_{n3}|^k &= \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} n^{\delta k+k-1} \left| \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} \hat{a}_{n,\nu+1} \lambda_{\nu+1} \frac{1}{\nu} t_\nu \right|^k \\
 &\leq \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} n^{\delta k+k-1} \left[ \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} |\lambda_{\nu+1}| \frac{\hat{a}_{n,\nu+1}}{\nu} |t_\nu| \right]^k \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} n^{\delta k+k-1} \left[ \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} |\lambda_{\nu+1}| |\hat{a}_{n,\nu+1}| |t_\nu| a_{\nu\nu} \right]^k
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} n^{\delta k+k-1} \left[ \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} |\lambda_{\nu+1}|^k a_{\nu\nu} |t_\nu|^k |\hat{a}_{n,\nu+1}| \right] \\
 &\quad \times \left[ \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} a_{\nu\nu} |\hat{a}_{n,\nu+1}| \right]^{k-1} \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} n^{\delta k} (na_{nn})^{k-1} \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} |\lambda_{\nu+1}|^{k-1} |\lambda_{\nu+1} a_{\nu\nu} |t_\nu|^k |\hat{a}_{n,\nu+1}| \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^m |\lambda_{\nu+1}| |t_\nu|^k \sum_{n=\nu+1}^{m+1} n^{\delta k} |\hat{a}_{n,\nu+1}| \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^m |\lambda_{\nu+1} a_{\nu\nu} |t_\nu|^k \nu^{\delta k} \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^m |\lambda_{\nu+1}| \left[ \sum_{r=1}^{\nu} a_{rr} |t_r|^k r^{\delta k} - \sum_{r=1}^{\nu-1} a_{rr} |t_r|^k r^{\delta k} \right] \\
 &= O(1) \left[ \sum_{\nu=1}^{m-1} |\Delta\lambda_{\nu+1}| \sum_{r=1}^{\nu} a_{rr} |t_r|^k r^{\delta k} + |\lambda_{m+1}| \sum_{r=1}^m a_{rr} |t_r|^k r^{\delta k} \right] \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{m-1} |\Delta\lambda_{\nu+1}| X_\nu + O(1) |\lambda_{m+1}| X_m \\
 &= O(1).
 \end{aligned}$$

Finally, using (iii), summation by parts, property (i) of Lemma 1 and (vii),

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{n=1}^m n^{\delta k+k-1} |T_{n4}|^k &= \sum_{n=1}^m n^{\delta k+k-1} \left| \frac{(n+1)a_{nn}\lambda_n t_n}{n} \right|^k \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^m n^{\delta k+k-1} |a_{nn}|^k |\lambda_n|^k |t_n|^k \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^m n^{\delta k} (na_{nn})^{k-1} a_{nn} |\lambda_n|^{k-1} |\lambda_n| |t_n|^k \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^m n^{\delta k} a_{nn} |\lambda_n| |t_n|^k,
 \end{aligned}$$

as in the proof of  $I_1$ . □

Setting  $\delta = 0$  in the theorem yields the following corollary.

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $A$  be a triangle satisfying conditions (i)-(iv) of Theorem 1 and let  $\{X_n\}$  be an almost increasing sequence satisfying conditions (vii)-(viii). If*

(ix)  $\sum_{n=1}^m a_{nn} |t_n|^k = O(X_m),$

then the series  $\sum a_n \lambda_n$  is summable  $|A|_k, k \geq 1$ .

**Corollary 2.** Let  $\{p_n\}$  be a positive sequence such that  $P_n := \sum_{k=0}^n p_k \rightarrow \infty$ , and satisfies

$$(i) \quad np_n \asymp O(P_n),$$

$$(ii) \quad \sum_{n=\nu+1}^{m+1} n^{\delta k} \left| \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \right| = O\left(\frac{\nu^{\delta k}}{P_\nu}\right).$$

If  $\{X_n\}$  is an almost increasing sequence such that

$$(iii) \quad \lambda_m X_m = O(1),$$

$$(iv) \quad \sum_{n=1}^m n X_n |\Delta^2 \lambda_n| = O(1), \quad \text{and}$$

$$(v) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\delta k-1} |t_n|^k = O(X_m),$$

then the series  $\sum a_n \lambda_n$  is summable  $|\bar{N}, p, \delta|_k, k \geq 1$  for  $0 \leq \delta < 1/k$ .

**Proof.** Conditions (iii) and (iv) of Corollary 2 are conditions (vii) and (viii) of Theorem 1, respectively.

Conditions (i), (ii) and (iv) of Theorem 1 are automatically satisfied for any weighted mean method. Condition (iii) and (ix) of Theorem 1 become conditions (i) and (v) of Corollary 2 and conditions (v) and (vi) of Theorem 1 become condition (ii) of Corollary 2.  $\square$

## Acknowledgement

The author wishes to thank the referees for their careful reading of the manuscript and their helpful suggestions.

## References

- [1] S. ALIJANČIĆ, D. ARENDELOVIĆ, *O-regularly varying functions*, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N.S) **36**(1977), 5–22.
- [2] T. M. FLEET, *On an extension of absolute summability and some theorems of Littlewood and Paley*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **3**(1957), 113–141.
- [3] B. E. RHOADES, *Inclusion theorems for absolute matrix summability methods*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **118**(1993), 71–75.
- [4] B. E. RHOADES, E. SAVAŞ, *A note on absolute summability factors*, Periodica Math. Hung. **51**(2005), 53–60.
- [5] B. E. RHOADES, E. SAVAŞ, *On  $|A|_k$  summability factors*, Acta Math. Hung. **112**(2006), 15–23.