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This paper presents the Croatian module for NooJ. The module includes the novel “Posljednji Stipančići” by Vjenceslav Novak as a corpus with fully covered dictionary (i.e. zero unknowns). Examples of morphological and syntactic grammars are presented together with few examples of dictionary entries and their inflectional and derivational paradigms.
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1. Introduction

The paper presents the Croatian module for NooJ development environment. NooJ is a development environment used to construct large-coverage formalized descriptions of natural languages, and apply them to large corpora, in real time. The descriptions of natural languages are formalized as electronic dictionaries, as grammars represented by organized sets of graphs [4]. According to the author of this tool, the word ‘NooJ’ is not an acronym, as it might be suspected, and has no additional meaning. We chose NooJ for text processing mainly because of its robustness and simplicity, but also for reasons expressed in detail in [11]. One of the important and useful features of NooJ, regarding Croatian, is its simple description of morphological phenomena. Efficient morphological processing is required for morphologically rich languages like Croatian which, for example, for nouns only has 7 cases, 3 genders and 2 numbers. Beside Nouns (N), Croatian has eleven more parts of speech: Adjective (A), Conjunction (C), Interjection (I), Numeral (M), Pronoun (P), Particle (Q), Adverb (R), Adposition (S), Verb (V), Residual (X) and Abbreviation (Y). Descriptions of some paradigms are briefly demonstrated in Sections 2 and 3.

Section 2 of the paper describes selected corpus and lexical data needed for its description.

Section 3 of the paper describes in detail three different types of morphological grammars that are included in the module.

Syntactic grammars are graphs for detecting certain <NP> chunks and are described in Section 4 together with graphs for detecting multi-word units which are described in Section 5. The plans for our future work are briefly discussed in the final Section of this paper.

2. Corpus and Lexical Data

Croatian module for NooJ uses Vjenceslav Novak’s novel “Posljednji Stipančići” as a working corpus. In order to have zero unknowns in the text, the main dictionary has all the words that are used in the novel.

We wanted to use the existing paradigm descriptions (i.e. inflectional patterns) from [6] and Croatian Morphological Lexicon (CML) [7] so we would not have to start building the computational model of Croatian inflection from the scratch since the data was already there. However, due to the different description principles used by NooJ and CML, the simple, automatic conversion of prototypical examples has produced too many inflectional errors. It was clear that looking for mistakes and fixing them manually, or manual rewriting of existing paradigms using NooJ inflectional formalism would be too time consuming as well as error prone.

This resulted in a new strategy which was to build an external program for conversion [11] of the Croatian Morphological Lexicon i.e. a list...
of more than 4 million entries of a MULTEXT East conformant inflectional lexicon [3]. The program, symbolically named PrepareForNooJ [Figure 1], is divided into several steps. The first one filters out the lemmas and extracts word endings for all its word-forms together with their description (e.g. Noun + common + feminine + Nominative + singular). The next step filters out matching sets of descriptions and leaves only one occurrence of each. At the same time, dictionary and inflectional grammar files are formed. The last step converts the order and values of features (i.e. tag structure) into those used in NooJ.

The paradigm for nouns gives endings for 7 singular and 7 plural cases (nominative, genitive, dative, accusative, vocative, locative and instrumental). The verb paradigm gives endings in singular and plural and for all three persons for 8 simple verb forms (Long and Short Infinitives, Present, Imperative, Imperfect, Aorist), as well as Passive and Active participles, adding to them also all three genders. Since many paradigms share the same sets of endings, embedded graphs [4] were used in order to reduce the number of repetitions.

The novel “Posljednji Stipančić” has 14,944 different tokens. Ten most frequent ones (with the number of their occurrences) are given in Table 1.

The dictionary has 7,594 lemmas with the distribution as shown in Table 2.

All the foreign expressions (mostly Italian and German) used in the text are marked as frozen expressions <FXC> and are not further parsed inside the expression itself.
Since Croatian language is highly inflectional, the number of word forms in the compiled dictionary is much bigger than the number of lemmas that are in the main dictionary. So, out of 407,761 generated word-forms, which is the maximal number of word-forms generated from the main dictionary and paradigm descriptions, there are 38,931 noun forms, 187,593 verb forms, 176,871 adjective forms, 2,981 forms for pronouns, and 382 forms for numerals, 90 forms for prepositions, adverbs, conjunctions, particles, exclamations and frozen expressions. The larger number of forms than it might be expected is due to multiple inflectional forms that some words can have in different cases (e.g. nouns and adjectives) or different tenses (e.g. verbs). For example, noun crkva (church) can have two plural forms in genitive case: crkava or crkvi.

Each entry (i.e. lemma) in the main dictionary is accompanied by its PoS category, some main additional features and link to the word which is used as the humanly readable representative for the type of inflection (FLX) and derivation (DRV) that an entry may have. This is explained in more detail in the following section.

Thus, nouns have additional features for type (common or proper) and gender (masculine, feminine, neutral); verbs may have the feature +pov if they are reflexive; adjectives have the features for type (qualificative, possessive) and definiteness, pronouns have the feature for type (personal, demonstrative, indefinite, possessive, interrogative, relative, reflexive), numerals have the feature for type (cardinal, ordinal, roman), prepositions have the feature for the case that is required by that preposition (genitive, dative, accusative, locative, instrumental). Remaining parts of speech have only the PoS category information.

All the descriptions inside the inflectional grammars are given in the form of rules. These rules are invoked by the property “+FLX=” that each inflective word has within its lexicon description. So, for example, the dictionary entry for the headword dječak (boy) is:

dječak,N+c+m+FLX=PROLAZNIK.

Inflectional grammar is looking for the paradigm named PROLAZNIK in order to generate all the forms of a headword.

Descriptions of paradigms are written after the following model explained in detail in [4] and [11]:

\[
\text{PROLAZNIK} = <E>/Nom+s + a/G+s + u/D+s + a/Acc+s + <B1>\text{če}/Voc+s + u/L+s + om/I+s + <B1>\text{ci}/Nom+p + a/G+p + <B1>/cima/D+p + e/Acc+p + <B1>/ci(<E>/Voc+p + ma/L+p + ma/I+p);..\]

This paradigm, written in a textual mode, consists of a number of pairs describing all the possible forms. The first part of this pair describes a change on the word (e.g. <E>/ – no change happened, a/ – suffix ‘a’ was added, <B1>\text{če}/ – the last letter was deleted, then the suffix ‘če’ was added, etc.) while the second part describes features that the newly made word is given (e.g. /Nom+s – word is added description that it is in Nominative and singular, /D+p – word is in Dative and plural, etc.).

3. Morphological Grammars

Morphological grammars demonstrate both inflectional paradigms and derivational patterns and can have graphical or textual presentations that are compiled in the finite state transducer. In the previous section, we have shown an example of textual presentation for the paradigm PROLAZNIK. In the similar manner, we can use the textual presentation to describe the derivation of a word. For example, the word tri (three) in the main dictionary has the following information:

\[
\text{tr},M+g1+FLX=TRI+DRV=AM3: \\
\text{MUZIČKI}+\text{DRV}=NM3:BRNJICA
\]

The +DRV feature first invokes the derivation pattern named AM3 after which the newly derived word is inflected as the paradigm named MUZIČKI. In this example, there are two possible derivations for the word tri:

\[
\text{AM3} = <B1>eči/A+po; \\
\text{NM3} = <B1>ojica/N+f;
\]

After the first derivation, the newly derived word treći (third) is marked as an adjective.
while the second derivation produces the word
\textit{trojica} (three people) that is marked as a noun.
Each new word is then inflected using the in-
flexional pattern names that follow the name of
each derivational pattern, :MUZIČKI and :BRN-
JICA respectfully.

The following two morphological grammars use

graphical presentation. The first one (see Figure
2) describes how from existing words describ-
ing a language that are in the dictionary, new
compound word forms are built. In our graph-

cal presentations, brackets refer to variables,
symbols inside the rectangles are states of final
state transducers, dollar sign ($\$\$) is used for the
variable names ($N$) and also variable attributes
(SNSCase). These and remaining symbols are
explained in more detail in [4].

The grammar in Figure 2 checks if there is a
word in the compiled dictionary that is an ad-
jective in Nominative Singular Neutral with se-

temic attribute +jez <$\$\$\text{lang}=:A+jez+Nom+s
+n$>. If such a word exists, then another word
that is an adjective with semantic attribute +jez
can come after it. Between these two words an
-’- can be found. The newly made compound
word takes the same annotation as the second
word that it is made of. Thus, if the dictionary
contains the following words:

- \textit{engleski} (English),
- \textit{njemački} (German),
- \textit{hrvatski} (Croatian),
- \textit{francuski} (French);

then the grammar in Figure 2 recognizes the
following words as well:

- \textit{englesko-njemački, engleskonjemački};
- \textit{njemačko-hrvatski, njemačkohrvatski};
- \textit{hrvatsko-francuski, hrvatskofrancuski};
- \textit{francusko-engleski, francuskoengleski};
- \textit{etc.}

and also all other forms of these words, consid-
ering different genders, cases and numbers.

This grammar saves time and space since not
all language combinations have to be included
in the main dictionary this way.

The second grammar (see Figure 3) describes
the numerals written in letters. It uses embed-
ded graphs and consists of five subgraphs.

Each word recognized by this grammar is an-
notated as a cardinal number ($<M+g>$). This
grammar is adopted from [1] and it recognizes
numerals like:

- \textit{dvadesetpet} (25)
- \textit{dvjestodvadesetpet} (225)
- \textit{dvijetisućedvjestodvadesetpet} (2,225)
- \textit{etc.}

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure2.png}
\caption{Morphological grammar for recognizing new compound words.}
\end{figure}

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure3.png}
\caption{Morphological grammar for recognizing numerals written in letters.}
\end{figure}
4. Syntactic Grammars

Syntactic grammars work just like the morphological ones with the exception that syntactic ones can recognize multiple word strings or frozen expressions. Thus we might say that the typical usage of such grammars would be to recognize combinations of words such as frozen expressions [2] or named entities [1]. Since Croatian numerals may also be written in the following form:

- dvjesto dvadeset pet (225)
- dvjesto dvadeset i pet (225)
- dvije stotine dvadeset pet (225)
- dvije stotine dvadeset i pet (225)
- etc.

Syntactic grammars are ideal means for representation of their structure as well.

Another example of using syntactic grammar in Croatian text is noun phrase (NP) chunks detection explained in more detail in [11] and [12].

Each NP chunk is made of one noun acting as the head of a chunk (see Figure 4) and any number of adjectives, pronouns and numerals preceding the head noun and agreeing with it in case, gender and number (see Figure 5).

The main grammar is shown in Figure 6 and it consists of two subgraphs (HeadNoun subgraph and Add subgraph).

The recognized NP chunk inherits all the information about case, number and gender from its head noun NP+Case=$N$Case#$N$ALLF>.

The grammar recognizes the following examples from the novel “Posljednji Stipančić”:

```
Figure 4. The subgraph for recognizing nouns.
```

```
Figure 5. The subgraph for recognizing adjectives, pronouns and numerals preceding the head noun shown in Figure 4.
```

```
Figure 6. The main grammar for <NP> detection.
```
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- strmih kamenitih stuba
- uzidana nakazna ljudska glava
- ozbiljnim tamnosmeđim očima
- šesnaestogodišnje djevojče
- strogog ženskoga instituta
- ocratnim obrvama
- takvim portreton
- šest tammocrvenih mekanih stolica
- ogromnim starinskim naslonjačem
- Lucijina mati.

5. Collecting Multi-word Units

NooJ dictionaries consist mainly of simple words (basic word forms or lemmas), but also of multi-word units or compound words, as they are frequently termed. Multi-word units are considered to be expressions whose linguistic behavior is not predictable from the linguistic behavior of their component words. Since some multi-word units can exhibit quite productive inflection and/or syntactic flexibility, particularly in languages that are known for high degree of inflection and relatively free word order, they are sometimes hard to discriminate from generated strings of words that can occur by chance.

Multi-word units, such as red tape or sea cloud are often language and culture dependent. So if we choose to use literal rewriting from some English or German lexicon of compound words, it would yield poor results in Croatian. On the other hand, if compound words are to be collected from a very large corpus by semiautomatic extraction, we believe that much better results would be achieved. Methodology for semiautomatic extraction of multi-word units from Croatian version of Wikipedia is described in more detail in [2].

Proposed methodology serves as basis for inclusion of multi-word units as lexical entries in NooJ dictionary.

6. Conclusion and Future Directions

We have presented the basic resources for processing Croatian language using NooJ development environment. These resources provide the foundations for all future analyses of Croatian texts inside the NooJ development environment. All additional word descriptions, as well as multiple-word patterns, can easily be added to the system as an upgrade to these resources.

At the moment, we are working on the expansion of simple words dictionaries, but also on different grammars for recognizing derived forms from existing lexical entries as well as on multiword units depending on different contexts. Parsers for simple sentences [10] and detection of clauses [9] are under development as well and so far are giving promising results. None of this work would be possible if the basic resources, as presented here, did not exist.
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