

## Antimicrobial susceptibility of lactic acid bacteria isolated from fermented sausages and raw cheese

Nevijo Zdolec<sup>1\*</sup>, Ivana Filipović<sup>1</sup>, Željka Cvrtila Fleck<sup>1</sup>, Aleks Marić<sup>2</sup>,  
Dean Jankuloski<sup>3</sup>, Lidija Kozačinski<sup>1</sup>, and Bela Njari<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Zagreb, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Department of Hygiene and Technology of Foodstuffs of Animal Origin, Zagreb, Croatia

<sup>2</sup>Marići 34, Kanfanar, Croatia

<sup>3</sup>University "Ss' Cyril and Methodius", Faculty of Veterinary Medicine in Skopje, Food Institute, Republic of Macedonia

---

**ZDOLEC, N., I. FILIPOVIĆ, Ž. CVRTILA FLECK, A. MARIĆ, D. JANKULOSKI, L. KOZAČINSKI, B. NJARI:** Antimicrobial susceptibility of lactic acid bacteria isolated from fermented sausages and raw cheese. *Vet. arhiv* 81, 133-141, 2011.

### ABSTRACT

The aim of this study was to determine lactic acid bacteria from Croatian traditional sausages and raw cow's cheese and to investigate their susceptibility/resistance to 23 antimicrobials. All isolates of *Lactobacillus* spp. and *Leuconostoc* spp. (n = 12) were susceptible to tetracycline, penicillin, bacitracin, chloramphenicol, cefalexin, linkomycin, erythromycin, ampicillin, spiramycin and amoxicillin, but resistant to vancomycin, nalidixic acid and metronidazole. *Lactobacillus curvatus* and *Lactobacillus brevis* 2 were resistant to nitrofurantoin and trimethoprim, *Lactobacillus curvatus* and *Lactobacillus fermentum* to oxacillin, while *Lactobacillus brevis* 1, *Lactobacillus fermentum*, *Lactobacillus paracasei* ssp. *paracasei* 1 and 2 to neomycin. *Leuconostoc* spp. showed resistance to streptomycin, enrofloxacin, nitrofurantoin, sulphonamides, polymyxin B and oxacillin. These preliminary results indicate the need for further investigations of LAB populations to obtain relevant data in risk assessment related to traditional food products.

**Key words:** *Lactobacillus* spp., *Leuconostoc* spp., antimicrobial resistance

---

### Introduction

The prescription of antimicrobial drugs in prophylaxis, ignorance of real causative agents, improper dosage, inapposite therapy periods and other irregularities have caused the appearance of antimicrobial resistance in bacteria which is nowadays an emerging (veterinary) public health issue (TEUBER, 2001; HUSSEIN et al., 2005; ZDOLEC et al., 2006;

---

\*Corresponding author:

Senior assistant-scientific novice Nevijo Zdolec, PhD., Department of Hygiene and Technology of Foodstuffs of Animal Origin, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Zagreb, Heinzelova 55, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia, Phone: +385 1 2390 192; Fax: +385 1 2390 192; E-mail: nzdolec@vef.hr

MARCINČÁK et al., 2006; ROASTO et al., 2009). The most important problem is the increase in resistance in clinically significant bacteria, but today it is clear that commensal bacteria could also contribute to spreading genes resistant to pathogens (MATHUR and SINGH, 2005; RUZAUSKAS et al., 2009). In this respect, the transfer of antimicrobial resistance is the only relevant reason for caution in lactic acid bacteria (LAB). However, LAB possess a significant natural resistance, especially to vancomycin, which is not transmissible (BERNARDEAU et al., 2008).

The aim of this study was to isolate and determine LAB from traditional Croatian fermented sausages and raw cow's cheese, and to investigate their susceptibility and resistance towards selected antimicrobials. Based on the results obtained, we were able to estimate the presence of resistant or multi-resistant strains in traditional foods and the level of potential risk for consumer health regarding the spread of antimicrobial resistance in the food chain.

### Materials and methods

*Lactic acid bacteria isolates.* In this study we tested previously isolated strains ( $n = 7$ ) from home-made fermented sausages (ZDOLEC et al., 2007), and isolates from raw cow's cheese produced in a local Istrian dairy ( $n = 5$ ). Isolates were kept in a Microbank system at  $-20^{\circ}\text{C}$ .

*Antimicrobials.* The antimicrobial susceptibility of lactic acid bacteria was tested using selected antimicrobials listed in Table 1 (antimicrobial disks, BIO-RAD, France).

Table 1. Antimicrobials used in susceptibility assay

Antimicrobials	Concentration	Antimicrobials	Concentration
Streptomycin	500 µg	Cephalexin	30 µg
Enrofloxacin	5 µg	Oxacillin	1 µg
Nitrofurantoin	300 µg	Lincomycin	15 µg
Neomycin	30 UI	Vancomycin	30 µg
Trimethoprim	5 µg	Gentamycin	10 µg
Sulphonamides	300 µg	Metronidazol	4 µg
Tetracycline	30 µg	Erythromycin	15 µg
Polymyxin	300 µg	Kanamycin	30 µg
Penicillin	6 µg	Ampicillin	10 µg
Nalidixic acid	30 µg	Spiramycin	100 µg
Bacitracin	10 UI	Amoxicillin	25 µg
Chloramphenicol	30 µg		

*Determination of isolates.* Isolates ( $n = 12$ ) were grown in MRS broth (Merck, Germany) for 24-48 hours at 30 °C. The culture was spread onto MRS agar and incubated for 48-72 hours at 30 °C. A well isolated colony was taken by swab and spread at high density on MRS and incubated for 24 hours at 30 °C. The further procedure with API 50 CHL was performed according to the manufacturer's instructions (bioMérieux, France). Interpretation of results was performed with Apiweb™ V 1.2.1.

*Susceptibility assay.* The agar diffusion disk method was performed according to MAYRHOFER et al. (2008) with modifications: isolates were grown in MRS broth for 24 hours at 30 °C, re-suspended and re-incubated twice. The active culture (0.1 mL) was spread onto MRS agar. After 20 minutes at room temperature, the discs (6 per plate) were put onto the inoculated media. The plates were incubated for 24 hours at 30 °C and examined for inhibition zones, which were then measured (mm). We included the disk diameter (6 mm) in the width of zone.

## Results

Biochemical identification showed the profiles of the species:

- a) In fermented sausages: *Leuconostoc mesenteroides* subsp. *mesenteroides*, *Lactobacillus curvatus*, *Lactobacillus brevis* ( $n = 2$ ), *Lactobacillus fermentum*, *Lactobacillus paracasei* subsp. *paracasei* ( $n = 2$ ).
- b) In raw cheese: *Leuconostoc mesenteroides* subsp. *cremoris* ( $n = 2$ ) and *Leuconostoc mesenteroides* subsp. *dextranicum* ( $n = 3$ ).

Results of the susceptibility test for LAB originating from the fermented sausages and raw cheese are presented in Tables 2 and 3, respectively. The results showed that all isolates are sensitive to tetracycline, penicillin, bacitracin, chloramphenicol, cefalexin, linkomicin, erythromycin, ampicillin, spiramycin and amoxicillin. The growth of all LABs was not influenced by vancomycin, nalidixic acid and metronidazole, while sulphonamides inhibited the growth of the strain *Ln. mesenteroides* subsp. *cremoris* 2.

Analysing only the results obtained for lactobacilli, besides the antimicrobials listed above, their growth was also disabled in the presence of streptomycin. Two isolates were resistant to nitrofurantoin, trimethoprim (*Lb. curvatus* and *Lb. brevis* 2) and oxacillin (*Lb. curvatus* and *Lb. fermentum*), and four of them to neomycin (*Lb. brevis* 1, *Lb. fermentum*, *Lb. paracasei* subsp. *paracasei* 1 and 2). Regarding the *Leuconostoc* species, resistance was found towards streptomycin, enrofloxacin, nitrofurantoin, sulphonamides, polymyxin and oxacillin.

Table 2. Results of evaluation of sausage-originated LAB sensitivity to antimicrobials

	Zone of inhibition (mm)						
	<i>Leuconostoc mesenteroides</i> subsp. <i>mesenteroides</i>	<i>Lactobacillus curvatus</i>	<i>Lactobacillus brevis</i> 1	<i>Lactobacillus brevis</i> 2	<i>Lactobacillus fermentum</i>	<i>Lactobacillus paracasei</i> subsp. <i>paracasei</i> 1	<i>Lactobacillus paracasei</i> subsp. <i>paracasei</i> 2
Antimicrobials							
Streptomycin	-	8	10	12	8	8	8
Enrofloxacin	-	-	-	10	-	-	-
Nitrofurantoin	30	-	24	-	22	20	20
Neomycin	-	8	-	10	-	-	-
Trimethoprim	-	-	14	-	13	14	15
Sulphonamides	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tetracycline	10	12	12	12	14	10	12
Polymyxin	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Penicillin	30	26	26	24	30	26	25
Nalidixic acid	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bacitracin	13	12	12	16	13	10	13
Chloramphen.	16	24	20	20	24	20	25
Cephalexin	15	11	14	12	16	12	12
Oxacillin	10	-	8	8	-	8	8
Lincomycin	13	16	15	18	15	15	15
Vancomycin	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gentamycin	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Metronidazol	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Erythromycin	24	20	20	25	24	20	20
Kanamycin	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ampicillin	20	26	20	26	20	24	25
Spiramycin	18	18	18	20	18	16	20
Amoxicillin	18	25	24	32	24	24	20

Table 3. Results of evaluation of cheese-originated LAB sensitivity to antimicrobials

Antimicrobials	Zone of inhibition (mm)				
	<i>Leuconostoc mesenteroides</i> subsp. <i>cremoris</i> 1	<i>Leuconostoc mesenteroides</i> subsp. <i>cremoris</i> 2	<i>Leuconostoc mesenteroides</i> subsp. <i>cremoris</i> 3	<i>Leuconostoc mesenteroides</i> subsp. <i>dextranicum</i> 1	<i>Leuconostoc mesenteroides</i> subsp. <i>dextranicum</i> 2
Streptomycin	22	25	20	24	20
Enrofloxacin	10	12	12	12	-
Nitrofurantoin	-	12	-	-	-
Neomycin	16	20	18	18	15
Trimethoprim	-	-	-	-	-
Sulphonamides	-	12	-	-	-
Tetracycline	30	30	25	25	22
Polymyxin	-	10	10	12	8
Penicillin	25	25	30	25	25
Nalidixic acid	-	-	-	-	-
Bacitracin	26	30	24	28	28
Chloramphenicol	22	25	25	28	25
Cephalexin	16	20	18	20	16
Oxacillin	8	8	10	12	-
Lincomycin	28	30	25	25	15
Vancomycin	-	-	-	-	-
Gentamycin	14	20	14	15	-
Metronidazol	-	-	-	-	-
Erythromycin	20	30	22	20	20
Kanamycin	12	18	12	10	12
Ampicillin	22	30	25	26	20
Spiramycin	14	20	20	20	18
Amoxicillin	22	25	25	24	22

### Discussion

Lactobacilli are usually sensitive to penicillin and  $\beta$ -lactamase inhibitors, but more resistant to oxacillin and cephalosporins (COPPOLA et al., 2005; DANIELSEN and WIND, 2003). Our results are in agreement with these findings, but other studies have reported the appearance of penicillin resistance. Thus, YUKSEKDAG and BEYATLI (2008) isolated penicillin-resistant strains of *Lb. casei* and *Lb. helveticus* from milk products. In that study among 24 LAB isolates (*Lactobacillus* spp., *Leuconostoc* spp., *Streptococcus* spp., *Lactococcus* spp.), as many as 44% were resistant to penicillin. Similar results were reported by ZARAZAGA et al. (1999). According to CONDON (1983) non-permeability

of the lactobacilli cell wall is the main reason for their resistance, but several non-specific mechanisms could cause differences among strains within the same species (PUTMAN et al., 2001). Most lactobacilli are resistant to glycopeptides (vancomycin), while susceptibility to bacitracin is variable (COPPOLA et al., 2005; KATLA et al., 2001). Our study confirms the well-known resistance of lactobacilli to vancomycin which is considered as intrinsic and untransferable (KLEIN et al., 1998), but all our strains were sensitive to bacitracin. Lactobacilli are usually sensitive to inhibitors of protein synthesis such as chloramphenicol, erythromycin, clindamycin and tetracycline and more resistant to aminoglycosides (neomycin, kanamycin, streptomycin and gentamycin) (CHARTERIS et al., 1998; COPPOLA et al., 2005; ZHOU et al., 2005). This is partially presented in our results, where all lactobacilli were inhibited by chloramphenicol, erythromycin, tetracycline and streptomycin. On the other hand, some lactobacilli were resistant to neomycin, and especially to kanamycin and gentamycin. Antimicrobial resistance is known to be present in this group of antibiotics, in particular for chloramphenicol (LIN et al., 1996), erythromycin (CATALOLUK and GOGEBAKAN, 2004) and tetracycline (KASTNER et al., 2006). Furthermore, our results showed the insensitivity of lactobacilli to antimicrobials which inhibit the synthesis of nucleic acids, as reported by others (CHARTERIS et al., 1998; KATLA et al., 2001; COPPOLA et al., 2005). Leuconostocs are usually resistant to glycopeptides, cefoxitin, metronidazole, nalidixic acid, gentamycin, kanamycin, streptomycin, nitrofurantoin, sulphadiazine and trimethoprim (SWENSON et al., 1990; HERRERO et al., 1996; ZARAZAGA et al., 1999; KATLA et al., 2001; FLÓREZ et al., 2005). Most Leuconostocs are sensitive to rifampicin, chloramphenicol, erythromycin, clindamycin and tetracycline (SWENSON et al., 1990; FLÓREZ et al., 2005). Our results showed that isolated *Leuconostoc* spp. were resistant to vancomycin, nalidixic acid and metronidazole, but some strains additionally to streptomycin, enrofloxacin, nitrofurantoin, sulphonamides, polymyxin and oxacillin.

In conclusion, antimicrobial resistance of food-borne lactic acid bacteria is real today and emerging due to potential gene transfer to pathogenic bacteria. The goal of our preliminary study was to evaluate the presence of resistant LABs in local traditional foods such as fermented sausages and raw cheese. We only characterized some strains, so further investigations of LAB populations are necessary to obtain relevant data to be included in risk assessment related to traditional food products.

#### Acknowledgements

This research was conducted as part of a project of the Ministry of Science, Education and Sport of the Republic of Croatia (053-0531854-1851 and 053-0531854-1853).

### References

- BERNARDEAU, M., J. P. VERNOUX, S. H. DUBERNET, M. GUÉGUEN (2008): Safety assessment of dairy microorganisms: The *Lactobacillus* genus. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 126, 278-285.
- CATALOLUK, O., B. GOGEBAKAN (2004): Presence of drug resistance in intestinal lactobacilli of dairy and human origin in Turkey. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 236, 7-12.
- CHARTERIS, W. P., P. M. KELLY, L. MORELLI, J. K. COLLINS (1998): Antibiotic susceptibility of potentially probiotic *Lactobacillus* species. J. Food Prot. 61, 1636-1643.
- CONDON, S. (1983): Aerobic metabolism of lactic acid bacteria. Ir. J. Food Sci. Technol. 7, 15-25.
- COPPOLA, R., M. SUCCI, P. TREMONTE, A. REALE, G. SALZANO, E. SORRENTINO (2005): Antibiotic susceptibility of *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* strains isolated from Parmigiano Reggiano cheese. Lait 85, 193-204.
- DANIELSEN, M., A. WIND (2003): Susceptibility of *Lactobacillus* spp. to antimicrobial agents. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 82, 1-11.
- FLÓREZ, A. B., S. DELGADO, B. MAYO (2005): Antimicrobial susceptibility of lactic acid bacteria isolated from a cheese environment. Can. J. Microbiol. 51, 51-58.
- HERRERO, M., B. MAYO, B. GONZÁLEZ, J. E. SUÁREZ (1996): Evaluation of technologically important traits in lactic acid bacteria isolated from spontaneous fermentations. J. Appl. Bacteriol. 81, 565-570.
- HUSSEIN, K., S. MARCINČÁK, D. MÁTÉ, I. KOŽÁROVÁ, J. SOKOL, N. ZDOLEC (2005): Use of Premi®Test for detection of sulphonamide residues in chicken eggs. Acta Vet. - Beograd 55, 493-500.
- KASTNER, S., V. PERRETER, H. BLEULER, G. HUGENSCHMIDT, C. LACROIX, L. MEILE (2006): Antibiotic susceptibility patterns and resistance genes of starter cultures and probiotic bacteria used in food. Sys. Appl. Microbiol. 29, 145-155.
- KATLA, A. K., H. KRUSE, G. JOHNSEN, H. HERIKSTAD (2001): Antimicrobial susceptibility of starter culture bacteria used in Norwegian dairy products. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 67, 147-152.
- KLEIN, G., A. PACK, C. BONAPARTE, G. REUTER (1998): Taxonomy and physiology of probiotic lactic acid bacteria. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 41, 103-105.
- LIN, C. F., Z. F. FUNG, C. L. WU, T. C. CHUNG (1996): Molecular characterization of a plasmid-borne (pTC82) chloramphenicol resistance determinant (cat-TC) from *Lactobacillus reuteri* G4. Plasmid 36, 116-124.
- MARCINČÁK, S., K. HUSSEIN, D. MÁTÉ, I. KOŽÁROVÁ, J. SOKOL, N. ZDOLEC (2006): Premi®Test - a screening test for detecting sulphadimidine residues in eggs of laying hens. Med. Weter. 62, 159-161.
- MATHUR, S., R. SINGH (2005): Antibiotic resistance in food lactic acid bacteria - a review. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 105, 281-295.

- MAYRHOFER, S., K. J. DOMIG, C. MAIR, U. ZITZ, G. HUYS, W. KNEIFEL (2008): Comparison of broth microdilution, Etest, and agar disk diffusion methods for antimicrobial susceptibility testing of *Lactobacillus acidophilus* group members. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 74, 3745-3748.
- PUTMAN, M., H. W. van VEEN, J. E. DEGENER, W. N. KONINGS (2001): The lactococcal secondary multidrug transporter LmrP confers resistance to lincosamides, macrolides, streptogramins and tetracyclines. *Microbiology* 147, 2873-2880.
- ROASTO, M., K. PRAAKLE-AMIN, K. MEREMÄE, T. TAMME, A. HÖRMAN, M. LILLEBERG, M.-L. HÄNNINEN (2009): Food control and research on *Campylobacter* spp. in Estonia. *Arch. Lebensmittelhyg.* 60, 109-115.
- RUZAUSKAS, M., M. VIRGAILIS, R. ŠIUGŽDINIENĖ, E. SUŽIEDÉLIENĖ, V. ŠEPUTIENĖ, R. DAUGELAVIČIUS, D. ZIENIUS, J. ŠENGAUT, A. PAVILONIS (2009): Antimicrobial resistance of *Enterococcus* spp. isolated from livestock in Lithuania. *Vet. Arhiv* 79, 439-449.
- SWENSON, J. M., R. R. FACKLAM, C. THORNSBERRY (1990): Antimicrobial susceptibility of vancomycin-resistant *Leuconostoc*, *Pediococcus*, and *Lactobacillus* species. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 34, 543-549.
- TEUBER, M. (2001): Veterinary use and antibiotic resistance. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.* 4, 493-499.
- ZARAZAGA, M., Y. SAINZ, A. PORTILLO, C. TENORIO, R. F. RUIZ-LARRARA, R. CAMPO, F. BAQUERO, C. TORRES (1999): *In vitro* activities of ketolide HMR3647, macrolides, and other antibiotics against *Lactobacillus*, *Leuconostoc* and *Pediococcus* isolates. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 43, 3039-3041.
- ZDOLEC, N., M. HADŽIOSMANOVIĆ, L. KOZAČINSKI, Ž. CVRTILA, I. FILIPOVIĆ (2006): Residual contaminants in milk. *Mljekarstvo* 56, 191-202.
- ZDOLEC, N., M. HADŽIOSMANOVIĆ, L. KOZAČINSKI, Ž. CVRTILA, I. FILIPOVIĆ, K. LESKOVAR, N. VRAGOVIĆ, D. BUDIMIR (2007): Home-made fermented sausages - microbiological quality. *Meso* 9, 318-324.
- ZHOU, J. S., C. J. PILLIDGE, P. K. GOPAL, H. S. GILL (2005): Antibiotic susceptibility profiles of new probiotic *Lactobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium* strains. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 98, 211-217.
- YUKSEKDAG, Z. N., Y. BEYATLI (2008): Antimicrobial activity and antibiotic susceptibility of lactic acid bacteria isolated from different dairy products. *Arch. Lebensmittelhyg.* 59, 216-220.

Received: 26 January 2010

Accepted: 29 October 2010

---

ZDOLEC, N., I. FILIPOVIĆ, Ž. CVRTILA FLECK, A. MARIĆ, D. JANKULOSKI, L. KOZACINSKI, B. NJARI: Osjetljivost na antimikrobnе tvari bakterija mlječne kiseline izdvojenih iz fermentiranih kobasicama i svježega sira. *Vet. arhiv* 81, 133-141, 2011.

#### SAŽETAK

Cilj ovoga rada bio je ustanoviti bakterije mlječne kiseline u tradicionalnim fermentiranim kobasicama i svježem kravljem siru te istražiti njihovu osjetljivost na 23 antimikrobnе tvari. Svi izolati *Lactobacillus* spp. i *Leuconostoc* spp. (n=12) bili su osjetljivi na tetraciklin, penicilin, bacitracin, kloramfenikol, cefaleksin, linkomicin, eritromicin, ampicilin, spiramicin i amoksicilin, a otporni na vankomicin, nalidiksičnu kiselinu i metronidazol. *Lactobacillus curvatus* i *Lactobacillus brevis* 2 bili su otporni na nitrofurantoin i trimetoprim, *Lactobacillus curvatus* i *Lactobacillus fermentum* na oksacilin, a *Lactobacillus brevis* 1, *Lactobacillus fermentum*, *Lactobacillus paracasei* subsp. *paracasei* 1 i 2 na neomicin. *Leuconostoc* spp. pokazali su otpornost na streptomicin, enrofloksacin, nitrofurantoin, sulfonamide, polimiksin B i oksacilin. Ovi preliminarni rezultati upućuju na potrebu daljnjih istraživanja antimikrobnе osjetljivosti bakterija mlječne kiseline radi prikupljanja relevantnih podataka u procjeni rizika povezanog s autohtonom hranom.

**Ključne riječi:** *Lactobacillus* spp., *Leuconostoc* spp., otpornost na antimikrobnе tvari

---

