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The journal Ars Adriatica publishes scientific and professional papers that undergo at least two double-blind recensions, and are categorized as follows. Scientific articles are original scientific papers, preliminary communications, reviews and conference papers. Professional papers are professional articles, reviews, essays, expertises, expert reports and opinions. Categories are determined by the editorial board on the basis of two anonymous recensions of established professionals in respective fields and topics.
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Recension and reviewing process is not financially awarded and is entirely in accordance with academic praxis of academic integrity.
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