GUIDELINES FOR REVIEWERS

The Editorial board of the *West Croatian History Journal* uses the double-blind peer review system. Our reviewers are asked to determine and suggest the classification of the submitted article, and to signal on possible changes. Peer review ought to be objective and scientifically based.

After the review process the Editorial board retains the right to ask for an additional review if the requested changes surpass the validity of the conclusion.

The Editorial board asks the reviewers not to disclose any personal information in the review form meant for the author, but only to the one that is designed for the editors. The filled review form should be sent via e-mail and by post in two copies, one of which contains personal data and the sign of the reviewer, and one without it.
REVIEW FORM

Info on the reviewed article

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title of the reviewed article:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date when the article was sent for reviewing:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of the review:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Type of contribution (please mark one chosen category)

1. Original scientific article (Reports unpublished results of original research. The paper has to contain all the necessary information to enable reproducibility of the described work)

2. Preliminary paper (unpublished preliminary results of original research that require prompt publication or article that deserves to be published, but lacking some elements to be considered a fully accomplished scientific article)

3. Review article (A concise and critical survey of a specific research field, providing the reader with up-to-date information on current developments and trends)

4. Conference paper (The paper is clearly based on a presentation and has not been developed enough to appear as a review or scientific article)

5. Informative essay

Evaluation (please mark one chosen category)

1. Accept article without revisions

2. Accept article after minor revision (that can be annotated in the text)

3. Accept article after major revisions

4. Before accepting the article should be mostly or entirely modified

5. Reject article

COMMENTS FOR THE AUTHOR
(These comments will be passed onto the author. Please use as much space as required)
COMMENTS FOR THE JOURNAL EDITORS
(These comments are facultative and will be not disclosed to the author. Please use as much space as required.)

Reviewers’ info

Name, title and position of the reviewer:

Institution:

Address, phone and e-mail:

Reviewers’ comments (Please use as much space as required)

Comments for the Editors (Please use as much space as required)