

ON CERTAIN FUNCTIONAL EQUATION ARISING FROM
 (m, n) - JORDAN CENTRALIZERS IN PRIME RINGS

NINA PERŠIN AND JOSO VUKMAN

University of Maribor, Slovenia

ABSTRACT. The purpose of this paper is to prove the following result. Let $m \geq 1, n \geq 1$ be some fixed integers and let R be a prime ring with $\text{char}(R) = 0$ or $(m + n)^2 < \text{char}(R)$. Suppose there exists an additive mapping $T : R \rightarrow R$ satisfying the relation $2(m + n)^2 T(x^3) = m(2m + n)T(x)x^2 + 2mnxT(x)x + n(2n + m)x^2T(x)$ for all $x \in R$. In this case T is a two-sided centralizer.

Throughout, R will represent an associative ring with center $Z(R)$. Given an integer $n \geq 2$, a ring R is said to be n -torsion free, if for $x \in R$, $nx = 0$ implies $x = 0$. As usual the commutator $xy - yx$ will be denoted by $[x, y]$. We shall use the commutator identities $[xy, z] = [x, z]y + x[y, z]$ and $[x, yz] = [x, y]z + y[x, z]$ for all $x, y, z \in R$. Recall that a ring R is prime if for $a, b \in R$, $aRb = (0)$ implies that either $a = 0$ or $b = 0$ and is semiprime in case $aRa = (0)$ implies $a = 0$. We denote by $\text{char}(R)$ the characteristic of a prime ring R . An additive mapping $D : R \rightarrow R$, where R is an arbitrary ring, is called a derivation if $D(xy) = D(x)y + xD(y)$ holds for all pairs $x, y \in R$, and is called a Jordan derivation in case $D(x^2) = D(x)x + xD(x)$ is fulfilled for all $x \in R$. A derivation D is inner in case there exists $a \in R$, such that $D(x) = [a, x]$ holds for all $x \in R$. Every derivation is a Jordan derivation. The converse is in general not true. A classical result of Herstein ([10]) asserts that any Jordan derivation on a prime ring with $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$ is a derivation. A brief proof of Herstein's result can be found in [3]. Cusack

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16W10, 46K15, 39B05.

Key words and phrases. Ring, prime ring, semiprime ring, Banach space, Hilbert space, algebra of all bounded linear operators, standard operator algebra, derivation, Jordan derivation, left (right) centralizer, two-sided centralizer, left (right) Jordan centralizer, (m, n) -Jordan centralizer.

This research has been supported by the Research Council of Slovenia.

([8]) generalized Herstein's result to 2-torsion free semiprime rings (see also [4] for an alternative proof).

We denote by Q_r, Q_l, Q_s, C and RC the right, left, symmetric Martindale ring of quotients, extended centroid and central closure of a semiprime ring R , respectively. For the explanation of Q_r, Q_l, Q_s, C and RC we refer the reader to [1]. An additive mapping $T : R \rightarrow R$ is called a left centralizer in case $T(xy) = T(x)y$ holds for all pairs $x, y \in R$. In case R has the identity element $T : R \rightarrow R$ is a left centralizer iff T is of the form $T(x) = ax$ for all $x \in R$, where $a \in R$ is some fixed element. For a semiprime ring R all left centralizers are of the form $T(x) = qx$ for all $x \in R$, where $q \in Q_r$ is some fixed element (see Chapter 2 in [1]). An additive mapping $T : R \rightarrow R$ is called a left Jordan centralizer in case $T(x^2) = T(x)x$ holds for all $x \in R$. The definition of right centralizer and right Jordan centralizer should be self-explanatory. We call $T : R \rightarrow R$ a two-sided centralizer in case T is both a left and a right centralizer. In case $T : R \rightarrow R$ is a two-sided centralizer, where R is a semiprime ring with extended centroid C , then there exists an element $\lambda \in C$ such that $T(x) = \lambda x$ for all $x \in R$ (see Theorem 2.3.2 in [1]). Zalar ([20]) has proved that any left (right) Jordan centralizer on a semiprime ring is a left (right) centralizer. For results concerning centralizers in rings and algebras we refer to [11, 15, 17–20] where further references can be found. A mapping F , which maps a ring R into itself, is called centralizing on R in case $[F(x), x] \in Z(R)$ holds for all $x \in R$. A classical result of Posner ([13]) (Posner's second theorem) states that the existence of a nonzero centralizing derivation on a prime ring R with $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$ forces the ring to be commutative. Let X be a real or complex Banach space and let $L(X)$ and $F(X)$ denote the algebra of all bounded linear operators on X and the ideal of all finite rank operators in $L(X)$, respectively. An algebra $A(X) \subset L(X)$ is said to be standard in case $F(X) \subset A(X)$. Let us point out that any standard operator algebra is prime, which is a consequence of Hahn-Banach theorem. Let $m \geq 0, n \geq 0$ be fixed integers with $m + n \neq 0$ and let R be an arbitrary ring. An additive mapping $T : R \rightarrow R$ is called an (m, n) -Jordan centralizer in case

$$(1) \quad (m+n)T(x^2) = mT(x)x + nxT(x)$$

holds for all $x \in R$. The concept of (m, n) -Jordan centralizer was introduced by Vukman ([19]). Obviously, $(1, 0)$ -Jordan centralizer is a left Jordan centralizer, $(0, 1)$ -Jordan centralizer is a right Jordan centralizer, and in case $(1, 1)$ -Jordan centralizer we have the relation

$$(2) \quad 2T(x^2) = T(x)x + xT(x), x \in R.$$

Vukman ([15]) has proved that in case there exists an additive mapping $T : R \rightarrow R$, where R is a 2-torsion free semiprime ring, satisfying the relation (2), then T is a two-sided centralizer. Vukman ([19]) conjectured

that any (m, n) -Jordan centralizer on a semiprime ring with suitable torsion restrictions, where $m \geq 1, n \geq 1$ are some fixed integers, is a two-sided centralizer. Vukman ([19]) has proved the following result which proves a special case of the conjecture we have just mentioned above.

THEOREM 1. *Let $m \geq 1, n \geq 1$ be some fixed integers and let R be a prime ring with $\text{char}(R) \neq 6mn(m+n)$. Suppose $T : R \rightarrow R$ is an (m, n) -Jordan centralizer. If $Z(R)$ is nonzero, then T is a two-sided centralizer.*

One can easily prove that any (m, n) -Jordan centralizer $T : R \rightarrow R$, where R is an arbitrary ring, satisfies the relation

$$2(m+n)^2T(x^3) = m(2m+n)T(x)x^2 + 2mnxT(x)x + n(2n+m)x^2T(x)$$

for all $x \in R$ (see [19] for the details). Recently, Vukman ([19]) considered the above relation in standard operator algebras on a real or complex Hilbert space. It is our aim in this paper to prove the following result.

THEOREM 2. *Let $m \geq 1, n \geq 1$ be some fixed integers and let R be a prime ring with $\text{char}(R) = 0$ or $(m+n)^2 < \text{char}(R)$ and let $T : R \rightarrow R$ be an additive mapping satisfying the relation*

$$(3) \quad 2(m+n)^2T(x^3) = m(2m+n)T(x)x^2 + 2mnxT(x)x + n(2n+m)x^2T(x)$$

for all $x \in R$. In this case T is a two-sided centralizer.

For the proof of Theorem 2 we need Theorem 3 below, which might be of independent interest. As the main tool in this paper we use the theory of functional identities (Brešar-Beidar-Chebotar theory). We refer the reader to [6] for introductory account of functional identities and to [7] for full treatment of this theory. Let R be an algebra over a commutative ring ϕ . Further let

$$(4) \quad p(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \sum_{\pi \in S_3} x_{\pi(1)}x_{\pi(2)}x_{\pi(3)}$$

be a fixed multilinear polynomial in noncommuting indeterminates x_i over ϕ . Here S_3 stands for the symmetric group of order 3 and $e \in S_3$ for its identity element. Further, let \mathcal{L} be a subset of R closed under p , i.e., $p(\bar{x}_3) \in \mathcal{L}$ for all $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in \mathcal{L}$, where $\bar{x}_3 = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$. We shall consider a mapping $T : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow R$ satisfying

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} 2(m+n)^2T(p(\bar{x}_3)) &= m(2m+n) \sum_{\pi \in S_3} T(x_{\pi(1)})x_{\pi(2)}x_{\pi(3)} \\ &+ 2mn \sum_{\pi \in S_3} x_{\pi(1)}T(x_{\pi(2)})x_{\pi(3)} + n(2n+m) \sum_{\pi \in S_3} x_{\pi(1)}x_{\pi(2)}T(x_{\pi(3)}) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in \mathcal{L}$. In the first step of the proof of the following theorem we derive a functional identity from (5). Let us mention that the idea of considering the expression $[p(\bar{x}_3), p(\bar{y}_3)]$ in its proof is taken from [2].

THEOREM 3. *Let R be an algebra over ϕ . Suppose that \mathcal{L} is a 6-free Lie subalgebra of R closed under p . If $T : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow R$ is an additive map satisfying (3) for all $x \in \mathcal{L}$, then there exists $p \in C(\mathcal{L})$ and $\lambda : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow C(\mathcal{L})$ such that $2m(2m+n)(m+n)^2T(x) = px + \lambda(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{L}$, where $C(\mathcal{L})$ is extended centroid of \mathcal{L} .*

PROOF. A complete linearization of (3) gives us (5). Note that for any $a \in \mathcal{L}$ and $\bar{x}_3 \in \mathcal{L}^3$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[p(\bar{x}_3), a] &= \sum_{\pi \in S_3} [x_{\pi(1)}x_{\pi(2)}x_{\pi(3)}, a] \\
&= \sum_{\pi \in S_3} x_{\pi(1)}x_{\pi(2)}x_{\pi(3)}a - a \sum_{\pi \in S_3} x_{\pi(1)}x_{\pi(2)}x_{\pi(3)} \\
&= \sum_{\pi \in S_3} x_{\pi(1)}x_{\pi(2)}x_{\pi(3)}a - a \sum_{\pi \in S_3} x_{\pi(1)}x_{\pi(2)}x_{\pi(3)} + \sum_{\pi \in S_3} x_{\pi(1)}ax_{\pi(2)}x_{\pi(3)} \\
&\quad - \sum_{\pi \in S_3} x_{\pi(1)}ax_{\pi(2)}x_{\pi(3)} + \sum_{\pi \in S_3} x_{\pi(1)}x_{\pi(2)}ax_{\pi(3)} - \sum_{\pi \in S_3} x_{\pi(1)}x_{\pi(2)}ax_{\pi(3)} \\
&= \sum_{\pi \in S_3} x_{\pi(1)}ax_{\pi(2)}x_{\pi(3)} - a \sum_{\pi \in S_3} x_{\pi(1)}x_{\pi(2)}x_{\pi(3)} + \sum_{\pi \in S_3} x_{\pi(1)}x_{\pi(2)}ax_{\pi(3)} \\
&\quad - \sum_{\pi \in S_3} x_{\pi(1)}ax_{\pi(2)}x_{\pi(3)} + \sum_{\pi \in S_3} x_{\pi(1)}x_{\pi(2)}x_{\pi(3)}a - \sum_{\pi \in S_3} x_{\pi(1)}x_{\pi(2)}ax_{\pi(3)} \\
&= \sum_{\pi \in S_3} [x_{\pi(1)}, a] x_{\pi(2)}x_{\pi(3)} + \sum_{\pi \in S_3} x_{\pi(1)} [x_{\pi(2)}, a] x_{\pi(3)} + \sum_{\pi \in S_3} x_{\pi(1)}x_{\pi(2)} [x_{\pi(3)}, a] \\
&= p([x_1, a], x_2, x_3) + p(x_1, [x_2, a], x_3) + p(x_1, x_2, [x_3, a]).
\end{aligned}$$

Using this in (5) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
2(m+n)^2T([p(\bar{x}_3), a]) &= 2(m+n)^2T(p([x_1, a], x_2, x_3)) \\
&\quad + 2(m+n)^2T(p(x_1, [x_2, a], x_3)) + 2(m+n)^2T(p(x_1, x_2, [x_3, a])).
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
2(m+n)^2T([p(\bar{x}_3), a]) &= \sum_{\pi \in S_3} m(2m+n)T([x_{\pi(1)}, a])x_{\pi(2)}x_{\pi(3)} \\
&\quad + \sum_{\pi \in S_3} m(2m+n)T(x_{\pi(1)}) [x_{\pi(2)}x_{\pi(3)}, a] + \sum_{\pi \in S_3} 2mn [x_{\pi(1)}, a] T(x_{\pi(2)})x_{\pi(3)} \\
&\quad + \sum_{\pi \in S_3} 2mnx_{\pi(1)}T([x_{\pi(2)}, a])x_{\pi(3)} + \sum_{\pi \in S_3} 2mnx_{\pi(1)}T(x_{\pi(2)}) [x_{\pi(3)}, a] \\
&\quad + \sum_{\pi \in S_3} n(2n+m) [x_{\pi(1)}x_{\pi(2)}, a] T(x_{\pi(3)}) \\
&\quad + \sum_{\pi \in S_3} n(2n+m)x_{\pi(1)}x_{\pi(2)}T([x_{\pi(3)}, a]).
\end{aligned}$$

Further, let $s : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be a mapping defined by $s(i) = i - 3$. For each $\sigma \in S_3$ the mapping $s^{-1}\sigma s : \{4, 5, 6\} \rightarrow \{4, 5, 6\}$ will be denoted by $\bar{\sigma}$. Then we have in particular, where $a = p(x_4, x_5, x_6)$

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2(m+n)^2 T([p(x_1, x_2, x_3), p(x_4, x_5, x_6)]) \\
&= \sum_{\pi \in S_3} m(2m+n) T([x_{\pi(1)}, p(x_4, x_5, x_6)]) x_{\pi(2)} x_{\pi(3)} \\
&+ \sum_{\pi \in S_3} m(2m+n) T(x_{\pi(1)}) [x_{\pi(2)} x_{\pi(3)}, p(x_4, x_5, x_6)] \\
&+ \sum_{\pi \in S_3} 2mn [x_{\pi(1)}, p(x_4, x_5, x_6)] T(x_{\pi(2)}) x_{\pi(3)} \\
(6) \quad &+ \sum_{\pi \in S_3} 2mn x_{\pi(1)} T([x_{\pi(2)}, p(x_4, x_5, x_6)]) x_{\pi(3)} \\
&+ \sum_{\pi \in S_3} 2mn x_{\pi(1)} T(x_{\pi(2)}) [x_{\pi(3)}, p(x_4, x_5, x_6)] \\
&+ \sum_{\pi \in S_3} n(2n+m) [x_{\pi(1)} x_{\pi(2)}, p(x_4, x_5, x_6)] T(x_{\pi(3)}) \\
&+ \sum_{\pi \in S_3} n(2n+m) x_{\pi(1)} x_{\pi(2)} T([x_{\pi(3)}, p(x_4, x_5, x_6)])
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2(m+n)^2 T([x_{\pi(1)}, p(x_4, x_5, x_6)]) = -2(m+n)^2 T([p(x_4, x_5, x_6), x_{\pi(1)}]) \\
&= \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} m(2m+n) T([x_{\pi(1)}, x_{\bar{\sigma}(1)}]) x_{\bar{\sigma}(2)} x_{\bar{\sigma}(3)} \\
&+ \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} m(2m+n) T(x_{\bar{\sigma}(1)}) [x_{\pi(1)}, x_{\bar{\sigma}(2)} x_{\bar{\sigma}(3)}] \\
&+ \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} 2mn [x_{\pi(1)}, x_{\bar{\sigma}(1)}] T(x_{\bar{\sigma}(2)}) x_{\bar{\sigma}(3)} \\
&+ \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} 2mn x_{\bar{\sigma}(1)} T(x_{\bar{\sigma}(2)}) [x_{\pi(1)}, x_{\bar{\sigma}(3)}] \\
&+ \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} 2mn x_{\bar{\sigma}(1)} T([x_{\pi(1)}, x_{\bar{\sigma}(2)}]) x_{\bar{\sigma}(3)} \\
&+ \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} n(2n+m) [x_{\pi(1)}, x_{\bar{\sigma}(1)} x_{\bar{\sigma}(2)}] T(x_{\bar{\sigma}(3)}) \\
&+ \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} n(2n+m) x_{\bar{\sigma}(1)} x_{\bar{\sigma}(2)} T([x_{\pi(1)}, x_{\bar{\sigma}(3)}]),
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_6 \in \mathcal{L}$. We shall write

$$\varphi(x_{\pi(1)}) = 2(m+n)^2 T([x_{\pi(1)}, p(x_4, x_5, x_6)]).$$

Similarly we define

$$\varphi(x_{\pi(2)}) = 2(m+n)^2 T([x_{\pi(2)}, p(x_4, x_5, x_6)]),$$

and

$$\varphi(x_{\pi(3)}) = 2(m+n)^2 T([x_{\pi(3)}, p(x_4, x_5, x_6)]).$$

Using this together with (6) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& (2(m+n)^2)^2 T([p(x_1, x_2, x_3), p(x_4, x_5, x_6)]) \\
&= \sum_{\pi \in S_3} m(2m+n) \varphi(x_{\pi(1)}) x_{\pi(2)} x_{\pi(3)} \\
&+ \sum_{\pi \in S_3} 2m(2m+n)(m+n)^2 T(x_{\pi(1)}) [x_{\pi(2)} x_{\pi(3)}, p(x_4, x_5, x_6)] \\
&+ \sum_{\pi \in S_3} 4mn(m+n)^2 [x_{\pi(1)}, p(x_4, x_5, x_6)] T(x_{\pi(2)}) x_{\pi(3)} \\
(7) \quad &+ \sum_{\pi \in S_3} 2mn x_{\pi(1)} \varphi(x_{\pi(2)}) x_{\pi(3)} \\
&+ \sum_{\pi \in S_3} 4mn(m+n)^2 x_{\pi(1)} T(x_{\pi(2)}) [x_{\pi(3)}, p(x_4, x_5, x_6)] \\
&+ \sum_{\pi \in S_3} 2n(2n+m)(m+n)^2 [x_{\pi(1)} x_{\pi(2)}, p(x_4, x_5, x_6)] T(x_{\pi(3)}) \\
&+ \sum_{\pi \in S_3} n(2n+m) x_{\pi(1)} x_{\pi(2)} \varphi(x_{\pi(3)}).
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$[x_{\pi(1)} x_{\pi(2)}, p(x_4, x_5, x_6)] = \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} [x_{\pi(1)} x_{\pi(2)}, x_{\sigma(1)} x_{\sigma(2)} x_{\sigma(3)}],$$

then (7) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned}
& (2(m+n)^2)^2 T([p(x_1, x_2, x_3), p(x_4, x_5, x_6)]) \\
&= \sum_{\pi \in S_3} \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} m(2m+n) \varphi(x_{\pi(1)}) x_{\pi(2)} x_{\pi(3)} \\
&+ \sum_{\pi \in S_3} \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} 2m(2m+n)(m+n)^2 T(x_{\pi(1)}) [x_{\pi(2)} x_{\pi(3)}, x_{\sigma(1)} x_{\sigma(2)} x_{\sigma(3)}] \\
&+ \sum_{\pi \in S_3} \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} 4mn(m+n)^2 [x_{\pi(1)}, x_{\sigma(1)} x_{\sigma(2)} x_{\sigma(3)}] T(x_{\pi(2)}) x_{\pi(3)} \\
(8) \quad &+ \sum_{\pi \in S_3} \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} 2mn x_{\pi(1)} \varphi(x_{\pi(2)}) x_{\pi(3)} \\
&+ \sum_{\pi \in S_3} \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} 4mn(m+n)^2 x_{\pi(1)} T(x_{\pi(2)}) [x_{\pi(3)}, x_{\sigma(1)} x_{\sigma(2)} x_{\sigma(3)}]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+ \sum_{\pi \in S_3} \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} 2n(2n+m)(m+n)^2 [x_{\pi(1)}x_{\pi(2)}, x_{\bar{\sigma}(1)}x_{\bar{\sigma}(2)}x_{\bar{\sigma}(3)}] T(x_{\pi(3)}) \\
 &+ \sum_{\pi \in S_3} \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} n(2n+m)x_{\pi(1)}x_{\pi(2)}\varphi(x_{\pi(3)}).
 \end{aligned}$$

If we replace the roles of denotations π and σ , then from (8) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 &(2(m+n)^2)^2 T([p(x_1, x_2, x_3), p(x_4, x_5, x_6)]) \\
 &= \sum_{\pi \in S_3} \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} m(2m+n)\bar{\varphi}(x_{\bar{\sigma}(1)})x_{\bar{\sigma}(2)}x_{\bar{\sigma}(3)} \\
 &+ \sum_{\pi \in S_3} \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} 2m(2m+n)(m+n)^2 T(x_{\bar{\sigma}(1)}) [x_{\pi(1)}x_{\pi(2)}x_{\pi(3)}, x_{\bar{\sigma}(2)}x_{\bar{\sigma}(3)}] \\
 &+ \sum_{\pi \in S_3} \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} 4mn(m+n)^2 [x_{\pi(1)}x_{\pi(2)}x_{\pi(3)}, x_{\bar{\sigma}(1)}] T(x_{\bar{\sigma}(2)})x_{\bar{\sigma}(3)} \\
 (9) \quad &+ \sum_{\pi \in S_3} \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} 2mnx_{\bar{\sigma}(1)}\bar{\varphi}(x_{\bar{\sigma}(2)})x_{\bar{\sigma}(3)} \\
 &+ \sum_{\pi \in S_3} \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} 4mn(m+n)^2 x_{\bar{\sigma}(1)} T(x_{\bar{\sigma}(2)}) [x_{\pi(1)}x_{\pi(2)}x_{\pi(3)}, x_{\bar{\sigma}(3)}] \\
 &+ \sum_{\pi \in S_3} \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} 2n(2n+m)(m+n)^2 [x_{\pi(1)}x_{\pi(2)}x_{\pi(3)}, x_{\bar{\sigma}(1)}x_{\bar{\sigma}(2)}] T(x_{\bar{\sigma}(3)}) \\
 &+ \sum_{\pi \in S_3} \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} n(2n+m)x_{\bar{\sigma}(1)}x_{\bar{\sigma}(2)}\bar{\varphi}(x_{\bar{\sigma}(3)}).
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_6 \in \mathcal{L}$, where

$$\bar{\varphi}(x_{\bar{\sigma}(i)}) = 2(m+n)^2 T([p(x_1, x_2, x_3), x_{\bar{\sigma}(i)}])$$

for $i = 1, 2, 3$. We obtain that

$$\bar{\varphi}(x_{\pi(i)}) = -\varphi(x_{\pi(i)})$$

for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Comparing (8) and (9) we obtain the following identity

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 = &\sum_{\pi \in S_3} \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} \left(m(2m+n)\varphi(x_{\pi(1)})x_{\pi(2)} + 2mnx_{\pi(1)}\varphi(x_{\pi(2)}) \right. \\
 &+ 4mn(m+n)^2 x_{\bar{\sigma}(1)} T(x_{\bar{\sigma}(2)})x_{\bar{\sigma}(3)}x_{\pi(1)}x_{\pi(2)} \\
 &- 4mn(m+n)^2 x_{\pi(1)} T(x_{\pi(2)})x_{\bar{\sigma}(1)}x_{\bar{\sigma}(2)}x_{\bar{\sigma}(3)} \\
 &+ 2m(2m+n)(m+n)^2 T(x_{\bar{\sigma}(1)})x_{\bar{\sigma}(2)}x_{\bar{\sigma}(3)}x_{\pi(1)}x_{\pi(2)} \\
 &\left. - 2m(2m+n)(m+n)^2 T(x_{\pi(1)})x_{\bar{\sigma}(1)}x_{\bar{\sigma}(2)}x_{\bar{\sigma}(3)}x_{\pi(2)} \right) x_{\pi(3)}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{\pi \in S_3} \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} \left(m(2m+n)\varphi(x_{\bar{\sigma}(1)})x_{\bar{\sigma}(2)} + 2mnx_{\bar{\sigma}(1)}\varphi(x_{\bar{\sigma}(2)}) \right. \\
& + 4mn(m+n)^2x_{\pi(1)}T(x_{\pi(2)})x_{\pi(3)}x_{\bar{\sigma}(1)}x_{\bar{\sigma}(2)} \\
& - 4mn(m+n)^2x_{\bar{\sigma}(1)}T(x_{\bar{\sigma}(2)})x_{\pi(1)}x_{\pi(2)}x_{\pi(3)} \\
& + 2m(2m+n)(m+n)^2T(x_{\pi(1)})x_{\pi(2)}x_{\pi(3)}x_{\bar{\sigma}(1)}x_{\bar{\sigma}(2)} \\
& \left. - 2m(2m+n)(m+n)^2T(x_{\bar{\sigma}(1)})x_{\pi(1)}x_{\pi(2)}x_{\pi(3)}x_{\bar{\sigma}(2)} \right) x_{\bar{\sigma}(3)} \\
(10) \quad & + \sum_{\pi \in S_3} \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} x_{\pi(1)} \left(n(2n+m)x_{\pi(2)}\varphi(x_{\pi(3)}) \right. \\
& - 4mn(m+n)^2x_{\pi(2)}x_{\pi(3)}x_{\bar{\sigma}(1)}T(x_{\bar{\sigma}(2)})x_{\bar{\sigma}(3)} \\
& + 4mn(m+n)^2x_{\bar{\sigma}(1)}x_{\bar{\sigma}(2)}x_{\bar{\sigma}(3)}T(x_{\pi(2)})x_{\pi(3)}x_{\pi(2)}x_{\pi(3)} \\
& - 2n(2n+m)(m+n)^2x_{\pi(2)}x_{\pi(3)}x_{\bar{\sigma}(1)}x_{\bar{\sigma}(2)}T(x_{\bar{\sigma}(3)}) \\
& \left. + 2n(2n+m)(m+n)^2x_{\pi(2)}x_{\bar{\sigma}(1)}x_{\bar{\sigma}(2)}x_{\bar{\sigma}(3)}T(x_{\pi(3)}) \right) \\
& + \sum_{\pi \in S_3} \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} x_{\bar{\sigma}(1)} \left(n(2n+m)x_{\bar{\sigma}(2)}\varphi(x_{\bar{\sigma}(3)}) \right. \\
& - 4mn(m+n)^2x_{\bar{\sigma}(2)}x_{\bar{\sigma}(3)}x_{\pi(1)}T(x_{\pi(2)})x_{\pi(3)} \\
& + 4mn(m+n)^2x_{\pi(1)}x_{\pi(2)}x_{\pi(3)}T(x_{\bar{\sigma}(2)})x_{\bar{\sigma}(3)} \\
& - 2n(2n+m)(m+n)^2x_{\bar{\sigma}(2)}x_{\bar{\sigma}(3)}x_{\pi(1)}x_{\pi(2)}T(x_{\pi(3)}) \\
& \left. + 2n(2n+m)(m+n)^2x_{\bar{\sigma}(2)}x_{\pi(1)}x_{\pi(2)}x_{\pi(3)}T(x_{\bar{\sigma}(3)}) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_6 \in \mathcal{L}$.

Define maps $E, F : \mathcal{L}^5 \rightarrow R$ by the rule

$$\begin{aligned}
E(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5) &= m(2m+n)\varphi(u_1)u_2 + 2mnu_1\varphi(u_2) \\
&+ 4mn(m+n)^2(u_3T(u_4)u_5u_1u_2 - u_1T(u_2)u_3u_4u_5) \\
&+ 2m(2m+n)(m+n)^2(T(u_3)u_4u_5u_1u_2 - T(u_1)u_3u_4u_5u_2)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
F(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5) &= n(2n+m)u_1\varphi(u_2) \\
&- 4mn(m+n)^2(u_1u_2u_3T(u_4)u_5 - u_3u_4u_5T(u_1)u_2) \\
&- 2n(2n+m)(m+n)^2(u_1u_2u_3u_4T(u_5) - u_1u_3u_4u_5T(u_2))
\end{aligned}$$

for all $\bar{u}_5 \in \mathcal{L}^5$.

Accordingly, (10) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \sum_{\pi \in S_3} \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} E(x_{\pi(1)}, x_{\pi(2)}, x_{\bar{\sigma}(1)}, x_{\bar{\sigma}(2)}, x_{\bar{\sigma}(3)}) x_{\pi(3)} \\
&+ \sum_{\pi \in S_3} \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} E(x_{\pi(1)}, x_{\pi(2)}, x_{\pi(3)}, x_{\bar{\sigma}(1)}, x_{\bar{\sigma}(2)}) x_{\bar{\sigma}(3)} \\
&+ \sum_{\pi \in S_3} \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} x_{\pi(1)} F(x_{\pi(2)}, x_{\pi(3)}, x_{\bar{\sigma}(1)}, x_{\bar{\sigma}(2)}, x_{\bar{\sigma}(3)}) \\
&+ \sum_{\pi \in S_3} \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} x_{\bar{\sigma}(1)} F(x_{\pi(1)}, x_{\pi(2)}, x_{\pi(3)}, x_{\bar{\sigma}(2)}, x_{\bar{\sigma}(3)})
\end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \sum_{i=1}^3 \left(\sum_{\substack{\pi \in S_3 \\ \pi(3)=i}} \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} E(x_{\pi(1)}, x_{\pi(2)}, x_{\bar{\sigma}(1)}, x_{\bar{\sigma}(2)}, x_{\bar{\sigma}(3)}) \right) x_i \\
&+ \sum_{i=4}^6 \left(\sum_{\pi \in S_3} \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in S_3 \\ \bar{\sigma}(3)=i}} E(x_{\pi(1)}, x_{\pi(2)}, x_{\pi(3)}, x_{\bar{\sigma}(1)}, x_{\bar{\sigma}(2)}) \right) x_i \\
&+ \sum_{j=1}^3 x_j \left(\sum_{\substack{\pi \in S_3 \\ \pi(1)=j}} \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} F(x_{\pi(2)}, x_{\pi(3)}, x_{\bar{\sigma}(1)}, x_{\bar{\sigma}(2)}, x_{\bar{\sigma}(3)}) \right) \\
&+ \sum_{j=4}^6 x_j \left(\sum_{\pi \in S_3} \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in S_3 \\ \bar{\sigma}(1)=j}} F(x_{\pi(1)}, x_{\pi(2)}, x_{\pi(3)}, x_{\bar{\sigma}(2)}, x_{\bar{\sigma}(3)}) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_6 \in \mathcal{L}$. Then we have that

$$\sum_{i=1}^6 E_i^i(\bar{x}_6) x_i + \sum_{j=1}^6 F_j^j(\bar{x}_6) x_j = 0$$

for all $\bar{x}_6 \in \mathcal{L}^6$, where $E_i, F_j : \mathcal{L}^5 \rightarrow R$ and $E^i, F^j : \mathcal{L}^6 \rightarrow R$ are mappings

$$E^i(\bar{x}_6) = E(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i, \dots, x_6)$$

and

$$F^j(\bar{x}_6) = F(x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_j, \dots, x_6).$$

Now we simply apply the definition of 6-freeness \mathcal{L} . There exists maps $p_{6,j} : \mathcal{L}^4 \rightarrow R, j = 1, \dots, 5$ and $\lambda_6 : \mathcal{L}^5 \rightarrow C(\mathcal{L})$ such that

$$\sum_{\substack{\pi \in S_3 \\ \pi(3)=3}} \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} E(x_{\pi(1)}, x_{\pi(2)}, x_{\bar{\sigma}(1)}, x_{\bar{\sigma}(2)}, x_{\bar{\sigma}(3)}) = \sum_{j=1}^5 x_j p_{6,j}(\bar{x}_5^j) + \lambda_6(\bar{x}_5)$$

for all $\bar{x}_5 \in \mathcal{L}^5$. Recalling the definition of map E and after some steps we arrive at

$$(11) \quad 2m(2m+n)(m+n)^2T(x) = xp + \lambda(x),$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{L}$, where $p \in \mathcal{L}$ and $\lambda : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow C(\mathcal{L})$. The symmetric analogue in which maps F are involved, is clearly proved in the same way. Therefore

$$2n(2n+m)(m+n)^2T(x) = \bar{p}x + \bar{\lambda}(x)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{L}$ and some $\bar{p} \in \mathcal{L}$ and $\bar{\lambda} : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow C(\mathcal{L})$. Therefore

$$2mn(2m+n)(2n+m)(m+n)^2T(x) = n(2n+m)(xp + \lambda(x)),$$

$$2mn(2m+n)(2n+m)(m+n)^2T(x) = m(2m+n)(\bar{p}x + \bar{\lambda}(x))$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{L}$. Comparing this two identities we arrive at

$$n(2n+m)xp - m(2m+n)\bar{p}x \in C(\mathcal{L})$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{L}$. It follows that $n(2n+m)p = m(2m+n)\bar{p} \in C(\mathcal{L})$, which yields $p, \bar{p} \in C(\mathcal{L})$. Therefore the proof is completed. \square

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 2.

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. The complete linearization of (3) gives us (5). Assume first that R is not a PI ring. According to Theorem 3 there exist $p \in C$ and $\lambda : R \rightarrow C$ such that

$$2m(2m+n)(m+n)^2T(x) = xp + \lambda(x).$$

Then we have

$$x^2((m+n)^2xp + 2(m+n)^2\lambda(x)) = (m+n)^2\lambda(x^3),$$

which yields

$$x^2(xp + 2\lambda(x)) = \lambda(x^3),$$

for all $x \in R$. A complete linearization of this identity leads to

$$\sum_{\pi \in S_3} x_{\pi(1)}x_{\pi(2)}(x_{\pi(3)}p + 2\lambda(x_{\pi(3)})) = \lambda(p(\bar{x}_3))$$

for all $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in R$. Since R is not a PI ring it follows that

$$(12) \quad xp + 2\lambda(x) = 0$$

for all $x \in R$. Now our aim is to show that $\lambda = 0$. Thus $[xp, y] = 0$ for all $x, y \in R$. Then we have $[x, y]zp = 0$ for all $x, y, z \in R$. It follows that R is commutative or $p = 0$. If $p = 0$, then $\lambda(x) = 0$ for all $x \in R$ by (12). If $[x, y] = 0$, then from (12) follows that $\lambda(x)y - \lambda(y)x = 0$ for all $x, y \in R$. Consequently $\lambda = 0$.

Now suppose that R is a PI ring. It is well-known that in this case R has a nonzero center (see [14]). Let c be a nonzero central element. Pick any $x \in R$ and set $x_1 = x_2 = cx$ and $x_3 = x$ in (5) we get

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} 12(m+n)^2 T(c^2 x^3) &= 2m(2m+n)(2T(cx)x^2 + T(x)x^2 c) \\ &+ 4mn(2xT(cx)x + xT(x)xc)c + 2n(2n+m)(2x^2 T(cx) + x^2 T(x)c)c. \end{aligned}$$

Next, setting $x_1 = x_2 = c$ and $x_3 = x^3$ in (5), we have

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} 12(m+n)^2 T(c^2 x^3) &= 2m(2m+n)(2T(c)x^3 + T(x^3)c)c \\ &+ 4mn(x^3 T(c) + T(c)x^3 + T(x^3)c)c + 2n(2n+m)(2x^3 T(c) + T(x^3)c)c. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing both identities we obtain

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} m(2m+n)T(cx)x^2 + 2mnxT(cx)x + n(2n+m)x^2 T(cx) \\ = 2m(m+n)T(c)x^3 + 2n(n+m)x^3 T(c) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in R$. If $x = c$ we have

$$(16) \quad T(c^2) = T(c)c.$$

Setting $x_1 = x$ and $x_2 = x_3 = c$ in the complete linearization of (15) we get

$$(17) \quad (m+n)T(cx) = mT(c)x + nxT(c)$$

for all $x \in R$. Multiplying (17) by c^2 we get

$$(m+n)T(cx)c^2 = mT(c^2)xc + nxT(c^2)c$$

and substituting x by cx in (17) we get

$$(m+n)T(c^2 x)c = mT(c^2)xc + nxT(c^2)c.$$

Comparing the last two identities we see that

$$(18) \quad T(c^2 x) = T(cx)c.$$

Setting $x_1 = x$ and $x_2 = x_3 = c$ in (5) we have

$$(19) \quad \begin{aligned} 12(m+n)^2 T(c^2 x) &= 2m(2m+n)(2T(x)c^2 + 4T(c)xc) \\ &+ 2mn(2T(x)c^2 + 2T(c)xc + 2xT(c)c) \\ &+ 2n(2n+m)(2c^2 T(x) + 4xT(c)xc) \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$(20) \quad T(cx) = T(x)c = cT(x).$$

Setting $x_1 = x_2 = x$ and $x_3 = c$ in the complete linearization of (15) and using (20) we get

$$T(c)x^2 + x^2 T(c) = 2xT(c)x.$$

This can be rewritten as

$$[[T(c), x], x] = 0$$

for all $x \in R$. From Posner's second theorem it follows that $[T(c), x] = 0$ for all $x \in R$. From (17) consequently we get

$$(21) \quad T(cx) = T(c)x = xT(c).$$

Next we replace x for xy in (17) we obtain

$$(22) \quad \begin{aligned} (m+n)T(xy)c &= (mT(c)x)y + x(nyT(c)) \\ &= (m+n)T(x)yc + (m+n)xT(y)c - (m+n)xT(c)y. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying this identity on the left by z we get

$$(23) \quad \begin{aligned} (m+n)zT(xy)c &= (m+n)zT(x)yc \\ &\quad + (m+n)zxT(y)c - (m+n)zxT(c)y. \end{aligned}$$

Then substituting x for zx in (22) we have

$$(24) \quad \begin{aligned} (m+n)T(zxy)c &= (m+n)T(zx)yc \\ &\quad + (m+n)zxT(y)c - (m+n)zxT(c)y. \end{aligned}$$

From (23) and (24) we obtain

$$(25) \quad T(zxy) = zT(xy) + T(zx)y - zT(x)y.$$

We use the last identity and (21) to get

$$T(zcy) = zT(cy) + T(zc)y - zT(c)y.$$

Then by (21) we have

$$T(zyc) = zT(cy) + zT(c)y - zT(c)y,$$

and so

$$T(zy)c = zT(y)c$$

which yields $T(zy) = zT(y)$. Similarly we get $T(zy) = T(z)y$ for all $y, z \in R$ and T is two-sided centralizer. Therefore the proof is completed. \square

The relation (25) leads to the following relation

$$F(xyx) = F(xy)x - xF(y)x + xF(yx)$$

for all $x, y \in R$, where F is an additive mapping which maps a ring R into itself. The question arises about the solution of the above equation. Let us consider some relations which are similar to the above relation. An additive mapping $D : R \rightarrow R$, where R is an arbitrary ring, is called a Jordan triple derivation in case

$$D(xyx) = D(x)yx + xD(y)x + xyD(x)$$

holds for all pairs $x, y \in R$. One can easily prove that any Jordan derivation on a 2-torsion free ring is a Jordan triple derivation (see [3] for the details). Brešar ([5]) has proved the following result.

THEOREM 4. *Let R be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring and let $D : R \rightarrow R$ be a Jordan triple derivation. In this case D is a derivation.*

Since, as we have mentioned above, any Jordan derivation on a 2-torsion free ring is a Jordan triple derivation, Theorem 4 generalizes Cusack's generalization of Herstein's theorem. Brešar's result above has been recently generalized by Liu and Shiue ([12]). Motivated by Theorem 4 Vukman, Eremita and Kosi-Ulbl ([16]) have proved the following result (see also [9]).

THEOREM 5. *Let R be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring and let $T : R \rightarrow R$ be an additive mapping satisfying the relation*

$$(26) \quad T(xyx) = T(x)yx - xT(y)x + xyT(x)$$

for all pairs $x, y \in R$. In this case T is of the form $2T(x) = qx + xq$ for all $x \in R$, where $q \in Q_S$ is some fixed element.

We proceed with the following result.

PROPOSITION 6. *Let R be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring with the identity element e and let $F : R \rightarrow R$ be an additive mapping satisfying the relation*

$$(27) \quad F(xyx) = F(xy)x - xF(y)x + xF(yx)$$

for all pairs $x, y \in R$. In this case F is of the form

$$2F(x) = D(x) + F(e)x + xF(e),$$

where $D : R \rightarrow R$ is a derivation.

PROOF. Putting in the relation (27) $y = e$ we obtain

$$(28) \quad F(x^2) = F(x)x - xF(e)x + xF(x)$$

for all $x \in R$. Let us denote $2F(x) - F(e)x - xF(e)$ by $D(x)$. Then applying the relation (28) a simple calculation shows that

$$(29) \quad D(x^2) = D(x)x + xD(x)$$

holds for all $x \in R$. We have an additive mapping $D : R \rightarrow R$ satisfying the relation (29) for all $x \in R$. In other words, D is a Jordan derivation on R . Applying Cusack's generalization of Herstein's theorem one concludes that D is a derivation, which completes the proof. \square

Proposition 6 together with Theorem 5 leads to the following conjecture.

CONJECTURE 7. *Let R be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring and let $F : R \rightarrow R$ be an additive mapping satisfying the relation*

$$F(xyx) = F(xy)x - xF(y)x + xF(yx)$$

for all pairs $x, y \in R$. In this case F is of the form $2F(x) = D(x) + qx + xq$ for all $x \in R$, where $D : R \rightarrow R$ is a derivation and $q \in Q_S$ is some fixed element.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.

The authors are thankful to the referee for his valuable comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] K. I. Beidar, W. S. Martindale, III and A. V. Mikhalev, *Rings with generalized identities*, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York 1996.
- [2] K. I. Beidar and Y. Fong, *On additive isomorphisms of prime rings preserving polynomials*, *J. Algebra* **217** (1999), 650–667.
- [3] M. Brešar and J. Vukman, *Jordan derivations on prime rings*, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **37** (1988), 321–322.
- [4] M. Brešar, *Jordan derivations on semiprime rings*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **104** (1988), 1003–1006.
- [5] M. Brešar, *Jordan mappings of semiprime rings*, *J. Algebra* **127** (1989), 218–228.
- [6] M. Brešar, *Functional identities: a survey*, *Contemp. Math.* **259** (2000), 93–109.
- [7] M. Brešar, M. Chebotar and W. S. Martindale, III, *Functional identities*, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2007.
- [8] J. Cusack, *Jordan derivations on rings*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **53** (1975), 321–324.
- [9] A. Fošner and J. Vukman, *On certain functional equations related to Jordan triple (θ, ϕ) -derivations on semiprime rings*, *Monatsh. Math.* **162** (2011), 157–165.
- [10] I. N. Herstein, *Jordan derivations of prime rings*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **8** (1957), 1104–1110.
- [11] I. Kosi-Ulbl and J. Vukman, *On centralizers of standard operator algebras and semisimple H^* -algebras*, *Acta Math. Hungar.* **110** (2006), 217–223.
- [12] C.-K. Liu and W.-K. Shiue, *Generalized Jordan triple (θ, ϕ) -derivations on semiprime rings*, *Taiwanese J. Math.* **11** (2007), 1397–1406.
- [13] E. C. Posner, *Derivations in prime rings*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **8** (1957), 1093–1100.
- [14] L. Rowen, *Some results on the center of a ring with polynomial identity*, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **79** (1973), 219–223.
- [15] J. Vukman, *An identity related to centralizers in semiprime rings*, *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin.* **40** (1999), 447–456.
- [16] J. Vukman, I. Kosi-Ulbl and D. Eremita, *On certain equations in rings*, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **71** (2005), 53–60.
- [17] J. Vukman and I. Kosi-Ulbl, *Centralisers on rings and algebras*, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **71** (2005), 225–234.
- [18] J. Vukman and I. Kosi-Ulbl, *On centralizers of semisimple H^* -algebras*, *Taiwanese J. Math.* **11** (2007), 1063–1074.
- [19] J. Vukman, *On (m, n) -Jordan centralizers in rings and algebras*, *Glas. Mat. Ser. III* **45(65)** (2010), 43–53.
- [20] B. Zalar, *On centralizers of semiprime rings*, *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin.* **32** (1991), 609–614.

N. Peršin

Prušnikova 48, 2000 Maribor
Slovenia

E-mail: nina_persin@t-2.net

J. Vukman

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science
University of Maribor
Koroška cesta 160, 2000 Maribor
Slovenia

E-mail: joso.vukman@uni-mb.si

Received: 1.2.2011.

Revised: 8.4.2011. & 6.6.2011.