

ON DETERMINANTS OF RECTANGULAR MATRICES WHICH HAVE LAPLACE'S EXPANSION ALONG ROWS

MIRKO RADIĆ AND RENE SUŠANJ

University of Rijeka, Croatia

ABSTRACT. Let A be any given $m \times n$ ($m \leq n$) matrix over some field and let $\det A$ be the determinant of A calculated by Definition 1 given in [1]. Let $\det^* A$ denote determinant of A calculated by any other definition which possess Laplace's expansion along rows. Then there exists constant α such that $\det^* A = \alpha \det A$.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [1] we have the following definition of determinant of a rectangular matrix. Let A be a $m \times n$ matrix with $m \leq n$. Then

$$(1.1) \quad \det A = \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_m \leq n} (-1)^{1+\dots+m+j_1+\dots+j_m} \begin{vmatrix} a_{1j_1} & \dots & a_{1j_m} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{mj_1} & \dots & a_{mj_m} \end{vmatrix}.$$

We show that this determinant possesses Laplace's expansion along rows, that is, for each $1 \leq i \leq m$ it is valid

$$(1.2) \quad \det A = \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{i+j} a_{ij} A_j^i,$$

where A_j^i is the minor of the element a_{ij} .

The general Laplace's expansion along rows and many other interesting properties of this determinant are also established. Very interesting properties refer to its geometrical interpretation (see references from [2] to [5]).

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 51E12.

Key words and phrases. Determinant of rectangular matrix, Laplace's expansion along rows.

2. ON DETERMINANTS OF RECTANGULAR MATRICES WHICH POSSESS
LAPLACE'S EXPANSION ALONG ROWS

We denote by $\mathbb{M}_{m \times n}$ the set of all $m \times n$ real matrices with $m \leq n$. For brevity we shall often write $(a_{ij})_{m \times n}$ instead of

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{m1} & \dots & a_{mn} \end{pmatrix}.$$

THEOREM 2.1. *Let $\mathbb{F}_{m,n}$ denote the set of all functionals defined on the set $\mathbb{M}_{m \times n}$ such that the following is valid:*

- (i₁) *Every functional $f_{m,n}$ from the set $\mathbb{F}_{m,n}$ is linear with respect to the rows. If $m = 1$, then for every functional $f_{1,n}$ from the set $\mathbb{F}_{1,n}$ there are real numbers $\alpha_{1,n}^1, \dots, \alpha_{1,n}^n$ such that*

$$(2.1) \quad f_{1,n}(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \alpha_{1,n}^1 a_1 + \dots + \alpha_{1,n}^n a_n$$

for every $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{M}_{1 \times n}$.

- (i₂) *For every real matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{(m+1) \times (n+1)}$ and positive integer i ($1 \leq i \leq m + 1$)*

$$(2.2) \quad f_{m+1,n+1}(A) = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{i+j} a_{ij} f_{m,n}(A_j^i),$$

where A_j^i denotes the minor of a_{ij} .

Then there are real numbers $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{n-m+1}$ such that

$$f_{m,n} = \alpha_{n-m+1} \det_{m,n},$$

that is, $f_{m,n}(X) = \alpha_{n-m+1} \det_{m,n}(X)$, for every matrix $X \in \mathbb{M}_{m \times n}$. In other words, $\det_{m,n}$ are (up to factor proportionality) only functionals with the properties (i₁) and (i₂).

PROOF. By induction on m , first let $m = 1$ and let $f_{1,n}$ be a functional given by (2.1). Let $A = (a_{ij})_{2 \times (n+1)}$ be the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & 1 & \dots & 0 \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 1 \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $a_{1r} = a_{2s} = 1$ ($1 \leq r < s \leq n + 1$), and the all other a_{ij} ($i \neq r$ and $j \neq s$) are equal zero. If by (2.2) we expand the matrix A along first row ($i = 1$) we get

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} f_{2,n+1}(A) &= (-1)^{1+r} f_{1,n}(0, \dots, 1, 0, \dots, 0) \\ &= (-1)^{1+r} \alpha_{1,n}^{s-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the matrix $(0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ we have $a_{s-1} = 1$. But if we expand along the second row ($i = 2$) we get

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} f_{2,n+1}(A) &= (-1)^{2+s} f_{1,n}(0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0) \\ &= (-1)^{2+s} \alpha_{1,n}^r, \end{aligned}$$

where in the matrix $(0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ we have $a_r = 1$.

Comparing (2.3) with (2.4) we have

$$\alpha_{1,n}^r = (-1)^{r+s+1} \alpha_{1,n}^{s-1}, \quad 1 \leq r < s \leq n+1.$$

Taking $r = 1$ and denoting $\alpha_{1,n}^1$ by α_n we have the following notation

$$(-1)^{2+s} \alpha_{1,n}^{s-1} = \alpha_n, \quad s = 3, 4, \dots, n+1$$

from which it follows

$$\alpha_{1,n}^2 = -\alpha_n, \quad \alpha_{1,n}^3 = +\alpha_n, \quad \alpha_{1,n}^4 = -\alpha_n$$

and so on (alternatively).

Thus, the expansion for $f_{1,n}$ can be written as

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} f_{1,n}(a_1, \dots, a_n) &= \alpha_n (a_1 - a_2 + \dots + (-1)^{1+n} a_n) \\ &= \alpha_n \det_{1,n}(a_1, \dots, a_n). \end{aligned}$$

Now, since $f_{1,n} = \alpha_n \det_{1,n}$, we suppose that

$$f_{m,n} = \alpha_{n-m+1} \det_{m,n}$$

for some positive integer m . Then for $m+1$ we can write

$$\begin{aligned} f_{m+1,n+1}(A) &= \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{1+j} a_{1j} f_{m,n}(A_j^1) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{1+j} a_{1j} \alpha_{n-m+1} \det_{m,n}(A_j^1) \\ &= \alpha_{n-m+1} \det_{m+1,n+1}(A) \end{aligned}$$

or, since $(n+1) - (m+1) + 1 = n - m + 1$,

$$(2.6) \quad f_{m+1,n+1} = \alpha_{n-m+1} \det_{m+1,n+1}.$$

The induction on m is complete and Theorem 2.1 is proved \square

We now show how other determinants of rectangular matrices which have Laplace's expansion along rows can be defined. Namely, we have the following theorem.

THEOREM 2.2. *Let $A \in \mathbb{M}_{m \times n}$ be given and let s be any given integer such that $m < s \leq n$. Let $\det^* A$ be defined as*

$$(2.7) \quad \det^* A = \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_s \leq n} (-1)^{1+\dots+m+j_1+\dots+j_s} \begin{vmatrix} a_{1j_1} & \dots & a_{1j_s} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{mj_1} & \dots & a_{mj_s} \end{vmatrix},$$

where the right-hand side refers to the determinant calculated by (1.1). Then \det^* has Laplace's expansion along rows.

PROOF. The proof is going in exactly the same way as the proof that $\det A$ has Laplace's expansion along rows. \square

Here let us remark that $s = m$ in the determinant given by (1.1), and that in the determinant given by (2.7) we take $s > m$.

In this connection, notice that

$$(2.8) \quad \det^* A = \alpha_{n,s}^m \det A,$$

where $\alpha_{n,s}^m$ is an integer given by

$$(2.9) \quad \alpha_{n,s}^m = \begin{cases} 0, & n - m \text{ even, } s - m \text{ odd} \\ (-1)^{\lfloor \frac{m+1}{2} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{s+1}{2} \rfloor} \binom{\lfloor \frac{n-m}{2} \rfloor}{\lfloor \frac{s-m}{2} \rfloor}, & \text{in all other cases} \end{cases}.$$

Here $\lfloor x \rfloor$ denotes the largest integer which does not exceed x .

To prove that holds (2.9) we first prove the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.3. *Let n and s be any given positive integer such that $1 \leq s \leq n$.*

Then

$$(2.10) \quad \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_s \leq n} (-1)^{j_1+\dots+j_s} = \begin{cases} 0, & n \text{ even and } s \text{ odd} \\ (-1)^{\lfloor \frac{s+1}{2} \rfloor} \binom{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}{\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor}, & \text{in all other cases} \end{cases}.$$

PROOF. Let by i be denoted the number of all odd integers in the set $\{j_1, \dots, j_s\}$. Then

$$(-1)^{j_1+\dots+j_s} = (-1)^i.$$

Since between integers $1, \dots, n$ there are $\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor$ odd and $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ even, it is clear that

$$\binom{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}{s-i} \binom{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor}{i}$$

is the number of all s -tuples j_1, \dots, j_s , ($1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_s \leq n$) such that there are i odd and $s - i$ even integers. Thus it holds

$$\sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_s \leq n} (-1)^{j_1+\dots+j_s} = \sum_{i=0}^s (-1)^i \binom{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}{s-i} \binom{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor}{i}.$$

It is easy to see that the right-hand side of the above relation is the coefficient of x^s in the polynomial

$$f(x) = (1-x)^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor} (1+x)^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}.$$

This polynomial can also be written as

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} (1-x^2)^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}, & n \text{ even} \\ (1-x^2)^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} (1-x), & n \text{ odd} \end{cases}$$

or, using binomial formula,

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^i \binom{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}{i} x^{2i}, & n \text{ even,} \\ \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \left((-1)^i \binom{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}{i} x^{2i} + (-1)^{i+1} \binom{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}{i} x^{2i+1} \right), & n \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

From the above relations it can be seen that for the coefficient of x^s , depending on n , the following holds.

If n is even then coefficient of x^s is given by

$$\begin{cases} 0, & s \text{ odd,} \\ (-1)^{\frac{s}{2}} \binom{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}{\frac{s}{2}}, & s \text{ even,} \end{cases}$$

but if n is odd, then the coefficient of x^s is given by

$$\begin{cases} (-1)^{\frac{s+1}{2}} \binom{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}{\frac{s-1}{2}}, & s \text{ odd,} \\ (-1)^{\frac{s}{2}} \binom{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}{\frac{s}{2}}, & s \text{ even.} \end{cases}$$

Hence, since for even s we have $\lfloor \frac{s+1}{2} \rfloor = \frac{s}{2} = \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$, and for odd s we have $\frac{s+1}{2} = \lfloor \frac{s+1}{2} \rfloor$ and $\frac{s-1}{2} = \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$, it is clear that holds (2.10).

Now it is not difficult to show that (2.8) and (2.9) hold for every $1 \times n$ real matrix and $m \leq s \leq n$. Also, it is not difficult to show that (2.8) and (2.9) hold for every real $m \times n$ matrix and $m \leq s \leq n$.

Here is an example. Let $A \in \mathbb{M}_{2 \times 6}$ and let $s = 4$. Then $\det^* A = -2 \det A$, since

$$\alpha_{6,4}^2 = (-1)^{1+2} \binom{2}{1} = -2.$$

□

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Radić, *A definition of the determinant of a rectangular matrix*, (Serbo-Croatian summary) Glasnik Mat. Ser. III **1(21)** (1966), 17–22.
- [2] M. Radić, *About a determinant of rectangular $2 \times n$ matrix and its geometric interpretation*, Beiträge Algebra Geom. **46** (2005), 321–349.

- [3] M. Radić, *Areas of certain polygons in connection with determinants of rectangular matrices*, Beiträge Algebra Geom. **49** (2008), 71–96.
- [4] M. Radić and R. Sušanj, *An application of the determinant of a rectangular matrix in discovering some properties of the pentagon*, Glas. Mat. Ser. III **27(47)** (1992), 217–226.
- [5] R. Sušanj and M. Radić, *Geometrical meaning of one generalization of the determinant of a square matrix*, Glas. Mat. Ser. III **29(49)** (1994), 217–233.

M. Radić
University of Rijeka
Department of Mathematics
51000 Rijeka, Omladinska 14
Croatia
E-mail: `mradic@ffri.hr`

R. Sušanj
University of Rijeka
Department of Mathematics
51000 Rijeka, Omladinska 14
Croatia
E-mail: `rsusanj@math.uniri.hr`

Received: 17.7.2010.

Revised: 8.1.2011.