## Die Zucht von Pfahlmuscheln (Mytilus galloprovincialis) als sichere Nahrung

**Zusammenfassung** Die Erzeugung von Krebstieren und Krebsen ist eine Tätigkeit, die aus den früheren Zeiten stammt. Sie ist traditionell mit der Rewahnerschaft verbunden. In der letzten Zeit entwickelt sich in eine einträgliche Industrie, die mit dem Tourismus verbunden ist Bewohnerschaft verbunden. In der letzten Zeit entwickelt sich in eine einträgliche Industrie, die mit dem Tourismus verbunden ist. In Kroatien werden jährlich etwa 3 000 Tonnen Pfahlmuscheln erzeugt, die ausschließlich auf dem Binnenmarkt placiert werden, als schmackhafte und sehn gute Nahrung von eigenartigen sensorischen Eigenschaften und qualitativ sehr guten Qualität. Gefangene Krebstiere, bestimmt für die Markzwecke, entsprechen den Verordnungen der Dienstvorschrift über Hygiene der Nahrung animalier Herkunft (Anon, 2007.b) und als solche werden sie in die Distributionszenterne geliefert, wo sein Emballageeinheiten verpackt werden. Neben dem Monitoring in Erzeugunsgebieten und Gebieten für neues Anlegen, ist ein Kontrollsystem entwickelt worden, das Jaboruntersuchungen einschließt, mit dem Ziel der Überprüfung der Sulpiket, dem im Nahrung handen, im Sinne der Erfüllung von Forderungen für Endprodukte in allen Herstellungsphasen und Distribution. Neben der angeführen Kontrolle ist es wichtig, eine gute hygienische Praxis (und HACCP System) beim Transport und Lagerung der Krebstiere mit dem Ziel des Verbraucherschutzes durchzuführen.

Schlüsselwörter: Pfahlmuschel, Kontrolle

### Allevamento di cozze (Mytilus galloprovincialis) come alimentari sicuri

Sommario
La produzione di molluschi e granchi è conosciuta già dai tempi antichi, ed è tradizionalmente legata alla popolazione, e negli ultimi La produzione di molluschi e granchi è conosciuta già dai tempi antichi, ed è tradizionalmente el turismo. In Croazia vengono prodotte 3 et empi sta diventando un'industria che porta molto denaro essendo legata strettamente al turismo. In Croazia vengono prodotte 3 e 1000 tonellate dai cazze che poi si offrono e vendono solo al mercato domestico come un alimentare quistoso edi qualità, dalle particolari caratteristiche sensoriche, e con una notevole percentuale di proteine. I molluschi destinati al mercato sono contemporane-mente conformi alle norme del Regolamento di igine degli alimentari di origine animale (Anon., 2007) è come tali arrivano negli centri di distribuzione dove vengono confezionati nelle unità d'imbolloggio. Insieme con il monitoraggio nelle aree di produzione nelle aree per un nuovo deposito, è statos stabilito anche il sisteme allo sarveglianza in cui si seguono le ricerche laboratoriche con lo scopo di controllare tutte le fasi di produzione e distribuzione. Per proteggere la salute di consumatori è molto importante una buona prassi gienica (edi Isisteme HACCP) durante il trasporto e l'imballaggio di molluschi.

Parole chiave: cozze, sorveglianza

Anonimno (2008.b): Pravilnik o mikrobiološkim kriterijima za hranu. NN 74/2008 Anonimno (2008.c): Pravilnik o najvećim

dopuštenim količinama određenih kontaminanata u hrani. NN 154/08

Anonimno (2009.a): Pravilnik o mikrobiološkom razvrstavanju i postupku u slučaju onečišćenja živih školjkaša. NN 118/2009

područjima za ponovno polaganje živih školjkaša. NN 37/2010

Anonimno (2011.): Vodič za subjekte u poslovanju s hranom u objektima za pročišćavanie živih školikaša, http://www.mps.hr/

Anonimno (2007): Communitiy Guide to the Principles of Good Practice for the Micronečišćenja živih školjkaša. NN 118/2009 biological Monitoring of Bivalve Mollusc Anonimno (2010.a): Plan praćenja kakvoće Harvesting Areas with regard to Regulation

mora i školjkaša na proizvodnim područjima i 854/2004. EU Working Group on Microbiological Monitoring of Bivalve Mollusc Harvesting Areas, www.crlcefas.org.

**Džafić, N.** (2012): Učinak sustavnog pra-ćenja higijenske ispravnosti dagnji (*Mytilus* galloprovincialis) na području Istarske županije. Znanstveni magistarski rad. Veterinarski fakultet Zagreb. str. 141. Received: May15, 2012

Accepted: June 28, 2012

#### Priručnik Biološke opasnosti u hrani

Priručnik Biološke opasnosti u hrani opisuje potencijalne uzročnike bole-sti koji se mogu prenijeti hranom, kroz tri poglavlja: bakterije, virusi i paraziti. Autori su prof. dr. sc. Albert Marinculić, dr. sc. Boris Habrun, doc. dr. sc. Ljubo Barbić i dr. sc. Relja

Ispunjenu narudžbenicu pošaljite faksom na **031/214-901**. Cijena priručnika iznosi 80 kuna + poštarina, plačanje pouzećem.



## **Consumer opinion on influence** of animal welfare to meat quality during processing

Mijatović<sup>1</sup>, D., T. Mikuš<sup>2</sup>, Ž. Mesić<sup>3</sup>, B. Njari<sup>2</sup>, Ž. Cvrtila Fleck<sup>2</sup>, L. Kozačinski

short communication

Summary
In recent years consumers have been even more interested in the manner and procedures of food production. They want to know about the origin of meat they buy, and they choose the products according to their findings. Consumers are interested in whether the animals were treated in accordance with welfare during breeding, transport and processing, and they demand human emethods even more. Apol was conducted in the period from October to December in 2011 to the sample of 185 randomly selected examinees from the area of Sisak - Moslavina County, City of Zagreb County and Zagreb County, 45.5% of male and 54.5% of female examinees took part in the research. Similarly to the results of the research conducted so fair in Corotia, but also to the prevailing opinion in the EU countries, the majority of examinees in this research lists high concern for animal welfare. But, regardless of their concern, most of them don't take animal welfare into consideration when they buy meat. Sample size and the procedure of sampling in this research enable making some conclusions on Croatian meat consumers and their attitudes toward animal welfare. But, these researches are necessary to be repeated on a larger sample and the distribution of examinees through all the areas of the Republic of Croatia. Keywords: animal welfare, But adulty, consumers, poll

#### Introduction

Along with the development, progress and maturation of human civilization there appears empa-thy, not only towards other people, but towards animals too, including those which are used for food. Ex cept for the welfare of animals which at some points becomes a goal in itself, we also become conscious of its influence to meat quality, taking into consideration health safety of the meat, as well as its sensory characteristics. In recent years consumthe manner and procedures of food

roduction (Gade, 2002), they want to know about the origin of the meat they buy (Warriss and Brown, 2000). and they choose the productsac-cording to these findings (Busquin, 2004).

Speaking of animal welfare, there is often forgotten the fact that it doesn't stop when an animal leaves the farm, but it should continue through the entire process of transport and processing. Therefore, animal welfare in general, but welfare of farm animals in slaughter line as well have been taking increasingly

important role nowadays (Petak and Mikuš, 2011). The researches conducted in Great Britain have shown that 87% of the poll exami-nees were concerned for handling farm animals, i.e. whether they suffered in the process of meat production (Bennett, 1996). Consumers are interested in whether the animals were treated in accordance with welfare during breeding, transport and processing (Warriss and Brown, 2000) and they demand humane methods to be used (Appleby and Hughes, 1997).

- Davorin Milatović, DVM:
- Tomislav Mikuš. DVM. Professional Associate: Bela Niari. PhD. Full Professor: Želika Cyrtila Fleck. PhD. Assistant Professor: Lidiia Kozačinski. PhD. Full Professor
  - of Zagreb, Veterinary Faculty, Department for Hygiene, Echnology and Food safety, Heinzelova 55, Zagreb
    sic, M.Sc., Research Assistant, University of Zagreb, Faculty of Agriculture, Department for Marketing in Agriculture, Svetošimunska 25, Zagreb

355

• 18-25 years

26-35 years
 36-50 years

>50 years

High educated

Middle school

Elementary schoo

Important

Very important







lary amount of the e

356

of the relation of average monthly earning their readiness to pay more

Chi-Square Tests						
	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)			
Pearson Chi-Square	7.714ª	3	.052			
Likelihood Ratio	7.650	3	.054			
Linear-by-Linear Association	6.087	1	.014			
N of Valid Cases	187					

Indicating that a well - conducted animal welfare leads to a better pro-duction quality is an extra commercial encouragement to improve the manners of breeding and handling an animal, but to improve processing as well (Warriss and Brown, 2000). A humane slaughter is a procedure conducted in a manner so it guar-antees animal welfare until bleeding out (de Oliveira Roca, 2002).

Researches in Great Britain, Ire-land, Italy, France and Germany have shown that consumers use the term animal welfare as an indicator of oth er, more important characteristics of products, such as food safety, quality and health. Consequently, they equalize good standards of animal welfare with good standards of food. They define animal welfare in terms of natural life and humane death, which means that animals should be bred, fed, accommodated and their reproduction should be conducted in a way to enable them behavior which is as similar to natural one as it can be. Consumers equalize natural organic production with safer food quality. They use the term "humane" to denote fast and painless death through processing (Harper and Henson, 2001).

The aim of this paper was to determine how familiar Croatian citizens are with the terms animal welfare and animal welfare on slaughter line, and whether they are ready to pay more money for meat and meat products originating from animals bred in welfare.

#### Material and methods

While working on this paper, infor-mation were gathered by the method of questioning by the instrument of a questionnaire. A poll was con-ducted in the period from October to December 2011, on the sample of 187 randomly selected examinees from the area of Sisak - Moslavina County, City of Zagreb County and



Table 2. Statistical signific nce of the rela of sex of the al welfare on farms and slaughterhouse facilities

Chi-Square Tests						
	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)			
Pearson Chi-Square	9,347	3	.025			
Likelihood Ratio	10,541	3	.014			
Linear-by-Linear Association	8,336	- 1	.004			
N of Valid Cases	187					

\* 2 cells (25.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.36

Zagreb County. The choice of exami-nees was random and they were of different sex, age groups, level of education and monthly incomes. The poll took from 7 to 15 minutes. Be-fore conducting the poll of re conducting the poll, the questionnaire was tested on the sample of 5 examinees in order to correct potential uncertainties in the order and formulation of the questions.

The questionnaire consisted of 23 questions which were separated to few groups. In the first part of the poll there was questioned the knowledge of the examinees of ani-mal welfare and animal welfare on slaughter line. Then follows a group of questions on the importance of animal welfare and consumers' read-iness to pay more money for meat products originating from the animals whose welfare was respected. The last group of questions in the questionnaire related to sociodemographic characteristics, such as , sex, education level, profession ousehold size, a place of growing

up (town / village) and income.

The data obtained by the poll were encrypted and processed by univariate (frequency, data distri-bution) and bivariate data analysis (Chi- square test). The total data processing was made by the statistical package SPSS.

#### Results

### 1.1 Socio-economic

characteristics of the examinees
The age of the examinees who took part in the research was between 18 and 80. The examinees were grouped into four age catego-ries: 18 – 25, 26 – 35, 36 – 50 and over 50. It was determined by the data analysis that all age groups were equally represented in this research and that there is no significant difference in their notion of welfare during meat processing. Even though the most represented group of examiage (33%), it is noticeable that other age groups are well- represented in the research too (Graph 1).

The highest share of the examinees who took part in the poll, 64% of them, had secondary education (Graph 2), 31% of the examinees had tertiary education and only 5% of them had just elementary school education. The highest share of the examinees (31%) earned 6001 9000 kn on average monthly. We determined that there is a statisti cal difference p=0.053 (Table 1) in comparison to the readiness of the examinees with higher incomes to pay more money for the products produced in welfare.

#### 1.2. Consumer opinion on welfare on farms a

Although majority (62.6%) of the examinees said that they were familiar with the term welfare on slaugh-ter line, very few of them were able to define it, once they were asked that question.

Except for the welfare on slaughter line, the examinees find it very important (43%) and important (42%) to pay attention to farm animal welfare and a very low percentage of the examinees find it unimportant (1%). It is interesting to apply a sta-tistically significant difference in the opinion on animal welfare on farms considering the sex of the exami-nees. Namely, women find it more important (p<0.05) to pay attention to animal welfare on farms (Table 2)

Also, 55% of the examinees think that there is a difference in the quality of meat produced in welfare as opposed to classically produced meat, 33% are not sure, whereas 12% believe that that difference doesn't exist. That indicates that there is no proper education of consumers on advantages of producing meat in welfare. This is supported by the information that 33% of the minees are not sure if the differ

359



Graph 5 Criteria related to animal welfare - conditions at slaughter



Graph 6 Criteria related to animal welfare – importance of staff education

Table 3 Attitudes of the examinees to welfare of farm animals(with an emphasis on processing)

STATEMENTS		Standard deviation
It is important to me that meat comes from "animals bred in welfare"	3.75	.95794
Taste of meat is more important than the manner of animal breeding	2.76	1,32317
Welfare in a slaughterhouse is irrelevant matter	1.72	.94908
Everyone should think about animal suffering	4.18	1,05193
The manner of animal breeding is irrelevant, they are not accustomed to better conditions anyway	1.61	.92247
Animals should be enabled conditions for natural behavior	4.11	.88792
Pets deserve a better treatment than farm animals	2.38	1,24494
I think that society has a moral commitment to maintain high standard in farm animal welfare	4.24	.82361
Consumers should be informed more on welfare of farm animals	4.67	2.98873

ence exists and that group of people could be informed about advantages of such production manner by a good marketing and education. This conclusion can also be made out of the Likert scale (Table 3) where we can see that many examiness find it.

358

necessary to inform the consumers more on welfare of farm animals (average grade 4.67). Also, many examinees think that society has a moral obligation for maintaining high standard in terms of welfare of farm animals (average grade 4.24) and

that everyone should think of animal suffering (4.18). On the other hand, the examinees think that welfare in a slaughterhouse is very important and that meat that they buy comes from the animals bred in welfare (average grade 3.75).

More than half of the examinees (62%) consider conditions at slaughter to be very important (Graph 5) as well as the education of the staff at slaughter line (very important 58%, important 31%; Graph 6). According to the research conducted in the area of the city of Zagreb (Cerjak et al., 2011) about 31% of the exami-nees believe that protection of welfare of farm animals in Croatia was oved in the last decade, whe as that percentage in our research is somewhat higher and amounts 40% 8% of the examinees in our research think that animal welfare deterio-rated and 44% of the examinees in the research by the quoted authors believe the same. That can be ex-plained by a smaller sample of the examinees in the other research, as well as by the fact that the poll was conducted at a fair of the traditional products, where the population of the examinees is more specific.

# 1.3 Attitude of examinees to welfare of farm animals In order to determine the atti-

In order to determine the attitudes of consumers on welfare of farm animals (with an emphasis on processing), the assignment of the examinees in the questionnaire was to evaluate on a five – point Likert scale the degree of agreeing, i.e. disagreeing with the statements relevant to improvement of products and production in welfare, where 1 represented a complete disagreement with that statement and 5 was a complete agreement.

More than half of the examinees (52%) think that the level of welfare in Croatia hasn't changed a bit in the last ten years, whereas 40% think



Graph 7 Trends in the level of welfare for the last 10 years



Graph 8. Future purchase intentions of the buyer



Graph 9.Spending readiness of the examinees related to price

that the level of welfare has been improved (Graph 7).

### 1.3. Future purchase intentions

of the buyers
With the goal of determining future buying intentions of consumers toward the products "produced
in welfare", we asked the examinees
if they plan to buy such products in
the future and if they are ready to
pay more money for them.

More than half of the examinees (probably 24% and very probably 27%) plan to buy and consume products produced in welfare in the future, whereas approximately one third of the examinees will maybe buy such products. Only 8% of the examinee are not sure about their future purchase intentions, while 6% of the examinees said that they will not buy these products in the future.

(Graph 8).

More than half of the examinees (71%) are willing to pay more for meat products which are produced in welfare. As much as 24% of the total examinees are willing to pay an over 20% higher price for such products and 37% are ready to pay 5 – 20% of the current price of meat products (Graph 9).

If we take into account all the results of our research, we can freely conclude that what mostly influences the opinion of the examinees on welfare of farm animals in slaughthenbouse facility is sex (women are statistically significantly more sensitive). Age of the examinees, place of residence or level of education do not have a significant influence on consumer opinion. Therefore, based on this research, it is desirable to conduct the education of meat consumers in the future and to explain all the advantages of such breeding, as for animals, so for the level of quality of end products.

### Conclusion

Similarly to the researchers conducted so far in Croatia, but also to the predominant opinion in the EU, majority of the examinees in this research lists a high concern for animal welfare. But, even though there is a great concern in terms of welfare, most of them don't take animal welfare into account when they buy meat.

Room for progress is possible in the segment of the indifferent ones, ie. those consumers who don't know about the influence of welfare to meat quality. Therefore consumers themselves have recognized that a better education of Croatian consumers is necessary in terms of animal welfare on farms and slaughterhouse facilities, as well as about the influence of different levels of welfare to meat quality.

## Meinung der Verbraucher über den Einfluss des Wohlergehens der Tiere bei der Schlachtverarbeitung auf die Fleischqualität

zusammenrassung In den letzten Jahren interessieren sich die Verbraucher immer mehr für die Art und die Verfahrensweisen bei der Nahrungsherstelnn vermacen samen interesenten sam die veronduchte minnet menn uur den zu und die vertandensweisen die der Nährungsberstel Jung. Sie wollen wissen, woher das Fleisch, das sie kaufen, herkommt und wählen demgemäß, was sie kaufen. Die Verbraucher in-teressieren sich dafür, ob mit den Tieren im Sinne ihres Wohlergehens während der Zucht, des Transportes und der schlachtlichen Verarbeitung umgegangen wurde. Sie verlangen, dass dabei immer mehr humane Methoden verwendet werden. Die Umfrage wurde in der Zeitspanne vom Oktober bis Dezember 2011 auf 187 zufällig ausgewählten Kandidaten durchgeführt. Die Kandidaten waren vom Gebiet der Siscäko-moslawatka Zupanija, Zupanija grada Zagreba und Zagrebacka Zupanija. Darunter befanden sich 45,5 % amanliche und 54,5 % weiblich kandidaten. Ahnlich wie in bisherigen Untersuchungen in Koratien, betont die meiste Sohl der Kan-didaten ihre Fürsorge für das Wohlergehen der Tiere. Trotz ihrer Fürsorge, führen sie keine Rechnung darüber, wenn sie Fleisch kaufen. Die meisten Musterproben und Musterproben-Verfahren in dieser Untersuchung ermöglichen Beschlussfassungen über die kroati-schen Fleischverbraucher und deren Standpunkte bezüglich das Wohlergehen der Tiere. Trotzdem ist es nötig, solche Untersuchungen auf einer größeren Zahl der Prüflinge aus allen Regionen Kroatiens durchzuführen. Schlüsselwörter: Wohlergehen der Tiere, Fleischqualität, Verbraucher, Umfrage

## Opinione dei consumatori dell'influenza di benessere di animali durante la lavorazione in macelleria sulla qualità della carne

Sommario Negli ultimi anni i consumatori sono più interessati del modo e procedimenti di lavorazione e produzione di alimentari: vogliono sapere da dove proviene la carne che comprano, e secondo l'informazione ottenuta scelgono ciò che comprano. I consumatori an-che vogliono sapere e gli animali sono stati trattoti bene duanne il lero allevamento, il trasporto e la lavorazione in macelleria, e richiedono ogni giorno di più che si usino i metodi umani in tutto il procedimento. La ricerca sociale fatta nella forma di questionario, nel periodo dall'ottobre di dicembre del 2011 sul campione di 187 intervistati a vicenda nella Contea della città di Sisak e regione di Moslavina e nella zona della Conta della città di Zagobria ed si suoi dintoni (ambedue situate nella Corazia centrale). La ricerca ha Moslavina e nella zona della Conta della città di Zagobria ed si suoi dintoni (ambedue situate nella Corazia centrale). La ricerca ha mossavità e trieu zona ciesi Contea ciesi città ai zagionte sei sola dininti almociesi suttine nend Cotaza ce entrate), La riecta in incluso il 45.7% del moschi e 15.7% delle femmie intervistate. Simile alle riecerche eseguite finora in Croazia, ma anche conforme all'opinione che prevale nei paesi dell'unione europea, una notevole maggioranza degli intervistati in questa ricerca sottolinea il iore grande interese per il benessere dell'unione europea, una notevole maggioranza degli intervistati in questa ricerca sottolinea il iore grande interese per il benessere degli animali. Ma, ciò nonostante, la loro maggior parte non ci pensa mentre compra la carne. Lo arandezza del campione ed il procedimento di campionaggio in questa ricerca ci portano alla conclusione legata gi consumator croati e ai loro atteggiamenti e opinioni che riguardano il benessere degli animali, ma è necessario ripetere queste ricerche su un campione e sulla distribuzione ancora più grandi in tutte le regioni della Repubblica di Croazia.

Parole chiave: benessere di animali, qualità di carne, consumatori, questionario Parole chiave: ferro, tossicocinetica, alimenti

Sample size and sampling procedure in this research enable making some conclusions on Croatian meat consumers and their attitudes on animal welfare, but these researches should certainly be repeated on larger sample and distribution of ex-aminees from all regions of the Republic of Croatia.

\* This paper is an excerpt from the diploma thesis by DavorinMijatović "Consumers' opinion onthe influence of animal welfare at processing to meat quality" (mentor: Lidija Kozačinski, PhD, full professor)

#### References

Appleby, M. C., B.O. Huges(1997.): Animal Welfare. CAB Inernational, Wallingford, U.K.

Bennett, R. M. (1996.): Willingness to pay neasures of public support for farm animal

new approach to European agro-food re-search. FS (Food Safety) European Edition Available from: http://www. gdspublishing.

com/ ic pdf/fse/fork.pdf

welfare and their influence on meat consumption, Agriculturae Conspectus Scientifi-

Gade, P.B. (2002.): Welfare of Animal Production in Intensive and Organic Systems with Special Reference to Danish Organic Pig Production. Meat Sci. 62, 353-358.

Harper, G., S. Hensen(2001.): Consumer Concerns about Animal Welfare and the Impact on Food Choice. Centre for Food Economics Research, Department of Agricultural and Food Economics, The University of Reading. Available from:

http://ec.europa.eu/food/ani-

Busquin, P. (2004.): From fork-to-farm – a en.pdf#search=%22Consumer%20Con cerns%20about%20Animal%20Welfa

> Slaughter of Bovine. Embrapa: First Virtual Global Conference on Organic Beef Cattle Production; September, 02 to October, 15 -2002. Available from: http://www.cpap.embrapa.br/ agencia/congressovirtual/pdf/ingles/02en03.

> Petak, I., T. Mikuš(2011.): Procjena biti životinja u klaonicama, Meso XIII, 47

> Warriss, P. D., S. N. Brown(2000.): Pig welfare and meat quality: A United Kingdom view. I Conferencia Virtual Internacional sobre-Qualidade da Carne Suina, 16 de novembro a 16 de dezembro de 2000. Available from:

Received: April 11, 2012

## **Procedure with slaughterhouse** by - products after poultry processing

Majhen¹, M., T. Fumić², Ž. Cvrtila Fleck³, B. Njari

professional paper

S<mark>ummary</mark> Along with carcasses and confiscated waste, by-products of animal origin appearing in livestock production on farms or in slaughter Along with carcasses and confiscated waste, by-products of animal origin appearing in livestock production on farms or in slaughter-house facilities, plants for processing and production of food of animal origin, during transport, in 2005, hunting agrounds, etc., are a serious hygienic – epidemiological problem, as well as ultimately an ecological one. Due to a potential danger for the environment and human and animal health, a great elatention is paid to disposing and hammless removing of by – products of animal origin wit-hin a wider community. At the same time it is a part of the activities carried out by the Veterianary Public Health. On the other hand, rendering plants are facilities for hygienic processing by decomposing animals which are dangerous or potentially dangerous for human health. In rendering plants it echnological process, procedures of heating, separation and filtration are used in order to destroy bacteria successfully, extract protein, remove moisture and separate protein from technical fat. The first real beginning of rendering industry is considered to be the moment when fat obtained by melting by – products of animal origin was started to be used in everyday life. Except for the ecological meaning, facilities for processing slaughterhouse by – products also have a large economical meaning which is reflected in the income approach of obtaining highly valuable protein supplements for production needs, i.e. for the preparation of highly valuable protein supplements for the needs of production, i.e. preparation of fodder. Our current legislation is in accordance with the EU legislation, but unfortunately, it will take some more time until its full application. Key words: slaughterhouse by - products, rendering plant

#### Introduction

Along with carcasses and confis-cated waste, by-products of animal origin appearing in livestock production on farms or in slaughterhouse facilities, plants for processing and production of food of animal origin, during transport, in ZOOs, hunting grounds, etc., are a serious hygienic – epidemiological problem, as wel as ultimately an ecological one which is tried to be solved within the activities carried out by the Veterinary Public Health (Njari, 2001, Njari et al., 2001). Due to a potential danger for the environment and human and an imal health, a great attention is paid to disposing and harmless removing of by - products of animal origin within a wider community (Majurdžić and Njari, 2004a and b). Numerous laws and regulations regulate this issue, e.g. the Veterinary Medicine Act (Anon., 1997, 2007), the Environmental Protection Act (Anon., 2007), the Waste Act (Anon., 2004) and the Regulation on animal by - products not intended for human consump-

## **Procedure with** by – products of slaughterhouse processed poultry

A slaughterhouse poultry process-ing has its own hygienic – technologi-cal sequence which makes a processing whole (Diagram 1). During that processing we come across a whole array of inedible by – products which are taken care of by the Veterinary Public Health. Handling of animal by - products in the Republic of Croatia is regulated by the Veterinary Act (Anon., 1997 and 2007) and the Regulation on handling of animal carcasses and by – products of animal origin and their destruction (Anon., 2003). Handling of by – products of animal origin by the veterinary - sanitary principles and principles of veterinary environment protection implies accepting, collecting, categorizing according to the risk degree, tempo rary storing of by – products in col-lection centers with cooling devices, autopsy of dead animals (diagnosing causes of death) and thermal pro cessing. According to the Regulation on handling animal by – products not intended for human consumption (Anon., 2009), the Regulation 1774/2002 was completely trans-ferred to Croatian legislation and animal by - products were divided to three categories (K1, K2, K3).

The material of the first category (K1) includes by - products of animal

- Mario Maihen, DVM
- - Tilbana Funit, DVM, 20-INVEST d.o.o., Mirogojska 16, Zagreb

    Zeljika Crvilla Fleck, PhD, associate porfessor, Bela Njari, PhD, full professor, University of Zagreb, Heinzelova 55, Zagreb, Veterinary Faculty, Department for Hygie
    ne, Technology and food safety

360 MESO 361