

## $\mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{I}^*$ convergent function sequences

F. GEZER\* AND S. KARAKUŞ†

**Abstract.** *In this paper, we introduce the concepts of  $\mathcal{I}$ -pointwise convergence,  $\mathcal{I}$ -uniform convergence,  $\mathcal{I}^*$ -pointwise convergence and  $\mathcal{I}^*$ -uniform convergence of function sequences and then we examine the relation between them.*

**Key words:** *pointwise and uniform convergence,  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergence,  $\mathcal{I}^*$ -convergence*

**AMS subject classifications:** 40A30

Received February 22, 2005

Accepted June 9, 2005

### 1. Introduction

Steinhaus [20] introduced the idea of statistical convergence [see also Fast [10]]. If  $K$  is a subset of positive integers  $\mathbb{N}$ , then  $K_n$  denotes the set  $\{k \in K : k \leq n\}$  and  $|K_n|$  denotes the cardinality of  $K_n$ . The natural density of  $K$  [18] is given by  $\delta(K) := \lim_n \frac{1}{n} |K_n|$ , if it exists. The number sequence  $x = (x_k)$  is statistically convergent to  $L$  provided that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  the set  $K := K(\varepsilon) := \{k \in \mathbb{N} : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}$  has natural density zero; in that case we write  $st - \lim x = L$  [10, 12]. Hence  $x$  is statistically convergent to  $L$  if  $(C_1 \chi_{K(\varepsilon)})_n \rightarrow 0$  (as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ), where  $C_1$  is the Cesàro mean of order one and  $\chi_K$  is the characteristic function of the set  $K$ . Properties of statistically convergent sequences have been studied in [2, 12, 16, 19].

Statistical convergence can be generalized by using a nonnegative regular summability matrix  $A$  in place of  $C_1$ .

Following Freedman and Sember [11], we say that a set  $K \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  has  $A$ -density if  $\delta_A(K) := \lim_n (A \chi_K)_n = \lim_n \sum_{k \in K} a_{nk}$  exists, where  $A = (a_{nk})$  is a nonnegative regular matrix.

The number sequence  $x = (x_k)$  is  $A$ -statistically convergent to  $L$  provided that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  the set  $K(\varepsilon)$  has  $A$ -density zero [3, 11, 16].

Connor gave an extension of the notion of statistical convergence where the asymptotic density is replaced by a finitely additive set function. Let  $\mu$  be a finitely additive set function taking values in  $[0, 1]$  defined on a field  $\Gamma$  of subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$  such

---

\*Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences and Arts Sinop, Ondokuz Mayıs University, 57 000, Sinop, Turkey, e-mail: [fgezer@omu.edu.tr](mailto:fgezer@omu.edu.tr)

†Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences and Arts Sinop, Ondokuz Mayıs University, 57 000, Sinop, Turkey, e-mail: [skarakus@omu.edu.tr](mailto:skarakus@omu.edu.tr)

that if  $|A| < \infty$ , then  $\mu(A) = 0$ ; if  $A \subset B$  and  $\mu(B) = 0$ , then  $\mu(A) = 0$ ; and  $\mu(\mathbb{N}) = 1$  [4, 6].

The number of sequence  $x = (x_k)$  is  $\mu$ -statistically convergent to  $L$  provided that  $\mu\{k \in \mathbb{N} : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\} = 0$  for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  [4, 6].

Let  $X \neq \emptyset$ . A class  $S \subseteq 2^X$  of subsets of  $X$  is said to be an ideal in  $X$  provided that  $S$  is additive and hereditary, i.e. if  $S$  satisfies these conditions: (i)  $\emptyset \in S$ , (ii)  $A, B \in S \Rightarrow A \cup B \in S$ , (iii)  $A \in S, B \subseteq A \Rightarrow B \in S$  [15]. An ideal is called non-trivial if  $X \notin S$ . A non-trivial ideal  $S$  in  $X$  is called admissible if  $\{x\} \in S$  for each  $x \in X$  [14].

The non-empty family of sets  $F \subseteq 2^X$  is a filter on  $X$  if and only if (i)  $\emptyset \notin F$ , (ii) for each  $A, B \in F$  we have  $A \cap B \in F$ , (iii) for each  $A \in F$  and each  $B \supset A$  we have  $B \in F$ .  $\mathcal{I} \subseteq 2^X$  is a non-trivial ideal if and only if  $F := F(\mathcal{I}) := \{X - A : A \in \mathcal{I}\}$  is a filter on  $X$  [17].

Let  $F$  be a filter.  $F$  has property (A) if for any given countable subset  $\{A_j\}$  of  $F$ , there exists an  $A \in F$  such that  $|A \setminus A_j| < \infty$  for each  $j$  [5].

Kostyrko, Mačaj and Šalát [14, 15] introduced two types of “ideal convergence”.

Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be a non-trivial ideal in  $\mathbb{N}$ . A sequence  $x = (x_k)$  of real numbers is said to be  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent to  $L$  if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  the set  $A(\varepsilon) := \{k \in \mathbb{N} : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{I}$  [14]. In this case we write  $\mathcal{I}\text{-}\lim x = L$ .

Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be an admissible ideal in  $\mathbb{N}$ . A sequence  $x = (x_k)$  of real numbers is said to be  $\mathcal{I}^*$ -convergent to  $L$  if there is a set  $H \in \mathcal{I}$ , such that for  $M = \mathbb{N} \setminus H = \{m_1 < m_2 < \dots\}$  we have  $\lim_k x_{m_k} = L$ . In this case we write  $\mathcal{I}^*\text{-}\lim x = L$  [14].

For every admissible ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  the following relation between them holds: Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be an admissible ideal in  $\mathbb{N}$ . If  $\mathcal{I}^*$ -limit  $x = L$ , then  $\mathcal{I}$ -limit  $x = L$  [14].

Note that for some ideals the converse of this result holds (see [14, Example 3.1]). Kostyrko, Mačaj and Šalát have given the necessary and sufficient condition for equivalence of  $\mathcal{I}$  and  $\mathcal{I}^*$ -convergences. This condition is similar to the additive property for null sets in [4, 11].

An admissible ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  in  $\mathbb{N}$  is said to satisfy the condition (AP) if for every countable system  $\{A_1, A_2, \dots\}$  of mutually disjoint sets belonging to  $\mathcal{I}$  there exist sets  $B_j \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ , ( $j = 1, 2, \dots$ ) such that the symmetric differences  $A_j \Delta B_j$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots$ ) are finite and  $B = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} B_j$  belong to  $\mathcal{I}$  [14].

It is known that  $\mathcal{I}$ -limit  $x = L \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{I}^*$ -limit  $x = L$  if and only if  $\mathcal{I}$  has the additive property [14]. Some results on  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergence may be found in [7, 8, 14, 15].

Note that if we define  $\mathcal{I}_{\delta_A} = \{K \subseteq \mathbb{N} : \delta_A(K) = 0\}$ ,  $\mathcal{I}_{\delta_{C_1}} = \{K \subseteq \mathbb{N} : \delta(K) = 0\}$  and  $\mathcal{I}_{\mu} = \{K \subseteq \Gamma : \mu(K) = 0\}$ , then we get the definition of  $A$ -statistical convergence, statistical convergence and  $\mu$ -statistical convergence, respectively.

In this paper we give the  $\mathcal{I}$  analogues of results given by Duman and Orhan [9].

Throughout the paper  $\mathcal{I}$  will be an admissible ideal,  $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  and  $(f_n)$  a sequence of real functions on  $D$ .

## 2. $\mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{I}^*$ convergent function sequences

**Definition 1.**  $(f_n)$  converges  $\mathcal{I}^*$ -pointwise to  $f \Leftrightarrow \forall \varepsilon > 0$  and  $\forall x \in D$ ,  $\exists K_x \notin \mathcal{I}$  and  $\exists n_0 = n_0(\varepsilon, x) \in K_x \ni \forall n \geq n_0$  and  $n \in K_x$ ,  $|f_n(x) - f(x)| < \varepsilon$ .

In this case we will write  $f_n \rightarrow f$  ( $\mathcal{I}^*$  - convergent) on  $D$ .

**Definition 2.** We say that  $(f_n)$  converges  $\mathcal{I}^*$ -uniform to  $f \iff \forall \varepsilon > 0$  and  $\forall x \in D, \exists K \notin \mathcal{I}$  and  $\exists n_0 = n_{0(\varepsilon)} \in K \ni \forall n \geq n_0$  and  $n \in K, |f_n(x) - f(x)| < \varepsilon$ .

In this case we will write  $f_n \rightrightarrows f$  ( $\mathcal{I}^*$  - convergent) on  $D$ .

**Definition 3.**  $(f_n)$  converges  $\mathcal{I}$ -pointwise to  $f \iff \forall \varepsilon > 0$  and  $\forall x \in D, \{n : |f_n(x) - f(x)| \geq \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{I}$ .

In this case we will write  $f_n \rightarrow f$  ( $\mathcal{I}$  - convergent) on  $D$ .

**Definition 4.** The sequence  $(f_n)$  of bounded functions on  $D$  converges  $\mathcal{I}$ -uniformly to  $f \iff \forall \varepsilon > 0$  and  $\forall x \in D, \{n : \|f_n - f\| \geq \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{I}$ , where the form  $\|\cdot\|_{B(D)}$  is the usual supremum norm on  $B(D)$ , the space of bounded functions on  $D$ .

In this case we will write  $f_n \rightrightarrows f$  ( $\mathcal{I}$  - convergent) on  $D$ .

As in the ordinary case the property of *Definition 1* implies that of *Definition 3*; and, of course for bounded functions, the property of *Definition 2* implies that of *Definition 4*. If  $\mathcal{I}$  satisfy the condition (AP), then *Definitions 1* and *3* are equivalent, and *Definition 2* and *4* are equivalent.

The following result is a  $\mathcal{I}$  analogue of the result that is well-known in analysis.

**Theorem 1.** Let for all  $n, f_n$  be continuous on  $D$ . If  $f_n \rightrightarrows f$  ( $\mathcal{I}^*$  - convergent) on  $D$ , then  $f$  is continuous on  $D$ .

**Proof.** Assume  $f_n \rightrightarrows f$  ( $\mathcal{I}^*$  - convergent) on  $D$ . Then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a set  $K \notin \mathcal{I}$  and  $\exists n_0 = n_{0(\varepsilon)} \in K$  such that  $|f_n(x) - f(x)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$  for each  $x \in D$  and for all  $n \geq n_0$  and  $n \in K$ . Let  $x_0 \in D$ . Since  $f_{n_0}$  is continuous at  $x_0 \in D$ , there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|x - x_0| < \delta$  implies  $|f_{n_0}(x) - f_{n_0}(x_0)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$  for each  $x \in D$ . Now for all  $x \in D$  for which  $|x - x_0| < \delta$ , we have

$$|f(x) - f(x_0)| \leq |f(x) - f_{n_0}(x)| + |f_{n_0}(x) - f_{n_0}(x_0)| + |f_{n_0}(x_0) - f(x_0)| < \varepsilon.$$

Since  $x_0 \in D$  is arbitrary,  $f$  is continuous on  $D$ . □

Now from *Theorem 5* we get the following.

**Corollary 1.** Let all functions  $f_n$  be continuous on a compact subset  $D$  of  $\mathbb{R}$ , and let  $\mathcal{I}$  satisfy the condition (AP).

If  $f_n \rightrightarrows f$  ( $\mathcal{I}$  - convergent) on  $D$ , then  $f$  is continuous on  $D$ .

The next example shows that neither of the converses of *Theorem 5* and *Corollary 6* are true.

**Example 1.** Let  $K \notin \mathcal{I}$  and define  $f_n : [0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}, & n \notin K \\ \frac{x^n}{1+x^n}, & n \in K. \end{cases}$$

Then we have  $f_n \rightarrow f = 0$  ( $\mathcal{I}^*$  - convergent) on  $[0, 1)$ . Hence we get  $f_n \rightarrow f = 0$  ( $\mathcal{I}$  - convergent) on  $[0, 1)$ . Though all  $f_n$  and  $f$  are continuous on  $[0, 1)$ , it follows from *Definition 4* that the  $\mathcal{I}$  - convergent of  $(f_n)$  is not uniform for

$$c_n := \sup_{x \in [0, 1)} |f_n(x) - f(x)| = \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{I} - \lim c_n = \frac{1}{2} \neq 0.$$

Now we will give the following result that is an analogue of Dini's theorem.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $\mathcal{I}$  satisfy the condition (AP). Let  $D$  be a compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $(f_n)$  a sequence of continuous functions on  $D$ . Assume that  $f$  is continuous and  $f_n \rightarrow f$  ( $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent) on  $D$ . Also, let  $(f_n)$  be monotonic decreasing on  $D$ ; i.e.  $f_n(x) \geq f_{n+1}(x)$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) for every  $x \in D$ . Then  $f_n \rightrightarrows f$  ( $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent) on  $D$ .

**Proof.** Write  $g_n(x) = f_n(x) - f(x)$ . By hypothesis, each  $g_n$  is continuous and  $g_n \rightarrow 0$  ( $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent) on  $D$ , also  $(g_n)$  is a monotonic decreasing sequence on  $D$ . Now, since  $g_n \rightarrow 0$  ( $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent) on  $D$  and  $\mathcal{I}$  satisfy the condition (AP),  $g_n \rightarrow 0$  ( $\mathcal{I}^*$ -convergent) on  $D$ . Hence for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and each  $x \in D$  there exists  $K_x \notin \mathcal{I}$  and a number  $n(x) = n(x, \varepsilon) \in K_x$  such that  $0 \leq g_n(x) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$  for all  $n \geq n(x)$  and  $n \in K_x$ . Since  $g_n(x)$  is continuous at  $x \in D$ , for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is an open set  $J(x)$  which contains  $x$  such that  $|g_{n(x)}(t) - g_{n(x)}(x)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$  for all  $t \in J(x)$ . Hence given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , by monotonicity we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq g_n(t) &\leq g_{n(x)}(t) = g_{n(x)}(t) - g_{n(x)}(x) + g_{n(x)}(x) \\ &\leq |g_{n(x)}(t) - g_{n(x)}(x)| + g_{n(x)}(x) \end{aligned}$$

for every  $t \in J(x)$  and for all  $n \geq n(x)$  and  $n \in K_x$ . Since  $D \subset \bigcup_{x \in D} J(x)$  and  $D$  is a compact set, by the Heine-Borel theorem  $D$  has a finite open covering such that  $D \subset J(x_1) \cup J(x_2) \cup \dots \cup J(x_m)$ . Now, let  $K := K_{x_1} \cap K_{x_2} \cap \dots \cap K_{x_m}$  and  $N := \max\{n(x_1), n(x_2), \dots, n(x_m)\}$ . Observe that  $K \notin \mathcal{I}$ . Then  $0 \leq g_n(t) < \varepsilon$  for every  $t \in D$  and for all  $n \geq N$  and  $n \in K$ . So  $g_n \rightrightarrows 0$  ( $\mathcal{I}^*$ -convergent) on  $D$ . Consequently  $g_n \rightrightarrows 0$  ( $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent) on  $D$ , which completes the proof.  $\square$

Now we will give the Cauchy criterion for  $\mathcal{I}$ -uniform convergence but we first need a definition and a lemma:

**Definition 5.**  $(f_n)$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -Cauchy if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and every  $x \in D$  there is an  $n(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\{n : |f_n(x) - f_{n(\varepsilon)}(x)| \geq \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{I}$$

**Lemma 1.** Let  $(f_n)$  be a sequence of a real function on  $D$ .  $(f_n)$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent if and only if  $(f_n)$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -Cauchy.

**Proof.** First we establish that a  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent sequence is  $\mathcal{I}$ -Cauchy. Suppose that  $(f_n)$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent to  $f$ . Since  $\{n : |f_n(x) - f(x)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\} \notin \mathcal{I}$ . We can select an  $n(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|f_{n(\varepsilon)}(x) - f(x)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ . The triangle inequality now yields that  $\{n : |f_n(x) - f_{n(\varepsilon)}(x)| < \varepsilon\} \notin \mathcal{I}$ . Since  $\varepsilon$  was arbitrary,  $(f_n)$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -Cauchy.

Now suppose that  $(f_n)$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -Cauchy. Select  $n(1)$  such that

$$\{n : |f_n(x) - f_{n(1)}(x)| < 1\} \notin \mathcal{I}$$

and let  $A_1 = \{n : |f_n(x) - f_{n(1)}(x)| < 1\}$ . Suppose that

$$n(1) < n(2) < n(3) < \dots < n(p)$$

have been selected in such a fashion that if  $1 \leq r \leq s \leq p$  and

$$A_s = \{n : |f_n(x) - f_{n(s)}(x)| < 1/2^{s-1}\}$$

then  $A_r \notin \mathcal{I}$  and  $n(s) \in A_r$ . Select  $N$  such that

$$\{n : |f_n(x) - f_N(x)| < 1/2^{P+1}\} \notin \mathcal{I}.$$

Since  $\bigcap_1^N A_j \cap \{n : |f_n(x) - f_N(x)| < 1/2^{P+1}\} \notin \mathcal{I}$ , there exists an

$$n(p+1) \in \bigcap_1^N A_j \cap \{n : |f_n(x) - f_N(x)| < 1/2^{P+1}\}$$

such that  $n(p) < n(p+1)$  and

$$A_{p+1} = \{n : |f_n(x) - f_{n(p+1)}(x)| < 1/2^p\} \supseteq \{n : |f_n(x) - f_N(x)| < 1/2^{P+1}\}.$$

Observe that  $A_{p+1} \notin \mathcal{I}$  and  $n(p+1) \in A_s$  for all  $s \leq p+1$ .

Note that since  $|f_{n(p)}(x) - f_{n(p+1)}(x)| < 2^{-p}$ ,  $(f_{n(p)}(x))$  is Cauchy, and hence there exists an  $f(x)$  such that  $\lim_p f_{n(p)}(x) = f(x)$ . We claim that  $(f_n)$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent to  $f(x)$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given and select  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$|f_{n(p)}(x) - f(x)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon > 2^{-p}.$$

Note that if  $|f_n(x) - f(x)| \geq \varepsilon$ , then  $|f_{n(p)}(x) - f_n(x)| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} > 2^{1-p}$ , and hence  $n$  is not an element of  $A_p$ . It follows that  $\{n : |f_n(x) - f(x)| \geq \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{I}$  and that  $(f_n)$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent to  $f(x)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $\mathcal{I}$  satisfy the condition (AP) and let  $(f_n)$  be a sequence of bounded functions on  $D$ . Then  $(f_n)$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -uniformly convergent on  $D$  if and only if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is an  $n(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that*

$$\left\{n : \|f_n - f_{n(\varepsilon)}\|_{B(D)} < \varepsilon\right\} \notin \mathcal{I} \tag{1}$$

Note: The sequence  $(f_n)$  satisfying property (1) is said to be  $\mathcal{I}$ -uniformly Cauchy on  $D$ .

**Proof.** Assume that  $(f_n)$  converges  $\mathcal{I}$ -uniformly to a function  $f$  defined on  $D$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then we have  $\left\{n : \|f_n - f\|_{B(D)} < \varepsilon\right\} \notin \mathcal{I}$ . We can select an  $n(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\left\{n : \|f_{n(\varepsilon)} - f\|_{B(D)} < \varepsilon\right\} \notin \mathcal{I}$ . The triangle inequality yields that  $\left\{n : \|f_n - f_{n(\varepsilon)}\|_{B(D)} < \varepsilon\right\} \notin \mathcal{I}$ . Since  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrary,  $(f_n)$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -uniformly Cauchy on  $D$ .

Conversely, assume that  $(f_n)$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -uniformly Cauchy on  $D$ . Let  $x \in D$  be fixed. By (1), for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is an  $n(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\left\{n : |f_n(x) - f_{n(\varepsilon)}(x)| < \varepsilon\right\} \notin \mathcal{I}$ . Hence  $\{f_n(x)\}$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -Cauchy, so by *Lemma 10* we have that  $\{f_n(x)\}$  converges  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent to  $f(x)$ . Then  $f_n \rightarrow f$  ( $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent) on  $D$ . Now we shall show that this convergence must be uniform. Note that since  $\mathcal{I}$  satisfy the condition (AP), by (1) there is a  $K \notin \mathcal{I}$  such that  $\|f_n - f_{n(\varepsilon)}\|_{B(D)} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$  for all  $n \geq n(\varepsilon)$  and  $n \in K$ . So for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a  $K \notin \mathcal{I}$  and  $n(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$|f_n(x) - f_m(x)| < \varepsilon \tag{2}$$

for all  $n, m \geq n(\varepsilon)$  and  $n, m \in K$  and for each  $x \in D$ . Fixing  $n$  and applying the limit operator on  $m \in K$  in (2), we conclude that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a  $K \notin \mathcal{I}$  and an  $n(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|f_n(x) - f(x)| < \varepsilon$  for all  $n \geq n_0$  and for each  $x \in D$ . Hence  $f_n \rightrightarrows f$  ( $\mathcal{I}^*$ -convergent) on  $D$ , consequently  $f_n \rightrightarrows f$  ( $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent) on  $D$ .  $\square$

### 3. Applications

Using  $\mathcal{I}$ -uniform convergence, we can also get some applications. We merely state the following theorems and omit the proofs.

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $\mathcal{I}$  satisfy the condition (AP). If a function sequence  $(f_n)$  converges  $\mathcal{I}$ -uniformly on  $[a, b]$  to a function  $f$  and  $f_n$  is integrable on  $[a, b]$ , then  $f$  is integrable on  $[a, b]$ . Moreover,*

$$\mathcal{I} - \lim \int_a^b f_n(x) dx = \int_a^b \mathcal{I} - \lim f_n(x) dx = \int_a^b f(x) dx$$

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $\mathcal{I}$  satisfy the condition (AP). Suppose that  $(f_n)$  is a function sequence such that each  $(f_n)$  has a continuous derivative on  $[a, b]$ . If  $f_n \rightarrow f$  ( $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent) on  $[a, b]$  and  $f'_n \rightrightarrows g$  ( $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent) on  $[a, b]$ , then  $f_n \rightrightarrows f$  ( $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent) on  $[a, b]$ , where  $f$  is differentiable, and  $f' = g$ .*

### 4. Function sequences that preserve $\mathcal{I}$ -convergence

This section is motivated by a paper of Kolk [13]. Recall that function sequence  $(f_n)$  is called convergence-preserving (or conservative) on  $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  if the transformed sequence  $\{f_n(x)\}$  converges for each convergent sequence  $x = (x_n)$  from  $D$  [13]. In this section, analogously, we describe the function sequences which preserve the  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergence of sequences. Our arguments also give a sequential characterization of the continuity of  $\mathcal{I}$ -limit functions of  $\mathcal{I}$ -uniformly convergent function sequences. This result is complementary to *Theorem 5*.

First we introduce the following definition.

**Definition 6.** *Let  $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  and  $(f_n)$  be a sequence of real functions on  $D$ . Then  $(f_n)$  is called a function sequence preserving  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergence (or  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent conservative) on  $D$  if the transformed sequence  $\{f_n(x)\}$  converges  $\mathcal{I}$  for each  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent sequence  $x = (x_n)$  from  $D$ . If  $(f_n)$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent conservative and preserves the limits of all  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent sequences from  $D$ , then  $(f_n)$  is called  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent regular on  $D$ .*

Hence, if  $(f_n)$  is conservative on  $D$ , then  $(f_n)$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent conservative on  $D$ . But the following example shows that the converse of this result is not true.

**Example 2.** *Let  $K \notin \mathcal{I}$ . Define  $f_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by*

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & n \in K \\ 1, & n \notin K \end{cases}$$

Suppose that  $(x_n)$  from  $[0, 1]$  is an arbitrary sequence such that  $\mathcal{I} - \lim x = L$ . Then, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\{n : |f_n(x_n) - 0| \geq \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{I}$ . Hence  $\mathcal{I} - \lim f_n(x_n) = 0$ , so  $(f_n)$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent conservative on  $[0, 1]$ . But observe that  $(f_n)$  is not conservative on  $[0, 1]$ .

Now we give the first result of this section. But we need the following lemma:

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $\mathcal{I}$  satisfy the condition (AP). If  $(f_n^r(x))$  is a countable collection of sequences that are  $\mathcal{I}^*$ -convergent, then there exists  $\lambda : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\lim_n f_{\lambda(k)}^r(x)$  exists for each  $r$  and  $\{\lambda(k) : k \in \mathbb{N}\} \notin \mathcal{I}$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $F$  be the filter generated by convergence in  $\mathcal{I}^*$ -convergence. Since each  $(f_n^r(x))$  is  $\mathcal{I}^*$ -convergent, there is an  $A^r \in F$  such that  $(f_n^r(x)) \in c_{A^r}$ . Since  $F$  has property (A), there is an  $A \in F$  such that  $|A \setminus A^r| < \infty$  for each  $r$ . Suppose  $A = \{n_1, n_2, \dots\}$  where  $n_1 < n_2 < \dots$  and  $\lambda : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  satisfies  $\lambda(k) = n_k$  for all  $k$ . Now  $\lim_n f_{\lambda(k)}^r(x)$  exists for each  $r$  and  $\{\lambda(k) : k \in \mathbb{N}\} = A \notin \mathcal{I}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $\mathcal{I}$  satisfy the condition (AP) and let  $(f_k)$  be a sequence of functions defined on closed interval  $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $(f_k)$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent conservative on  $[a, b]$  if and only if  $(f_k)$  converges  $\mathcal{I}$ -uniformly convergent on  $[a, b]$  to a continuous function.*

**Proof. Necessity.** Assume that  $(f_k)$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent conservative on  $[a, b]$ . Choose the sequence  $(v_k) = (t, t, \dots)$  for each  $t \in [a, b]$ . Since  $\mathcal{I} - \lim v_k = t$ ,  $\mathcal{I} - \lim f_k(v_k)$  exists, hence  $\mathcal{I} - \lim f_k(v_k) = f(t)$  for all  $t \in [a, b]$ . We claim that  $f$  is continuous on  $[a, b]$ . To prove this we suppose that  $f$  is not continuous at a point  $t_0 \in [a, b]$ . Then there exists a sequence  $(u_k)$  in  $[a, b]$  such that  $\lim u_k = t_0$ , but  $\lim f(u_k)$  exists and  $\lim f(u_k) \neq f(t_0)$ . Since  $f_k \rightarrow f$  ( $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent) on  $[a, b]$  and  $\mathcal{I}$  satisfy the condition (AP), we obtain  $f_k \rightarrow f$  ( $\mathcal{I}^*$ -convergent) on  $[a, b]$ . Hence, for each  $j$ ,  $\{f_k(u_j) - f(u_j)\} \rightarrow 0$  ( $\mathcal{I}^*$ -convergent). Hence there exists  $\lambda : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\{\lambda(k) : k \in \mathbb{N}\} \notin \mathcal{I}$  and

$$\lim [f_{\lambda(k)}(u_j) - f(u_j)] = 0$$

for each  $j$ . Now, by the “diagonal process” [1, p.192] we can choose an increasing index sequence  $(n_k)$  in such a way that  $\{n_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\} \notin \mathcal{I}$  and  $\lim [f_{n_k}(u_k) - f(u_k)] = 0$ . Now define a sequence  $x = (t_i)$  by

$$t_i = \begin{cases} t_0, & i = n_k \text{ and } i \text{ is odd} \\ u_k, & i = n_k \text{ and } i \text{ is even} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Hence  $t_i \rightarrow t_0$  ( $\mathcal{I}^*$ -convergent), which implies  $\mathcal{I} - \lim t_i = t_0$ . But if  $i = n_k$  and  $i$  is odd, then  $\lim f_{n_k}(t_0) = f(t_0)$ , and if  $i = n_k$  and  $i$  is even, then  $\lim f_{n_k}(u_k) = \lim [f_{n_k}(u_k) - f(u_k)] + \lim f(u_k) \neq f(t_0)$ . Hence  $\{f_i(t_i)\}$  is not  $\mathcal{I}^*$ -convergent since the sequence  $\{f_i(t_i)\}$  converges to two different limit points and has two disjoint subsequences whose index set does not belong to  $\mathcal{I}$ . So, the sequence  $\{f_i(t_i)\}$  is not  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent, which contradicts the hypothesis. Thus  $f$  must be continuous on  $[a, b]$ . It remains to prove that  $(f_k)$  converges  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent uniformly on  $[a, b]$  to  $f$ . Assume that  $(f_k)$  is not  $\mathcal{I}$ -uniformly convergent on  $[a, b]$  to  $f$ , then  $(f_k)$  is not  $\mathcal{I}^*$ -uniformly convergent on  $[a, b]$  to  $f$ . Hence, for an arbitrary index sequence  $(n_k)$  with  $\{n_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\} \notin \mathcal{I}$ , there exists a number  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$

and numbers  $t_k \in [a, b]$  such that  $|f_{n_k}(t_k) - f(t_k)| \geq 2\varepsilon_0$  ( $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ). The bounded sequence  $x = (t_k)$  contains a convergent subsequence  $(t_{k_i})$ ,  $\mathcal{I} - \lim t_{k_i} = \alpha$ , say. By the continuity of  $f$ ,  $\lim f(t_{k_i}) = f(\alpha)$ . So there is an index  $i_0$  such that  $|f(t_{k_i}) - f(\alpha)| < \varepsilon_0$  ( $i \geq i_0$ ). For the same  $i$ 's, we have

$$\left| f_{n_{k_i}}(t_{k_i}) - f(\alpha) \right| \geq \left| f_{n_{k_i}}(t_{k_i}) - f(t_{k_i}) \right| - |f(t_{k_i}) - f(\alpha)| \geq \varepsilon_0. \quad (3)$$

Now, defining

$$u_j = \begin{cases} \alpha, & j = n_{k_i} \text{ and } j \text{ is odd} \\ t_{k_i}, & j = n_{k_i} \text{ and } j \text{ is even} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

we get  $u_j \rightarrow \alpha$  ( $\mathcal{I}^*$ -convergent). Hence  $\mathcal{I} - \lim u_j = \alpha$ . But if  $j = n_{k_i}$  and  $j$  is odd, then  $\lim f(t_{k_i}) = f(\alpha)$ , and if  $j = n_{k_i}$  and  $j$  is even, then, by (3),  $\lim f(t_{k_i}) \neq f(\alpha)$ . Hence  $\{f_i(t_i)\}$  is not  $\mathcal{I}^*$ -convergent since the sequence  $\{f_i(t_i)\}$  converges to two different limit points and has two disjoint subsequences whose index set does not belong to  $\mathcal{I}$ . So, the sequence  $\{f_i(t_i)\}$  is not  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent, which contradicts the hypothesis. Thus  $(f_k)$  must be  $\mathcal{I}$ -uniformly convergent to  $f$  on  $[a, b]$ .

*Sufficiency.* Assume that  $f_n \Rightarrow f$  ( $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent) on  $[a, b]$  and  $f$  is continuous. Let  $x = (x_n)$  be a  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent sequence in  $[a, b]$  with  $\mathcal{I} - \lim x_n = x_0$ . Since  $\mathcal{I}$  satisfy the condition (AP),  $x_n \rightarrow x_0$  ( $\mathcal{I}^*$ -convergent), so there is an index sequence  $\{n_k\}$  such that  $\lim x_{n_k} = x_0$  and  $\{n_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\} \notin \mathcal{I}$ . By the continuity of  $f$  at  $x_0$ ,  $\lim f(x_{n_k}) = f(x_0)$ . Hence  $f(x_n) \rightarrow f(x_0)$  ( $\mathcal{I}^*$ -convergent). Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Then there exists  $K_1 \notin \mathcal{I}$  and a number  $n_1 \in K_1$  such that  $|f(x_n) - f(x_0)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$  for all  $n \geq n_1$  and  $n \in K_1$ . By assumption  $\mathcal{I}$  satisfy the condition (AP). Hence the  $\mathcal{I}$ -uniform convergence is equivalent to the  $\mathcal{I}^*$ -uniform convergence, so there exists a  $K_2 \notin \mathcal{I}$  and a number  $n_2 \in K_2$  such that  $|f_n(t) - f(t)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$  for every  $t \in [a, b]$  for all  $n \geq n_2$  and  $n \in K_2$ . Let  $N := \max\{n_1, n_2\}$  and  $K := K_1 \cap K_2$ . Observe that  $K \notin \mathcal{I}$ . Hence taking  $t = x_n$  we have

$$|f_n(x_n) - f(x_0)| \leq |f_n(x_n) - f(x_n)| + |f(x_n) - f(x_0)| < \varepsilon$$

for all  $n \geq N$  and  $n \in K$ . This shows that  $f_n(x_n) \rightarrow f(x_0)$  ( $\mathcal{I}^*$ -convergent) which necessarily implies that  $\mathcal{I} - \lim f_n(x_n) = f(x_0)$ , whence the proof follows.  $\square$

*Theorem 6* contains the following necessary and sufficient condition for the continuity of  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergence limit functions of function sequences that converge  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent uniformly on a closed interval.

**Theorem 7.** *Let  $\mathcal{I}$  satisfy the condition (AP) and let  $(f_k)$  be a sequence of functions that converges  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent uniformly on a closed interval  $[a, b]$  to a function  $f$ . The function  $f$  is continuous on  $[a, b]$  if and only if  $(f_k)$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent conservative on  $[a, b]$ .*

Now, we study the  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergence regularity of function sequences. If  $(f_k)$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent regular on  $[a, b]$ , then obviously  $\mathcal{I} - \lim f_k(t) = t$  for all  $t \in [a, b]$ . So, taking  $f(t) = t$  in *Theorem 6*, we immediately get the following.

**Theorem 8.** *Let  $\mathcal{I}$  satisfy the condition (AP) and let  $(f_k)$  be a sequence of functions on  $[a, b]$ . Then  $(f_k)$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent regular on  $[a, b]$  if and only if  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent uniformly on  $[a, b]$  to the function  $f$  defined by  $f(t) = t$ .*



## References

- [1] R. G. BARTLE, *Elements of Real Analysis*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1964.
- [2] J. CONNOR, *The statistical and strong  $p$ - Cesáro convergence of sequences*, Analysis **8**(1988), 47-63.
- [3] J. CONNOR, *On strong matrix summability with respect to a modulus and statistical convergence*, Canad. Math. Bull. **32**(1989), 194-198.
- [4] J. CONNOR, *Two valued measures and summability*, Analysis **10**(1990), 373-385.
- [5] J. CONNOR, *R-Type summability methods, Cauchy criteria, P-sets and statistical convergence*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **115**(1992), 319-327.
- [6] J. CONNOR J. KLINE, *On statistical limit points and the consistency of statistical convergence*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **197**(1996), 389-392.
- [7] K. DEMIRCI,  *$\mathcal{I}$ -limit superior and limit inferior*, Mathematical Communications **6**(2001), 165-172.
- [8] K. DEMIRCI S. YARDIMCI,  *$\sigma$ -core and  $\mathcal{I}$ - core of bounded sequences*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **290**(2004), 414-422.
- [9] O. DUMAN C. ORHAN,  *$\mu$ -statistically convergent function sequences*, Czech. Math. Journal, **54**(129) (2004), 143-422.
- [10] H. FAST, *Sur la convergence statistique*, Colloq. Math. **2**(1951), 241-244.
- [11] A. R. FREEDMAN J. J. SEMBER, *Densities and summability*, Pacific J. Math. **95**(1981), 293-305.
- [12] J. A. FRIDY, *On statistical convergence*, Analysis **5**(1985), 301-313.
- [13] E. KOLK, *Convergence-preserving function sequences and uniform convergence*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **238**(1999), 599-603.
- [14] P. KOSTYRKO, M. MAČAJ, T. ŠALÁT, *Statistical convergence and  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergence*, Real Anal. Exchange, to appear
- [15] P. KOSTYRKO, M. MAČAJ, T. ŠALÁT,  *$\mathcal{I}$ -convergence*, Real Anal. Exchange, to appear
- [16] H. I. MILLER, *A measure theoretical subsequence characterization of statistical convergence*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **347**(1995), 1811-1819.
- [17] J. NAGATA, *Modern General Topology*, North Holland, Amsterdam-London, 1974.
- [18] I. NIVEN, H. S. ZUCKERMAN, *An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers*, fourth ed., Wiley, New York, 1980.

- [19] T. ŠALÁT, *On statistically convergent sequences of real numbers*, Math. Slovaca **30**(1980), 139-150.
- [20] H. STEINHAUS, *Sur la convergence ordinaire et la convergence asymptotique*, Colloq. Math. **2**(1951), 73-74.