### Estimating $\pi$ from the Wallis sequence

CRISTINEL MORTICI<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Valahia University of Târgoviște, Bd. Unirii 18, 130082 Târgoviște, Romania

Received February 11, 2011; accepted January 20, 2012

**Abstract.** The aim of this paper is to define new sequences related to the Wallis sequence having higher rates of convergence. Some sharp inequalities are established. **AMS subject classifications**: 40A05, 40A20, 40A25, 65B10, 65B15

Key words: Wallis product, rate of convergence, inequalities, asymptotic series

#### 1. Introduction and motivation

Perhaps one of the most known sequences related to the constant  $\pi$  is the Wallis sequence

$$W(n) = \prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{4k^2}{4k^2 - 1},$$

which converges to  $\pi/2$  with the convergence rate estimated by  $n^{-1}$ , since

$$\frac{3}{10n} < \frac{\pi}{2} - W(n) < \frac{4}{10n} \quad (n \ge 3).$$

see, e.g., [6, Rel. 2c]. As the Wallis sequence is slowly convergent towards its limit, it is not suitable for approximating the constant  $\pi$ . In consequence, many authors were preoccupied in the recent past to accelerate the Wallis sequence. See [1, p. 258], [2, p. 384], [3, p. 213], [4, p. 5, p. 47], [5, p. 384], [7, p. 14, p. 465], [11] and the references therein.

In particular, Lampret [6, Rel. 2c] used the following version of the Stirling formula

$$\Gamma(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{x}} \left(\frac{x}{e}\right)^x \exp \frac{\theta_x}{12x} \quad (x > 0), \qquad (1)$$

where  $\theta_x \in (0, 1)$  to prove the following representation

$$\pi = W(n) \left(2 + \frac{1}{n}\right) e^{-1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2n}\right)^{2n} \exp\left(\frac{\theta'_n}{6n+3} - \frac{\theta_n}{6n}\right) \quad (n \ge 1).$$
(2)

As the Stirling formula (1) is now the first approximation of the following Stirling asymptotic series

©2012 Department of Mathematics, University of Osijek

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. *Email address:* cmortici@valahia.ro (C. Mortici)

http://www.mathos.hr/mc

C. Mortici

$$\Gamma(x) \sim \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{x}} \left(\frac{x}{e}\right)^x \exp\left(\frac{1}{12x} - \frac{1}{360x^3} + \frac{1}{1260x^5} - \frac{1}{1680x^7} + \cdots\right),$$

it results that  $\theta_x$  tends to 1 as x approaches infinity.

Motivated by Lampret's representation (2), we prove that the best approximation of the form

$$\pi \approx W(n) \left(2 + \frac{1}{n}\right) e^{-1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2n}\right)^{2n} \exp\left(\frac{a}{6n+3} - \frac{b}{6n}\right) \quad (a, b \in [0, 1])$$
(3)

is obtained for a = b = 1. For these priviled ged values, (3) becomes

$$\pi \approx W(n)\left(2+\frac{1}{n}\right)e^{-1}\left(1+\frac{1}{2n}\right)^{2n}\exp\left(-\frac{1}{12n^2+6n}\right),$$

but we prove that the approximation

$$\pi \approx W(n) \left(2 + \frac{1}{n}\right) e^{-1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2n}\right)^{2n} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{12n^2 + 6n + \frac{6}{5}}\right)$$

gives better results. Moreover, we state and prove the following double inequality **Theorem 1.** For every integer  $n \ge 1$ , we have

$$W(n)\left(2+\frac{1}{n}\right)e^{-1}\left(1+\frac{1}{2n}\right)^{2n}\exp\left(-\frac{1}{12n^2+6n}\right) < \pi < W(n)\left(2+\frac{1}{n}\right)e^{-1}\left(1+\frac{1}{2n}\right)^{2n}\exp\left(-\frac{1}{12n^2+6n+\frac{6}{5}}\right).$$

In the fourth section of [6], the following estimates were established

$$W_1(n) < W(n) < W_2(n) \quad (n \ge 2),$$
 (4)

where

$$W_{1}(n) = \frac{\pi}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2n+1} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{1}{4n^{2}-1} \right)^{n} \exp\left( \frac{-1}{6n(4n^{2}-1)} - \frac{1}{80(n^{2}-1)^{2}} \right)$$
$$W_{2}(n) = \frac{\pi}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2n+1} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{1}{4n^{2}-1} \right)^{n} \exp\left( \frac{-1}{6n(4n^{2}-1)} + \frac{1}{80(n^{2}-1)^{2}} \right).$$

Finally, Lampret [6, Rel. (17a)-(17e)] improved (4) to

$$W_1^*(n) < W(n) < W_2^*(n) \quad (n \ge 2),$$
 (5)

where

$$W_{1}^{*}(n) = \frac{\pi}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2n+1} \right) \exp \varphi(n), \quad W_{2}^{*}(n) = \frac{\pi}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2n+1} \right) \exp \psi(n)$$

490

$$\varphi(n) = \frac{n}{4n^2 - 1} - \frac{n}{2(4n^2 - 1)^2} - \frac{1}{6n(4n^2 - 1)} - \frac{1}{80(n^2 - 1)^2}$$

and

$$\psi(n) = \frac{n}{4n^2 - 1} - \frac{n}{3(4n^2 - 1)^2} - \frac{1}{6n(4n^2 - 1)} + \frac{1}{80(n^2 - 1)^2}.$$

Motivated by the estimates (4)-(5), we introduce the following approximation family

$$W(n) \approx \frac{\pi}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2n+1} \right) \exp \mu_n(\alpha, \beta, \delta), \qquad (6)$$

with  $\alpha, \beta, \delta$  real parameters, where

$$\mu_n(\alpha,\beta,\delta) = \frac{n}{4n^2 - 1} - \frac{\alpha n}{(4n^2 - 1)^2} - \frac{\beta}{n(4n^2 - 1)} + \frac{\delta}{80(n^2 - 1)^2}$$

and we prove that the best such approximation is obtained for

$$\alpha = -\frac{11}{30}, \quad \beta = \frac{23}{60}, \quad \delta = 0,$$

namely

$$W(n) \approx \frac{\pi}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2n+1} \right) \exp\left( \frac{n}{4n^2 - 1} + \frac{11n}{30(4n^2 - 1)^2} - \frac{23}{60n(4n^2 - 1)} \right).$$

Furthermore, the next approximation is better

$$W(n) \approx \frac{\pi}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2n+1} \right) \times \exp\left( \frac{n}{4n^2 - 1} + \frac{11n}{30(4n^2 - 1)^2} - \frac{23}{60n(4n^2 - 1)} - \frac{493}{107520n^3(n^2 - 1)^2} \right)$$

and we prove the following double inequality.

**Theorem 2.** For every integer  $n \ge 1$ , we have

$$\frac{\pi}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2n+1} \right) \exp\left( \frac{n}{4n^2 - 1} + \frac{11n}{30 \left(4n^2 - 1\right)^2} - \frac{23}{60n \left(4n^2 - 1\right)} - \frac{493}{107520n^3 \left(n^2 - 1\right)^2} \right)$$
$$< W(n) < \frac{\pi}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2n+1} \right) \exp\left( \frac{n}{4n^2 - 1} + \frac{11n}{30 \left(4n^2 - 1\right)^2} - \frac{23}{60n \left(4n^2 - 1\right)} \right).$$

# 2. Results

We start this section by analyzing the approximations family (3). One way to compare two such approximations is to introduce the relative error sequence  $w_n$  by the relations

$$\pi = W(n)\left(2 + \frac{1}{n}\right)e^{-1}\left(1 + \frac{1}{2n}\right)^{2n}\exp\left(\frac{a}{6n+3} - \frac{b}{6n}\right)\exp w_n \quad (n \ge 1) \quad (7)$$

C. Mortici

and to consider an approximation (3) the better the faster  $w_n$  converges to zero.

Furthermore, a tool for estimating the rate of convergence is the following lemma, which was used in [8-10] to improve some convergences and to construct asymptotic expansions.

**Lemma 1.** If  $(\omega_n)_{n\geq 1}$  is convergent to zero and there exists the limit

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} n^k (\omega_n - \omega_{n+1}) = l \in \mathbb{R},\tag{8}$$

with k > 1, then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} n^{k-1} \omega_n = \frac{l}{k-1}.$$

For a detailed proof, see e.g. [8]. We see from this lemma that the speed of convergence of the sequence  $(\omega_n)_{n\geq 1}$  increases together with the value k satisfying (8).

As we are interested to compute a limit of the form (8) for the sequence  $w_n$  given by (8), we develop the difference  $w_n - w_{n+1}$  as a power series in  $n^{-1}$  as

$$w_n - w_{n+1} = \left(-\frac{1}{6}a + \frac{1}{6}b\right)\frac{1}{n^2} + \left(\frac{1}{3}a - \frac{1}{6}b - \frac{1}{6}\right)\frac{1}{n^3} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^4}\right)$$
(9)

(this can be made using some computer software such as Maple).

Referring to Lemma 1, the convergence of the sequence  $w_n$  to zero is fastest whenever the convergence of the difference  $w_n - w_{n+1}$  to zero is the fastest, i.e. when the first two coefficients in (9) vanish, namely when a = b = 1.

**Proof of Theorem 1.** As the sequences

$$a_n = W(n) \left(2 + \frac{1}{n}\right) e^{-1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2n}\right)^{2n} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{12n^2 + 6n}\right)$$
$$b_n = W(n) \left(2 + \frac{1}{n}\right) e^{-1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2n}\right)^{2n} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{12n^2 + 6n + \frac{6}{5}}\right)$$

converge to  $\pi$ , it suffices to prove that  $a_n$  is strictly increasing and  $b_n$  is strictly decreasing.

In this sense, we have  $\ln a_{n+1} - \ln a_n = f_1(n)$ ,  $\ln b_{n+1} - \ln b_n = g_1(n)$ , where

$$f_1(x) = \ln \frac{4(x+1)^2}{4(x+1)^2 - 1} + \ln \frac{2 + \frac{1}{x+1}}{2 + \frac{1}{x}} + (2x+2)\ln\left(1 + \frac{1}{2x+2}\right) - 2x\ln\left(1 + \frac{1}{2x}\right) - \frac{1}{12(x+1)^2 + 6(x+1)} + \frac{1}{12x^2 + 6x}$$

and

$$g_{1}(x) = \ln \frac{4(x+1)^{2}}{4(x+1)^{2}-1} + \ln \frac{2 + \frac{1}{x+1}}{2 + \frac{1}{x}} + (2x+2)\ln\left(1 + \frac{1}{2x+2}\right) - 2x\ln\left(1 + \frac{1}{2x}\right) - \frac{1}{12(x+1)^{2} + 6(x+1) + \frac{6}{5}} + \frac{1}{12x^{2} + 6x + \frac{6}{5}}$$

492

In consequence, it suffices to show that  $f_1 > 0$  and  $g_1 < 0$ . In this sense, we have

$$f_1''(x) = \frac{(4x+3)\left(60x+148x^2+144x^3+48x^4+9\right)}{3x^3\left(x+1\right)^3\left(2x+1\right)^3\left(2x+3\right)^3}$$

and

$$g_1''(x) = -\frac{(4x+3)Q(x)}{x^2(x+1)^2(2x+1)^2(2x+3)^2(25x+10x^2+16)^3(5x+10x^2+1)^3},$$

where

$$\begin{split} Q\left(x\right) = & 12\,288 + 291\,072x + 2962\,853x^2 + 16\,496\,490x^3 + 55\,483\,705x^4 + 118\,363\,500x^5 \\ & + 163\,202\,000x^6 + 144\,648\,000x^7 + 79\,458\,000x^8 + 24\,600\,000x^9 + 3280\,000x^{10}. \end{split}$$

Finally,  $f_1$  is strictly convex and  $g_1$  is strictly concave, with  $f_1(\infty) = g_1(\infty) = 0$ , so  $f_1 > 0$  and  $g_1 < 0$ .

Now, in order to find the best approximation of type (6), we associate the relative error sequence  $z_n$  by the relations

$$W(n) = \frac{\pi}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2n+1} \right) \exp \mu_n \left( \alpha, \beta, \delta \right) \cdot e^{z_n}.$$

By making appeal again to Maple, we get

$$\begin{aligned} z_n - z_{n+1} &= \left(\frac{3}{16}\alpha + \frac{3}{4}\beta - \frac{7}{32}\right)\frac{1}{n^4} + \left(-\frac{3}{8}\alpha - \frac{3}{2}\beta - \frac{1}{20}\delta + \frac{7}{16}\right)\frac{1}{n^5} \\ &+ \left(\frac{25}{32}\alpha + \frac{45}{16}\beta + \frac{1}{8}\delta - \frac{19}{24}\right)\frac{1}{n^6} + \left(-\frac{45}{32}\alpha - \frac{75}{16}\beta - \frac{2}{5}\delta + \frac{41}{32}\right)\frac{1}{n^7} \\ &+ O\left(\frac{1}{n^8}\right). \end{aligned}$$

The system

$$\begin{cases} \frac{3}{16}\alpha + \frac{3}{4}\beta - \frac{7}{32} = 0\\ -\frac{3}{8}\alpha - \frac{3}{2}\beta - \frac{1}{20}\delta + \frac{7}{16} = 0\\ \frac{25}{32}\alpha + \frac{45}{16}\beta + \frac{1}{8}\delta - \frac{19}{24} = 0 \end{cases}$$

determined by the first three coefficients of this power series gives the best values  $\alpha = -\frac{11}{30}, \ \beta = \frac{23}{60}, \ \delta = 0$  and the corresponding approximation

$$W(n) \approx \frac{\pi}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2n+1} \right) \exp\left( \frac{n}{4n^2 - 1} + \frac{11n}{30\left(4n^2 - 1\right)^2} - \frac{23}{60n\left(4n^2 - 1\right)} \right).$$

Proof of Theorem 2. Denoting

$$u(x) = \frac{x}{4x^2 - 1} + \frac{11x}{30(4x^2 - 1)^2} - \frac{23}{60x(4x^2 - 1)} - \frac{493}{107520x^3(x^2 - 1)^2}$$

C. Mortici

and

$$v(x) = u(x) + \frac{493}{107520x^3(x^2 - 1)^2},$$

we have to prove that

$$\frac{\pi}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2n+1} \right) \exp u(n) < W(n) < \frac{\pi}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2n+1} \right) \exp v(n).$$

In fact it suffices to show that the sequence  $c_n$  is strictly increasing and  $d_n$  is strictly decreasing, where

$$c_n = \frac{\left(1 - \frac{1}{2n+1}\right) \exp u(n)}{W(n)}, \quad d_n = \frac{\left(1 - \frac{1}{2n+1}\right) \exp v(n)}{W(n)}.$$

We have  $\ln c_{n+1} - \ln c_n = f_2(n)$ ,  $\ln d_{n+1} - \ln d_n = g_2(n)$ , where

$$f_{2}(x) = \ln \frac{4(x+1)^{2}-1}{4(x+1)^{2}} + \ln \frac{1-\frac{1}{2x+3}}{1-\frac{1}{2x+1}} + u(x+1) - u(x)$$
$$g_{2}(x) = \ln \frac{4(x+1)^{2}-1}{4(x+1)^{2}} + \ln \frac{1-\frac{1}{2x+3}}{1-\frac{1}{2x+1}} + v(x+1) - v(x),$$

with the derivatives

$$f_{2}'(x) = -\frac{P_{2}(x)}{8960x^{4}(x-1)^{3}(x+1)^{4}(x+2)^{3}(2x+3)^{3}(4x^{2}-1)^{3}}$$
$$g_{2}'(x) = \frac{Q_{2}(x)}{60x^{2}(x+1)^{2}(2x+3)^{3}(4x^{2}-1)^{3}},$$

where

$$\begin{split} P_2\left(x\right) = & 26\,622 + 115\,362x - 906\,057x^2 - 2812\,130x^3 + 8973\,969x^4 + 32\,403\,996x^5 \\ &+ 5343\,092x^6 - 67\,006\,464x^7 - 60\,727\,776x^8 + 23\,468\,480x^9 + 57\,479\,616x^{10} \\ &+ 28\,792\,320x^{11} + 4798\,720x^{12} \end{split}$$

and

$$Q_2(x) = -621 - 864x + 7024x^2 + 15776x^3 + 7888x^4.$$

Since all the coefficients of the polynomials  $P_2(x+1)$  and  $Q_2(x+1)$  are positive, we have  $f'_2(x) < 0$  and  $g'_2(x) > 0$ , for x > 1.

Now,  $f_2$  is strictly decreasing,  $g_2$  is strictly increasing, with  $f_2(\infty) = g_2(\infty) = 0$ , so  $f_2 > 0$  and  $g_2 < 0$  and the conclusion follows.

Finally, we are convinced that our new approach presented here can be useful for establishing further improvements and refinements in other related problems.

494

#### Acknowledgment

The author thanks the anonymous referees for a careful reading of the initial manuscript and for useful comments and corrections. This work was supported by a grant of the Romanian National Authority for Scientific Research, CNCS – UEFISCDI, project number PN-II-ID-PCE-2011-3-0087.

# References

- [1] M. ABRAMOWITZ, I. A. STEGUN, Handbook of Mathematical Functions, Dover Publications, New York, 1974.
- [2] G. B. ARFKEN, H. J. WEBER, Mathematical Methods for Physicists, Harcourt/Academic Press, San Diego, 2001.
- [3] T. J. A. BROMWICH, An Introduction to the Theory of Infinite Series, Chelsea Publishing Company, New York, 1991.
- [4] P. HENRICI, Applied and Computational Complex Analysis, Vol.2, John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1991.
- [5] K. KNOPP, Theory and Applications of Infinite Series, Hafner, New York, 1971.
- [6] V. LAMPRET, Wallis sequence estimated through the Euler-Maclaurin formula: even from the Wallis product π could be computed fairly accurately, Gaz. Australian Math. Soc. 31(2004), 328–339.
- [7] J. LEWIN, M. LEWIN, An Introduction to Mathematical Analysis, McGraw-Hill Inc., New York, 1993.
- [8] C. MORTICI, Product approximations via asymptotic integration, Amer. Math. Monthly 117(2010), 434–441.
- [9] C. MORTICI, Completely monotonic functions associated with gamma function and applications, Carpathian J. Math. 25(2009), 186–191.
- [10] C. MORTICI, Optimizing the rate of convergence in some new classes of sequences convergent to Euler's constant, Anal. Appl. (Singap.) 8(2010), 99–107.
- [11] A. SOFO, Some Representations of Pi, AustMS Gazette 31(2004), 184–189.