

On some subspaces of an FK-space

İ. DAĞADUR*

Abstract. *In this paper we study the subspaces C_1S , C_1W , C_1F and C_1B for a locally convex FK-space X containing ϕ , the space of finite sequences.*

Key words: *FK-space, AK-space, σK -space, σB -space, C_1 -summability method*

AMS subject classifications: Primary 46A45; Secondary 47B37, 40H05.

Received February 23, 2002

Accepted March 27, 2002

1. Introduction and notation

Let w denote the space of all complex-valued sequences. An FK-space is a locally convex vector subspace of w which is also a Fréchet space (complete linear metric) with continuous coordinates. A BK-space is a normed FK-space. The basic properties of FK-spaces may be found in [7], [8] and [10]. We now define the Cesàro summability matrix which is used throughout this paper: The Cesàro mean is given by the matrix C_1 whose nk th entry is

$$C_1 [n, k] = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n+1}, & \text{if } 0 \leq k \leq n \\ 0, & \text{if } k > n. \end{cases}$$

The sequence spaces

$$\sigma_0 = \left\{ x \in w : \lim_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n x_j = 0 \right\},$$

$$\sigma b = \left\{ x \in w : \sup_n \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^k x_j \right| < \infty \right\}$$

*Gaziosmanpaşa University, Faculty of Arts and Science, Department of Mathematics, 60100, Tokat, Turkey, e-mail: ilhandagadur@yahoo.com

and

$$\sigma s = \left\{ x \in w : \lim_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^k x_j \text{ exists} \right\}$$

are BK-spaces with the norm

$$\|x\|_{\sigma_0} = \sup_n \frac{1}{n} \left| \sum_{k=1}^n x_k \right|$$

and

$$\|x\|_{\sigma s} = \sup_n \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^k x_j \right|$$

respectively ([1], [2] and [9]).

Throughout the paper δ^j , ($j = 1, 2, \dots$), the sequence $(0, 0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots)$ with the one in the j -th position; ϕ the linear span of the δ^j 's. The topological dual of X is denoted by X' . A sequence x in a locally convex sequence space X is said to have the property AK (respectively σK) if $x^{(n)} \rightarrow x$ (respectively $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n x^{(k)} \rightarrow x$)

in X where $x^{(n)} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, 0, \dots) = \sum_{k=1}^n x_k \delta^k$. It is known that if an FK-space

$\phi \subset X$ is said to have σB if $\left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n x^{(k)} \right\}$ is a bounded set in X for each $x \in X$.

Also, an FK-space X is said to have $F\sigma K$ (functional σK) if $X \subset C_1 F^+$ i.e., $X = C_1 F$ ([1], [2] and [4]).

We recall (see [3] and [4]) that the f , σ - and σb - duals of a subset X of w are defined to be

$$X^f = \{ \{ f(\delta^k) \} : f \in X' \},$$

$$\begin{aligned} X^\sigma &= \left\{ x \in w : \lim_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^k x_j y_j \text{ exists for all } y \in X \right\} \\ &= \{ x \in w : x.y \in \sigma s \text{ for all } y \in X \}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} X^{\sigma b} &= \left\{ x \in w : \sup_n \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^k x_j \right| < \infty \text{ for all } y \in X \right\} \\ &= \{ x \in w : x.y \in \sigma b \text{ for all } y \in X \}, \end{aligned}$$

respectively, where $x.y = (x_n y_n)$.

2. Some subspaces of X

Following [4] we recall some important subspaces of a locally convex FK-space X containing ϕ .

Definition1. *Let X be an FK-space $\supset \phi$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
 W &:= W(X) = \left\{ x \in X : x^{(k)} \rightarrow x \text{ (weakly) in } X \right\} \\
 C_1W &:= C_1W(X) = \left\{ x \in X : \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n x^{(k)} \rightarrow x \text{ (weakly) in } X \right\} \\
 &= \left\{ x \in X : f(x) = \lim_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^k x_j f(\delta^j) \text{ for all } f \in X' \right\} \\
 &= \{x \in X : x \text{ has } S\sigma K \text{ in } X\}, \\
 C_1S &:= C_1S(X) = \left\{ x \in X : \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n x^{(k)} \rightarrow x \right\} \\
 &= \{x \in X : x \text{ has } \sigma K \text{ in } X\} \\
 &= \left\{ x \in X : x = \lim_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^k x_j \delta^j \right\}, \\
 C_1F^+ &:= C_1F^+(X) = \left\{ x \in w : \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n x^{(k)} \right) \text{ is weakly Cauchy in } X \right\} \\
 &= \{x \in w : (x_n f(\delta^n)) \in \sigma s \text{ for all } f \in X'\}, \\
 C_1B^+ &:= C_1B^+(X) = \left\{ x \in w : \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n x^{(k)} \right) \text{ is bounded in } X \right\} \\
 &= \{x \in w : (x_n f(\delta^n)) \in \sigma b \text{ for all } f \in X'\}, \\
 &\text{also} \\
 C_1F &:= C_1F^+ \cap X \quad \text{and} \quad C_1B := C_1B^+ \cap X.
 \end{aligned}$$

We note that subspaces W and C_1W are closely related to conullity and Cesáro conullity of the FK-space X (see [5] and [6]).

We now study some inclusions which are analogous to those given in [8; Chapter 10].

Theorem 2. *Let X be an FK-space $\supset \phi$. Then*

$$\phi \subset C_1S \subset C_1W \subset C_1F \subset C_1B \subset X \text{ and } \phi \subset C_1S \subset C_1W \subset \bar{\phi}.$$

Proof. The only non-trivial part is $C_1W \subset \bar{\phi}$. Let $f \in X'$ and $f = 0$ on ϕ . The definition of C_1W shows that $f = 0$ on C_1W . Hence, the Hahn-Banach theorem gives the result. \square

Theorem 3. *The subspaces $E = C_1S, C_1W, C_1F, C_1F^+, C_1B,$ and C_1B^+ of X FK-space are monotone i.e., if $X \subset Y$ then $E(X) \subset E(Y)$.*

Proof. The inclusion map $i : X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous by Corollary 4.2.4 of [8], so $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n x^{(k)} \rightarrow x$ in X implies the same in Y . This proves the assertion for C_1S . For C_1W it follows from the fact that i is weakly continuous by (4.0.11) of [8]. Now

$z \in C_1F^+, C_1B^+$ if and only if $(z_n f(\delta^n)) \in \sigma s, \sigma b$ respectively for all $f \in X'$, hence for all $g \in Y'$ since $g|X \in X'$ by Corollary 4.2.4 of [8]. The result follows for C_1F^+, C_1B^+ and so for C_1F, C_1B . \square

Since σ_0 is an AK -space, we immediately get the following

Theorem 4. *Let X be an FK -space $\supset \sigma_0$. Then $\sigma_0 \subset C_1S \subset C_1W$.*

Theorem 5. *Let X be an FK -space $\supset \phi$. Then $C_1B^+ = X^{f\sigma b}$.*

Proof. By Definition 1, $z \in C_1B^+$ if and only if $z.u \in \sigma b$ for each $u \in X^f$. This is precisely the assertion. \square

Theorem 6. *Let X be an FK -space $\supset \phi$. Then C_1B^+ is the same for all FK -spaces Y between $\overline{\phi}$ and X ; i.e., $\overline{\phi} \subset Y \subset X$ implies $C_1B^+(Y) = C_1B^+(X)$. Here the closure of ϕ is calculated in X .*

Proof. By Theorem 3 we have $C_1B^+(\overline{\phi}) \subset C_1B^+(Y) \subset C_1B^+(X)$. By Theorem 5 and by (7.2.4) of [8] the first and the last are equal. \square

Theorem 7. *Let X be an FK -space such that $C_1B \supset \overline{\phi}$. Then $\overline{\phi}$ has σK and $C_1S = C_1W = \overline{\phi}$.*

Proof. Suppose first that X has σB . Define $f_n : X \rightarrow X$ by

$$f_n(x) = x - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n x^{(k)}.$$

Then $\{f_n\}$ is pointwise bounded, hence equicontinuous by (7.0.2) of [8]. Since $f_n \rightarrow 0$ on ϕ then also $f_n \rightarrow 0$ on $\overline{\phi}$ by (7.0.3) of [8]. This is the desired conclusion. \square

Theorem 8. *Let X be an FK -space $\supset \phi$. Then $C_1F^+ = X^{f\sigma}$.*

Proof. This may be proved as in Theorem 5, with σs instead of σb . \square

Theorem 9. *Let X be an FK -space $\supset \phi$. Then C_1F^+ is the same for all FK -spaces Y between $\overline{\phi}$ and X ; i.e., $\overline{\phi} \subset Y \subset X$ implies $C_1F^+(Y) = C_1F^+(X)$. (The closure of ϕ is calculated in X).*

The proof is similar to that of Theorem 6.

Lemma 10. *Let X be an FK -space in which $\overline{\phi}$ has σK . Then $C_1F^+ = (\overline{\phi})^{\sigma\sigma}$.*

Proof. Observe that $C_1F^+ = X^{f\sigma}$ by Theorem 8. Since $X^f = (\overline{\phi})^f$ by Theorem 7.2.4 of [8], we have $X^{f\sigma} = (\overline{\phi})^{f\sigma}$. Hence, by Theorem 1.9 of [4] the result follows. \square

Theorem 11. *Let X be an FK -space $\supset \phi$. Then X has $F\sigma K$ if and only if $\overline{\phi}$ has σK and $X \subset (\overline{\phi})^{\sigma\sigma}$.*

Proof. *Necessity.* X has σB since $C_1F \subset C_1B$ so $\overline{\phi}$ has σK by Theorem 7. The remainder of the proof follows from Lemma 10. Sufficiency is given by Lemma 10. \square

Theorem 12. *Let X be an FK -space $\supset \phi$. The following are equivalent:*

- (i) X has $F\sigma K$,

- (ii) $X \subset C_1S^{\sigma\sigma}$,
- (iii) $X \subset C_1W^{\sigma\sigma}$,
- (iv) $X \subset C_1F^{\sigma\sigma}$,
- (v) $X^\sigma = C_1S^\sigma$,
- (vi) $X^\sigma = C_1F^\sigma$.

Proof. Observe that (ii) implies (iii) and (iii) implies (iv) and that they are trivial since

$$C_1S \subset C_1W \subset C_1F.$$

If (iv) is true, then $X^f \subset C_1F^\sigma = X^{f\sigma\sigma} \subset X^\sigma$ so (i) is true by Theorem 1.9 of [4]. If (i) holds, then Theorem 11 implies that $\overline{\phi} = C_1S$ and that (ii) holds. The equivalence of (v), (vi) with the others is clear. \square

Theorem 13. Let X be an FK-space $\supset \phi$. The following are equivalent:

- (i) X has $S\sigma K$,
- (ii) X has σK ,
- (iii) $X^\sigma = X'$.

Proof. Clearly (ii) implies (i). Conversely if X has $S\sigma K$ it must have AD for $C_1W \subset \overline{\phi}$ by Theorem 2. It also has σB since $C_1W \subset C_1B$. Thus X has σK by Theorem 7, this proves that (i) and (ii) are equivalent. Assume that (iii) holds. Let $f \in X'$, then there exists $u \in X^\sigma$ such that

$$f(x) = \lim_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^k u_j x_j$$

for $x \in X$. Since $f(\delta^j) = u_j$, it follows that each $x \in C_1W$ which shows that (iii) implies (i). That (ii) implies (iii) is known (see [2], page 97). \square

Theorem 14. Let X be an FK-space $\supset \phi$. The following are equivalent:

- (i) C_1W is closed in X ,
- (ii) $\overline{\phi} \subset C_1B$,
- (iii) $\overline{\phi} \subset C_1F$,
- (iv) $\overline{\phi} = C_1W$,
- (v) $\overline{\phi} = C_1S$,
- (vi) C_1S is closed in X .

Proof. (ii) implies (v): By Theorem 7, $\overline{\phi}$ has σK , i.e. $\overline{\phi} \subset C_1S$. The opposite inclusion is Theorem 2. Note that (v) implies (iv), (iv) implies (iii) and (iii) implies (ii) because

$$C_1S \subset C_1W \subset \overline{\phi}, \quad C_1W \subset C_1F \subset C_1B;$$

(i) implies (iv) and (vi) implies (v) since $\phi \subset C_1S \subset C_1W \subset \overline{\phi}$. Finally (iv) implies (i) and (v) implies (vi). \square

References

- [1] M. BUNTINAS, *Convergent and bounded Cesáro sections in FK-spaces*, Math. Z. **121**(1971), 191–200.
- [2] G. GOES, S. GOES, *Sequences of bounded variation and sequences of Fourier coefficients, I*, Math. Z. **118**(1970), 93–102.
- [3] G. GOES, *Sequences of bounded variation and sequences of Fourier coefficients, II*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **39**(1972), 477–494.
- [4] G. GOES, *Summan von FK-Räumen funktionale Abschnittskonvergenz und Umkehrsatz*, Tôhoku. Math. Journ. **26**(1974), 487–504.
- [5] H. G. İNCE, *Cesáro conull FK-spaces*, Demonstratio Math. **33**(2000), 109–121.
- [6] C. ORHAN, *Conull absolute summability domains*, Indian J. Pure & Applied Math. **24**(1993), 539–542.
- [7] A. WILANSKY, *Functional Analysis*, Blaisdell Press, 1964.
- [8] A. WILANSKY, *Summability Through Functional Analysis*, North Holland, 1984.
- [9] K. ZELLER, *Allgemeine Eigenschaften von Limitierungsverfahren*, Math. Z. **53**(1951), 463–487.
- [10] K. ZELLER, *Theorie der Limitierungsverfahren*, Berlin-Heidelberg- New York, 1958.